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## DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE COINS (SILVER).

From the British Museum Educational Series (Catalogue).

1. III. B. 28. Of Thebes. Obv. Boeotian shield. Rev. $\Theta \mathrm{E}$ ( $=\Theta_{\epsilon \iota} \beta \dot{\eta} \omega \nu$ ). Infant Hêrakles strangling serpents. Fourth century b.c. Wt. 187 grs. Cf. N. 1. 39-47.
2. II. C. 16. Of Akragas. Obv. Two eagles with hare. In field horned head of a young river-god. [Rev. AKPAГANTINON.] End of fifth century b.c. Wt. $267 \cdot 8$ grs. Cf. N. 3. 80, 81.
3. II. B. 24. Of Aegina (X $\in \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} \eta$ ). Obv. AI. Land tortoise (symbol of Astartê, Phoenician goddess of commerce). Rev. Incuse square divided into five compartments, with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{I}$, and dolphin in the three whole squares. Earlier than B.c. 459. Wt. 189 grs. Cf. N. 6. 66.
4. I. C. 25. Of Katana. [Obv. Man-headed bull (river-god); above, water-fowl; beneath, river-fish.] Rev. KATANAION (IOUKATANA). Winged Nikê with wreath in right hand moving quickly to the left. Before 480 b.c. Wt. $266 \cdot 8$ grs.
5. II. C. 28. [Obv. ME $\sum \Sigma A N I O N$. Hare ; beneath it dolphin.] Rev. 'A $\pi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$; winged Nikê about to crown charioteer. In exergue two dolphins. Fifth century b.c. Type adopted by Anaxilâos. Wt. $266 \cdot 9$ grs. Cf. O. 5. 3.

## Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2008 with funding from Microsoft Corporation

## INTRODUCTION.

## THE PENTATHLON.

My explanation of N. 7. 72, 73 differs materially from that of Prof. Gardner and Dr Binder (Der Fünfkampf der Hellenen, Berlin, 1867), and my view of the nature of the pentathlon is to a great extent new.

I had anticipated Prof. Gardner's view of the ephedros in my note on O. 8. 68. I also agree with Prof. Gardner and Dr Pincer that victory in only three contests was necessary to win the prize (in spite of Aristides, Panathen. p. 341).

But I hold that the competitors all contended at once in leaping, running, and discus-hurling, and also in spearthrowing, save that all competitors who were beaten by one competitor (or more) in the first three contests may have at once retired as beaten, in some cases at any rate. Similarly all wrestled, or at least those who had not been beaten by any one competitor in three out of the first four contests.

The qualification for ultimate victory was to defeat each and all other competitors in some (not necessarily the same) three contests out of the five. Thus I do not, like Dr Pincer, force the meaning of $v \iota \kappa \bar{\nu} \nu$, but only distribute its application,

It follows from my hypothesis that the first in wrestling, if there was any, would generally win. But cases of equality as to the mere order of placing according to the rough and ready method propounded might arise ; for instance, if $A$ beat all in two contests and $B$ and $C$ each beat all in one contest out of the first four, then if $B$ or $C$ win the wrestling we have two winners in two contests apiece. In such cases it is reasonable to suppose that the judges would decide which of the competitors had shown himself the best all-round man.


But still a winner could not, as Prof. Gardner urges, in objection to Dr Pinder's scheme, "be very inferior in the first three contests."

It must be assumed that a minimum of proficiency was required in all the contests. If a competitor were absolutely first in the first three contests or in three out of the first four contests he would only have to satisfy the judges as to his proficiency in the last two contests or in wrestling alone, while the other candidates would still compete, at any rate those who had a chance, in case the winner of three contests were after all disqualified.

Dr Pinder narrows the circle of competitors after the second contest, not after the first (Fünfkampf, pp. 77, 79) to four, three, two successively in the last three contests.

This view seems at once untenable, because
$A$ who was successively $5,4,3,2,1$ might win from $B$ who was $1,1,1,1,2$, a case which is at variance with common sense and (as Prof. Gardner shows) with all the slight testimony given by antiques and by writers.

In Flavius Philostratos' Argonautic pentathlon (de Gymn. § 3) my hypothesis, according to Prof. Gardner's view of the heroes' merit, gives the subjoined simple scheme.

|  | $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \mu a$ | aัк ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ঠiбкos | $\delta \rho 6$ \% | $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lynkeus | 4 or 5 | 1 | 2 | 5 or 4 |  |
| Telamôn | 5 or 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 or 5 |  |
| Kalaïs | 1 or 2 | 5 or 4 | 4 or 5 | 2 or 1 |  |
| Zêtês | 2 or 1 | 4 or 5 | 5 or 4 | 1 or 2 |  |
| Pêleus | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 wins |

If the larger of the alternative numbers be chosen or excluded, all five competitors remain in for the wrestling.

I need not make any assumption as to the numbers in the case of Tisamenos. Pausanias says of him, 3. 11. 6, ovit




 only competitors, and Hierônymos was first in spear-throwing and discus-hurling, Pausanias seems to say too much and too little. Bacchylides, 9. 32 to 36 , says that Automedes won with discus and spear and in the wrestling, and in 1. 7, 8 Melas is distinguished for running and wrestling.

Theoretically any number of competitors might stay in for the wrestling, as for example if the order of $n-1$ competitors $A_{1} A_{2} \& c$. ( $n$ being greater than 2 ) in the first four contests were $A_{1}, 1,1, n-1, n-1 ; A_{2}, 2,2, n-2, n-2 ; \ldots$; $A_{n-1}, n-1, n-1,1,1$.

But practically there would almost always be some competitors already beaten after the 3rd and 4th contests; and often, no doubt, the ultimate victor would be absolutely first in three out of the first four contests.

My hypothesis avoids the following difficulties :
Firstly. If two competitors were each first twice, or if 3,4 , or 5 competitors were each first once, we have on these assumptions no means of determining the final decision.

Secondly.' Prof. Gardner's difficulty (p. 221) "that at first sight" Xenophon's language, Hellenica, 7. 4, "would seem to imply that the running contests of the pentathlon took place all at once."

Thirdly. The apparently necessary assumption that seven competitors is an extreme case, and that one can only fit in the three heats required in this case "provided, of course, that they went on at the same time as other contests." There happens to be a little indirect evidence on this point. O. 8 . 38 tells us that from eleven to sixteen boys competed in
wrestling at once. Of course when the term $\epsilon \phi \epsilon \delta \rho o s$ was used metaphorically the case which naturally presented itself was the ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \phi \epsilon \delta \rho o s$ at the most critical stage of a contest, namely when only three were left in, and proves nothing as to the original number of competitors. We must not forget that the pentathlon "was in high favour among the Greeks" (p. 210), so that a theory as to the nature of the pentathlon ought to admit of as many competing in the boys' pentathlon (N. 7) as are implicitly recorded to have competed at once in the boys' wrestling. Prof. Gardner's heats would have taken as long in the case of five competitors as in his "extreme case" of seven. Then as to the pentathlon going on during other contests Pausanias tells us, 6. 24. 1, that the pentathlon took place towards the middle of the day after the running, and before wrestling and the pankration. This passage then supports the "at first sight" interpretation of Xenophon, Hellenica, 7. 4, as also does N. 7. $72-74$, to which I shall return. The most conclusive ${ }^{1}$ passage on this point is Pausanias 5. 9. 3, which tells us that, in the 77 th Olympiad the horse-racing and pentathlon were deferred to a second day, because they, especially the pentathlon, extended the pankration to night. This passage, together with ib. 6. 24. 1, proves that the pentathlon did not go on simultaneously with other contests. These citations offer an argument against the system of heats for the pentathlon, as they tend to show that contests which took place in the same place came together. First the scene was in the dromos, then in the hippodromos, then the pentathlon in leaping- and hurling-ground, dromos, and wrestling-place, whence there was no further move till night.

Fourthly comes the difficulty presented by the great advantage which an ephedros would have over competitors who had wrestled. Prof. Gardner justly says (p. 214) " We cannot

[^0]help wondering what sort of a throw with a spear an athlete could make after a bout or two of wrestling."

This remark suggests a fifth difficulty, namely, that when one or more couples in the first heat had wrestled, the performance in the subsequent heats would have been miserable.

Sixthly, it seems strange that a popular contest should be carried on during other contests, and that its interest should be divided.

Seventhly, time being an important consideration, a system of heats presupposes expenditure of time, while the pentathloi pass more than once from leaping-place to $\delta \rho o ́ \mu o s$, and thence to wrestling-ground.

My supposition that it was not necessary for the victor to be absolutely first except in wrestling is not only supported by the above-mentioned case of Pêleus, which was most probably in accordance with the usage of the historic pentathlon, but also by Nenophon, Hellemica, 4. 7. 5, äтє סè vє由otì тoû





 $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega v$ тòv фıло́бофov, к.т.入. Even in Plutarch, Symp). P'robl. 9.
 definite classes of letters are vanquished at each contest ${ }^{1}$, so that this passage can scarcely be quoted to support heats on Prof. Gardner's plan. Prof. Gardner cites the Scholiast ad

 Aristides, Pancthenuicus, p. 341, says é $\mu o i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ o v ̉ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon ́ v \tau a \theta \lambda o \iota ~$


Plutarch and Aristides allude either to the most famous
${ }^{1}$ In using this passage to support lis own theory Dr Pinder seems to press the simile too much.
pentathloi of old, who would naturally occur first th the minds of late writers, if they thought of old times at all, or perhaps to the exhibitions of professional athletes of their own times: while Plator refers to ordinary cases in the tifth and fourth centuries B.C. The authority of the Scholiast ad Aristidem is perhaps somewhat lowered by the fact that he doenot repudiate the idea that the pankration might have taken the place generally assigned to the leaping (see, however, Plin. N. II. 34, c. 19). But it is not my desire to damage his authority, for the three passages on the $\tau p a y \mu o{ }^{\prime}$ do mot " prove beyond all cavil that for victory in the pentathlon it was necessary to win three events" (p. 21i), but simply that the winning of three events was a familiar case.

The appointment of only three Hellanodikae for the pentathlon is to my mind almost an argument a!uinst pairs being set to work simultaneously; for one ofticial is reguired at the starting line to see that the leap or throw is fair, and another to determine the lengths, unless the one walks backwards and forwards, so wasting a great deal of time.

Then again an extra judge might well be wanted to see that in the first two contests, or one of them, competitors did not purposely take it easy, which would give them at consilerable unfair advantage in the last three or four contests.

The placing of several competitors in three or four contests, which I have assumed, takes more judging than merely placing the first two. But after all the appointment of three Hellanorlikae is fully accounted for by the pentathlon taking a much longer time than the other contests.

Even if my interpretation of N. T. T2—it were wrong, and the poet were alluding to a false throw often preventing a man wrestling, it is mere assumption to talk of disqualification and stoppace of the pentathlon. For the competitor who won the discus-hurling would often if he had lost the spear-throwing be deharred from wrestling by his principal rival beating him (or being first) in leaping, spear-throwing, and ruming. Niw

Prof．Gardner，though he speaks of＂five very various contests＂ （p．217），calls discus－hurling and spear－throwing＂two very kindred contests＂（p．217），suggesting that＂perhaps there was no absolutely fixed order＂for these two．But Flavius Philo－ stratus tells us that the discus－hurling was $\beta$ apv́s and spear－ throwing kồ申os．It seems to me that a frequent distribution would be that suggested by the actual case of Tisamenos and Hierônymos－－Tisamenos superior in leaping and running，and Hierônymos in discus－hurling，so that the spear－throwing was a crucial point in this contest．Had Tisamenos won it，the words $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \in \pi \in \mu \psi \epsilon v$ maג $\alpha u \sigma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ would at any rate have applied to Hieronymos．I take it that the representatives of кov申ór力s and $\beta$ ápos were not seldom more evenly matched in this con－ test than in the four others．Hence perhaps its prominence on vases and Pindar＇s allusions N．7．72－ 74.

Prof．Waldstein writes：
．．．．．．Especially after the Persian war，when the public Palaestrae becume fully organised，they were more consciously meant to provide for the physical education of Greek youths，the ultimate aim of which education，as is well known，was to produce good citizens who could guard the integrity of the state as strong and agile soldiers． No doubt in the subsequent stages we find that this ultimate aim is lost sight of，and that what was to he a means to a higher end becones the end in itself，thus leading to an overstraining of the importance of the athletic games and to professional athletes． Within this palaestric organisation we can distinguish various sub－ divisions corresponding to the various requirements of a grond physical education．When once the games had become systematised， the first broad distinction is between the heary and light games； the 乃apùs and koû $o$ os to which you draw attention，those that tended to develop more the strength，and those that developed more the agility．Buxing and the Pankration，for instance，are heavy games； while ruming，jumping，and throwing the spear，are light．Every quality that tended to make a perfect soldier had its own game． A good runner，a good jumper，an agile wrestler，a boxer with powerful arms for thrusting and skill in parring，all tended to make a good soldier．

The more the games were thun specialised and correspondeal to separate requirements in man, the more did need beconse felt to have a gatue which enconraged the all-round man. Such a gane is most precitically (ireek. Now the aim and essence of the Pentathlon was thus to supplement the other, specialised. granes, and to cmomuate and proluce all-mond strength and agility. The mone We recurnise this fumbanental truth concerning the P'entathon, the nore shall we have to hear in mind that the ain and intention would always be to make the rictory depend as far as pomiblu. uphen the lest man in all the five constituent contests or at leant in as many as possible.

The fact that Pentathlon prize-vases very often have only representations of three of the games, can be no graide as to the nature of the game itself, for the class of figures represented in there 1 mint ings is only influenced by artistic requirements, i.e. by the fact that certain games can more readily be representel in single figuren than others. It is an eass thing for a vase-painter or sculptor to represent a jouth as a jumper, a discus-thrower or a spear-thrower. for he need merels place in his hand halteres, a diakos, or a spear. It is nore difficult to represent cmoney secinal others a wrestler or a runner. This can only he done with clearness ly representing a pair of youths wrestling, on a number ruming, which is often represented on Panathenaic vases destined to be prizes for one of these single games, but these are not subjects that can be easily compused into a number of figures placed together on a linnited space, and each expressing part of the game illustrated ly the whole group. Thun it is that of the five games of the Pentathlon, three especially serve as pictorial tỵpes, i.e. äк $\omega$, ä $\lambda \mu a$, oiowos. But often vases evidently pentathlic have merely one seene. I have met with P'entathlon rases with merely two ganes of the five, diskos and spear, or spear and halteres. In some cases even the commexion hetween the mythonlogical secnes on the one side and the senes from real life on the other, to which I alluded above, has servel the vase-painter in giving a full illustration of the Pentathlon, the mythological scenes illustrating those games which the athletic scenes do nut represent. Sir a kylix in Paris is evidently pentathlic from the mythologieal semen of struggle represented on the border of the outside, while in the medallion on the inside there is but one of the contests figured, namely a youth with halteres.

## THE IIETRICAL STRUCTURE OF PINDAR'S STANZAS.

There is far more symmetry in the internal structure of the Nemean and Isthmian strophes and epodes than is to be found in the Olympian and Pythian odes. The difference is remotely analogous to a verse of Tate and Brady's version of the Psalms and the corresponding portion of the Prayerbook version set as an anthem.

As a general rule the Greek lyric stanza (strophe or epocle) is composed of one or two periods. In the case of unsymmetrical stanzas-i.e. of stanzas which only offer a show of symmetry when they are arbitrarily divided into several small sections-it is not often possible to find indications of organic division or to determine whether the stanza be mono-periodic or not. But that the constituent periods seldom exceed two in number is a fair inference from the frequency of symmetrical stanzas made up of two symmetrical periods or constituting one symmetrical period.

This natural kind of symmetry, illustrated by many of the odes in this volume, is also to be discovered in many of the stanzas of Bacchylides and the Tragedians. For instance, Eur. Orest. 982-1004 is one symmetrical period, which however J. H. H. Schmidt chops up into three kommata containing séven periods. The conclusions here propounded have been confirmed by a careful examination of all extant Greek lyrics.

## RECURRENT WORDS.

Is the first 200 lines of the First Book of the Iliad there are many tautometric recurrences of words and phrases and kindred forms as well as of proper names, while whole lines are repeated, e.g. 65,$93 ; 68,101$.

For instance，dao－ends $\tau 飞, 10,16$ ；$\beta \hat{\eta}$ l，egins $\varepsilon \because, 34,44$ ；


 third and fourth feet，$\theta \in o \pi \rho o \pi t-v .8 .5,87,109$ in the same part of the verse，$\theta$ vuó－$v \imath .136,17: 3$ in the third foot；$\gamma$＇$\rho a s$ cv． $120,133,13 \times, 163,185$ in the fourth foot ；É $\tau$ ápouror ends $\cdots$ ． 179,183 ，ä入入o－ve．174，186．The phrase $\pi$ ． oecurs in the same parts of $v v .77$ and 150 ，$\dot{u} \pi \grave{o} \lambda o o \chi^{o} v \dot{a} \mu \hat{\imath} v a \iota$


 $c$ 106．Terse 94 echoes $v .11$ ．There are several other re－ currences．

There are also many such recurrences in the Hesiodic poems，in the Homeric Hymns，and in the longer fragments of Tyrtaeos and Solon．In Simonides，Frag．5［12］，there are
 In Bakchylides there are several responsions like those found in Pindar．In the VIth ode there is a suggestion of a refrain．



 Aeschylos a rerse or some rerses at the end of a strophe are sometimes repeated in the antistrophe．

It is consequently obrious that a student of Greek epic and elegiac verse and of lyries which were constructed with refrains might unconsciously or half－consciously absorb a habit of responsions such as are found in Pindar and Bakchylides． The recurrent words and sounds might be felt as an element of the poetic structure，as of course a refrain is．

These observations and considerations give strong support to my contention that Pindaric recurrences are often devoid of significance，especially when only one word is repeated．

## NEMEA I.

## ON THE VICTORY OF CHROMIOS, OF SYRACUSE (PROCLAIMED AS OF AETNA), WITH THE FOUR-HORSE CHARIOT.

## INTRODUCTION.

('hromios, son of Agésidimos, was probably a member of the Hyllean tribe of Dorians, one of the Hetracleids who went from Rhodes to (iela (see P. 1. 62). He was made by Hieron governor, є́mirpotos (according to Schol. on N. 9), of Actna, fomded B.c. 476 , of which Deinomenes was titular sovereign (I'. 1. 58-6i0). Gelon had given Chromios one of his own and Hieron's sisters in marriage, and had made him, with the other hrother-in-law, Aristonoijs, a guardian of his son. It appears however that Polyoellos, hrother of Gelon and Hieron, married Gelon's widow, Dannareta (1)êmaretê), thus getting contfol over Gelon's son and heir, so that in supporting Hieron, Chromins was not necessirily betraying his trust. He may well have despaired of his ward being able to cope with his paternal uncles, the youngest of whom, Thrasyhulon, wats directly recionsible for his ruin. It is at any rate clear that Chromios was Hieron's chief supporter. He is said to have been his charioteer. The reason for regarding him as a Geloan immigrant to syratuse is because Pindar tells us (N. 9. 40) that in his prime he fought with distinction in the battle on the Heloros, in which Hippokrates, Tyrant of Gela, defeated the Syracusims. As this hattle is mentioned in the ode (N. 9) sung at Aetnil, it is prolnalle that the Syracusans of rank who moved thither were new citizens of Syracuse
introduced with (ielom. In the new city they were out of danger of surprise by the republican faction, and were reinforced by numbers of Megarians and Peloponnesians which could scarcely have heen introduced into the old eity, while they were near enough to give effective aid to their friends in Symacuse. As Akragas and Himera had recovered their freedom just before the date of this ode, Pindir. may have had in view, when mentioning foresight ( $火 .28$ ), this purvision for Deinomenes and precaution against the impending revolution. Chromios took active part in Hieron's martial enterprises, and as amlassador to Anaxilas of Rhêgion, between B.c. 478 and 476 (see P. 2 Introd.), prevented the subjugation of the Lokri Epizephyrii. He won this Nemean victory, Ol. 76. 4, b.c. 473 , in the summer. This ode was recited before the banquet given in celehration of the victory at Chromios' house in Ortygia. The chorus performed it at the $\pi \rho o \dot{\theta} \boldsymbol{v} \rho \boldsymbol{0}$, i.e. before the $p$ rincipal deror of the palace. (f. Bacchyl. 6. 14 тpodímous adooris. Mezger well compares Chromios with Thêron, and says that his praises came straight from the poet's immost heart. It is therefore not surprising that the scene of the myth should lie in Thebes.

The warm glow shed by the festive enjoyment of honmalle repose and the splendor of wealth, lavish hospitality, and victory in war and sacred games are enhanced by a vivid presentment of the frequent conflict in which the nohle, whether men of action, counsel, or minstrelsy, must engage, and in which they must exhibit uprightness and straightforwardness. Pindar agrees with Batchylides (1.43) that á $\rho \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ is $\epsilon \pi i \mu \circ \chi$ Oos.

 кацát $\omega \nu \mu \in \gamma^{\alpha} \lambda \omega \nu \quad r .70$. This tautometric recurrence ( (rr. 70, 34) may perhaps imply that Pindar's celebration of Hêrakles-and by consequence his ode in honor of Chromios-is к $\alpha \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \in \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu \pi o \nu \nu a ́$.

The idea of upright straightforward conduct is led up to by $\dot{\rho} \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \in \epsilon$



 exact metrical correspondence of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \tau \alpha-(v .68)$ with $\dot{\alpha} v \tau i o v(v .25)$ be intentional it was intended to make the idea of conflict prominent, not to suggest any special parallelism, which would be very much forced.

Possibly the step, gesture, and disposition of the dance at this point suited the general idea of 'confronting.' This is a slight extension of my suggestion (O. and P. 1893 p. xix) that certain groups of articulate sound might be especially appropriate to certain parts of the metre and melody. Moreover the leading ideas of an ode would naturally recur where there was an orchestral and musical climax, which is the likeliest explanation of the position of $\nu \kappa \kappa \alpha$ - or $T \iota \mu \delta \delta \eta \mu$ - towards the end of the fourth verse of the strophes in N. 2. The dance at the beginning of the strophes and antistrophes in N. 1 may have been suitable to the idea of arrested
 There simply cannot be any poetical reference from $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \\ \\ \text { ca } \\ \text { cu } \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \text {, any }\end{gathered}$ more than from $\theta \epsilon \in \sigma \alpha \nu$. 59 to $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \in \nu v$, ij or from $\tau o i v . ~ 41$ to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu v, 30$ or
 $r .50$ to -ov́бal $r .32$ or from -o入є $v .52$ to -o入 $\epsilon-v$. 16 or from $\sigma \epsilon v .29$ to $\sigma \in \theta \epsilon v v .4$.

After having passed this last tautometric recurrence over so far, Mezger and Buyy cannot now assert that it is significant without acknowledging the inability of so-called signals to arrest the attention even of those who are on the alert to observe signals.

The compounds which seem to have been formed for this ode are


The mode is Iorian. 'The metre is dactylo-epitritic, the phrases used being $A$, consisting of three dactylic feet, namely $A=\ldots-$ |
 epitrite, namely $B=-\sim\left|-\simeq\left\|, B^{\prime}=\smile \smile \mid \smile\right\|\right.$ or $\left.-\checkmark\right|-\wedge \|$, $C$, consisting of two epitrites, namely $C=\backsim \cup|--|\cup \cup|-=\|$,


## Strophe.


(2) - $B \cdot A^{\prime}$
(3) $A^{\prime}$

* A dactyl. + First long syllable resolved, ©.

Epode.
(1) $C+. A^{\prime} \ddagger . B^{\prime}$
(2) $1 * \cdot A . C^{\prime}$
(3) B.1*.A.C
(4) $-\vdots B^{\prime} \ldots-\sim \mid \nmid \neq \cdot C^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime \prime} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1^{*} \cdot \Lambda \cdot C^{\prime} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \cdot A^{\prime} \cdot C \cdot C^{\prime \prime} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\ddagger$ Incisio.

The first five verses of the strophe are ohvionaly symmetrical and are equal in number of feet to the last two verses, which present the numbers 4.4. 2.3.4.4. The verses of the equile present the numbers 9.8 .10 .8 or 7.10 .10 .8 . Some metricians anhieve symmetry
 as 8 . $\mid 2.8$. There is caesura after the long syllalile of the 3 rd and 4th feet of the second verse of the epode, and after the first syllable of $C^{\prime}$ in the third verse.

## ANALYSIS.

$n$
1-7. The ode groes forth from Ortygia in homor of Zens uf Aetna, on the occasion of Chromios' Nemean victory.
8,9. The exordium makes mention of gods, as the rictor's merits are derived from them.
10-12. The highest object of ambition, celehration by an Epinician ode, has its occasion in victory.
13-18. Praise of Sicily's sacred relation to Persephone, fertility, rich cities, glory in war, success in games.
18. This topic is dismissed.

19-25. For it is Chromios' hospitality which hrings the preet to his halls, and to him praise is due to confound various cavillers.
25-30. Men ought to develope natural gifts of strength and foresight, with both of which Chromios is endowed.
31, 32. One ought not to hoard, but to nee wealth for one's nwin enjoyment and the benefit of friends,
32,33 . since man's time is short and beset with tronlle.
33, 34. Introductory mention of Hêrakles' paramoment merits.
35-61. Myth of the infant Herakles and the two shakes.
61-end. Teiresias' prophecy of Hêraklen' toilame explnits and their final reward of peaceful bliss.

The application of the latter part of the myth tor Chrmios in sufficiently obvions to account for there being mo formal conclusion to the ode.

Chromios' ancestor, Hêrakles, afforded a conspichous illustration of such a theme, and perhaps to some extent his marriage with Hêbê presented a parallel to Chromios' splendid alliance. There is nu, need to suppose that by reciting the infantine courage of Herraklethe poet meant to imply that the valor of Chromios had been precocions. On the other hand, the precucity of Herrakles is a signal instance, as Aristarchos said, of the innute comage and riger ascribed to his descendant.

The introduction of the prophece of Teiresias is a natural levice for hringing in the careur and reward of Herakles, so that it is needlesis to suppose, with Miiller (Hist. of (ik: Lit, I. 1). 2el, trans.), that. the mention of the seer and also of foresight, $\therefore 27$, implies that Pindar had predicted Chromios' victory. I. 27 rather ascribes to ('hromios the faculty which Thukydides notes as characteristic of

 ros eikaotis. Chnomios very likely inspired the successful policy of (ielon and Hieron. Disisen refines too much, especially in regarding the infant expluit of Herakles as meant for a parallel to Chromios early valor at the rattle of Helôros, at the date of which he was probahly alout forty years old (wee on N. 9. 42). There is a side allusion to Himeral and ''hromios' land-fights generally in c. 62 , and to) the sea-fight off' ('umae in the next verse. In an ode sung in ()rtggia there would scarcely he any reference to the fight of Heloros, in which Syracusans were defeated.

There is nowhere a more prominent division of the ode than at

 gnomic, partly landatory digression. The main divisions then of the ode are $v v .1-7,8-12,13-18,19-33,33-72$.

There is a ponsible hearing of the myth which has not, I believe, been moticed, namely, that Amphitryon was a type of hempitality, so that Chronion palace might suggest the seene of the myth in this comesion.

The ode is one of the finest example- of Pindar's art. Especially admiable is the vigorous word-panting of the myth.

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime}$.

, "А $\mu \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \quad \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ 'A $\lambda \phi \epsilon \circ \hat{v}$,





1 "A $\quad$ ппvєu pa 'Hallowed spot where Alpheus took breath'; ie. after his pursuit of Arethusa under the sea. This myth veils the transference by Dorian colonists of the cult of Artemis Potamia from Elis to Ortygia, cf. P. 2. 7. According to analogy ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a$ ought to mean 'recovered breath,' but for a form in $-\mu \alpha$, meaning the place of the action, cf. $\beta \bar{\eta} \mu \alpha$. The word ${ }_{\alpha} \mu \pi \nu$., suggesting $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\prime} \chi \theta \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \nu \circ \alpha \alpha^{\prime}(O$. 8. 7), at once strikes the key-note of the general sentiment of the ode. Mr Bury's treatment of this simple word is both semasiologically and mythologically wild.

2 $\theta \dot{1} \lambda$ os As Ortygia is supposed to be the original settlement, it is rather $\Sigma v \rho a к о \sigma \sigma \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{\rho} l \zeta a$ (cf. P. 4. 15) than $\theta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda o s$ (cf. O.2.45) in the sense of scion. Either it means 'the leader,' whence the other quarters of the city branched, or it and the other quarters spring from a common $\pi \nu \theta \mu \hat{\eta} \nu$, i.e. from Sicily or the Dorian stock. Paley renders $\theta$ didos 'pride,' cf. I. 6. 24. 'Opтиyia We have no warrant for identifying a personified Ortygia with the foun-tain-nymph Arethusa. In fact a mere apostrophe drifts into a faint vague personification in $v .4$, cf.
 тоофоi. In relation to Alpheus and Artemis, Ortygia is not a person, but a place. As Pindar expressly separates Ortygia from Artemis,
 213) cannot affect the interpreta.
tron of this passage. The devoted lover of Arethusa or Artemis would hardly rest "in the arms of the 'lovely' nymph Ortygia" (Bury), unless $\delta \in ́ \mu \nu t o \nu$ is to be rendered 'arm-chair' and personified.

3 §'́uvเov Cf. Il. 24. 615 є่v

 бayro, Plat. de fluv. et mont. 5. 3 Kavкáбtov ơpos éка入єîto тò трóтєроу Ворє́ои коітт. 'Артє́щ. Cf. P. 2.6, 7 'Opruүià...тотaulas É os 'Apré$\mu i \delta o s$, and note on 7.
$4 \Delta$ ádou kat. The two favorite islands of Artemis are her nurslings metaphorically, and hence are regarded as sisters. $\sigma \in \in \in v$ In form an adv. of motion from, and so used here. The th verse of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}, v .29$, contains an address to Chromios, with soto in the same metrical position as $\sigma \varepsilon \theta \in \nu$. $\dot{\alpha} \delta v \epsilon \pi$. Cf. h. Hon. 32. 12 Moṽat| $\dot{\eta} \delta v e-$ тeîs кoûpą K poví̊ew $\Delta$ tós, N. 7. 21.

5 ópнâтaı Cf. O. 3. 9, 10 Il aa
 $\theta \rho \omega$ our sous a dol $\delta a \ell$, where the song starts from the scene of the victory, here quite as naturally from the place where an ode upon it is first recited. $\theta \in ́ \mu \in \nu$ 'To establish.' Cf. Bacchyl.



6 aĩov, к.т. ג. Cf. Frag. 206
 $\pi \omega \nu \mid \tau i \mu \kappa \alpha$ cai $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu 0$. Note that air $\eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, 'to sound the praise of,' in the last line takes up alpo and helps to establish the parallel


＇A $\nu \tau$ ．$a^{\prime}$ ．

кєívov $\sigma \grave{\nu}$ à̀ $\delta \rho o ̀ s$ סaıноviaıs à $\rho \in \tau a i ̂ s$.
єैбт८ $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \nu$ єủтvХía
$+\pi a \nu \delta o \xi i a \varsigma ~ a ้ \kappa \rho о \nu \cdot \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ćє́ $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$
Moî $\sigma a \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.

between Hêrakles and Chromios． xápıv＇By grace of＇（Mezger quot－ ing P．2．70，3．95）．To take it as accus．in appos．with the clause， ＇a grateful service to，＇is inferior， to take it in appos．with aivon is still worse．
7 For the appropriateness of the metaphor to the victory cf． 0．6．22－27，8．25，N．4．93－end，N． 7． $70-72,8.19$, I．1．6．Here the poet＇s verses are the winged horses which will bear over the world the car，Chromios＇victory．For meta－ phor ef．P．10．65．For the con－

 óтpúveє Echoed by óтрúvav，v． 34.
 pian and Pythian odes，only of noble deeds，esp．in games，in Pin－ dar，cf．$h$ ．Hom．27．20，32．19， Bacchyl．14． 17.

8 ＇Its first courses are laid with gods＇（for stones）．$\quad \theta \in \omega ิ v$ Gen． of definition，plur．of majesty，Zeus of Aetna being meant；cf．Ter－ pander Frag． 1 （Bergk）Z $\epsilon \hat{v}$ ooi
 3．Hitherto all editors have been nomplussed by this line．

9 Gúv＇Under the inspiration of，＇ef．N．2． 24 नі̀v є $\dot{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \sigma \tau \varphi$ ． Saupoviats Cf，O．9，110．These good qualities，＇conferred by gods，＇ are $\phi$ vậ（ib．100），and opposed to ôıóaктaìs àpetaîs．Pindar does not
distinguish ôaípoyes from $\theta$ eó，see 0．1．35，7．39，P．3．59，though jaluoves are not $\theta \in 0$ í，P．1．12，I． 7. 24.

10 ＇もotı＇There is in truth，＇ see 0.1 .35 ，and infra $v .24 \dot{e} \nu \tau \dot{i}$ in prominent position，cf．N．2． 10
 gard I．3． 1 as a mild case of zeug－ ma，єívuxia，єย̇тuх＇$\omega$ ，in all four instances where they occur in Pin－ dar，mean the crowning good fortune of success in games：so too $\dot{\eta}^{\nu}$ ＇＇Xovits，O．5． 16.

11 äkpov As $\pi \alpha \nu \delta o \xi$ द̆cas（prob． coined by Pindar，cf．$\pi a \gamma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma i a)$ is a superlative expression，${ }^{\circ}$ ．may mean＇first prize＇；cf．P．11． 55 （ảpєт $\alpha \nu$ ）äкроу $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ ，and Theokr． 12. 31 äк $\rho \alpha$ ф́́ $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．The meaning of the sentence is，＇The consumma－ tion（or＇first prize＇）of highest re－ nown＇－i．e．celebration in song－ ＇has its occasion in victory．＇For the sentiment cf．P． 1 fin．Toे ס⿳亠㐅⿸厂巳一丶⿱一土儿


 бтор $\delta \dot{\delta \delta \epsilon к т а \ell, ~ N . ~ 9 . ~ 46 . ~} \delta^{\prime}$＇For．＇
$\mu \epsilon \chi^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\omega \nu}$ Several 3ss．read $\mu \mathrm{e}$－ $\gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ ．

12 Moîo Tautometric with ü $\mu \nu \mathrm{os}, v .5$.
$13 \sigma \pi \epsilon i ̂ p e ́ v u v$ Corrected from ${ }^{\text {Ex }} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho \in \nu \hat{\nu} \nu, \nu \hat{v} \nu$＇＇$\gamma \in \epsilon \rho$＇，on a hint of the Schol．$\varepsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon$ тoivvv，í Mov̂ $\sigma$ ， каi $\sigma \pi \epsilon i ̂ \rho \epsilon ~ \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \sigma ́ \tau \eta \tau a ́ ~ \tau \omega v a ~ \tau \eta ̂ ~ \nu \eta ́-~$.



20
＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$ ．


 Є̇ $\lambda$ alầ xpvóéoıs

$\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \Sigma_{t \kappa \kappa} \lambda i q$, к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．In uncials $\Sigma \Gamma$ and $E \Gamma$ were not unlike．For phrase cf．O．11． 94 tiv $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\alpha} \cup \pi \eta \eta^{\prime} s$
 $\chi a ́ p \iota \nu$ ．The poet invokes himself or the chorns．The word $\tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha}$ apologises for the boldness of the phrase，as di $\gamma \lambda a t a y ~ h a s ~ n o t ~ e l s e-~$ where the meaning wanted，namely， ＇fame＇or＇song，＇though the ode is $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda a t a s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\alpha}$ in P．1．2，cf．Frag． 182 रopoi каi Моî́a каi＇A $\gamma \lambda$ aưa． There is an allusion to the $\phi u \lambda \lambda 0$－ Bo入la，cf．P．9． 123.

14 ＂$\delta \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \nu$ As a dowry on her union with Pluto．Perhaps there is a covert allusion to the temples of Dêmêter and her daughter，built by Gelo．The Schol．is needlessly exercised at the $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu 10 \nu$＇A $\rho \tau \epsilon \in \mu \bar{\delta} o s$ be－ ing in a possession of Persephonê＇s． and suggests that the two goddesses were identical，citing Kallim．Hecale


 This goes with the predicate，＇as bearing off the palm for fertility of soil＇（（lit．＇from（all）fruitful soil＇）． Cf．Bacchyl．3． 1 д́pıбтока́ртои ミıкє－入ias．

15 óp日ज́ซєty This sense＇raise to greatness，or splendor，or re－ nown＇（I．4．48，5．65）is a metaphor from raising up a pros－ trate person to an erect position or from raising up a conspicuous erection such as a rúpros（I． 4.
$44 \mathrm{f}$. ）or $\sigma \tau$ d́ ${ }^{2}$（ N .4 .81 ）．The кор－ vфai $\pi$ oh $(\omega \nu$ à $\phi \nu \epsilon a i$ ，＇cities unsur－ passed in wealth，＇are the $\sigma \tau \bar{\eta}$ \a which perpetuated the renown of Sicily．For корифal in this sense ＇prime，choicest specimens，＇cf．$v$ ． 34，0．1． 13 д $\rho \notin \pi \omega \nu$ корифàs à $\rho \epsilon \tau a ̂ \nu$ ămo $\pi a \sigma \hat{a} \nu$ ．It is equivalent to ä $\omega$ tos，＇choicest bloom．＇Here and v． 31 there is perhaps hypallage， cf．$O$ ．and P．p．xxiii．
$16 \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha$ Cf．P．12． 24 $\mu \nu a \sigma \tau \eta \rho^{\prime}$ à ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ．$x^{a \lambda \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \in ́ o s ~ T h e ~}$ epithet alludes to the fame of the Sicilian armour，cf．P．2． 2.

17 Oapà $\delta$ ท̀ kal＇Right often even．＇＇O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi \alpha^{\prime} \delta \omega \nu$ With special complimentary allusion to the vic－ tories of Gelo and Hiero b．c． 488. xpureors For this epithet，meaning only＇glittering，＇cf．O．8．1，10．13， P．10．40．Prof．Paley，however， on Martial 9．23．1，suggests that even in Pindar＇s times the cromn was actually of gold（ef．N．7．77－ 79），or that the leaves were gilderl．
$18 \mu \times \theta$ év $\tau \alpha$ Lit．＇brought into contact with．＇Cf．N．9．31，O．1．21

 infra，the use is not quite similar． Mr Fanshawe suggests that the lemma，coming so close to $\mu \nu a \sigma \tau \eta \hat{\rho} \alpha$ ， ＇wooer，＇may here mean＇wedded＇； so Holmes．L．and S．wrongly render $\mu \nu$ ．here and in P．12．24． ＇calling to mind，＇＇mindful of．＇ Dissen compares $\mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota \chi$ д́ $\rho \mu \eta$ э．

## є̈ $\sigma \tau a \nu \delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\pi$ ’ av̉入єíaıs $\theta$ v́paıs

but the idea is not the same．The aor．$=$＇call to mind，＇$\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho=$＇one who keeps in mind of．＇$\pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \bar{\omega} \nu$ ， $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．＇I have mounted upon a copious theme，having aimed at moderation with a statement of simple truth．＇The Aldine and Roman editions with two Scholia make кalpòv object of $\beta a \lambda \omega \omega^{\nu}$ ．It is generally taken as the object of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \nu$ ，a construction which lacks support．Pindar has briefly men－ tioned five points on which a poet might dilate，the divine patronage of Sicily，its fertility，the wealth of its cities，its achievements in war and in games．He has stated truths without exaggeration．But only to dismiss them and turn to his special theme，the praise of Chromios，\＆c．

In this difficult sentence the poet checks himself－the suggestion of the necessity for doing so being a compliment to Sicily，Syracuse and Hiero，the fact that he does so a compliment to Chromios．Thus ou $\psi \in u ́ \delta \epsilon \iota=$＇not with a false state－ ment．＇For dat．cf．O．11．［10．］ 72
 What he has said is a $\beta \dot{\epsilon}$ गos shot Mot $\sigma \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ánò $\tau u ́ \xi \omega \nu$（0．9．5）．Both $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \beta a \nu$ and $\epsilon \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$ are idiomatic aorists indicating the immediate past；the former refers to the re－ citation of the previous verses，the latter to the arrival of the chorus at the place of recitation．kaıpòv oú $\psi \in \cup ́ \delta \in \iota ~ \beta a \lambda \omega \nu$＇Having aimed at moderation with no false state－ ment．＇＇The song which was a team of mares，$v .7$ ，and a building， $v .8$ ，is again a team，behind which the poet mounts the car of Sicily＇s merits，and then in a flash is an unerring missile．Cf．Aesch．Suppl． $446 \gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ то $\xi \in \cup \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \eta े \tau \grave{\alpha}$ каlpıa，

N．6．27，28．Of course $\beta a \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}$ is lit． ＇having hit，＇which is obviously im－ plied in my translation．＇Having hit the mark of＇is clumsy．Note that the action of $\beta \alpha \lambda \omega \nu$ is prior to that of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \beta a \nu$ ．The poet is a shooter or hurler as a selecter of ideas for his ode，a charioteer as applying them to the occasion，expressing， and uttering them．There is there－ fore no confusion of metaphor． For the sense given to каlpò cf．P．
 38，P．10．4．Dr Postgate＇s inter－ pretation is substantially the same as the above，and he quotes N． 8 ． 37 for the emphatic application of the negative to a single word．

19 avi入eials The chorus with the poet were，it would seem，just outside the $\pi \rho o \theta^{\prime} v p o v$（cf．P． 3. 78，I．7．3）．Perhaps they were in the $\pi \rho o$ óvpov，for the evitet $\chi$＇ेs $\pi \rho o ́ \theta v \rho o v$ of O．6． 1 could hardly， have been＇a space before a door＇ or＇a porch＇（L．and S．，Smith＇s Dict．of Antiquities，Guhl and Koner）；but was probably walled on three sides and with pillars in the front like the $\pi$ póvaos of a templum in antis．Probably in such cases the aủ入єia $\theta \dot{u} p a$ opened imme－ diately into the peristyle without a Өupóv，＇a narrow passage＇or＇＇en－ trance chamber，which would ap－ pear in town houses when the sides of the $\pi \rho o ́ \theta v p o \nu$ were built up to form chambers．According to L． and S ．the household gods were in the $\pi$ póOupov，but Smith＇s Dict．of Ant．places them in the peristyle．

20 ка入д＇＇Noble achievements，＇ cf．O．10．18，13． 11 ह＇$\chi \omega$ ка入d́ $\tau \epsilon$ $\phi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha$, то́入да $\tau \epsilon \kappa . т . \lambda$ ．Batcebyl．
 $\xi a \mu \in \nu$ ．Bergk suggests the rare form


ऽ ои̉к àтєі́ратоь סópo七
［фє́рєıข
35

$\kappa \lambda$ éa，for which before a consonant there is no good authority，while any alteration is gratuitous．

21 ＇$v \theta a$＇In whose hall．＇ Though，as the victory was won at the summer Nemea，the feast may have been held outside．ápuóstov
 the Homeric סaıcòs є̇ढ̄ŋs．
$22 \alpha \lambda^{2} \lambda \lambda_{0} \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ Includes the poet，who was in Sicily this year， and perhaps was present．For Chromios＇hospitality cf．N．9． 2.
 גоүхє，к．т． ．．The following version $^{\text {．}}$ is suggested by the reading $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda$ o＇s of the best mss．，and supported by 0 ． 1． 53 áкє́p $\delta \in \iota a$ 入é $\lambda$ оү $\chi \in \nu$ Өauıvà ка－ kayópos，＇sore loss hath oft be－ fallen evil speakers＇；＇It hath be－ fallen the noble against cavillers， to bring water against smouldering fire（of envy），＇taking $\mu \epsilon \mu \phi \mu_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}$ os as dat．incom．to the whole phrase $\hat{v} \delta \omega \rho \kappa \alpha \pi \nu \hat{̣}$ фép $\rho \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i o \nu$ and $\phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，
 Obviously any infinitive phrase as subject can take the place of any abstract noun such as áкє́pócıa． Note the chiasmos $\mu \varepsilon \mu \phi о \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0$ ots $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma-$入oùs v̈ $\delta \omega \rho \kappa \alpha \pi \nu \hat{\varphi}$ ，which accounts for the order．The metaphor of water for streams of song is used，as here， in connexion with strangers N． 7.



 oủtos．Plutarch，Frag．23． 2 tòv
 was thinking more of other appli－ cations of the similitude than of this passage，for he goes on to


 $\sigma \iota \nu$ ．The connexion of this difficult passage is not impaired by making the statement general．＇The wor－ thy by noble conduct with poets who celebrate the same drown the voices of cavillers with song．Divers folk have divers arts．（This com－ prehends the idea that it is the poet＇s work to perpetuate a victory as much as it is the work of men of action to gain one．）One must walk uprightly and make the best use of natural powers in the fight of life．Strength，to wit，has its function in action，intellect in counsel，in the case of those who have an innate gift of foresight （which class includes the poet and also，as is at once stated，Chromios）．＇ As to sentiment $v v .24-33$ have much in common with I．1．40－51．

There are several inferior inter－ pretations．（A．）＇But he hath got good men and true against cavillers （dat．incommodi）so as to bring water against smoke，＇i．c．to use to drown the voice of envy；so Her－ mann，Don．（B．）Dissen also ap－ proves；but says，－＿＂Credas etiam sit jungi posse：$\lambda e$＇$\quad$ orरe，$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda o u ̀ s$
 consequutus est hoc，ut probi viri obtrectatoribus aquam obviam ferant fumo，quem movent．＂He objects however to an accusative and in－ finitive after $\lambda a y \chi a ́ v \in \iota v$ as unsup－ ported．（C．）Matthiae proposes
 кату⿳⺈⿴囗十一．（D．）Mommsen（after a Schol．）renders＂Innata vero est （sortito evenit）iis qui bonos vitupe－ rare solent ars fumum［gloriae］aqui ［reprehensionis］restinguendi．＂（E．） ＂＇Tis men＇s lot when carilling at


' $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.
1 $\pi \rho u ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ้ \rho \gamma \omega ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu o \varsigma, ~$



§ т $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \varsigma$.
[е゙ $\chi \in \iota \nu, 45$



the good to bring water to check smoke,' ie. to increase what they wish to diminish (vol Leutsch). (F.) Bergk would alter $\epsilon \in \tau i^{\cdot} \lambda$. to ai vt $\lambda \in \lambda^{\lambda} \circ \gamma \chi \in \nu$, only found, I believe, as an Attic law term.

25 diviov The prominent positon helps the application to $\mu \epsilon \mu$ фо $\mu \hat{y}$ os as well as to $\kappa \alpha \pi \nu \hat{\omega}$. See Untrod. for the echo ávitá- $v$. 68. téxval $\delta^{3}$ é. eq. For senitimont cf. O. 9. 104-107, 8. 12-14, N. 7. 54, Bacchyl. 10. 35-45. бтeíxovta For metaphor cf. 0.
 $\pi a \tau \in i v, ~ N . ~ 8.35 . ~ N o t e ~ e c h o ~ v . ~ 65 . ~$ $\mu a ́ p r a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ C f . ~ N . ~ 5 . ~ 47 ~ \dot{~} \sigma \lambda o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~$ $\mu a ́ p \nu a \tau a \iota \pi \epsilon \in \iota \pi a ̂ \sigma a \pi \pi^{\prime} \lambda \iota s$, фvâ For the superiority of natural over acquired attainments, cf. O. 2. 86,
 3. 40 f .
$26 \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon!$ 'Exercises its funcion,' cf. Frag. $108 \pi \rho a \sigma \sigma \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$. This does not contradict
 $\sigma \theta$ enos.

27 '̇ढбо́ $\mu \in \nu \circ \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. . In those whose birthright it is to foresee what shall be.?

29 न'́o, к. т. 入. 'In thy character are faculties for using both this endowment and that.' For $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i$ cf. P. 5. 111 dj $\mu \phi \grave{i}$ Boulaîs, in which
passage Arkesilas also is praised for ep ra as well as $\beta$ aural. Lit. 'on the various sides of '; the faculties are observed from the outside. $\delta^{\prime}$ For $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ after vocative cf. $O$. and $P$. index, N. 2. 14. For $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \tau \omega ิ \nu$ cf. O. 2. 53, I. 3. 51 ; here all the varieties are good.
31 Euripides seems to be thinking of these two lines, Ion, 639 ow

 ais èxtเv Conditum habere, cf.
 sentiment cf. I. 1. 67 f., Bacchyl.


 крй́ттєн бко́тн.

32 'But from what I have both to enjoy myself and to have the credit of being duly open-handed to (lit. ' thoroughly satisfying ') my friends. For the expectations of men, born to sore trouble as all are, are uncertain for all alike.' For the uncertainty ff. Bacchyl.
 where dкррт. = 'uncertain,' cf. O. 2.

 3.104 for sentiment, also Simonides,


 $\pi \rho о ф \rho o ́ v \omega s$
so

 aï $\lambda \lambda a \nu \pi a i ̂ s ~ \Delta i o ̀ s$ $\therefore 5$


## i $\dot{\omega}$ oủ $\lambda a \neq \omega ̀ \nu$ र $\chi \rho \sigma \sigma o ́ \theta \rho o \nu o \nu$


$\tau \lambda \bar{\eta} \theta \iota \chi \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ os and see L．and S． s．v．xapijoual for genitive．The gen．of the fund drawn upon for the action is a gen of source， origin．Cf．also Bacchyl．1． 27 ff ．


 $\dot{\epsilon}$ ．$\phi$ l $\lambda$ aus aút $\hat{\nu} \nu$－＇bestowing of them plentifully on my friends．＇But cf． Eur．Suppl． $574 \hat{\eta} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ oũv $\sigma^{\prime}$ モ́фu－ $\sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi$＇аркєì $\pi \alpha \tau \eta$＇$;$＇did thy father then beget thee to be a match for all men？＇kotval yàp＇épXovt＇Cf．
 O．1． 99,100 ．
$33 \pi 0 \lambda ข \pi o ́ v \omega v$ Cf Eur．Or． 976


 $\tau \alpha \dot{\theta} \mu \eta \tau<o s$ aicuv．The idea of $\pi o \lambda v$－ $\pi$ óv $\omega \nu$ reflects on $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta \epsilon$ and sug． gests the antiphrasis，cf．supra，
 myth cf．I．1．14．ávтє́Xoцаи＇I claim preëminence in devotion to，＇
 àvтєโरоขто，＇made seafaring more an object of rivalry，＇＇vied with each other more in attention to maritime pursuits．＇

34 Èv корифаîs For $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，＇in the sphere of，＇cf．my 0 ．and $P$ ． p．xxvi；for корифаis cf．supra，$v$. 15 and 0．13． 15 áкраиs ápetaîs． ȯ of úvev For the phrase cf．I． 3.

 $\pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \nu$＇ả $\lambda \lambda$＇ả $\nu \in \gamma \in \iota \rho о \mu \epsilon ́ v a, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~$
 and v． 37 w＇s $\tau$＇ov．Mommsen pro－ poses $\lambda$ órov｜тov̂ठ＇ö $\pi \alpha$（cf．for gen． P．7． 9, N．4．71，7． 21,32 and for öтq O．11．56）from Beck＇s $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ö $\pi \omega s$ and the $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ aútố of the Schol．Vet．Hermann ús ăpa or $v$ ． 37 oú rot，the latter approved by Don．I incline to Bückh＇s begin－ ning of $v, 35, \omega ̈ s \tau$＇or $\omega ̈ s ~ \tau \epsilon$ ，leaving the third particle doubtful，keeping ẅs $\tau$＇，v．37．The second，resump－ tive，$\dot{\omega}$ demands illustration．บ゙то Cf．O．6．43，quoted just below． aútika This must not be taken with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ as $=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon t \delta \dot{\eta}$ $\pi \rho \hat{T} \tau 0 \nu$ ，which is Dissen＇s expla－ nation．The adverb indicates the normal progress of the delivery as in O．6． 43 j$\lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \grave{̀} \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ $\chi^{\nu} \omega \nu$ í $\pi$＇ఉ̀ठivós $\tau$＇є̇patâs＇Iapos غ́s ф́áos avitiкa，which passage also illustrates $\theta a \eta \tau \alpha \dot{ } \nu$ és all $\gamma \lambda a \nu \mu b \lambda \in \nu$ ， ஸ̄סìva $\phi \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega \nu, \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu$ ย̈ $\pi$ o．Cf．


 infant Iamos too was visited by two snakes，but they came to feed him．

38 є́ $\gamma к а \tau є ́ \beta a$ Hardly＇stepped into＇with supernatural precocity， as Prof．Paley suggests；for the

## 3 ar $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ßaनt $\lambda \in ́ a$

 （io
5 тoì $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o i ̉ \chi \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma a ̂ \nu ~ \pi v \lambda a ̂ \nu$
［ $\gamma \nu a ́ \theta o v s$



＇$А \nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$ ．
I $\delta \iota \sigma \sigma a i ̂ \sigma \iota$ סoıoùs au ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$
45 ＝$\mu a ́ p \psi а \iota s ~ a ̀ \phi u ́ к т о \iota s ~ \chi є \rho \sigma i ̀ ~ є ́ a i ̂ s ~ o ̋ \phi \iota a s . ~$

effect of the subsequent miracle would be impaired by such a pre－ liminary display of power．The use recalls the passive sense often given to $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi о \theta \alpha \nu \in i \nu$ ．Ran－ der simply＇had been laid down in．＇

39 ßaбi入éa MSS．give $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \iota a$ （ $\beta a \sigma t \lambda \epsilon i a)$ ．For the form in the text cf．P．4．厄̃，where two fair ass．read $i \in p \notin a$ ．For the synizesis cf．O．6． 1 xpvot́as．The form in $-\epsilon a$ is illustrated by the So－ phoclean $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \eta$ ，better $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \eta$ ， given by Hêsychios．In the Lydo－ Eolic ode，O．14，we find $\beta$ aril

40 бтєрХ $\theta$ єîба Cf．Il．24． 248 $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \in \nu o l o \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu \tau o s$, Herod． 5.33 غ̇ $\pi \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \tau о \quad \tau \hat{\omega}$＇Aptoтarópŋ，Eur． Med． 1133 ar $\lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu{ }^{\prime} \mid \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \chi o v, ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o s . ~$ For the episode of the infant Hêrakles and the serpents cf． Theokr．24，where many details differ from those of Pindar＇s ac－ count：near the end of Plautus＇ Amphitruo is a third version．

41 Whether the doors were left open at night，or had been opened in the early morning，or were opened by the serpents－is left un－ certain．

42 Өa入á $\mu$ av $\mu \nu \chi$ òv єủpúv＇The spacious inner chamber＇；one of the chambers of the gynaekitis． тéкvoเซเข，к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．＇Greedily yearn－ ing to make their jaws play swiftly
about the babes，＇ie．in the act of licking over the victim before en－ gorging it．Cf．Hês．Scut．Here． 235 and Paley＇s note．＇EX $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ cannot mean＇enfold＇with jaws．

43 óp日óv Proleptic，cf．Y． 3. 53，96，Eur．Hipp． 1203 ठ́p月òv on
 it $\pi \pi \alpha$ ．Paley observes that this action is miraculous in a new－born infant．

44 Sıఠ̛â̂ot Sotoús Cf．N． 8. 48 dis $\delta \grave{\eta}$ סvoiv．aux $\epsilon v \omega v$ For gen． cf．Madv．§57a，Rem．

46 A bold phrase both in con－ struction and sense．＇As he kept throttling them，the time made the breath of life leave their dread frames．＇The causal use of $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \pi \nu \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ is strange and the word is not the most appropriate to death by strangulation．Of course $\alpha^{\gamma} \chi^{\boldsymbol{b}} \mu \in \nu 0$, ．．．$\chi \rho \delta \dot{\nu} \omega \ldots \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \pi \nu \epsilon v \sigma a \nu$ have been proposed．Possibly there is cor－ ruption．For $\psi v \chi \cdot \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \pi \nu$ ．cf．Simon． Frag．$\check{2} 2$［26］$\psi v \chi \grave{a} \nu ~ \grave{~} \pi о \pi \nu \epsilon_{0} \nu \tau a$ ， Tyrt．Frag． 10 ［6］ 24 о $\frac{1}{2} \nu$ àто－ $\pi \nu \in l o \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ．The duration of $\chi$ poo nos is relative．Experiments in the strangulation of large ophidians would be instructive but costly．I think that a grasp sustained long enough to make a tolerably large snake lie stiff would be miraculous as to time in a new－born infant，


 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \in \iota$.
50 ;каi үàp aủtá, $\pi о \sigma \sigma i \nu ~ a ̈ \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda o s ~ o ̉ \rho o v ́ \sigma a \iota \sigma ' ~ a \pi o ̀ ~$ $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \nu \hat{a} \varsigma$, ö $\mu \omega \varsigma$ й $\mu \nu \nu \in \nu$ v̈ $\beta \rho \iota \nu \kappa \nu \omega \delta a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$. is 'Е $\pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.
 à $\theta$ рóoı,
and it seems that the house was roused by the lashing of the creatures in death throes and possibly in reflex action after death. Schmidt's xpbuos is most unhappy, and the idea that the poet would associate Chromios with a death-rattle is untenable. The heaviness of Hêrakles' labours endured but for a while, хрóyov, but his rest for тòv ä $\pi a \nu \tau \alpha$ $\chi \rho \delta \nu 0 \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \bar{\varphi}, v .69-\mathrm{a}$ significant recurrence which has escaped Mezger and Bury.

48 ßénos 'A pang.' Cf.Il. 11.
 ȯ̧ù रuvaîкa, Aesch. Prom. 676. There is a slight balance of ms. authority in favor of $\bar{\delta}$ os, which Par. A has as a correction, but $\beta \epsilon$ 'dos could not have replaced the much easier ס́cos (which on the other hand would inevitably appear as an early marginal gloss). By amply defining $\beta \in \lambda$ os, the verb $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ $\pi \lambda \hat{a}_{\xi} \epsilon_{\text {effectively defends it against }}$ alteration such as Mr Bury's Hêsychian $\pi \dot{\epsilon}$ ' os, ' prodigy.' Moreover

49 Theokritos makes Hêrakles nine months old. Plautus agrees with Pindar as to the age. On a coin of Thebes (see Plate facing Title) the child does not seem to represent a new-born babe. Paley cites a fresco-painting of this subject from Herculaneum, Racc. di Ercolano, Pl. 11.

50 'Why, even she herself sprang from bed to her feet and unrobed as she was thought to repel the attack of the monsters. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ Mommsen regards $\pi$ oo $\sigma$ iv as a dativus termini. Cf, O. 13. 72 àvà $\delta^{\prime}$ $\stackrel{\pi}{\pi} \pi \alpha \lambda \tau^{\prime} \dot{\rho} \rho \theta \hat{\varphi} \pi$ ro $\delta i ;$ but they may be instrumental datives, though rendered 'to her (his) feet.' moo Bergk $\pi$ audiv. ämer入osWith nothing on except an under garment, $\chi$ ıг $\omega$ víkos, i.q. нovoxitcu, Philostratos, Eur. Hec. 933 入éx $\eta$ dè $\phi i \lambda \iota a$ Movó$\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda$ os $\lambda \iota \pi$ ои̃ $a$ appis ís кópa. ӧ $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ зss. $\dot{\partial} \mu \hat{\omega} s$. Text Stephanus. ápveєv Imperfect of intended or attempted action. üßpıv Either $=$ 'the attack,' cf. 1'. 1, 72; or else $\quad$.. $\kappa$. $=$ 'savage monsters.' Cf. rav̂pot úßpıaтal, Eur. Bacch. 743.

51 So best mss. The Triclinian Mss. and the Aldine and Roman
 Editors $\dot{\alpha} \theta \rho$. $\sigma \dot{v} v \hat{\partial} \pi \lambda \lambda$. $\check{\epsilon} \delta \rho$, or $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\partial} \rho$. $\sigma \dot{v} \quad \begin{gathered}0 \\ \pi\end{gathered} \mathrm{\lambda}$. $\dot{\theta} \theta \rho$. For the lengthening of oo before a vowel cf. P. 3. 6. $\sigma$ viv 'Not without'; forcible contrast to the unarmed mother and infant. So too $\dot{e} \nu \chi \in \rho l$ $\delta^{\prime}$ is almost 'and not empty-handed ' contrasted
 Don. renders 'shields' from Hês. Scut. Herc. 13 фєрєбनакє́as Kaô$\mu$ eious; but 'weapons' is more natural.


 $\pi a ́ \nu \theta^{\prime} \dot{o} \mu \omega \varsigma^{\circ}$


$\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.
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${ }_{3} \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu$ á $\tau \epsilon$ каì $\delta v ́ v a \mu \iota \nu$





＇A $\nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$.
 95

52 фáryavov Omitted in the best mss．The Triclinian mss．read乡i申оs éктıvía $\sigma \omega \nu$ against the metre．
 ten with keen throes of anguish．＇ The phrase refers to $\beta \epsilon$＇ os above． II．19． 125 тòv $\delta^{\prime}$ ăXos dॄ̧̧ù катà фрêva тúчe $\beta$ âeîal，Od．10． 247
 үа́р，к．т．入．Pausanias，10．22．5， cites this sentiment $\psi$ with approval． Cf．＇The heart knoweth its own bitterness，and a stranger doth not intermeddle with its joy．＇

54 a $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ Cf．O．and P．p．xxvi．
55 өá $\mu \varepsilon \iota$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．＂With min－ sled feelings of painful and glad wonderment．＇Thus Paley rightly explains $\mu \tau \chi \theta \epsilon i s$ ．Others＇affected by，＇cf．Soph．Ant． 1311 ס $\epsilon i \lambda a i \not a ~ \delta \grave{~}$
 $\delta є ~ \sigma и \gamma к є к р а \mu е ́ \nu \eta \nu$.

56 ékvónıov＇Extraordinary．＇ Not used，it seems，in the same sense as $\epsilon \kappa \nu о \mu o s$, ＇unlawful，＇＇in－ ordinate，＇as correlative of tyvouos
（cf．the adv．Aristoph．I＇lut．！isl， 992）．
$58 \pi \alpha \lambda\lceil\gamma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o v$ Apparently a word coined by Pindar＝＇gain－ said，＇ie．by the fact．For Datives commode，not after $\hat{\rho} \eta \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu$ ，and not the article，as the digamma of the personal pronoun is needed for the scansion．áQávator IFc．Zeus，by transmitting superhuman qualities to his son．Cf．Theokr．24．83， 84 $\gamma a \mu \beta \rho \partial_{s} \delta^{\prime}$ ad $\theta a \nu a ́ t \omega \nu$（＂Upas）кєк入ウ́－ $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha L$ ，oi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \bar{\omega} \rho \sigma \sigma \nu \mid \kappa \nu \omega ் \delta a \lambda a \phi \omega$ ．


60 yeitova According to Pau－ sanias 9．11，Amphitryon lived by the Gate of Electra，in the neigh－ bourhood of which was the oleo－ бкотє̂́ò of Tiresias（Pans．9，16）． $\Delta$ ios vi申iorou A special title of Zeus at Thebes（Taus．9．8．3） amongst other places．

61 óp日óp．Cf．Soph．Ant． 1178
 Oed．12． 506.

62 кта⿱㇒⿴囗夊心 The participle of the

3 каí тıva $\sigma \grave{\nu} \pi$ т $\lambda a \gamma i \varrho$

§ фâoé vlv $\delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ بóp＠，

ни́ $\chi$ а⿱
100
 $\pi \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ко́ $\mu$ а
gnomic aorist referring to sundry points of the time covered by the principal verb．Thus ö $\sigma \sigma$ ous $\kappa \tau_{0}=$ кai $\pi$ о入入oùs ктєעє $\hat{.}$ ．Cf．N．7． 3.

63 dïbpo8ikas For justice and the reverse in beasts cf．Archilochos， Frag． 88 ［6］＂$\Omega \quad Z \in \hat{v}, \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \quad Z \in \hat{v}$ ，
 $\epsilon \in \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \omega^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ópậs｜$\lambda \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha}$ каl $\theta \epsilon-$
 oiкŋ $\mu$ é $\lambda \epsilon \iota$ ．For this phrase cf．Od．
 ойтє $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \sigma \tau \alpha \mathrm{s}$ ．

64 тเva＇Many＇（cf．P．2． 51
 such as Busiris and Antaeos．For the junction of the definite article with the indefinite pronoun cf．Soph． Ocd．Col． 288 öта⿱ ס＇$^{\text {＇о ки́pıos ¡ } \pi a \rho \hat{\eta}}$ tis，Oed．Rex 107 toùs aútoévtas $\chi \in \iota \rho \mathfrak{i}$ тıumpeiv $\tau \iota \nu a ́ s . ~ S o ~ B o ̈ c k h, ~$ Don．Bergk reading v． $66 \mu$ of $\omega$ for srs．$\mu$ ópov．Similarly Dissen，only changing $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ to $\pi \circ \tau^{\prime}$ ，and Kayser， only changing $\tau o ̀ \nu \epsilon \chi \chi \theta$ ．to $\pi a \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \rho o$－ тátب．Hermann reads v． 66 фãó́v iv（acc．）．．．$\mu o ́ \rho \psi$ and above $\tau \hat{\omega} \dot{\epsilon} \chi \chi \rho \rho-$ тár, ，making $\tau \iota \nu a$ the subject mean－ ing Nessos．Keeping $\mu$ ópov，Momm－ sen would change $\delta \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ to $\gamma \in \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ， Ahrens to $\pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，Bury to $\pi \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ． Rauchenstein，Hermann and Bergk propose $\tau \iota \nu \iota . . . \sigma \tau \in \mathcal{I} \chi \circ \nu \tau \iota \tau \partial \nu \epsilon \in \chi \theta$ ． Bergk also suggests кai tiva бט่v $\pi \lambda a \gamma i \varphi$（adverbially）｜$\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \omega \bar{\nu} \pi o ́ \rho o \nu$ $\sigma \tau \ldots \mu o ́ p \neq$ after Hartung＇s кal tiva

 $\mu о ́ \rho \varphi . ~ \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon เ \nu$ цо́рш Cf．P．5． 56


 $\sigma v ̀ v ~ \pi \lambda a ү$ ．кóp．$\sigma \tau \in$ โ X．Cf．v． $2 \bar{\jmath}$ supuct，Phôkyl．Frut． 9 ä $\nu \delta \rho \in s$ ，oiv ко́ $\mu \omega$ бтєі́ходтєs．

67 Ф $\lambda$ ধ́ $y p a s$ Hieron and no doubt Chromios had defeated the Cartha－ giniaus off Phlegra near Cumae in the year before this victory at Nemea．The Phlegra where the gods fought the Giants was in Thrace．Cf．N．4． 27 note．

68 ávtıág．For the pres，cf． Goodwin，§ 689，p．274．Intr．＇to encounter＇N．10．20．The phrase àvтtáS．$\mu a ́ \chi \alpha \nu$（cognate acc．）natur－ ally takes a dative like $\mu$ d́ $\chi$ oua． Jebb notes that＂The Giganto－ machia adomed the pediment of the Megarian＇Treasury＇at Olym－ pia；next to Zeus，Poseidon and Ares，the chief figure was Heracles．＂ For $\mu a ́ \chi a \nu$ Dissen cites Soph．Trach．
 ＇Whizzing Hights，＇cf．Il．16． 361 ठ七 $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ poîjov．yaia Cf．Aesch．

 the paulo－post．fut．，＇they（the giants）will soon find their hair befouled．＇ко́ $\mu$ ау Cf．Il．21． 407


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ' } \mathrm{E} \pi \text {. } \delta \text { '. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\omega}$
$10:$



каї үа́رог
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69 Evertev．The prominent po－ sition gives emphasis both to what immediately precedes and to what follows．Xpóvov For the length－ ening cf．v． 51 ，supra．For the sen－ timent of this epode cf．N．9．44， 45. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma X \in \rho \hat{\omega}$ No Ms．gives $\epsilon \nu$ ，but $\sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\varphi}$ $(-\hat{\omega})$ ．The phrase however occurs N．11．39，I．5．22．Perhaps the Hêsychian $l \sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\omega}=\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta$ 解，should be read and $\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \sigma \chi \in \rho \dot{\omega}$ divided $\epsilon \pi-i \sigma \chi \in \rho \dot{\omega}$ ， as Hêsychios betrays no knowledge of this adverbial use of $\sigma \chi \in \rho o$ s．

70 motváv＇Recompense．＇Cf． P．1． $59 \kappa \epsilon \lambda a \delta \eta ̄ \sigma a \iota \pi$ ．$\tau \epsilon \theta \rho i \pi \pi \omega \nu$ ， P．2． $17 \chi$ ápts $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu \pi o i v t \mu o s ~ d \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i$
 ing．＇As the opening verses are obviously recalled，the reminiscence of $\theta \dot{a} \lambda$ os may have suggested the memory of $\theta a \lambda \in \rho \grave{\eta \nu} \ldots \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} о \iota \tau \iota \nu$ Il． 3． 53 ，or the closer＂ $\mathrm{H} \rho \eta \nu$ 0．$\pi \circ \iota \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \tau$＇
 921，cf． $946,999$.

71 үá $\mu$ av｜ठalбavt́a Cf，Il． 19.

$\delta \delta \dot{\nu} \in \sigma \sigma \iota \nu, \quad O d$ ．4． 3 тò $\nu \delta^{\prime} \in \hat{\nu} \rho o \nu$

 окккш．For theme cf．I．3．76－78．
$72 \Delta$ it So mss．always，though the word is a long monosyllable． Cf．I．7．35．aivŋ́テєเv An echo of aivov vo．My reading $\lambda$ é $\chi o s$ is supported by all $\eta \sigma \in \nu$ रá $\mu о \nu$ P．3． 13.入éXos uss．give $\gamma a ́ \mu o \nu$ and $\delta 6 \mu o \nu$. The former is imported from the line above，the latter is an attempt at correction，as is also the $\nu \delta \mu o \nu$ ， $\nu 0 \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ of the Schol．It is hard to believe that Pindar would terminate the last two lines of an ode with －$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ．I therefore regard the last word as entirely lost，and suggest $\lambda$ ex os as giving letter sense than Bergk＇s Flo，$\theta \rho \delta \delta \nu \nu$ ，or $\tau \epsilon \theta \mu \delta \nu$ ， Heyne＇s étos，Mommsen＇s vo $\mu \circ \nu$ ， Bury＇s $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu o{ }^{\nu} \nu$ ．Observe that the example of rest after labour at the end of the ode is foreshadowed by the opening phrases $\ddot{a} \mu \pi \nu \in v \mu a \ldots$ ó́ $\mu \nu \iota \nu$.

## NEMEA II.

## ON THE VICTORY OF TLMODEMOS OF ATHENS IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Tmodemos, som of Timonoös, of the deme of Acharnac, but of the Timodêmidae, a clan of Salamis, where he was horn or brought up (ac. 13-15), won this victory probably about Ol. 75, B.C. 480-4 47. The ode was apparently sung at Athens (v. 24). It is a processional (monostrophic) ode. The word e'gápxєтє in the last line is thought


It is impossible to draw any sound inference about the place of composition. Bückh fancies that it was composed at Nemea after the battle of Plataea with Fragment 53 [45]. Perhaps the opening allusion to the Homêridae was due to Salamis being one of the aspirants to the honor of being Homer's birthplace.

The first strophe forms the proeme and the rest of the ode falls. into two equal divisions.

This ode throws a light on such recurrences or echoes as have t. do with the main theme of an ode. The two Glyeonies which constitute the middle and end of the fourth verse contain cither $\begin{array}{r}\text { uka- }\end{array}$

 ferred that the music and dance were especially impressive at this part of the strophe, and conversely we may conjecture that in any ode the recurrence of mominent ideas in metrically parallel positions is gencrally owing to those positions being musically and orchestrically impressive.

Note the tautometric recurrences $\dot{\epsilon} \xi-\tau .18, \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau .3, \mu \dot{\epsilon} v v v, 19,9, \phi \omega$ $v v .25,20$. The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode


The mode is Lydo-Æolian.
The metre is loganedic. The recurrent phrases are (A) tetrapodies (Glyconics) with syncope of the first foot, and $(B)$ tripodies (second Pherecratics). The second and last verses are first Glyconics.


If the two long syllables at the end of the thind foot be each reckoned as a trochee, the first three verses come equal in number of feet to the last two. If the second portion of the third verse be taken as mesolic and the last verse as epodic, we get a symmetrical period, or we can count $4|4| 7|7.4| 4,014|5| 6|5.6| 4$. My analysis disregards symmetry.

## ANALYSIS.

$i c$.
1-5. As the Homeridae hegin by invoking Zeus, so Timodêmos begins his career of victory in Zens' grove at Nemea.
(6-10. He ought still, since his Fate has led him str:ught along the path his fathers trod and caused him to do honor to Athens (by winning at Nemea), to win often at the Isthmus and Delphi.
10-12. When the Pleiades are seen, Orion is to be expected.
13-15. Salanis can rear fighting men such is the Trojan warrior Aias and the pancratiast Timodêmos.
16, 17. The Acharnians were famous of old.
17-24. Emmoration of victories of the Timodemidate in the Pythian, Isthmian, Nemean aml the (Athenian) Olympian games.
24, 25. The citizens are bidden to celehrate Tinodemos' return as victor from Nemea.

பтр．$a$.

$\mathrm{t}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{O} \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ каì＇O$\mu \eta \rho i \delta a \iota$


 Nє $\epsilon$ єaiov

1 ỏфєí入є $\delta^{\prime}$ धैт८，тaтpíav


1－5 There is only one slight irregularity of construction in this strophe，namely that instead of тó $\theta \epsilon \nu$ or $\epsilon \kappa \Delta$ lòs after каi $(v .3)$ we find Nemealov｜̇̀v $\pi$ ．$\Delta$ ．ă．vv，4，5．

1， 3 каi．．．．kal For каi or каi．．． кai emphasising a parallel see Jebb on Soph．Oed．Col． 53 ö $\sigma^{\prime}$ oisa кả $\gamma \omega$ ， who quotes Xenoph．Symp．2． 25 סокє $\hat{\imath}^{\mu} \hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau 0 九 \mu O L$ кal $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma v \mu \pi \delta \sigma \iota a$ тaủ $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ä $\pi \epsilon \rho$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \phi \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$ ．See also Dem．Meid．

 тои̂то каĭ aủтòs è $\pi$ оín $\sigma \alpha$ ．

1 ＂OpךpiSar A clan or school of rhapsodists from Chios．The Schol． on this line tells us that Kynaethos of Chios introduced many verses into the Homeric poems and found－ ed a distinguished school of rhap－ sodists．

2 part $\hat{\omega} v$＇Continuous，＇hence ＇epic．＇The opening to which Pin－ dar refers is probably preserved by Theokritos，17． 1 є́к $\Delta t o ̀ s ~ a ́ \rho \chi \dot{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ ， and by Arâtos，Phaen．1．Cf．Virg． Ecl．3． 60 ab Iove principiam．It is as old as Alkman，cf．Frag． 2 ［31］
 A Schol．quotes from Hêsiod èv




$3 \Delta$ iòs є́k $\pi \rho$ ．Cf．N．5．25̃． ＇With an exordium about Zeus．＇ The phrase is in apposition with ${ }_{0} 0 \theta \varepsilon \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho$ ．Zeus is the subject mat－ ter of the proëme，so that the gen． is of material，not of connexion as with verbs of saying and hearing，
 $\phi$ n＇s；The ode also ends with Zeus．

4 катаßо入áv Cf．Kallimı， quoted by Schol．，＇A $\rho \sigma \iota \nu o ́ \eta s, \bar{\omega} \xi \in \hat{\imath} \nu \varepsilon$ ， $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu о \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda о \mu$＇$\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ．For the metaphor from laying a foundation cf．note on N．1．8．vtкaф．I did not mean＂his career of victory＂ for a translation（1st ed．p．16）． Render＂hath first won an opening strain of the rewards of victory，＂ i．e．of epinician odes inter alia．The abstract equals a concrete plural． Cf．Eur．Herc．Fur． $663 \dot{a} \delta \nu \bar{\sigma} \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \in \iota a$ $=0$ i $\delta v^{\sigma} \gamma \in \nu \in i ̂ s$ ．In O．13． 14 （in spite of the accent）and I．1．2\％ $\nu \iota к a \phi$ ópos $=$＇brought by victory．＇ SéSektal At Nemea he became the winner of and is the wimner of at Athens or elsewhere．Cf．O．2．49， 6． 27, P． $1.80,100$, I． 5.4.

5 a̋̉ The grove was of cypresses．

6 ó $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\epsilon}\left(\lambda_{\epsilon \iota}\right.$ Impersonal，but there is a $v . l$ ．ó $\phi \in i \lambda \in \iota ~ \delta e ́ t c$ ．

7 For metaphor cf．P．10． 12. Note that $\nu t \nu$ is acc．after ev $\theta v \pi o \mu \pi \dot{\prime}$ as well as after $\delta \in \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon$ ．

＋$\theta a \mu a ̀ ~ \mu є ̀ \nu ~ ’ I \sigma \theta \mu \iota a ́ \delta \omega \nu ~ \delta р є ́ \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mid к а ́ \lambda \lambda ı \sigma \tau о \nu ~ a ̈ \omega \tau о \nu, ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~$ ПиӨíoıбí $\tau \in \nu \iota \kappa \hat{a} \nu$

15

a ỏ $\rho \epsilon \iota \hat{a} \nu$ र $\gamma \epsilon \Pi_{\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a ́ \delta \omega \nu}$
${ }^{\text {b }} \mu \eta$＇$\tau \eta \lambda o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$＇$\Omega a \rho i ́ \omega \nu a \quad \nu \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．
 20



8 aićv＇Fate＇in the sense of the destiny of an individual life． Cf．I．3．18，Soph．Aj．645，Trach． 34．Observe that ко́ $\mu$ ог＇A Aávaıs glances at the meaning of $T(\mu \dot{\delta} \delta \eta \mu$ os Tı $\mu$ ovoov $\pi$ ais．Cf．N． 3.83.

9 Spéter才al Cf．O．1． 13. ăwтov Cf．O．2．7，5．1．＇The fairest bloom of victories＇is epi－ nician song．Ėv Note the Pin－ daric variation of construction． $\tau \epsilon$ For $\mu \hat{\ell} \nu-\tau \epsilon$ of．O．4．15，P． 2. 31，N．7． 86.

10 8＇＇For．＇Timodêmos＇aute－ cedents make the anticipation of his future victories as reasonable as the expectation of seeing Orion when the Pleiades are in sight．．Cf．Paley＇s note Hes．W．and D．619．Catullus， 66．94，uses the form Oarion．

11 ópecâr So called because daughters of Atlas．So Simonidês quoted by a Schol．，Macáóos ov́petias $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \iota к о \beta \lambda \epsilon \phi \dot{\rho} \rho о v$ ，of Maia，one of the daughters．Cf．Frag．52．The suc－ cession of the constellations is a very natural example of sure suc－ cession，and no constellations would be more likely to be mentioned than those which marked the be－ ginning of the ploughing season， and again the end of the sailing season．The word ópetâv con－ verts a bare astronomical truism into mythical poetry instinct with
human interest． $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in$ Emphasises the phrase $\dot{\rho}$ ．Пe $\lambda$ ．Of all the stars which the huge Orion pursues，he especially pursues the Pleiades．

12 veĩoal Some good uss．give the error $-\nu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \in \hat{i} \sigma \theta a u$ ．Bergk adopts this misreading under the strange delusion that d̀veio $\theta a \iota$ stands for à $\nu \mathrm{L} \nu \mathrm{ei} \sigma \theta a \iota$.

13 kal $\mu$ áv Introduces a second reason for anticipating that Timo－ dêmos would win further victories． Cf．Soph．Aj． 539 каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda$ as $\gamma \epsilon$ тробтó入oıs фи入á $\sigma \epsilon \tau \tau a$.

14 Svvaтós Fem．，cf．O．9．26， P．4．209，9．92，N．5．20，I． 3.53. äкovaध The Schol．cites $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ ทिs dioveєs，Il．11．532；cf．O．3． 24
 the mercy of＇［Prof．Colvin］．After all $\nless x$ кovatv is simply＇heard，＇with a reminiscence of $I l .16$ ．361，where Hektor attacked by Ajax $\sigma \kappa \in \in \pi \tau \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$

 $\nu i \kappa \eta \nu$ and perhaps of a sculpture or painting of the scene．For the cult of Ajax see Jebb Soph．Aj．p．xxx． For the opposition of Aias to Hek－ tor cf． $11.8,14.402,15$ ．end， 16. 114，358．नѐ $\delta^{\prime}$＇，к．т．л．＇While thee，＇Timodêmos，doth power of en－ durance in the pankration exalt．＇ For the position of $\delta^{\prime}$ answering to $\mu \in \nu$ after a vocative ef．N． $7.85,86$

15 е таукратíov $\tau \lambda a ́ \theta \cup \mu о \varsigma ~ a ̉ e ́ \xi ६ є . ~$

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}
$$

1 'A $\chi a ́ p \nu a r ~ \delta e ́ ~ m a \lambda a i ́ \phi a t o l ' ~$


 ขі́кая є̇ко́цıधаข.

$\Sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\prime}$.

## 



$+\Delta i o ̀ s ~ a ̉ y \omega ̂ \nu \iota . ~ \tau o ́ \nu, ~ \grave{\omega} \pi о \lambda i ̂ \tau a \iota, ~ к \omega \mu a ́ \xi a \tau \epsilon ~ Т \iota \mu о \delta \eta ́ \mu \omega$

$255 \dot{a} \delta u \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \xi a ́ p \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \phi \omega \nu a ̂$.

 the poet means $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \kappa \dot{\alpha} \alpha \ddot{y} \xi \in \tau \alpha \iota$ cf.

16 талаіфатор So 35s. Cf. P. 11. 30. Böckh -фatol.

17 ö $\sigma \sigma \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ ả $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ á $\epsilon \theta$ गors 'In all that concerns games.' Cf. N. 11. $43 \tau \delta \delta^{\circ} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \Delta t 6$ s. Cf. Eur. El. 945 $\dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$ ès $\gamma v v a i ̂ k a s . ~ F o r ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i$ cf. N. 6. 14, 8. 42, P. 5. 111.
$18 \pi \rho 0 \lambda \hat{y}$ Yovtal 'Are named before all others.' Cp. I. 3. 25.
 єкко́р. 'Won,' cf. O. 13. 59, P. 4. 106, Soph. Oed. Col. 1411 ëralvos, öv коиі'ऽєтор and Jebb's note " $=$ ко$\mu i \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta 0 \nu . .$. cp. 6 ф'́ $\rho о \nu \tau a=\phi є \rho \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$
 itmous," also єن่peiv 0. 7. 89, P. 2. 64 and $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \in \omega=$ 'win' passim, Soph. Ded. R. 480.
 9. 5.

21 I.e. at the Isthmian games. Cf. I. 3. 11 ่̇̇ $\nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ 'I $\sigma \theta \mu \circ \hat{v}, 7$.
 ßácoass Kpoviov חé̀otos means at

Olympia. He is regarded as the hero Epônymos of the Peloponnese. For $\pi \tau u \chi a i s$ ef. the use of $\pi$ ohú$\pi$ тuхos.
$22{ }^{4} \mu \mathrm{\mu}$ 人日ev Cf. O. 1. 22.
23 a $\dot{1} \boldsymbol{1} \theta \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ' Too many to number' (lit. for numbering), cf. Soph.


 13. 113.
$24 \Delta$ tòs áy $\omega$ vı The Athenian Olympia, celebrated in the Spring, between the great Dionysia and the Bendideia. Note the emphatic po-
 v. 14 juvatós, v. 17 evávopes. tóv $\ldots \kappa \omega \mu \dot{\xi}$ दate $T \mu$. 'Him do ye celebrate in epinician song in honour of Tim.' Cf. for dative P. 9.89, I. 6. 20, 21 . $\sigma$ viv 'Under the inspiration of.'

25 ג $\delta \nu \mu$. к.т.ג. A variation of
 $\phi \omega \nu$ ă. A genitive or accusative of the utterance is usual with $\epsilon_{\xi}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\rho} \rho-$ $\chi \in \nu$.

## N EMEA III.

## ON THE VICTORY OF ARISTOKLEIDAS OF AEGINA IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Aristorleidas, son of Aristophanes, was probably himself is member of a college of theôri or state ambassadors to Delphi ( $v .70$ ). He won this victory many year's before the composition of the ode, as he seems to have been well advanced in age ( $v x .73-76$ ). The poet seems to apologise for his delay ( 2.80 ), hut not very profoundly, so that we need not suppose an interval of more than a year or two, if any, between the dates of the promise and the ode. From $v v .4,5$, it seems that the chorus was taught at Thebes. The ode was performed in the hall or temple of the college of theôri. The date is evidently prior to the Athenian conquest of Aegina Ol. 80. 3, B.c. 458. Leop. Schmidt fancifully connects the ode with P. 3, and assigns it to the same date. It was sung lyy a chorus of youths ( $v .5$ ).

The tone and phraseology of this ode is set by the names 'Apıбток入eiōas. son of 'Apıoroфávクs. It is inspired by the Muse Kleio and is full of superlative expressions and suggestions of brilliance. The ode is $\mu \in \lambda_{c}$ -


 doiôcuov $r$. 79, which victory-in-games loves and thirsts for ve. 6, 7, and (like évpooóva, N. 4. 1) is almost personified as ăpıotos iarpós, namely as




 emphasise the comparison between Aristokleidas and Achilles, and are
 vv. 70, 71.
 and $r v .41,42$ echo with two tautometric recurrences $v v .20,21, i, r$.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \beta \alpha \pi о \delta \hat{l} \ldots \dot{\alpha} \tau \in \lambda \in \hat{\imath} \ldots$

- ${ }^{*} \kappa \in ̇ \tau \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega$
ảßátav ä入 $\lambda$ beyond Hêrakles' té̉os.

Remarkable is the fourfold echo of yóvò té For ф'́pтatov v. 57 from үध́veı tє Moí $\sigma \alpha \nu$ фє́pet $r$. 28, which marks Achilles as the most famous of the race of Aeakos whether the poet intended it or not. The tautometric echo of ápєrais $r .32$ by áperás $v .7 \pm$ seems quite supertluous, and may be accidental, as aj¢ $\alpha \alpha$ - occurs fow times. Other tautometric echoes we
 'Ap-v. 50, av̉-vv. 79, 58, фóvov v. 44, דóvov v. 12, द̇v viv. 79, 16.

The end of the first of the three divisions of the ode is in the first line of the second strophe, and the middle division-devoted to Aeacid victors-ends with the cluse of the first verse of the fourth strophe; but the second strophe is devoted to the exploits of the single-handed Hêrakles hy land and sea, while the rest of the two middle systems celebrate the exploits of Pêlens, Telamon, and Achilles. Hêrakles, Pêleus and Achilles are examples of victors in single combat ( $v x .34,51$ ) like Aristokleidas. Bacchylides, 12.8 calls
 Hêrakles and the Aeacid heroes are represented as prototypes of Aristokleidas. That fame was won far away beyond sea by Hêrakles, Telamon and Achilles and by the hushand of movria Өétis implies that the fame of Aristokleidas will be spread far and wide. as is expressed N. 5. 2-6 in the case of Pytheas.

The compounds which seem to be coined for this ode are: $\dot{\alpha} \in \theta$.avisia.

 found elsewhere.

The mode is Æolian, or Lydo-Eolian (v. 79).
The metre is logacedic.

## Strophe.

$A^{1}, A^{2}$, tripodies (of the form of first and second Pherecratics). $B$ dipodies. $V v .1-4$ form an inverted period, $v v .5-8$ an inverted mesodic period.

The numbers are respectively 62.43 .34 .26 and 24.23. 232.42.

| $A^{2} \cdot A^{2} \cdot B$. | 1 | L\| |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.3. | 2 | $-\cup\|-v\|-u\|\cup u v\|\|-v\|-v \mid \cup v \gtrsim$ |
| $A^{2} .4$. | 3 |  |
| $-: B . A^{2} .3$. | 4 | $-\cup\|レ\|\|-v\| \sim u\|-u\|\|L\|-v \mid-\wedge]$ |
| > ${ }_{\text {B }}$. $4^{*}$. | $5>$ |  |
| B. $A^{2}$. | 6 | $ᄂ\|-\cup\|\|-v\| \sim u \mid \bar{\sim}>1]$ |
| B. $A^{1}$. $B$. |  | $-\cup\|-\cup\|\|\sim u\|-\cup\|L \\|-\cup\|-\wedge]$ |
|  | $8 \omega$ | $\sim u\|-v\|-u\|-u\|-v \mid->\rrbracket$ |

Epode.
Two inverted mesodic periods, $v v .1-3$ and $4,5$.
The numbers are respectively $6.3+3.6$ and 263.62 .
+*.B. $\quad 1 \quad \sim \cup|-\cup| \sim \cup|-\cup|-\cup \mid->]$
 $\llcorner|-\cup| レ \rrbracket$

 $-\cup|\varpi \backsim|-\wedge]$


Note that $4^{*} \cdot B=A^{2} \cdot A^{2}=6$ logaœdic feet.

[^1]
## ANALYSIS.

w.

1-5. The Muse is entreated to go to Aegina on the anniversary of a Nemean victory, where a chorus awaits her.
6-8. An ode is the lighest object of a victor's ambition.
9 -14. The Muse is entreated to inspire the poet to begin the hymn with Zeus of Nemea and to praise the country of the Myrmidons.
14-18. Whom the victorious endurance of Aristokleidas in the pankration at Nemea does not discredit.
19, 20. Aristophanes' son, having done justice to his fine form, has attained to the highest achievements.
$20-26$. One camot well pass the pillars which Hêrakles set up at the limit of his Western explorations.
26,27 . The poet is digressing.
28. His theme is the race of Aeakos.
29. It is the height of justice to praise the worthy.
30. But it is not good to jearn for distinctions for which one's inborn nature has not fitted one.
31. The victor need not do so, as he inherits worth.
31. The legend of Pêleus is appropriate to him.

32-39. Exploits of Pêleus.
40-42. Innate worth is best. Acquired capacities are fruitless.
43-64. The above doctrine is illustrated by Achilles' childhood, by the aged Cheiron, and by the manhood of Achilles.
65, 66. Invocation of Zeus.
67-70. This beseems Aristokleidas who has brought glory to Aegina and the college of Pythian theôri.
70-74. Trial proves a man's excellence in all stages of life.
74, 75. Four divisions of life bring four several virtues.
76. The victor partakes of all four.

76-80. Dedication of the ode.
80, 81. As the eagle swoops from afar upon its prey, so the poet can seize upon the theme of a long past victory.
82. But the flight of chattering crows has a lower range.

83, 84. By favour of Kleiô the victor has won glory from Nemea, Epidauros and Megara.

1 ${ }^{3} \Omega$ тóтvıa Moîбa，$\mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon \rho$ ¿́ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a, ~ \lambda i \sigma \sigma о \mu a \iota, ~$

3 їкєо $\Delta \omega \rho i ́ \delta a ~ \nu a ̂ \sigma o v ~ A i ́ q ı v a \nu ~ v ̋ \delta a \tau \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$

$55 \kappa \omega ́ \mu \omega \nu$ עєavía८，бє́धєข öта $\mu \alpha \iota ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$. $6 \delta \iota \psi \hat{\eta}$ ठè $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma o s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda o v, ~$ 10 7 ả́ $\theta \lambda о \nu \iota \kappa i ́ a ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau ’ ~ a ̉ o \iota \delta a ̀ \nu ~ \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂, ~$ \＆$\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ v \omega \nu$ ảpєтầ $\tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \omega \tau a ́ \tau a \nu$ ỏ $\pi a \delta o ́ \nu$.

$1 \mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon$ Apollo and the Muses were in a metaphysical sense parents of poets and poems．N．4．3．Asklê－ piades in his T T $\alpha \gamma \varphi \delta о u ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha$ is said to have made Orpheus the son of Apollo and Kalliope．
$2 \tau d v \pi 0 \lambda v \xi^{\epsilon} v a v$ For the fame of the Aeginêtans for fair dealing with strangers cf．O．8．21，N．4．12， 5．8．For the fem．form of the compound adjective of．N．5． 9 vav－ $\sigma \iota \kappa \lambda u ́ t a \nu$ ．N． 7.83 d́ $\mu \in ́ \rho \alpha$ ．$i \in \rho о \mu \eta \nu i \not a$ A holy day was so called because the period of its return was calcu－ lated by the moon．For special mention of the full moon of the Olympian festival cf．O．3．19，20， 11．73－75．The Nemean festival was probably not on the new moon， see note on N．4． 3 г vєounvia．
$3 \Delta \omega \rho$ ．A passing tribute to actual fact，before connecting a Dorian with the glories of the mythical Aeakidae．Perhaps the mention of the（Epidaurian）As－ klêpios，$v .54$ ，is an acknowledg－ ment that Dorians of Epidaurus colonised Aegina．

4 ＇A $\boldsymbol{\prime} \omega \pi i \omega$ Two streams called Asôpos are recorded，and possibly in Aegina there was a third，named after the mythical father of the eponymous nymphs Thêbê，Aegina，
and Nemea，O．6．84．We camot be sure that the poet wishes to represent himself as present in Aegina，as $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \epsilon \nu \hat{a} \sigma o \nu(v .68)$ is not conclusive on the point．Cf．O． 8. 25，P．9．91．тє́ктоvєs кш́цшข Here the chorus ；elsewhere poets．Cf． P．3． 113.
$6 \delta เ \psi ท$＇Divers achievements cause divers thirsts．＇The verb is suggested by $\mu \epsilon \lambda_{c}-v .4$ ，and leads up to $v v$ ．18，77－79．Lit．＇Another （kind of）achievement thirsts after something else．＇тpâyos Accord－ ing to analogy and usage this word is rather equivalent to $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota s$ than to $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$ ，and means＇great achievement，＇as here，or＇conduct of important affairs，＇as in Aesch． Sept．c．Th． 2.

7 áє日入o－See v． 83.
8 бтєфа́vตv ajpєtâv tє A hen－ diadys $=$＇of crowns for highest merit．＇$\delta \epsilon \xi เ \omega \tau$ ．ó $\pi a \delta$ ．＇Deftest attendant，＇ministering ăкоs ن́yเทрóv （ $v, 18$ ）．Here $\dot{\boldsymbol{j} \pi} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \delta$ ．is a substantive as in Frag． 72.

9 ＇No grudging measure there－ of do thou elicit from my store of skill．＇It is not easy to render the play on ȯтaסò in öтaらє in English． The verb should literally be ren－ dered＇do thou bid attend，＇as in
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IIl．24．461，N．9．30．ő $\pi a \zeta_{\epsilon}$＇Send her（doobâs）without stint．＇Cf．Il． 24． 153 тoîov $\gamma$ áp oi $\pi$ о $\mu \pi o ̀ \nu ~ o ̀ \pi a ́ \sigma \sigma o-~$
 $\pi а т \grave{\rho}$ ä $\mu \alpha$ то $\mu \pi \grave{\nu}$ öтаббє

10 ápxє Cf，Alkm，Frag． 1

 oévots áeĺdev．oúpavov̂ 3ss，give oúpav－$\hat{\omega}-\hat{\omega}-\omega \dot{\omega}$ ，but all give $\pi$ o $\lambda u \nu \epsilon-$ $\phi$＇̀ $\lambda$ ．According to a Schol．， Aristarchos and Ammônios took Uranos to be given as the father of the Muse，reading either three da－ tives or three genitives，but it is presumable that Pindar began with Zeus and followed Hêsiod．On this point Diodorus Siculus（4．7）gives satisfactorynegative evidence．Her－ mann takes oupav $\hat{\omega}$ as object to крєovть．Bergk alters needlessly to Oủpavoî a hypothetical form for Oúpavia．It is better to take крéovtı as a dat．commodi than as a pos－ sessive dative with $\theta$＇́ratep（so one Schol．）which in such a position has the full effect of＇thou，his daughter．＇Bergk objects that it cannot Sic rude dici and that äp $\overline{\text { a }}$ ${ }^{j} \mu \nu 0 \nu \Delta i i$ is not appropriate to the context．Surely it is appropriate to any Nemean（or Olympian）ode， even if nothing special be said about Zeus．Moreover cf．vv．65， （i6．

11 Sókıноv＇That stamps with approval．＇For causative use of adj．（ $=$＇approved＇）cf．O．1． 26. $\nu t v$ I．e．úuvov．óápots＇Choral voices，＇For the form cf．P．1． 98 коเข $\omega \nu$ iav｜$\mu \alpha \lambda \theta a \kappa \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi \alpha l \delta \omega \nu$ óápotб九．

12 коเvá $о \mu a t$ MSs．коเข $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu a \iota$.

The Schol．explains $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \omega \hat{\alpha}$ वै $\sigma \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \iota$ ， whence Bergk reads коiv＇d́єiбоцаи， but probably the Scholiast had the false reading коь $\omega \sigma$ áбо $\mu a \iota$ produced by the incorporation into the text of a correction．P．4． 115 supports our text．${ }^{\prime} \xi \in \iota$ Dissen takes Zeus to be the subject，Don．á $\gamma a \lambda \mu \alpha$ ， rendering＇It will be a pleasing toil to honour the land，where \＆c．，＇ which he supports by N．8． 16 татро̀s Mé $\gamma \alpha$ Nєєرєаîo ă $\gamma \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha \pi \alpha$－ $\tau \rho \delta s$ ，but there，as here，ára入 $\mu \alpha$ is concrete，＇an honor，＇＇an adorn－ ment．＇Here it might be said that $i \not \mu \nu o s$ is the subject，$\chi \dot{\omega} p a s$ ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu a$ being in apposition，and ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\xi} \epsilon \iota=$＇will involve．＇Cf．Soph．EL． 351 oủ таûта $\pi \rho$ о̀s какоїбь $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda(a \nu$ é $\chi \in \iota$ ；

13 The Myrmidons were sup－ posed to have migrated with Pêleus from Aegina to Phthiôtis．

14 ผ̂v $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$（фатоv áyopáv＇The ancient fame of whose assembly．＇ Don．says that dropó means meeting－ place here as in Od．8．109， 156 ： but in the latter verse $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ $\dot{v} \mu \in \tau \epsilon \in \rho \eta$ a $\gamma \circ \rho \hat{\eta} \ldots \hat{\eta} \mu a t$ ，it is better． to reuder＇assembly，＇＇meeting．＇ There is no reason why àopà should have been substituted for any spondaic word，say $\dot{a} \lambda \kappa \alpha ̀ \nu$ （Ranch．）．There are not enough cases of tribrachs at the end of a logaœedic verse to warrant an infer－ ence that $\sim \sim$ is impossible in such a position．Moreover ef．$O$ ． 11． $57 \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \delta \theta \iota \nu-(\sim>)$ beginning the verse（Bergk strangely $二$ ）．

15 ov́k è $\lambda$ ．For the meiosis see ov̉ катєोย́ $\gamma \chi \in \ell$ I．3．14．тєàv $\mid$ кат ${ }^{\prime}$ aioav For the usual rendering

## 

${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$.



 $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega$

35
 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.



'by thy favor,' two beneficio, which strains the interpretation both of $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ and of ain $\sigma \nu$, O. 9.28 is quoted, but see my note and that on P. 8. 68. $\Delta$ os ail $\sigma$, O. 9. 42, is 'by Zeus' assignment.' Cf. also Solon Frag. 4 [13] 'H $\mu \epsilon \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho a$ סè

 $\nu$ át $\omega \nu$. ai $\alpha$ means 'lot,' 'share,' 'measure,' or the theological phases of these ideas (often in the abstract) 'divine dispensation,' 'divine ordinance,' 'divine approval,', 'allotted career' (see N. 6. 13, 49, I. 1. 34, Frag. 108), and so altos as applied to omens means 'indicating divine approval' and in other uses 'being in due proportion,' 'divinely approved.' Now teà $k a \tau^{\prime}$ ain $a \nu$ simply gives us a theological aspect of $\kappa a$ ' a io $^{2} \alpha \nu$, 'duly,' 'according to measure,' with a particular divinity specified. No other explanation is scientific. Render 'as is acceptable in thy sight.' The Muse of glory is the aiovuvîtcs who orescribes and approves the conduct and achievements of aspirants to glory.
$16 \mu a \lambda a x \theta \epsilon$ is 'By yielding,' 'by proving soft,' the participle
signifying, as Don. says, the curse. Cf. N. 7. 56.

17 кацат $\omega \delta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ For sentiment cf. N. 8. 49, 50, I. 7. 1-3.

18 ßävte $\delta$ i $\varphi$ So best ass. Moschopulos from one or two ass. read 光 $\nu \gamma \epsilon \beta a \theta u \pi \epsilon \in \delta \varphi$. From $\pi \epsilon \delta \delta o \nu$ not $\pi \epsilon \delta \delta 0$. $\beta a \theta \dot{\prime} \pi \epsilon \delta \delta o s$ would be, as Paley renders, 'deep-soiled,' not 'with low-lying plain.' фє́pєь 'He won at Nemea and wears, dc.' (of. N. 5. 54, I. 6. 21), äко being an extension of the predicate. It is scarcely a historic present, which is rare in Pindar, but cf. O. 2. 23, P. 4. 163.

19 For sentiment cf. O. 8. 19,



21 Cf. O.3.43.
22 ท̄pws $\theta$ eos 'Hero and God.' Cf. Paus.2.10.1. The phrase distinguishes Herakles from $\epsilon \sigma \lambda \partial s v$. 29.

24 vitepóxos Dor. acc. plur. Cf, $v, 29$ infra, 0. 1.53. The conquest of sea-monsters by Hêrakles is probably a mythical dress given to the suppression of pirates by Hellênic mariners. mss. give $\dot{u} \pi \hat{\ell}$ -
 a vol. $\delta t \dot{\alpha} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \rho$. Bückh inserts $\epsilon^{\xi} \xi$-,

25

 45 6 аैкрал є́ $\mu$ òv тло́ov таранєíßєац； 7 Аіакê бє фащi үє́vєє тє Моîбav фє́рєєข．
 ＇А $\nu \tau$ ．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．



Hermannaĩ ${ }^{\prime}$ ．There is no support for ioí meaning＇by oneself＇op－ posed to＇with aid，＇so that the 3s． reading must be abandoned．The Greeks would hardly imagine even Hêrakles taking a long voyage by himself．$\tau \in \nu \alpha \gamma^{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ poás＇Channels of the shallow straits．＇Pliny（Nat． Hist．3．1）says of the Straits of Gibraltar，frequentes taeniae candi－ cantis vadi carinas tentant．Curtius rejects the connexion with $\tau \in \gamma \gamma \omega$ ， which is given by a Schol．，and would look rather to stagnum．
$25 \pi \pi^{\mu} \pi \tau \mu \mathrm{ov}$ vóvтov To be taken together as by Paley；＇Where he came to land at the bourne which sped him on his homeward way，＇ i．e．the reaching of which enabled him to start back speedily．For the genitive cf．Aesch．Choeph． $85 \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho \circ \pi \hat{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{s} \pi о \mu \pi$ оі．

26 үâv фpáסaббє＇Made the land known，＇i．e．explored the shores as he had the straits．Paley renders ＇defined the limits of the earth，＇

$27 \pi \alpha p a \mu$ ．Mss．－$\beta \eta$ ，＇Art thou diverting＇？Cf．Aesch．Choeph． 963 à $\mu \in \psi \in \tau \alpha$, ，＇will bring a change over，＇Eur．Med．1266，Aesch．Sept． c．Th． 58 тárєuनat，＇set as captains．＇ Eur．Heracleid． $664 \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau a t$, Helen． 381 录єХорєи́бато，Soph．Trach． 738 бтvүои́ $\mu \in \nu \quad \nu$, ＇causing hatred．＇In these cases the object（expressed or understood）of the middle verb would be the subject of the cor－ responding active verb．The poet
rather checks himself in an im－ aginary voyage to Gadeira，than breaks off the praises of Hêrakles． But it is appropriate and gracious to say that not even the celebration of a $\eta$ グp $\omega s$ $\theta \epsilon 6$ s must interfere with the due praise of $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda 0!$ ，＇worthy men．＇He goes on to imply that Aeakidae need not take any alien－ even Hêrakles－as an example of prowess．
28 Aiaḳ̂．．．үévet $\tau \epsilon$ Almost hendiadys，＇the race of Aeakos．＇ $\phi \alpha \mu i \quad$＇ I bid，＇cf．єїтє $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\sigma \grave{v} \phi$ ǵ̣s， Soph．Aj， 1108.
29 ．The flower of justice con－ curs with the maxim，＂praise the noble．＂For＂̇tetat cf．O．2． 22
 ко⿺́pals．For ä́wtos cf．O．1．15， 2. 7，N．2．9；for the infinitive cf．P． 1． $68,2.24$, N． 9.6 （where there is the same sentiment）．$\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$ Echoed $v .68$.
30 di入入．＇ $\mathrm{c} \rho$ ．，＇Yearnings after quests of aliens，＇such as those of Hêrakles．For $\phi^{\prime} \rho \in \iota \nu=\pi a ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ cf． Soph．Oed．R． 93 ф $\hat{\rho} \rho \omega$ ！ тò $\pi \in \in \nu \theta o s$,
 रivetal $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho l$ $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．This verse is
 For infinitive cf．0．7．25．The poet states in a negative form that
 is complimenting the victor，not， as Leop．Schmidt thinks，warning him against unwise ambition．

31 olko日ev，$\mu$ áteve＇Search at home＇for examples of lofty aspira－

356 каі торті́à Өє́тьข катє́ $\mu a \rho \psi є \nu$<br>60 

tions．The victor is addressed， being included in $\gamma \dot{e} v \in \iota v$ ．28．The word $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho i$（implying Aristokleidas） positively demonstrates this and precludes Bury＇s view that＇Pindar still addresses his soul．＇кóo $\mu$ ov ＇A decoration＇namely the crown of the victory at Nemea．

32 үариє́ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ Consequential infinitive（with indefinite subject implying the poet）after $\pi$ or i申opov，$^{\text {，}}$ ＇adapted for some sweet utterance＇
 8．ma入alaîol $\delta^{\prime}$＇$v$ dápetaîs Schol．

 lessly alters to $\pi \alpha \lambda a \iota a i \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\varepsilon} . \dot{\alpha}$ ．with the full stop moved on to the end of the line，comparing 0.13 .50 ， $51 \mu \hat{\tau} \tau i \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho^{\prime} \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \gamma \delta \nu \omega \nu$｜
 Render＇For among instances of ancient worth－King Pêleus de－ lights in having cut a matchless spear，\＆c．＇For $\epsilon \nu=$＇in the sphere of＇ct．O．and P．p．xxvi，N． 1. 34．Dr Postgate for the äma入ev．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \rho a \lambda \lambda o \nu$ proposes ó $\pi \epsilon \in \rho a \lambda \lambda o \nu$ for $\pi \epsilon \rho$ là $\lambda \frac{\nu}{}$（cf．$\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{a} \pi \tau \omega \nu$, P．
 int $\rho a \lambda \lambda$ дo is supported by Frag． 39.
 must admit some unique forms． Dissen＇s provectior aetate for $\epsilon \nu$ $\pi \alpha \lambda$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \rho$ ．is undoubtedly wrong． His reference to $\delta \epsilon \delta о \rho \kappa \epsilon \nu, N .9$. 41 （which clearly refers to the past yet is not an ordinary perfect）to explain the tense，does not apply to a present perfect like $\gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \alpha \theta \epsilon$ ．Pêleus is represented as still rejoicing in the renown of his spear cutting，
sung by rhapsôdists，ef．Il． 16. 140－144（repeated 19．387－391）． The Schol．quotes $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \in$ for $\pi \delta \dot{\rho} \varepsilon$ in the line $\Pi \eta \lambda c a \dot{\delta} \alpha a<\mu \lambda i \eta \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \pi a \tau \rho i$ $\phi i \lambda \omega \pi \delta \rho \epsilon \mathrm{X} \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu$ ．This passage partly explains $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi \in \in \rho \pi о \iota \sigma a \nu$ $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega ิ \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \rho l \sigma \iota \nu$ ，Frag．108．For Pêleus cf．N．4． 54.

34 Péleus overcame the host of the mortal Akastos son of Pelias （according to a Schol．Pherekydes related that he was assisted by Iâson and the Dioskuroi）and also the divine Thetis．Cf．N．4． 54. ös Tautometrically echoed $v .68$. кai A long syllable before＇$I \omega \lambda$ ко̀ $\nu$ to which Christ prefixes the di－ gamma without warrant．For hiatus ef．Mommsen，Adnot．Crit． on O．13．34，and O．and P．p．xxxi．
 ävยv orpartâs Dissen cites Il． 22. 39，Od．4．367，21． 364 for the pleonasm．The second instance is perhaps not to the point．

35 кате́ $\mu a \rho \psi є \downarrow$＇Seized and held，＇as in O．6． 14.

36 є่үкоиŋт¢ From the meaning of $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \circ \nu \epsilon \omega$ we gather that the adverb means＇by perseverance＇or＇by dint of activity．＇Thetis could change her shape like Prôteus．Cf． N．4．62－65．The Schol．quotes a Frag．of Soph．Troilus ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \eta \mu \in \nu$ ，$\dot{\omega} s$

 again from the Achillis Erastac ris $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \epsilon \mu \delta \chi \theta$ os oủk $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \iota ; \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu, \mid$
 Here and N．5． 4 ＇broad and strong＇；elsewhere＇widely potent＇ c．g．I．2．18．See note on O．12．2．

＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \beta^{\prime}$.

 ảкра̀ $\boldsymbol{\phi} \phi \rho \in \nu \omega ̂ \nu$.

40 c $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ठє́ $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon u ̉ \delta o \xi ̌ i a ~ \mu e ́ \gamma а ~ \beta p i ́ \theta є \iota . ~$ 70 a òs $\delta$ è $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \kappa \tau ' ~ e ́ \chi \epsilon \iota, ~ \psi \epsilon \phi \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \eta ̀ \rho ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о т ’ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a ~ \pi \nu \epsilon ́ \omega l ' ~$ oü тот’ àтрєкє́є


$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime} .
$$



37 Note the omission of any mention of Hêrakles in connexion with Telamon and Iolâos．Cf．N． 4．25．＇it $\pi \in \rho \sigma \epsilon ้$＇Overwhelmed，＇ ＇destroyed，＇cf．Eur．Herc．Fur．
 dj． 1198.

38 ＇And one while followed he him（Iolâos）in quest of the power of the Amazons with their brazen bows．＇For the hypallage see 0 ． and P．p．xxiii．${ }^{2} \lambda \kappa \alpha{ }^{2} \nu$ Cf．$a l \chi \mu a ̀ \nu$ ＇A $\mu \phi$ фтр́́wvos N．10．13，I．4．33，P． 11． 61 Ká $\sigma \tau o p o s ~ \beta i a v . ~$
 tion may be－as Epic．See O．and P．p．xxx as to participles in－als， －aıба．ह̈тavбєv Cf．I．7． 12 бєîщa
 vav．＇Quelled the temper of his heart．＇dккц́⿱亠乂，Mr Fanshawe ren－ ders＇temper，＇comparing $\sigma \tau о \mu b \omega$ ＇to temper，＇＇to give edge（ $\sigma \tau 6 \mu \alpha$ ） to．＇

40 єv̉̉ogla Cf．note on v． 30 supra．We use＇nobility＇for the qualities which ennoble．Don． renders＇valor，＇comparing Aesch． Pers． $28 \psi \cup \chi \hat{\eta} s \in \dot{\tau} \tau \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \circ \nu / \delta \delta \xi \xi \eta$ ，which means＇courageous resolve of soul．＇ ßpitel Cf，Soph．Aj． $129 \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ oै $\gamma к о \nu$



Verg．Aen．1． 151 pietate grauem． 41 Sı $\delta$ ákt＇For sentiment cf． O．9．100，2．86．廿ধфضワレós Cf．N． 8． 34, P．11． 30 ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \chi \chi \mu \eta \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \nu \epsilon \omega \nu$
 93 кєע $\nu \grave{\alpha}$ т $\pi \nu \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma a u s$, cf． 11.21 .386
 perhaps＇breathed＇in two direc－ tions，perhaps the use developed
 а̇трєкє́є＇Unflinching．＇

42 катé $\beta a$＇He entered the lists．＇Cf．P．11． 49 rv $\mu \nu \partial े \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ otádov катаßávтєs．The aorist is gnomic．Notwithstanding the echoes of $v v .20,21$ which might recall also катє $\beta a \iota v \in$ v． 25 ，кат $\hat{\beta} \beta a$ here cannot mean＇come to shore．＇ The man taught inuita Minerua enters the lists with an unsteady step that bewrays the ineffectual irresolute frame of mind with which hesips the draught of toil and danger for which he has no stomach． ápєтầ＇Kinds of distinction．＇For the vague sense cf．P．1．41．Gene－ rally diperal means either＇merits，＇ ＇virtues，＇or＇victories＇or＇noble

43 Tגे $\mu \hat{\epsilon} v$ The answering ò would regularly come with the general sense of $v v .59-63$ ，but the construction alters in the course of

## 


so





＇ $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$.



＋＇Iá

the long interval．For the pare－ chesis ef．$v .58-\epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, P． $3.68 \kappa \alpha$ $\kappa \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu, \mathrm{O}$ ，and P．p．xxiv．

44 ä $\theta v \rho \in \mathrm{Cf}$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \neq \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta v \rho \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu a \sigma \theta a t$ Bacchyl．18．57． $\mathrm{X} \in \rho \sigma \ell$ For the plur．cf．O．13． $95 \tau \grave{\alpha}$ то入入̀ $\beta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \alpha$ картúvєє $\chi \in \rho o i ̀ v$, and for throwing spears with either hand ef．Il．21． 162.

45 The boy had small weapons．
 àépors mss．d̀vย $\mu$ ototv．Moscho－
 $\lambda$ éovat．
 Prom． 660 даiцдог $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \phi i \lambda \alpha$, where the phrase means＇What he must do or say for his conduct to be pleasing to the deities．＇ Render＇he was wont to deal slaughter in fight on savage lions．＇

47 бю́цата Mommsen accepts the $c . l$ ．$\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota \ldots \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu a i v o \nu \tau \iota$ from two Scholl．；but Dissen points out that $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu a$ generally applies to the wounded and dying（cf．N．10．74）， and that the position of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$ would be unsatisfactory．Moreover mention of Achilles＇panting seems in bad taste．Rauchenstein＇s $\sigma \omega$－
$\mu а т а \ldots \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu a i \nu \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu i \xi \in \nu$ is due to his having misunderstood an old paraphrase of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu \nu \nu \tau \iota$.
 to $\tau^{\prime}$ ध $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu$ needlessly．

50 ＂Артєцเs A special patro－ ness of Cheiron，but considering the tone of the ode was Pindar thinking of the Theban Artemis Eukleia？

51 ävยv кvvติv，к．т．入．A parallel to $v .34 \mu$ bvos ävev $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau i \hat{\imath} s$ ．

52 גєүó $\mu \in \nu \circ v$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．＇Oft－told is this story of men of yore which I have to tell．＇For $\lambda \in \gamma$ ．cf．P． 5．101．Dissen and others take the gen．as one of origin with the par－ ticiple as in 0.8 .44 ，which I explain differently．
55 § $\delta \delta a \xi \epsilon$ Yet Asklêpios was not one ôs o̊tò́ck $\tau^{\prime}$ é $\chi \epsilon t v$ ．41．Pindar does not scruple to use $\Omega$ word in two different senses though the position of the later instance is likely to recall the earlier，and in a sense teaching is teaching whether the pupil has or has not the requi－ site natural capacity．Cf．O． 11. 20， 21 note．$\mu$ алако́хєьра Cf．P． 4． 271 Х $\rho \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \chi^{\frac{t}{\rho} \rho \alpha ~ \pi \rho \rho \sigma \beta a ́ \lambda-~}$



'Е $\pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.
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 коípavos oтiбш
 $\nu$ ónov yss. also give $\nu 0 \mu o ́ v$, and a Schol. interprets by $\delta \iota a \nu \epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \sigma \iota \nu$, 'apportionment.' Render vó $о \nu$ 'practice.'

56 'And presided at the wedding of Nêreus' bright-headed daughter and cherished for her her matchless offspring, developing all his character by fitting lore' (or 'improving his courage in all respects by fitting exercises ${ }^{\text {' }}$ ). For Cheiron's part in the marriage ef. I. 7. 41. The siss, are somervat in favor of ajخаóккалтоע. It has been suggested to me that ${ }^{2} \gamma \lambda a o^{-}$картоs (which Paley renders 'fair-
 72); but Hermann on картотро́фои, Eur. Ion 475 , says that kapтós is not used of children, but of seed, as
 wristed' could only apply to a braceleted wrist. For bracelets in connexion with Thetis cf. Il. 18. $393-405$, if Paley is right as to öppous meaning 'bracelets.' Bury (following Böckh) adopts a correction in D, namely á $\gamma \lambda \alpha o ́ к \rho \alpha \nu o \nu$, and needlessly assumes Thetis to be 'queen of well-heads.' But a $\gamma \lambda a 6 k p a \nu o \nu$ can mean 'with bright head' as is proved by Bacchyl. 5.
 то fiov. Bacchylides (17, 103 ff.$)$
fully explains the epithet 'brightheaded, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ for of the Nereids he says $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\partial} \quad \gamma \dot{a} \rho \quad \dot{a} \gamma \lambda a \mid \hat{\omega} v \quad \lambda a ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \quad \gamma v i \omega v$ $\sigma \epsilon \in \lambda a s \mid \tilde{\omega} \sigma[\tau \epsilon] \pi v p o ́ s, \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi l$ रaltaıs |
 This evidence turns the scale in favor of the text. Probably a $\gamma \lambda \alpha \delta^{-}$ $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi o \nu$ is a correction of the faulty


59 Cf. N. 7. 29.
60 סop(ктuтtov It is hard to say whether this refers to the $\delta 0 \hat{\pi} \pi$ os áк $\delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ in actual fight or to a clashing of spears accompanying the battle cry. I decidedly incline to the former explanation. ả ${ }^{2} \alpha{ }^{2} \alpha^{v}$
 Tup $\sigma \alpha \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \tau \delta s$, 'the warrior host,' I. 6. $10 \dot{\epsilon} \xi \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \lambda a ̂ s, ~ ' f r o m ~$ battle.' Compare the use of durâs, N. 9. 35.
$61 \Delta a p \delta a ́ v \omega \nu \tau \epsilon$ For $\tau \epsilon \ldots k a l \ldots$ $\tau \in$ see O, and P. p. xxvii. The Phrygians and Dardani were from the north of Asia Minor, the Lycians from the south. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \mu$. Xeipas Cf. Х̇en. Cyrop. 2. 1. 11 хєípas $\sigma v \mu \mu i-$

 may mean 'violence,' 'fight,' cf. Il. 21. 469, Soph. Phil. 1206. The Aethiopis ascribed to Arktinos seems to have been popular in Aegina. Cf. N. 8. 30.

62 '̇v \$paci $\pi a^{\prime} \xi \alpha, \theta^{\prime} \quad$ Cf. P. 8.
 $\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.

65
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6 каі̀ $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ à $\gamma \lambda a a i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \rho i ́ \mu \nu a \iota s$
70 〒 ПuӨiov Өєápıov，èv סè $\pi \epsilon i \rho a ~ \tau e ́ \lambda o s$


9 кард̀íq кóтò ève入áaŋ．These are strong variations on $\epsilon \nu \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \tau \iota$ $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\psi}$, cf．Theognis 1321 द̆ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu$ $\epsilon \nu \theta \in o \quad \theta \nu \mu \varphi \hat{\varphi}$ ．The use of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \pi a \xi \in \nu$ ， P．2．91，is not quite the same， ö $\pi \omega$ s Not in a final clause else－ where in Pindar＇s extant works， but we find $\dot{\omega} s \mu \eta$ final N．8．36， 37 and $\dot{\omega}$ s final 0．11．28，P． 4.7. $\sigma \phi(\sigma\llcorner$＇To their sorrow．＇Dat． incommodi to $\mu \grave{\eta}$ koípavos．．．$\mu$ ódot，
 $\mu \dot{\eta}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. being the direct object．

63 そ $\quad \alpha \mu \epsilon ท \eta^{\prime} s$＇Inspired．＇Cf．P． 4．10，9．38．Perhaps the kinship in prophetic faculty as well as in blood accounts for his being here called cousin of the seer Helenos rather than of any other son of Priamos．But Helenos was con－ nected with Aegina by the services which he rendered to the Æacid Neoptolemos，for whom cf．N． 7. 35－49．Tithônos was brother to Priamos．
64 ápapє＇Depends therefrom，＇ $=$ クัpt $\quad \tau \alpha$, ，i．e．from the Trojan war， and Memnon＇s slaughter especially which spread their bright fame as far as Aethiopia．Cf．N．6．47－55， I．4．39－45．фéyyos Cf．ф́áos v． 84.

65 Zєv̂ An exultant shout of invocation，the 乃od of $v .67$ ．See v．10．үáp The particle introduces
the reasons for the invocation．Cf． O．4．1．${ }^{〔}$ ßad $\epsilon \nu$ For metaphor cf． 0．2．83－85，1． 112.

66 Xápua＇Victory．＇Cf． 0. 11．22，P．8．64，perhaps 0．7． 44.

67 óv Tmesis，$\sigma v \mu \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ ． Mezger compares Aesch．Sept．c．Th． 13，Suppl． 458 for the adj．$\sigma v \mu \pi \rho \epsilon \pi$ ทेs with a copula．

68 ős Cf．v． 34 ．$\pi \rho 0 \sigma$ ย́－ $\theta_{\eta \kappa E}$＇Made the theme of．＇I regret having misled my readers by the strained translation＇wed－ ded to．＇入óүч Cf．v． 29.
 tive yearnings for victory．＇For the order cf．O．and P．p．xxv．For $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda$ ．cf．O．14． 6.
70 חuөlou Ocáprov A temple or hall in Aegina belonging to the college of Pythian $\theta$ ewpol or sacred ambassadors to Delphi．To this college the victor doubtless be－ longed．There were similar colleges of perpetual $\theta \in \omega$ pol at Mantinea， Thuk．5． 47 § 9，Troezen，Paus． 2. 31．9，Naupaktos，Thasos，and the four Pythii at Sparta．Müller， Dorier，2．18，Aeginetica，p． 134 f．
 is clearly shown perfection of those qualities in which one shall have proved himself pre－eminent．＇

71 Sıaфаใv．See Introduction．


#### Abstract

＇А $\nu \tau$ ．$\delta$＇．    1.10




72 трíтov．．．$\mu$ épos Accusative of general apposition；but here it comes under＇extent，range， sphere．＇Madv．§31c．

73 ＇єкабто⿱ Sc．$\mu$＇́pos，＇in short，in each stage such as our mortal race hath in life．＇So the Schol．

74 è $\lambda$ â Not merely＇brings，＇ but＇forms a series of．＇

75 ó Ovaròs aíw There is a balance of evidence in favor of $\theta \nu a \tau o ̀ s ~ a g a i n s t ~ \mu a \kappa p o ̀ s ~ w h i c h ~ w o u l d ~$ hardly need the article．Render ＇The sum of mortal life brings even four virtues，for it bids us （as a fourth virtue）exercise pru－ dence with regard to the present．＇ Cf．P．4． $280-286$ for the virtue characteristic of advanced age； while from P．2．63－65，we get courage as the virtue of early man－ hood，and $\beta$ ounai，i．e．єن̉ßov入ia，as that of $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ or $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota$ ． Cf．Frag．182．But looking back to the exploits of Achillês act．6，it is hard to extract the first virtue characteristic of boyhood so as to identify it with temperance，indeed I think that the four virtues are two species of $\theta \rho a ́ \sigma o s$ ，and two of $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \beta o u \lambda i a$, fearlessnessand endurance， boldness of design and prudence． Don．however thinks＂that Pindar is speaking with reference to the Pythagorean division of virtue into four species，and that he assigns one virtue to each of the four ages of human life（on the same princi－ ple as that which Shakspere has followed in his description of the seveu ages），namely，temperance
is the virtue of youth（Aristot．Eth． Nic．1．3），courage of early manhood （P．2．63），justice of maturer age， and prudence（фро⿱єiv тò таркєіцє－ $\nu 0 \nu$ ）of old age（P．2．65）．That he is speaking of the virtues proper to each age is clear from $v .71: \tilde{\omega}^{r}$
 ages are prominently illustrated by the Aeakidae in this ode．It is ob－ viously wrong to assume three ages only and to venture to assert that judgment is an excellence $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu$ $\nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ．Old age is not the common
 paratively rare，as is its character－ istic prudence or judgment，the fruit of long experience．Both the $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} p$ and the $\pi a \lambda a i \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ are bidden to adopt even（ $\kappa$ ai）a fourth virtue（of a fourth age is implied）and to be
 taєt $\epsilon \hat{\imath} \beta$ ßotâ（P．4．282）．If this fourth age is illustrated at all，it is by the $\beta a \theta \nu \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \mathrm{X} \in i \rho \omega \nu$ ．The fom horses of a team are usually driven all at once．If each age has its own excellence，and there is one excellence common to three ages， and $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \hat{a}$ means＇drive a team of，＇ we get only a pair of horses（or mares）with two changes of Judg－ ment＇s fellow；or else we start with Judgment and one other horse and take up the third and fourth during the drive．This seems hard on Judgment，and surely it is hard on the poet to saddle him with such a metaphor．The metaphor is from a swathe or a furrow or a row of plants or any progressive work along a line．

${ }^{6} \pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \gamma \mu \in ́ v o \nu \mu$ н́̀ $\lambda \iota \lambda \epsilon v \kappa \hat{\omega}$

135

＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \delta^{\prime}$ ．
 $1+0$
 тобiv．

76 тิ̂v I．e．$\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ， ＇Of these thou hast no lack．＇ Mezger reads ä $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma$ ．Aristokleidas was not necessarily approaching old age at the date of this ode any more than Dâmophilos，P． 4. $\phi$（los Nominative for vocative． Cf．P．1．92．For $\chi$ aipe ef．P．2．67， I．1． 32.
$77 \mu$ ต́ $\lambda_{\iota}$ Cf．I．4． 54 Ėv $\delta^{\prime}$ єंратєเv仑̂ $\mu \in ̂ \lambda \iota \tau \iota ~ к а i ~ t o l a i \delta \epsilon ~ т \iota \mu a i ~$

 The Schol．suggests that rá入a re－ presents the natural talent displayed in the ode，$\mu \epsilon \lambda_{c}$ the skilled labor． But Pindar would hardly apply the metaphor of honey so often to his rerse（e．g．Frag． $129 \mu \in \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma$ отєи́ктшу
 thought of its being a labored pro－ duct．The main idea is a sweet thought．The ingredients may be suggested by the крат̀̀ $\rho$ ข $\phi$ á入ıos， of the Muses，of．Lucr．1． 947 1Husaeo dulci melle，and of Pan，cf． Theok．5．58．Cf．Plat．Ion 534 A， of poets，$\omega$ ढ̈ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ai $\beta$ ák $\chi a \iota$ d́pútovtal
 Хо́ $\mu \in \nu \alpha$ ．Cf．Eur．Bacch． 708 öбаия
 milk and honey．（Perhaps the $\nu \epsilon ́ \kappa т \alpha \rho ~ \chi u \tau \delta \nu ~ o f ~ O .7 .7 ~ w a s ~ a ~ \lambda є u к \grave{̀ \nu}$ $\pi \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ，but see I．5．2，7．）Philo－ stratos，In Vitis Sophistt．p．511，ed． Olear．$\tau$ às $\delta$＇évpolas iôias te кai


 of song cf．O．6．91，I．5．2，7－9． With rá入a $\lambda \epsilon u \kappa \delta \quad$ cf．Frag．143， Lucr．1． 258 candens lacteus umor．

78 кเрv．к．т．$\lambda$ ．＇The froth of the stirring enfolds it．＇The in－ gredients are blended by brisk stir－ ring which produces such a froth as to surmount the brim and over－ flow．Cf．$\pi \hat{v} \rho$ ă $\mu \phi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu$ Il．16． 124. For the parenthesis cf．P．10． 45



79 то́ $\mu^{\prime}$ ảoí．Cf．I．4． 24 ．єُv ＇To the accompaniment of，＇cf．O． 7． 12.

80 aiftós The eagle and the daws（cf． $0.2 .87,88$ ）are found in a fragment attributed to Alkaeos （Bergk，Frag．＊27）ধ̈ттa乡ov ढ̈ $\sigma \tau$＇
 and in Bacchyl．5．16－23 $\beta a \theta \dot{\nu} v$

 ávaктоs ä $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ оs $\mid$ Z $\eta \nu$ òs є́pıбфарá $\gamma$ ои， $\mid$ $\theta a \rho \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{q} \pi i \sigma u \nu o s \mid i \sigma \chi u ́ \iota \cdot \pi \tau \alpha ́ \sigma-$
 Mr．A．Platt（Class．Rev．Feb．1898） wildly suggests that the metaphor applies to Hiero and not to Bacchy－ lides．

81 For the eagle seizing the lare ef．Il．22．308，and coins of Elis and Agrigentum．See Plate．
 its swoop from afar．＇Saфotvóv ＇Tawny．＇

с краүє́таו ठє̀ кодоьоı татєєขà ขє́ $\mu о \nu \tau а \iota$. a тív $\gamma \in \mu \in ́ \nu$ ，єủ $\theta$ póvou $K \lambda \in \iota o v ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \theta \epsilon \lambda o i ́ \sigma a s, ~ \grave{u} \in \theta \lambda o \phi o ́ p o v ~$ $\lambda \eta$ ŋ́цатоя є゙ขєкєン ${ }^{4} 5$
 фáos．
 low range of flight．＇For $\nu \in ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ $=$＇to have a range＇cf．Simonides， Frag． 5 ［12］（Plat．Protag． 339 c）
 $\nu \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota$, ＇even the saw of Pittakos goeth not far enough to suit me，＇ Hêrod．9． 7 ккаl тঠे $\mu \dot{v} \nu$ ả $\pi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$
 ＂E入入ŋขas．Cf．$\epsilon \pi \iota \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$＇encroach．＇ The poet means thatitis easy for him to give lively interest to a distant event in a case where the ode of an inferior poet would fall flat．
$83 \gamma \epsilon \mu \in ́ v$ I．e．$\gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，＇how－ ever．＇Cf．N． 10.33, P． $4.50,0.12$. 5．Kגěov̂s Perhaps chosen be－ cause of the victor＇s name．For omens in names cf．O．6．56，Aesch． Prom．85，N．2． 8.

84 áสó．For position cf．O． 7. 12，8．47，P．2．10，11，59，5．66， 8．99，N．9．22．For prep．with－$\theta \epsilon \nu$ cf．Hês．W．and D． 763 ék $\Delta t \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ ． סє́Sopкєv Cf．O．1．94，N．9．41， and for the perfect cf．O．1． 53. The phrase $\delta$ ह́סopk．фá．answers to ăpapє фє́ $\gamma \gamma 0$ ，v． 64 supra（Mezger）．

## NEMEA IV.

## ON THE VICTORY OF TIMASARCHOS OF AEGINA IN THE BOYS' WRESTLING MATCH.

## INTRODUCTION.

Timasarchos, son of Timokritos, a harper (i. 14), of the family or clan of the Theandridae, was victorious in the hoys' wrestling match at Thebes in the Herrakleia, at Athens in the Panathênaea and at Nemea. The last victory was won B.c. 461, Ol. 79. 3, or a little earlier. The ode was most likely processional, ass it is monostrophic.


The theme of the ode is the power of song to extend a victor's fame through time and space. The distant centres of Eacid sovereignty, Dodona, Phthia, Leuke, Cyprus, and even the shores of Acheron, are centres of fame for the $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \bar{\sigma} \sigma t$ í $\sigma o \delta a i, \omega \nu$ Timat. sarchos. From stanza 4 to stanza 9 , the central portion of the ode, is mythical, though from $c .30$ to $c .45$ there is a break which chiefly concerns the victor. The rest celebrates the trimmphs and sway of Eacid heroes.

There are some significant echoes: $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu-v v, 43,61$ (see note on
 See also $v .16$ note. There is one reinforced tautometric echo which seems significant, emphasising the friendship between Aepina and Theles,
 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \phi(\lambda \operatorname{los} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$. The rest are either superfluous or without poetic sig.



 -єv v. 77, єủ-v. 5.

The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode are
 ioooaipuv and $\pi a \gamma \kappa p a r i n s$ (also used three times in the newly found Bacchylides).

The monde is Lydo-Eolian. The metre is logatedic. Verses $1-4$ of the strophe consist of six 2 nd Pherecratics (A) evenly disposed ahout a second Glyconic ( $\left(i^{\prime 2}\right)$ in an antithetic mesordic perion? Verses 5--8 are apparently uneymmetrical, though two symmetrical periods on one antithetic mesondic epodic preriod can be assumed.


## ANALYSIS.

v.

1-s. Feasting and song are the liest recompense for severe struggles.
$9-13$. Dedication of the ode.
13-2. H. Harl Timokritos been alive he womld have plated the lyre on the occasions of his son's victories at Nemea, Athens and Thebes.

25-30. 'Telamon's exploits as Hêrakles' comriade.
30--32. Achievements entail suffering.
33-43. The poet checks himself and bids the victor strive boblly against calumnies.
44-68. Praise of Aeakidae.
69-72. The poet again checks himself.
73--92. Praise of the victor and his family.
93-96. Praise of his trainer Melêsias.

* Caesura except 'A $\pi \epsilon i \rho \mid \omega v .51$.


##  <br> z iatpós．ai סè бoфaì



5 亏 $\gamma v i ̂ a, ~ \tau o ́ \sigma \sigma o v ~ \epsilon u ̉ \lambda o \gamma i ́ a ~ \phi o ́ \rho \mu \iota \gamma \gamma \iota ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ o \rho o s . ~$


1 єủфpoov́va＇Good cheer，＇cf． P．1．12！！，I．3． 10. кєкрццє́v ＇When a painful struggle is de－ cited，＇cf．O．3．21，N．10． 23
 $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \theta \lambda o s s$ ；or＇when labors hare won a favorable verdict＇（or＇distinc－ timon＇），cf．I．4．11，N．7．7．Bac－ chyl．11． 4 ff．（of Nika）ধ̇v $\pi о \lambda \cup \chi \rho \cup ́-$ $\sigma \omega \delta^{\prime} \dot{\text { on }} \mu \pi \pi \varphi|\mathbf{Z \eta \nu i} \pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \hat{\nu} \nu a|$
 roîs dj $\rho \in \tau \hat{\alpha} s$ ．Don．explains the Schol．крiбıv $\lambda a \beta$ бит $\omega \nu$ каi $\sigma u \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma$－ $\theta \in \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，＂brought to a determina－ dion．＇Perhaps the name T＇ıо́крьтоs suggested the phrase．

2 larpós For the order cf． 0. and P．p．xxv．For the phrase cf． Asch．Chocph． 698 ขv̂v $\delta^{\prime}, \eta \geqslant \pi \epsilon \rho ~ ย ̇ \nu$
 $\grave{\eta} \nu, \pi a \rho o \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ єं $\gamma \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota$ ．$\quad$ on al There seems to be a double allusion， to skill in leecheraft and skill in poetry，in this instance．

3 Өúyarpes dorsal Cf．N．3． 1. Songs are elements of $\epsilon \dot{u} \phi \rho o \sigma \dot{v} v a$ ． $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \xi a v$ Not＇produce by spells＇ L ． and S．，but with the Schol．＇soothe．＇ $v t v$＇Him，＇the victor，implied in то́ข．кєкр．，cf．N．8．21－23．Don． Paley．Mommsen however［com－ paring－P．3． 63 каi ti fol（X $\kappa \ell \rho \omega \nu \iota) \mid$
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota \tau[\theta \epsilon \nu]$ and Merger explain $\nu \iota \nu=\epsilon \dot{u} \phi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{v} \nu \alpha \nu$ ，taking $\dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$ $=$＇when they set to work＇；but I prefer＇by their touch，＇cf．P．4． 271


$4 \gamma \in$ The force is－that sooth－
ing as water is，its soothing pro－ parties are proportionately small． However，Plutarch，de Tranqu．6， quotes thus，out $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \theta$ ．$\cup$ ．тобо́ $\nu \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \gamma_{\xi} \in \iota$

 The adjective is proleptic，＇bedew with soft relief，＇＇soften by moisten－ ing，＇＇steep limbs in softness＇ （Holmes）．ass．give teú $\chi \in \iota$ ，but Plutarch＇s more uncommon word and tense are more likely to be original．Add．read $\tau \in \in \gamma \varepsilon \epsilon$ ，but for the gnomic future cf．O．7． 3 ，where it is taken up by a gnomic aorist． O．8． $53 \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ̌ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o l s ~ l ̌ o \nu ~$

 317 oils $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \eta \grave{\eta} \rho \in \hat{I} \sigma \iota \mu \in \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oủpav仑̂
 ，$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \varepsilon$ ．Cf．ib． 309.

5 тó⿱宀丁口欠 Equiv，to ö́бov．L． and S．cite Kallim．Apoll．94．Cf． $\tau \delta \theta \epsilon v$ relative Asch．Peri． 100. ouváopos As єúфpootiva is áplotos
 Pindar can＇wed＇such ideas reward－ less of gender．But＇linked，＇＇asso－ crated＇will suffice．Cf．I．6． 19.

6 For sentiment of．P．3．114，



 $\epsilon ้ \rho \gamma o v$. Note that $\dot{p} \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ with $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \gamma \mu \alpha-$ $\tau \omega \nu$ is echoed by $\ddot{v} \mu \nu o s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \mid$ $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu v v .83,84$.
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7 кє For $\kappa \epsilon$ with optative in protasis, with pres. ind. in apodosis see Goods. M. and T. 506, P. 4. 264 and my notes. Of course $\epsilon \xi \in \epsilon_{i o t}$ has been altered to $\epsilon \xi \xi \in \lambda \eta$. 'Whatsoever a tongue would (as mine will) haply draw forth.' civ... túxa Cf. N. 6. 25.
 compares N. 3.9. Paley says the metaphor is from draiving arrows out of a quiver, but the epithet Bateias rather suggests choosing from a rich store. Don. quotes

 Bounєûoal, where the meaning may be a little different. See on $\beta a \theta \dot{u}-$ logos, P. 1. 66, O. 2. 54 ßäcîav
 $\tau \omega \nu$ тúxq may be equivalent to a protasis.

9 тó 'Wherefore,' cf. P. 5. 37, Il. 17. 404, Soph. Phil. 142. Paley renders $\tau \grave{\theta} \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ 'to offer this ribute'; Cookesley 'dedicate this prelade. For $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ cf. $v .81 . \quad \mu \circ$ eli $\eta$ For this phrase of. P. 1. 29 with the pronoun suppressed, P. 2.
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \epsilon a \nu \quad i \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$, with the pronoun in the accusative. $\tau \epsilon-$ val- $\tau \epsilon$ See 0 . and P. p. xxvii. For the mention of the locality of the games, the victor's achievement and the god of the games together,



$N \epsilon \mu$. $\tau \epsilon$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda$. is a hendiadys, 'wrestling at Nemea.'
$11 \pi \rho о к \omega ́ \mu$ nov Editors and translators seem agreed that the 'prolade' or proëme of the processional ode sung by the kômos is meant. I think that the whole ode is the $\pi \rho о к \dot{\omega} \mu \iota \quad$, the beginning of the revel, and that $\ddot{v}^{\mu} \mu \mathrm{\nu} v$ is a genitive of 'material,' cf. P. 4. $206 \lambda(\theta \omega \nu$
 $\xi a$ ito Is 'me' or 'the ode' the implied object? The latter most likely. The direct object of סéкода is absent also P. 4. 70.

12 Sika, к.т.入. 'A light that shines in view of all by reason of their justice in protecting aliens': metaphor from a beacon ( $\pi v \rho \sigma$ obs). For the justice of 玉ginetans cf. O. 8. 26.

13 ya uєvei Elsewhere in Pindar this adjective means 'quickened by inspiration,' applied to Mêdea, Cheiron, Dionysos (Frag. 133) and to

 it is causative (P. 4. 81), in a metaphysical sense, 'quickening,' 'inspring.'
 in' (Holmes). $\quad$ тoukinov Cf. 0.


##  25 

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} \gamma^{\prime}
$$


 flute N. 8. 15 ф $\bar{\rho} \rho \nu \bar{\prime}$ Avoià $\mu i \tau \rho a \nu$
 struction cf. Hês. Scut. Herc. 202 $i \mu \epsilon p 6 \in \nu \quad \kappa \iota \theta a ́ p \iota \xi \in$. The Schol. rightly takes $\pi о \iota \kappa$. кı $\theta$, with $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \pi \epsilon \tau о$, after which editors have put the comma, disregarding the position of $\kappa \epsilon$.
 0.1. 92 ' $\lambda \lambda \phi \epsilon о \hat{0} \pi \delta \rho \varphi \kappa \lambda \lambda \theta \in\{$. Here perhaps $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ is 'such,' cf. O. 4. 24, N. 9. 42, Aesch. Ag. $942 \nu$ ц $\kappa \eta \nu \tau \eta \eta^{\nu} \delta \bar{\epsilon}$. Render 'devoting himself (so Paley) to such a strain.' Mezger 'an dieses Lied sich anschliessend $=$ mein Lied mit der Kithara begleitend.' If the father of Timêsarchos was a 'lyric poet,' as Don. and Dissen say, Pindar would probablynot use language that would make him manifestly inferior to himself. But Mezger more cautiously calls the father only a musician, which is all that can be strictly inferred from the passage. Even so the $\theta a \mu \dot{\alpha}$ and the aorist suggest that $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ should not be limited to the present ode, especially as three victories are immediately mentioned.

16 Yóvov Some substantive denoting the victor is (as Bergk saw, reading viò ) needed to justify the change from the second person to the third $v v .21,23$, in spite of Dissen's 'transitu maxime Pindarico.' He compares N. 5. 43, 45, but that passage ( $q . v$. .) is irrelevant. The $v . l$. $\pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi$ аитos $v, 18$ is of inferior us. authority, and though supported by the Schol. yet is clearly taken wrongly, and is a very obvious grammarian's alteration, and at best gives a very harsh construction, so that we should decide in favor of $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu \tau a$ 'for having
brought,' and against $\ddot{u}^{\mu} \mu \nu \nu$. I formerly suggested $\pi$ aîd ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma^{-}$, thinking that a lacuna had been filled up with ${ }^{u} \mu \nu 0 \nu$. It is simpler to assume that a gap before - $-\mathrm{\nu}$ o was filled with $u \mu$-instead of $\gamma \delta$. No one with vid̀ before him would be likely to expand it into $\psi_{\mu \nu} \mu \nu$, and even if $\nu$ or $v$ uwere followed bya gap the lack of space would probably preclude the conjecture ${ }^{3} \mu \nu \nu \nu$. Between ró- and $\ddot{v} \mu$ - there is much less difference of space than between s and $\mu \nu$. There is a little more distinction in fóvov than in vióv, кє $\quad к a \lambda \lambda$. | $K \lambda \epsilon$. Curiously echoed by $v .80 \mathrm{~K} \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \imath ̂$ $\kappa \in \lambda \in \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota$ (and v. 86, of Kallikles, $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ є $\dot{\rho} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon \lambda \alpha \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \omega \nu)$.
17 K $\lambda \epsilon \omega v a i o v$ Cf. N. 10. 42. The citizens of $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \omega$ vai near Nemea managed the Nemean games for a long period, in which fell the dates of these two odes N. 4 and 10 , and going back at least a generation. Cf. Plut. Vit. Arat. c. 28. One Schol. on the Nemeans says that first the Kleônaeoi and then the Ccrinthians presided. $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime} \ldots \tau^{\prime}(v .19)$ Couple the $\alpha \pi^{\prime}$ clause to the ouve ${ }^{\prime}$ clause. őpuov $\sigma \tau \notin ф a ́ v \omega v$ The plur. of ot'́davos is used in reference to a single victory, P. 2. 6. 3. 73, 10. 26, I. 3. 11, N. 9. 53. The victors probably carried home crowns given

 oteфávous) as well as the prize chap. let. Hence the phrase ' $a$ string (festoon) of crowns' might refer to one victory, or as here to two. It is possible that ö $\rho \mu$ ov $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a^{\nu} \omega \nu$ may refer to the crowns of the chorus, cf. Eur. Herc. Fur. 677 $\mu \dot{\eta} \zeta \dot{\varphi} \varphi \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu o v \sigma l a s, \dot{\alpha} \in i \quad \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} v$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon ф$ ávotбレ є є $\eta \nu$.

2 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi а \nu \tau a ~ к а i ~ \lambda \iota \pi а р a ̂ \nu ~$







18 入ımapâv For the two ad－ jectives，one descriptive，the other complimentary，ef．P．9．55， 106. For $\lambda \iota t \pi \alpha \hat{\omega} \nu$ cf．Frag．54，I．2． 20.

20 Cf．Schol．on O．7． 154 （84）

 тоitous रa入кoûs．The Scholl．on 0.9 .148 tell us that the Hêrakleia （Iolaia）at Thebes were held by the common monument of Amphitryon and Iolâos，see also Pausanias 9.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi_{\rho o \iota \tau i \delta \omega \nu}$（N．E．）каi тò ＇Io入áov ка入ои́यєעоע $\gamma \boldsymbol{\mu \nu a ́ \sigma \iota o \nu ~ к а i ~}$
 ＇Io入áov．Pindar speaks of＇Io入áov rúmßos in connexion with these games，O．9．98．For the other Theban games held outside the Gate of Elektra（s．w．）cf．I．3． 79.

21 oủk áék．Pindar＇s favorite meiosis，cf．oủ катє $\lambda \in \not \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，оủk ät $\tau \rho$ and $v, 77$ ．$\mu(\gamma \nu v o v$ For this use of $\mu i \gamma \nu v \mu i$ cf．O．1．22．The $\phi v \lambda \lambda o \beta o \lambda i a$ is probably referred to． Cf．note on $v .17$ öр $\mu о \nu \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha{ }^{\nu} \omega \nu$ ． Böckh quotes Paus．6．7．1，Clem． Alex．Padag．2． 8.

22 Airlvas Thêbê and Aegina were sisters，daughters of Asôpos by Metôpê．Cf．O．6．84，I．7．15－ 17．The Thebans applied to Aegina for aid against Athens when told by the Delphic oracle（B．C．50t）$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ äүкıбта סє́єбӨal，Hêrod．5．79， 80. фi $\lambda_{0}$ ort．．．$\phi$（ ${ }^{2}$ os An adverbial phrase $=$＇on terms of mutual friendship．＇

The dative does not go with $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v$ but with $\phi$ inos．é $\lambda \theta \omega \nu$ goes with $a ̈ \sigma \tau v$, cf．P．4．52，I．2． 48.

23 گ́vtov＇Bound to welcome him，＇rather than＇strange，＇as Paley and Myers render．I take the Homeric sense＇hospes＇to be older： than the non－Homeric＇strange．＇ катє́Spapєv Old mss．give катє́ $\delta р а$ ．sєv which Mommsen reads，rendering $\kappa a \tau \epsilon ́ \delta \rho . \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta$ ．＇venit et conspexit，＇ adding＇$\pi \rho o o^{s}$ ex veniendo suspen－ sum est．＇The better sense and construction decide in favor of the text．The metaphor is from navi－ gation，＇$r$ um ashore，into port＇；so $\ddot{\epsilon} \delta \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$ of a ship，Theognis．Dis－ sen＇s катє́ $\delta р a \mu \epsilon \nu=\kappa а \tau \epsilon \delta \delta u$ is notright． Mezger renders＇ran down through the city＇：see next note．The metaphor applied to an Aeginetan is quite happy．If Thebes had been a port there would be no metaphor at all．In respect of hos－ pitality Thebes is another Aegina．
 thinks that the Hêrakleion outside the Gate of Elektra（Paus．9． 11. 2）is meant，where the Eginetan probably sacrificed before the games held at the opposite side of the city．Better Müller＇s view that＇the house of Amphitryon＇is intended， the lodgings of the competitors （ката入ú⿱㇒日ध $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ）being in the neighbourhood：comp，Böckh Corp．Inscr．Gr．1，pp． 573 fí．

5 ク̆p $\quad$ ás $\tau$ ’ є́ $\pi \epsilon \mu \beta \epsilon \beta a \hat{\omega} \tau a s ~ i \pi \pi o \delta$ á $\mu$ ous ë $\lambda \epsilon \nu$


25 Cf. N. 3. 37, Apollodôros 2. 6. 4, Il. 5. 638. $\sigma$ v่v ※ิ Note the dextrous transition to myth.

26 Mépotas The inhabitants of lios. Cf. I. 5. 31. Note the zeugma; but cf. N. 3. 37, Eur. H. F' 700.

27 Cf. O. and P. p. xxiv. 'A入кvovๆ A Giant slain by Hêrakles at Phlegra, the Isthmos of Pallênê probably, of. Schol. on N. 1. 67 (100), I. 5. 33 (47) (though Pindar may have placed the Gigantomachia in Campania); but according to the Schol. a giant whose kine Hêrakles was driving from Erytheia and who was killed at the Isthmos of Corinth. There seems to be a confusion with the legend of Gêryones by the Schol. Cf. Apollodôros 1.6.1,2.7.1. The statement that Telamon vanquished Alkyoneus may be in accordance with Æginetan legend, but the language need not be pressed. What Telamon did with Hêrakles may include what Hêrakles did himself. Still Telamon as oj $\pi \lambda$ it $\eta$ s may have given the coup de grâce after Hêrakles as $\psi$ inós had brought the giant down with his arrows. Cf. I. 5. 33.
$28 \quad \gamma \epsilon \pi \rho i v \quad$ Cf. $\pi \rho i \nu \gamma{ }^{\epsilon} \in$ oi... $\chi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu o ̀ \nu \mid \Pi a \lambda \lambda a ̀ s \not \eta \nu \in \gamma \kappa^{\prime}, 0.13 .65$. Elsewhere in Pindar $\pi \rho i v$ as a conjunction takes the infinitive. $\tau \epsilon$ tpaopias The Homeric war chariots were bigae or trigae except in the case of Hektor, Il. 8. 185, a suspected line, the Schol. Ven. denying that Homer ever men-
tions a quadriga. Amphiarâos has тєӨрlттоия Eur. Suppl. 925-927. The four-horse war chariots of post-Homeric Greek literature were perhaps borrowed from the Persians. Cf. Xen. Cyropaed. 6. 1. 27, 28. Euripides gives four-horse war chariots to Hyllos and Eurystheus, Heracl. 802, 860, to Thebans and Argives generally Suppl. 667, 675 , and mentions such chariots for travelling (in flight) Hel. 1039, Ion 1241.
$29 \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \beta \in \beta a \omega \tau \alpha$ This is a case of the strictly adjectival use of the participle, in which case the presence or absence of the article makes very little difference when the noun is definite. Cf. N. 7. 65.

30 Sis tórous The $\dot{\eta} \nu$ ioxos and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \_\beta \dot{\tau} \eta$ s of each of the triclve chariots. aंтєเроца́xas 'Manifestly without experience of battle is whoso understandeth not the saying: for "when achieving aught it is likely that one should suffer." For this saying ef. Aesch.
 $\gamma \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu \mu \hat{\theta} \theta \circ$ s $\tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \quad \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, where as Don. says the application is different, as the different tense of the participle shows. With the pres. the consequences of undertaking or beginning an action are considered, with the aorist the consequences of having done an action. Pindar has apparently adapted and extended the old formula which asserted that we must take the consequences of

7 入óyov ó $\mu \grave{\eta}$ бvvlєís. є̇ $\pi \epsilon \epsilon$
ऽ $\dot{\rho} \in ́ \zeta о \nu \tau a ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ к а i ~ \pi а \theta є i ̂ \nu ~ є ้ о \iota к є \nu . ~$




our conduct. Paley says 'Aristotle (Eth. Nic. 5. 8 ad init.) gives this

 خévolto.' Don. says 'Pindar refers to the trouble and loss sustained by Hercules and his followers before they could subdue the giant, hinting also that Timâsarchos had suffered a good deal before he won his wrestling match.' So also the Schol. who quotes from a tragedy
 $\lambda \in \tau \alpha$.

33 'The due arrangement (of my ode) and the time (occupied by the procession and so allowed for theperformance of the ode) pressing on prevent my telling at length the long tale.' Cf. I. 1. $60 \pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$

 $\mu$ е́т $\rho о \nu$ è $\chi \omega \nu \mid$ í $\mu \nu$ оs. т $\tau \theta \mu$ ós "The usual structure' (Mezger), the prescribed limits. Cf. I. 5. 20 т́́ $\theta \mu$ tón

 víass.

35 โัชy้ Cf. P. 4. 214. 'I feel my heart drawn on by a charm to touch on the festival of the new moon.' But luy $\xi$ may here mean 'a yearning,' as in Aesch. Pers. 987, Aristoph. Lysistr. 1110. "ौконаи

 Schol. tells us that Iynx was daughter of Echo or Peitho, who having charmed Zeus into his passion for Io was changed into a
bird. vєou $\quad$ via Cf. N. 3. $2 \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 Schol. as for iepovovunvia because the beginning of the month is sacred to Apollo, and therefore the time of $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi t \nu i k \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \omega \chi\{a$. Hence the poet does not here refer to the day of the victory in the Nemean games, if G. F. Unger (quoted by Mezger) is right in placing the summer Nemean games on the 18th of the Attic month Hekatombaeon. He certainly does not touch on $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \nu i \kappa \omega \nu \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega \chi i a$, and therefore there is small reason for saying that he desires to do so. A more comprehensible explanation is to be found, without even making the poet say the celebration of the victory when he means the victory. Probably the Theban Hêrakleia were celebrated at the beginning of the month, for the theme which he now dismisses is closely connected both in grammar and mythical association with the Theban victory mentioned, $v .19$. As for the tense of tu $\gamma \boldsymbol{\prime}$ ย $\lambda$ коная, the feeling remains though its effect has just past. The $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ then is disjunctive, introducing a sort of apology for the previous digression. Bergk conjectures $\nu \in о \chi \mu l a$ (from Hesych.: $\nu \in о \chi \mu i \eta \cdot$ кiv $\eta \sigma t s \pi \rho 6 \sigma \phi a t o s)$, Hartung, véa $\mu \nu \in i a$. Bury boldly takes $\nu \in o \mu$. as an adj.
$36{ }^{\epsilon} \notin \pi \alpha$ This refers back (cf. N. 6. 4) to $v .32$, the general statement, as well as to the following clause which gives a particular
application;-'notwithstanding the fact that worthy achievement involves suffering, though a deep sea (of detraction) has hold of thee by the middle, strain against the evil designs of foes. We shall surely be seen returning from the struggle in full light superior to our foes, while our adversaries, of envious mien (or 'blinded by envy'), keep their ineffectual saws tossing in obscurity till they sink to the ground.' If we understand the metaphor to be from a man up to his waist in the sea, we destroy the force of $\beta a \theta \epsilon i a$. Pindar likens himself to a swimmer wrestling with a deep sea in foul weather. Though he were immersed all but head and shoulders, the sea, if likened to a wrestler, would be said to hold him by the waist, that grip being apparently the strongest known to the palaestra. His adversaries' inventions are the ineffectual waves of the sea of hostile criticism which are vanquished by the wrestling swimmer, who then comes to the haven of success in the light of fame. Thus रauaıтєтoíवаע is a metaphor from wrestling as well as
 condition of the swimmer's struggle, for if the shore were enveloped in gloom a swimmer would gene- $f$ rally be unable to land. So Odysseus (Od, 5. 439) v $\downarrow \hat{\chi \epsilon} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \in \xi$, $่ \in$

 cf. ib. 392. Metaph. èv фd́ce='the bright season of fair renown' i.e. the triumph of openly uttered truth. The language also suits the return home of a victorious wrestler (cf. P. 8. 83-87). I do notdo away with the half false antithesis of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ фáध and $\sigma \kappa \dot{o} \tau \omega$, which suggests the secret whisperings of malice as much if not more than the obscurity of the whisperers. Thus, we have one compound metaphor worked out regularly except in one minor
detail. Pindar compares not his enemies but their $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ кєveal to waves. The error of taking $\delta a i \omega \nu$ í $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \rho o t$ in a physical sense affords a less 'Dantesque image,' as Dr Postgate calls it, as $\delta a i \omega \nu$ stands
 right to explain the phrase, 'supe. rior to (or 'victorious over') foes.' The word $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ is almost always, used in the sense of 'superior,' 'better,' in Tragedy, and so too $\mathbf{P}$. 2. 60, I. 1. 2. It is peculiarly appropriate in reference to wrestling. The presence of the compound metaphor of wrestling with a sea is generally admitted, so that if $v v .38-41$ can be explained in harmony with this, such an explanation has strong claims to acceptance. I cannot approve Dr Postgate's suggestion that the simile is drawn from a mountainous country. 'Pindar's detractors have occupied the passes and are hurling rocks upon him from the obscurity, which however fall ineffectual on the ground. Presently, like the Persians at Thermopylae, he carries the heights above them and pursues his way down the sunlit valleys on the other side.' For the form $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mu \pi \alpha}$ cf. Soph. $\Delta i$. 563 тоiò $\pi v \lambda \omega \rho o ̀ v$ фúлака Tê̂кроу
 $\kappa \in l$ ('assiduous all the same, although' [Jebb]) $\tau a v \hat{\nu} \nu \mid \tau \eta \lambda \omega \pi \delta$ s oi $\chi \nu \epsilon \hat{\text { êt }}$. This passage scarcely illustrates the position of ${ }^{\kappa} \mu \pi a$, as Don.
 construction. Pindar himself uses the usual participle or adjectival phrase with каiाтєp at least four times. Ahrens proposed $\xi \mu \pi \alpha$ каi (i.e. $\kappa \epsilon l) \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon$, Don. $\nLeftarrow \mu \pi \alpha, \kappa \epsilon і \pi \epsilon \rho$. ass. give kal $\pi \epsilon \rho$. The suggestions кal, кєlँтє are open to question, as the case seems neither imaginary nor, though actual, conceded with reluctance, or made light of. Cf. Jebb's note on $\kappa \in l$, Soph. Aj. 563. Comparing the



40 \＆ү $\nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \kappa є \nu \epsilon a ̀ \nu$ бко́тш кv入ív $\delta є \iota$
6
$\Sigma \tau \rho .5^{\prime}$.
${ }^{1} \chi^{a \mu a \iota \pi \epsilon \tau о \imath ̂ \sigma a \nu . ~ є ́ \mu o i ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ o ́ \pi о i ́ a \nu ~ a ̀ p є \tau a ̀ \nu ~}$

 $\mu o \hat{v} \phi \theta b \nu o s, \mu \grave{~ t} \pi a \rho i \epsilon \epsilon$ кa入á，P． 1. 85 ，I would suggest cal $\gamma$ af in place of $\kappa \alpha i \pi \epsilon \rho$ ，which is very likely to have been substituted after $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \mu \pi \alpha \\ \text { ．}\end{gathered}$ Cf．Soph．dj．122．EXE For the omission of the object cf．P．2．17， N．7．23．Still the omission of $\sigma \epsilon$ is curious．The metre allows us to read $\sigma^{\prime}$ after $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu, v .37$ ．A reading $\mu$ é $\sigma \sigma o \nu s$ would easily pass into $\mu \hat{\sigma} \sigma \sigma o u s$ and be corrected to $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu$ ．Perhaps a marginal $\sigma^{\prime}$ wrongly inserted accounts for the version $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta$ uniats，though this may arise＇ex dittographia．＇тоvт．
 סopòs $\Delta a v a i ̂ o ̂ ̀ v, ~ E u r . ~ P h o e n . ~ 859, ~$ and several times besides in Aeschy－ los and Euripides．Cf．Hamlet＇s ＇sea of troubles．＇
$37 \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \sigma v$ For the phrase ex $\chi \omega$ тivà $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o \nu$ cf．Eur．Orest． 265
 тароу，Aristoph．Acharn． 571 є่ $\gamma \omega$ فे

 $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ äфиктоу．For metaphor cf． Aristoph．Ran． 704 ёхоขтєs кข $\mu$ áт $\omega \nu$ ধ̇ $\nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda a l s$（from Archilochos or Aeschyl．）．$\delta \dot{\xi} \xi о \mu \in v$ For future as apodosis to imperative，Dissen compares the following passages： （1）without кal：Il．23． 71 өá $\pi \tau \epsilon$ $\mu \epsilon-\pi u ́ \lambda a s$＇Aíao $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\gamma} \sigma \omega$ ：cf．Sic． T＇usc．4．24． 53 tracta－intelliges： （2）with cal：Pyth．4．165，Aristoph．





38 є่v фáєь For the opposition of $\sigma \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega(i .40) \mathrm{cf}$ ．Soph．Phil． $578-$ 580 where $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon เ \nu$ cis $\phi \hat{\omega} s$ is opposed
 For the metaphor cf．Asch．Choeph．
 Хро́vov｜хацаитєтєîs є̆кєเб $\theta^{\prime}$ ．ката． Baivetv The sense is the same as in N．3．25＇to come to shore．＇
$39 \phi \theta$ av $\epsilon \rho$ af For $\phi \theta$ ．$\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$
 dos Sing．for plur．Cf．cis，P． 1. 52，also viva＝＇many a one，＇P． 2. 51，N．1．64．

40 бко́тఱ For metaphor cf． N．3．41，Soph．Phil． 578.

41 ＇pol $\delta^{\prime}$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．For sent－ mint cf．P．5． 110 sqq．áperáv ＇Talent．＇The poet＇s faculty of silencing cavil and consummating victory by enduring song．Note that $\chi \rho \delta \nu o s$ refers back to $\chi \rho 0 \nu t \omega$－ $\tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \quad r$ ．6，while $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu$ éval is recalled v．61．Pindar helps the triumphant consummation of Timâ－ sarchos＇merits even as Cheiron ministered to the triumph and reward of Pêleus．For te $\lambda$ évє of． P．3． $114 \dot{a} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu a i ̂ s ~ a ́ o t \delta a i s$｜ Хpovía te入é $\theta \epsilon$ ．Bacchyl．13． 169
 $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} \epsilon{ }^{\prime} p \gamma o t s, \mid \dot{a} \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \alpha \theta \in\{\alpha \quad \phi i \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mid \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu$, on $\tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \mu a ́ \tau \omega \rho \mid \chi \rho \delta \nu o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \hat{s}$｜


42 Пóт $\mu$ os ăva̧ Cf．P．3． 86 on $\mu$＇́ mas то́т $\quad$ nos．




75
; ó Tє $\lambda a \mu \omega \nu \iota a ́ \delta a \varsigma^{\bullet}$ àтà $\rho$

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \zeta$.
 80
г ขẫov. Өétィıs סè кратєî


43 'ртти Cf. O. 13. 105 єl ठदे

 тєтр., к.т. $\lambda_{\text {. ' 'Shall bring to its }}$ destined maturity.'

44 égúфaıvє $\mu$ é ${ }^{\prime}$ os 'Weave out the web of song.' Cf. P. 4. 275. kai tó8' av́tika 'And that at once,' 'Aye and straightway' [Holmes]. The point is obviously to give vivacity. The $\phi$ óp $\mu \gamma \xi$ lives and obeys promptly the impetuous command.

46 Olvผ́va Oenônê was said to be the old name of Aegina before Zeus took Aegina daughter of Asôpos thither, Paus. 2. 29. 2! ámápXєட Dissen rightly explains 'rules far away from his country,' Mommsen 'praeit (saltantibus),' Teukros having led the way to Cyprus for the ode; Bergk (2nd ed.) suggests $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota$, Hartung $\epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota$ which gives good sense.

48 EX $\mathrm{XL}_{\mathrm{L}}$ 'Is tutelary deity of.' Cf. P. 5. 77. тatpष्थ๐ The Salamis 'of his fathers,' opposed to the ambiguam tellure noua Salamina futuram, promised to Teucer, Hor. Od. 1. 7. 29.

49 After death Achilles was said to have dwelt with Iphigeneia in Leuke, an island in the Euxine.






 тóvtov. Pausanias, 3. 19.11, places the island off the mouths of the Danube (Paley).

50 Oétıs. Cf. Eur. Andr. 16



 $\nu \iota \nu$ $\lambda \epsilon \grave{\omega}$ | $\Theta \epsilon \tau i \delta \epsilon \iota o \nu$ aủ $\delta a ̂ ̣ ~ \theta \epsilon a ̂ s ~ \chi a ́ p ı \nu ~$ $\nu v \mu \phi \epsilon v \mu a ́ r \omega \nu$. One Schol. says that the Өeriocion was a ieporv at Ф日ia. Strabo places it close to Pharsalos. Both may be right, as each town may have boasted one.

51 Stampuria It is clear, in spite of editors (who render 'celebrated,' late patens, $\epsilon$ is $\delta \delta \delta \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ $\mu \in \nu)$, that $\delta$ (ampuoia simply means 'from end to end,' 'right through,' an adverbial adjective akin to $\delta(\alpha-$ $\pi \rho \delta$. It is explained by $\Delta \omega \delta \omega \dot{\omega} a \theta \epsilon \nu$ $\ldots \pi \rho o{ }^{\circ}$ 'Ibviov $\pi$ obpov. For the interpretation we must coimpare Eur.

 -referring to the same subject, so that Euripides would seem to be paraphrasing this passage of Pindar. Unfortunately scholars are not at one as to this use of

${ }_{5} \Delta \omega \delta \omega ́ \nu a \theta \in \nu$ ú $\rho \chi$ ó $\mu \in \nu o \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ’ I o ́ v \iota o \nu ~ \pi r i ́ p o \nu . ~$

7 тодєнía $\chi є \rho i \quad \pi \rho о \sigma т \rho a \pi \grave{\omega} \nu$


І $\delta a ́ \mu а \rho т о s ~ ' I \pi т о \lambda и ́ т а s ~ ' ~ А к с ́ \sigma т о и ~ \delta o \lambda i ́ a \iota s ~$
${ }_{2}$ тє́ $\chi \nu a \iota \sigma \iota$ Хр $\bar{\sigma}$ а́ $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$.

סıatєpâv. Hermann, followed by Paley, reads Mo入oroias as gen. after $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon a$, taking $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu=\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$, $\delta \iota a ́ \gamma \epsilon เ \nu$, Pflugk explains the vulg. per Molossorum fines regnare, which is nearly right. The word $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon a \nu$ with a word signifying city or country as object seems to be used only with a deity or a king as subject.
$52 \pi \rho \omega ิ \nu \epsilon$ Cf. Bacchyl. 5. 65
 $\mu \eta \lambda o \beta b$ тovs $\mid \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ as $\dot{a} \rho \gamma \eta \sigma \tau \alpha ̀ s$ סovei.
 valled as pasturage for cattle.' Note the absurd echo $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ ох $\omega$ 'тata v. 92 from ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ southerly spurs of the mountain range which runs from Pindus (Lat. $39^{\circ} 54$ ) to the Acroceraunian promontory may be appropriately called $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon$. The general tendency of the slopes which extend therefrom is towards south-west by south. By the 'Iovion rópov Pindar means the sea between the islands and the coast of Epeiros rather than the whole sea between Italy and Greece. For the subject cf. N. 7. 64. The cattle of Epeiros are celebrated by Aristotle, Varro, Columella, Elian, while Pliny says, in nostro orbe Epiroticis (uubus) laus maxima, Nat. Hist. 8. 70. катáкєเvтat 'Slope down.' Cf. Hor. Od. 1. 17. 11 Usticale cubantis, Lucr. 4. 517, Theokr. 13. $40 \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \varphi$

construed with $\pi a \rho \epsilon \in \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$, making a very awkward order. As to metre the $-\epsilon l$ - of $\lambda a \tau \rho \in i a \nu$ may be scanned as short, and also in its position an irrational long syllable is admissible.
$55 \pi \rho о \sigma \tau р a \pi \omega \dot{\nu}$ Takes here a double acc. : 'having turned Iôlkos to subjection with hostile violence.' Mommsen explains "terram hostili manui advertere (admovere)," comparing O. 1. 22 кра́тєь $\pi \rho о \sigma є \not \mu \iota \zeta є$ $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau a \nu$. Other scholars alter or render intransitively 'having approached.' None of the proposed constructions have due support, therefore simplicity is the chief test. If the double acc, be objectionable the alteration $\lambda a \tau \rho e i a$ is the best alternative. For such hiatus cf. O. and P. p. xxxi. The exploit is mentioned N. 3. 34. For the construction of the elements of the compound, which is here retained with the compound, cf. I. 3.
 ทं $\tau \rho$.

56 Aipóvєббьเข 'Thessalians.' Akastos was the last Minyan king of Iôlkos.

58 хрŋба́ $\mu є$ vos The Schol, explains the text eis $\pi \rho \delta \dot{\phi}$ aбı a a $\chi \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu$ os. It is usually rendered 'having experienced,' though the examples given are not quite parallel, as the dative substantives belong to the subject, not, as here, to another person; c.g. $\delta \cup \dot{\sigma} \pi \rho a \gamma i a t s$,
 ..... 95$6 \pi \hat{v} \rho$ ठغ̀ таүкратє̀s $\theta \rho a \sigma v \mu a \chi a ́ v \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \lambda \epsilon o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~$〒 ỏvuरas ỏそvtátovs àкцàv8 $\tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \circ \tau a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\sigma \chi a ́ \sigma a \imath \varsigma ~ o ́ \delta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~$
 Ag． 953 є̇кむ̀̀ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ oủ $\delta \in i s ~ \delta o u \lambda i ́ \varphi ~$ $\chi p \hat{T} \alpha \iota \varsigma \cup y \hat{\omega}$ comes nearer．Tricli－ nius reports a $v$ ．l．$\chi \omega \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu o s$. Bergk proposes té $\chi$ vals $\chi$ apa $\sigma \sigma \alpha$－ $\mu \in \nu 0 s$（which ought to belong to a form $\chi a \rho a ́ \zeta \omega$ ，but looks like a slip


59 Saı $\delta a ́ \lambda \omega$ Didymos＇correc－ tion for $\Delta a i \delta \alpha \dot{\lambda} o u$ which Bergk de－ fends on the ground that $\Delta a l \delta \alpha \lambda$ os is identical with Hêphaestos，com－ paring Eur．Herc．Fur． 470 є＇s

 mann，каӨiєє $\delta a i \delta a \lambda о \nu$ \＆c．），Millin， Gall．Myth．13． 48 and Diodôr． Sic．4．14，where it is stated that Hêphaestos gave Hêraklês a club and breastplate．$\mu a x a l \rho \propto$ If we are to follow the passage quoted by the Schol．from Hêsiod，＇by his sword＇here＝＇by hiding his sword，＇ but $\epsilon^{2} \kappa$ 入ó $\chi o u$ shows that Pindar fol． lowed another version of the myth． The verses quoted from Hês，run





 However when he got possession of the sword he may have changed his mind．Euripides，Troad．1127， says that Akastos ousted Peleus
 $\chi$ Oovos），a passage not necessarily at variance with Pindar＇s account， for Akastos may have survived the conquest of Iôlkos and have dis－ turbed Pêleus in his old age．Apol－ lodôros，3．13． 3.

60 ék $\lambda o ́ x$ ．For ék＇by means
 є́к тє́ $\chi \nu \eta s \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ как $\bar{s}$ s and Jebb＇s note．

61 ёкфєрєข Generally taken as active，but the imperfect tense shows that $\tau$ ò $\mu$ ó $\rho \sigma \iota \mu \nu$ is the sub－ ject．Cf．Soph．Oed．Col．1424，
 $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon v ́ \mu a \theta^{\prime}$ ．＇That the agent was neither Pêleus nor Cheiron is sug－ gested by vv．41－43 from which $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu 0 \nu$ is a significant echo． It is clearly implied that Cheiron was an instrument of the fate or－ dained by Zeus．

62 Өрaбuц．Text Hermann； ISS．$\theta \rho \alpha \sigma v \mu a \chi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ．

64 бXáoals Lit．＇having caused to become relaxed，＇＇having abated，＇＇having subdued．＇Cf． Bacchyl．17． 120 K $\nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \iota \rho \mid$ है $\sigma \chi a-$ $\sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau a \gamma \epsilon ่ \tau a \nu$.

66 єบ้кvк久ov＇ESpav＇Seats fairly ranged in a circle．＇Cf．P．3． 94
 （Pêleus and Kadmos at their re－
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115
7 äтора үàp 入óyov Аіакои̂
$8 \pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ тò $\nu$ äтаутá $\mu \circ \iota \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.
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ъ тро́толоу єै $\mu \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$. ai ठé тои

67 tâs For gen. L. and S. cites Apoll. Rh. 3. 1001, and cp.

$68 \delta \hat{\omega} \rho \alpha$ каi kp. Gifts of sovereignty; 'es $\gamma \in{ }^{\prime} \nu 0 s$ 'for all his race'; $\epsilon$ 's ='to the limit or extent of' (or merely 'in relation to.' Cf. Eur. Orest. 101). $\epsilon \xi \in \phi$ ' Declared,' 'conferred by revelation of their will.' Mr Bury's ȩ̇v́ф avar gives a far more "doubtful expression" than the text. It could not mean "planned" but must mean "completed," cf. v. 44. 'ss үévos Best mss. read रeveás, probably from a gloss explaining that the phrase meant 'for consecutive generations.' The Schol. clearly read $\epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon$ s, so Bury.
av่т © 'His.' Cf. O. 1. 65, N. 7. 22.
$69 \Gamma \alpha \delta \epsilon(\rho \omega \nu$ The gen. is governed by $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ j o ́ \phi o v . ~ F o r ~ s e n-~$ timent cf. O.3.44, N. 3. 21, I. 3.55 ff ., 5,12 . The poet has reached the extreme limit of mythical digress. sion.

71 d̈тора For the plur. cf. N. 8. 4, O. 1. 52, P. 1. 34, Archil. 64
[40] ои̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ кат $\theta a \nu 0 \hat{\sigma \iota}$ кєрто$\mu \epsilon ́ \epsilon \iota \nu \in \pi^{\prime}$ ar $\nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu$, de mortuis nil nisi bomum, Aristoph. Acharn. 1079 ox


74 '́ßßav See O.9.83, 13. 97.
75 te kali Couple Olympia to the class of less famous places. The second $\tau \varepsilon$ couples the two members of the said class. Or else two past victories are coupled by cal, past and present by $\tau \epsilon \ldots \tau \varepsilon$. For particles cf. v. 9 supra. The datives depend on $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \theta \lambda \omega \nu$. $\sigma \nu \nu$. 'As I engaged.' Cf. P. 11. 41 ci $\mu \nu \sigma \theta$ îo $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \in \theta \in v$ тapé $\chi \epsilon l \nu \mid \phi \omega \nu \grave{a} \nu$ i.máprupov.

76 тeipay éXovtes Rightly: Dissen 'whenever they contend,' 'sustain a trial.'

77 iv' Refers to ova $\delta \varepsilon$.
79 тро́то入ov 'Much concerned with,' as furnishing many victors. Müller, as cultivators of lyric poetry and music, Dissen, as providing choruses. tot This particle leads up to the impressive asyndeton $v$. 85 infra or v. 82. It emphasises the whole sentence.
$808 \mu a ́ \tau \rho \varphi \mu^{\prime}$ є̌т८ $\mathrm{K} a \lambda \lambda \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \cup \in \iota \varsigma$
1 бтcì̀av $\theta_{\text {é } \mu \epsilon \nu ~ П a p i ́ o v ~ \lambda i ́ \theta o v ~ \lambda \epsilon v к о т є ́ p a \nu . ~}^{130}$
2 ó रpuбòs é $\downarrow$ ó $\mu \in \nu o s$

1.35

+ є́ $\rho \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ íoóaí $\mu о \nu a$ тєú $\chi \epsilon \iota$
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81 Cf. N. 8. 47. The substitution of this phrase for ${ }^{v} \mu \nu o \nu$ anticipates an apodosis.

82 Éqó $\mu \in v o s$ 'While being refined.' From ó रpuб's to фف̂тa is a virtual parenthesis (the asyndeton being noteworthy), amplifying the general notion of $\sigma \tau$ á $\lambda a \nu$ Mapiou入itoov $\lambda$ єuкот $\epsilon \rho a \nu$. Perhaps grammatically the effect of minstrelsy in general (illustrated by a simile introduced parathetically, cf. O. and P. p. xxiii) is made a false apodosis (cf.P. 11. 41-45), followed abruptly by the true apodosis, $\kappa \in i ̂ v o s ~ \epsilon \dot{\cup} \rho \dot{\rho} \tau \omega$, к. $\tau . \lambda$., added to express the promise of the celebration asked for, which is implied in the preceding general
 should be observed that this simile is drawn from molten gold.

83 ditáбas 'All.' The strong $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \alpha^{\sigma}$. is used because the rays keep changing color.

64 єрүн. Cf. v. 8. $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda$. F.g., of course the royal Aeakidae.
 right. $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \in \tilde{v} \sigma$ Especially the Eacid kings mentioned above. Cf.

 | $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$.

86 єบ์pétc 'Become aware that.' Cf. for sentiment 0.8. 77 sqq., 14. 19.

87 "v' 'Here on earth where,' or, with Dissen, 'at the Isthmos where.' Bergk reads ধ̈עєк'.

88 Өá $\lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon$ 'He burst into bloom.' The word must not be applied literally to $\sigma \epsilon \lambda$ ivots, for the Isthmian crown was of withered ( $\xi \eta \rho \alpha$ ) parsley. For the phrase of. 0.9. 16 өá $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime}$ ả $\rho \in \tau а \imath ̂ \sigma \iota . ~$

89 '̇日é $\lambda \omega \nu$ Implying 'as an amateur without fee.' тротáтшр I.e. $\mu a \tau \rho о \pi a ́ r \omega \rho$. See the following scheme.

Euphanes
Timokritos ${ }_{T} \Delta$ Kallikles
Timatsarchos
(the subject of the ode).
90 סtסáaкєто MSS, the impossible deloєrac. In old uncials $\Sigma$ is like $E$ and $K$ like $\mid \Sigma$, so that ACKET is very like AEICET which I take to be a corruption of the remains of a mutilated



 $\mu a \lambda а к \grave{a} \mu$ ѐ̀ фроעє́ $\omega \nu$ є̇ $\sigma \lambda o i ̂ \varsigma$, 155

$\triangle I \triangle A C I C E T$. Euphanes was an amateur ( $\epsilon^{*} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ ) trainer. This assumption gives point to $v v .91$, 92. Perhaps a gloss भ̈бкє helped the change of $\delta i \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \in \tau 0$. I hold that $\delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau 0$ ( $\delta t-$ having dropped out) was mistaken for $\delta^{\prime}$ dं $\epsilon \sigma \in \tau \circ$ and corrected (?) to $\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon i \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$.
 Leutsch suggests that the poet is thinking of the proverb $\eta^{\lambda} \wedge \iota \xi ँ \eta \iota \kappa \alpha$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \epsilon$, said to be derived from Od . 17. 218. [Mezger.] ஸ̂v кє тúx yss. ä้ Tis Túxy. The intolerable tis ousted $\kappa \epsilon$ and a gloss äd ousted $\dot{\omega} \nu$. The emendation gives emphasis to aủros. It seems that, as has been suggested, Euphanes had trained Kallikles, and the poet apologises for saying little about it and going on to praise Melêsias.

92 ยллєтаו Cf. N. 7. 20.
93 oiov, к.т. त. 'For instance, were one to sing Melêsias' praises one would twist about (his theme of) the struggle, locking together phrases, hard to stir from his position in recital.' Aristarchos read olov and ${ }^{\text {en }} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ odas. In this signal instance of Pindar's tendency to make his metaphors appropriate to the contest in which the person whom he is celebrating was victorious, $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi$ ol alludes to the general turning and twisting of a wrestler's whole body, $\pi \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \omega \nu$ to the interlacing of his limbs with his opponent's (see the group of Lottatori (Florence, Uflizi), of which there is a cast in the Fitzwilliam

Museum), " $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ is a more general term for the endeavor to move or bear down the adversary by tugging at him. The subject of $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi=t$ is the object of $\epsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon c \nu$. For the technical use ef. 11 . 23. 714 тeTpl $\gamma \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime}$ ă $\rho a \nu \hat{\omega} \tau a, \theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\partial} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \mid \dot{\epsilon} \lambda-$ $\kappa \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} s$, Hês. Scut. Herc. 302
 the appropriate metaphor cf. O. 8 . 24 ঠıaкрîvaı סuvaa入́'s, O. 6. 22, N. 1. 7, 7. 70-72, I. 2. 2. For the infinitive $\epsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon \nu$ cf. $0.8 .24,7.25$, N. 3. 30. For the trainer Melêsias cf. O. 8.54 sqq., N. 6.66 to the end. From the trainer receiving such prominent honor as the theme of the conclusion in N. 4 and 6 one may perhaps infer that he engaged the poet to celebrate a pupil on both occasions, cf. P. 4 Introd.
95 Cf. O. 3. 17 Tเ $\sigma \tau$ à $\phi \rho \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$, but especially P. 8. 82 тє́трaбt $\delta^{\prime}$
 $\phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega$, of a wrestler. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda$ oîs 'The noble,' i.e. here, victors and meritorious competitors in games.
96 тa入ıүкóтoเs 'Their malicious enemies.' It may be inferred from the last lines being devoted to enemies that 'Timâsarchos' victory wasnot altogether popular, cf. vv. 36 -41. ${ }^{E} \phi \in \delta \rho o s$ For the meaning of the term cf. O. 8. 68. It simply means the man who 'draws a by' where an odd number of competitors are matched in pairs. Here Melêsias and his resentful rivals are paired, but Euphanes is ready to take up his quarrel.

## NEMEA V.

## ON THE VICTORY OF PYTHEAS OF AEGINA IN THE BOYS' PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Pytheas, son of Lampon, was the elder of two brothers, who were both pancratiasts, the younger of whom Phylakidas won the victories commemorated in I. 4 (B.c. 482), and I. 5 (B.c. 480). The elder brother's Nemean victory was earlier. They belonged to the noble $\pi a ́ \tau \rho a$ of the Psalychidae of Aegina (I. 5. 63). Their father Lampon was son of Kleonikos (I. 5. 16), and was perhaps cousin to that
 9. 78), who wished Pausanias to increase his fame by impaling Mardonios. Critics are cruel enough to make these two Lampons probably identical, either Pytheas (Don.) or Kleonikos (Miiller) being Lampon's natural father, the other his adoptive father, or else Kleonikos being a second name given to Lampon's father Pytheas. However we know that cousins did sometimes bear the same name, and the name of the victor Pytheas is no proof that his grandfather was Pytheas. If he were not the eldest son he would be more likely to be named after another senior member of the family than after his grandfather. So that the identity of Hêrodotos' and Pindar's Lampon is not more than possible.

The following stemma, mostly hypothetical, shows how, according to the Attic habits of Nomenclature, the victor might get his name, without his father having been adoptive.


The names marked with a star are mentioned by Pindar.
 effective, but the tautometric recurrences $\tau \epsilon \in \kappa \tau-v, 49$, тiкт' $v .13, \mu \eta \kappa \dot{\epsilon}-$ $v .50, \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \epsilon-v .14$, avto- $v v .25,1,-a \lambda-v v .2,20,-\alpha \check{\imath} \alpha \dot{\alpha}-v v .7,1, \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ d̈ $\rho a \rho \in \nu v .44$, ধ่ $\gamma \epsilon \in \rho a \rho \epsilon \nu v$. 8, have no poetic significance.

There cannot be any intentional reference to mitvav $c .11$ in $\pi i \tau \nu \omega \nu$ v. 42 , as in origin and meaning the two verbs are quite distinct, while the

 Tì $\delta^{\prime}$ Airiva...Nikas $\dot{e} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu \in \sigma \sigma$. The three verses which close the ode echo the last three verses of the first strophe with $\nu \iota$ - $\pi a \gamma \kappa p a t i o-$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu 0--a \nu \theta a-r e c u r r i n g$, and the blooms of the Graces' songs recall song proclaiming the victor's bloom of youth; while the fame celebrated in songs of victory is contrasted with $\tau \grave{\sigma} \sigma \iota \hat{\gamma} \nu$, rendered wise by crime, of the end of the first epode, and moreover the bliss of dwelling near $\pi \rho 00$ vipoos Aiákou (cf. ve. 8, 9) is contrasted with the doom of banishment from Oenône ( $v .16$ ). Hence we detect an allusion to youth reared in Oenône in oivávaas ȯm $\dot{\rho} \rho a \nu v .6$. The end of the second strophe recalls the end of the first, and comnects the epinician songs of Pytheas with the transcendent privilege granted to Pêleus and Telamon ( $v v .23 \mathrm{ff}$.). The alliteration with initial $\pi$ is noteworthy, especially $v v .21,22$, cf. N. 4. $54-56$. The ode is instinct with the idea of song wafted on sea-breezes.

This ode is particularly easy of general comprehension. From mention of the victor the poet passes rapidly to the myth of Pêleus, which illustrates inter alia the saw that 'truth is not alway's to be told'; a maxim which applies more or less to every family and to most individuals. Still there might be a reference to the discredit attaching to the fimily from the notoriety of the avootóratos dióos of Lampon, son of Pytheas, or to some other specific family skeleton. The last fifteen lines are devoted to the illustration of the poet's fiavorite theory that excellence is hereditary, in this case through the mother chiefly. This victory is also celebrated by Bacchylides, 13 , who deals with the prowess of Ajax.

The mode is Lydo-Dorian, the metre dactylo-epitritic. The metrical phrases, represented as in N. 1, are arranged as follows, the strophe forming an imtithetic mesodic period. The mesode is $B . \mid C . B^{\prime}$ of $\nu v .2,3$.

1. $-C^{\prime \prime} . C . A . B^{\prime}$.
2. $A . A^{*} \cdot B$.
3. C.B'
4. $-\vdots B . B \dagger . B^{\prime}\left(=A A^{\prime}\right.$ of $\left.v .2\right)$.
5. $-\vdots B . A^{\prime}\left(=A B^{\prime}\right.$ of $\left.v .1\right)$.
6. $-\frac{\vdots}{+} \ddagger . C$.

The epode is probably not intentionally symmetrical, though two symmetrical periods can be assumed. The first three verses contain the same number of feet as the last three, viz. 21.

1. $=: A \| . C$.
2. $A \| \cdot C$ 's. $B$ '.
3. $-\therefore A \| . C^{\prime \prime}$.
4. $-: B .-\cdots-\left.\right|_{\text {- }} A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$.
5. $-A . B^{\prime}$.
6. B. $-\sim$ A. $B$.

## 1

## ANALYSIS.

## ci.

1-6. The poet is not a maker of motionless statues, but his song travels loy every craft to tell of Pytheas' victory.
7, 8. He did honor to the Aeakidae and Aegina.
9-13. For which Pelens, Telamon and Phokos effectually prayed to Zeus Hellênios.

[^2]vi．
14－17．The poet hesitates to say why Pêleus and Telamon left Aegina．Truth is not always to be told．
18．And silence is often the truest wisdom．
19－21．The poet is equal to uttering the high praises of the Aeakidae for wealth，athletics and war．
22－39．For them the Muses sang of the temptation of Pêleus and his marriage with Thetis．

40－42．Family destiny decides as to achievements．
43－47．The victor＇s maternal uncle was a victor．
48，49．Acknowledgment of the services of the trainer Menandros．
50 －end．The victor＇s maternal grandfather was a victor at Epi－ dauros in both boxing and the pankration．


 ào $\delta \alpha^{\prime}$,


1 From this passage Horace is said to have got his exegi monu－ mentum aere perennius（Od．3．30．1）． è $\lambda เ ข v ์ ́ \sigma o v \tau \alpha$ Cf．I．2．46．Inferior mss．read èגıvúの天ovta．Editors needlessly insert $\mu^{\prime}$ after it．But $\dot{\text { ép }} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\jmath} \grave{\zeta} \epsilon \sigma \theta$ au properly has an initial $F$ ． An allusion to statuary was pecu－ liarly appropriate in Aegina at this period，as Mezger remarks，quoting Schelling．Then Onâtas was tlour－ ishing．av̉zâs According to
 II．12． 225 aürク̀̀ $\dot{\text { ósóv，Od．10．} 263 .}$ Add Od．8．107，16．138．The sense is rather＇on the base and nowhere else，＇cf．the use of ipse，Ter．And． 5．6． 10 in tempore ipso mi aduenis， ＇at the exact time．＇The idiom is confined to time in Latin and，
generally at least，has reference to space in（non－Attic）Greek．Perhaps $\theta \epsilon$ d̀s aưvòs ö̆s，Od．4．181，is an in－ stance of the use of aviros＝idem，as Cookesley suggests；but－＇that god （and none other）who＇－is a more forcible rendering．
2 Éril．．év Just as we say on a ship but in a boat．ó̀káסos From $\sqrt{ }$ of $\dot{e} \lambda \kappa \omega$ ；orig．a towed raft， afterwards，as here，a vessel of burden，a merchant ship．ג́кáтч A vessel of light draught for carry－ ing passengers，troops，\＆ce．
3 areî’＇Only used of a voyage， I believe，here and Il．2． 287

 $\nu \hat{a} \sigma o \nu$ ．Pindar means that travellers from Aegina will mention or even




#  каì àmò $\chi \rho v \sigma \epsilon \hat{a} \nu$ N $\eta \rho \eta i ̈ \partial \omega \omega \nu$ 

recite his ode. Sıayjeldoto' Note the preposition-'in divers directions,' 'abroad.'
4 єủpucocvís Broad and strong, cf. N. 3. 36.
5 vik $\eta$ 'Was winner of.' arss. $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\eta},-\hat{\eta}$. The present viкŋ $\mu \boldsymbol{l}$ of which this form is the 3rd sing. imp. occurs Theok. 7. 40. Cf. öp $\eta \mu \iota=$ ó $\rho d \omega$, Theok., Sapph. 2. 11. The form vik $\eta$ occurs Theok. 6. 45
 єं $\gamma \in \nu 0 \nu \tau 0$. These forms are omitted by Curtius in his excursus on the Verba contracta §§ 358-363 Greek Verb (Trans.) pp. 246 ff. As we find $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu$ os in Alkaeos the forms in $-\eta \mu t$ are probably from stems in $-\epsilon$. Cf. д̀ $\pi \tau \in \dot{́} \mu \in \nu 0$ s (Theok. 23. 34) by ȯ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$. The impf. is used where we might expect the aorist, in speaking of victories in games. Cf, v. 43 infra. Simonides 153 [211], 154 [212], 155 [213]; but the aorist is also used frequently. So we often have $\nu \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu$ for $\nu \iota к \grave{j} \sigma \alpha$. On Thuk. 5. 49
 $\pi \rho \hat{т} т \boldsymbol{\nu}$ èvika, Arnold confounds that imperf. with the 'contempora-
 ĚTos), where the action of the verb is continuous, 'drew to a close,' and renders ' A . was winning his prize.' Now in chronological records the imp. èvika 'was victor' (for the Olympiad, Pythiad, \&c.) is as natural as $\eta \rho \chi \epsilon$ 'was archon.' The use of the official tense may have spread, but on the other hand the non-official use, as here, may mean 'began to be victor.' For
the accusative of reference $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu 0 \nu$, cf. Simon. Frag. 147 [203] $\nu \kappa \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu$ трітода, vv. 52, 53 infra.
6 रévvar ass. yévus, Herm. $\gamma$ 'évé. 'Not yet displaying on cheeks and chin down the daughter of (life's) ripening time.' (Lit. 'fruit-season, tender mother of vine-blossom.') Bergk thinks $\mu a \tau \epsilon \rho^{\prime}$ is for $\mu a \tau \epsilon \rho \iota$ and cites $\mu 0 \lambda \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\mu a \tau \epsilon \rho^{\prime}$ P. 8.85, though an elementary knowledge of grammar and refer-
 might have prevented the blunder. Mr Bury seems to think that one can only $\phi a l \nu \in i \nu$ signs, not that which is signified. For the metaphorical use of і̀т $\dot{\omega} \rho a$, cf. I. 2. 5, Aesch. Suppl. 996 í $\mu a ̂ s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ̇ \pi \alpha \iota \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$


 where we have perhaps a reminiscence of this passage. "' $O \pi \dot{\omega} \dot{\rho} \rho a$ is strictly speaking that part of the year which falls between the rising of the dog-star and the rising of Arcturus-the hottest season of the year, while the sun is in Leo. The ancient Greeks divided the year into seven seasons-zap, $\theta$ t'pos, іт $\pi \dot{\rho} \rho a, \phi \theta \iota \nu \dot{\prime} \pi \omega \rho \circ \nu, \sigma \pi о \rho \eta \tau o ́ s, \chi \in[\mu \omega \nu$, фита入la. ' $0 \pi \dot{\omega} \dot{\rho} \alpha$ sometimes means 'fruit:' thus Alcman calls honey:
 metaphorically, the most blooming time of youth : I. 2. 5. See Schneider, s.x." Don.

7 ámò Pindaric variety of construction. The following scheme shows the relationship :
 $\rho a \nu$.
3 тáv тот＇єv̋avסрóv $\tau \epsilon$ каi vavбıкдvтà̀
 ${ }_{5} \sigma \tau a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ，тiтvav $\tau$＇єis aitध́pa xєîpas $\dot{a} \mu \hat{a}$ 20



8 фỉav $\xi^{\prime} v \omega \omega$ äpoupav Cf．P．
 ä $\rho$ ．go together to make up one idea，＇favorite－resort．＇

 vavai кoitaus｜timau，where as here äv $\quad$ סess seem to be warrior lands－ men．For vavatк $\lambda$ voà $\nu$ cf．N．3． 2

10 日évoavto＇Prayed effectu－ ally．＇For construction cf．P． 8.
 Schol．here translates it：$\eta$ ひ̋́savzo． It bears the same sense in Apoll． Rhod．1． 824 oi $\delta^{\prime}$ ápa $\theta \in \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ $\pi a l \delta \omega \nu$ र＇̇vos，ö $\sigma \sigma o \nu$ ย̇ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau o$ ，where the Schol．says：$\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ ait $\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha-$ $\lambda a \beta b \nu \tau \epsilon s, \quad a l \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s . \quad \Theta \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota$
 Hoiooos：$\theta \in \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu=s \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \dot{\eta} \nu$ K $\lambda є о \delta a l o v ~ к и \delta а \lambda \iota \mu о ь о . ~ к а і ~ ' А \rho . ~$
 $\pi o \lambda \iota \hat{\jmath} s$ á $\lambda \dot{\partial} s \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma$ ．
 As far as usage goes $\theta \ell \sigma \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota=$ impetrasse，＇to get ordained（ap－ pointed）for one＇（ $\theta \in \sigma \sigma \sigma \in \theta a l$ ，＇to be for getting ordained（appointed）

（Dem． 48 тéкvod è̀ívvaov，тéкvov то入и́ $\theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \quad \tau о к \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota)$ looks like a coined correlative to $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau o s$, or as if it meant multa impetrans． For Пaбıt＇́n，not＇die allbegehrte，＇ but＇ordaining（ordering）for all，＇
 P．3．78， 4.74 （ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a) \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma o v$
 Cf．Madv．§ 75．With acc．тapà denotes not only motion beside， but extension beside．＇Eス入aviov There was a temple of Zeus Hel－ lânios in Aegina said to have been built by the Myrmidons．
$11 \pi i \tau v a \nu$ For ėmitvarav．From $\pi i \tau \nu \eta \mu \nu^{*}$ ，an assumed by－form of $\pi \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \nu \nu v \mu$ ．Homer has the forms
 єis $\grave{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\text { è }} \chi$ €îpas．

12 d́pıyv．vi．Pêleus and Te－ lamon；＇mighty prince Phôkos，＇ their half－brother whom they slew， being the son of the Nereid Psa－ matheia．Endâis，Aeakos＇wife， was daughter of Cheiron．For the slaughter of Phôkos cf．Apollodôros 3．12．6，Paus．2．29．7．Bacchyl． uses the Homeric dpi $\gamma \nu \omega \mathrm{w}$ os four times．



 $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta i \omega \nu$


$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.
 то́ $\overline{\epsilon \mu о \nu} \delta_{\epsilon} \delta$ óкทтац，цакра́ $\mu о \iota$

35
 о́риа́⿱亠乂．

14 év Sika Cf．O．6． 12 for this adverbial phrase．$\mu \eta$ The generic $\mu \eta$ has been too much for Hermann，Hartung，Bergk，and Bury，＇a great venture，and such as is not undertaken upon just grounds．＇The $\delta \eta$ of the next verse introduces as much of the parti－ cular case as the poet is disposed to treat．
 old editions．$\quad \kappa \in p \delta i \omega y$ Cf．I． 7.
 oú rot，к．т．$\lambda$ ．＇Verily it is not in every case（cf．O．9． 100 тò $\delta$ ̀ $\phi u \hat{a}$ кра́тьбтоу äта⿱，O．11．82）better that exact truth should unveil her face．＇For construction cf．O． 9.
 бкаєóтєроу хрฑ̂ $\mu$＇＂＇ккаттоע－and my note．

18 voท̂ซat For inf．cf．O．7． 25 тои̂to $\delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \mu a ́ \chi \alpha \nu 0 \nu$ єن́peîv，｜ö $\tau \iota \nu u ̂ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 N．3． 30.

19 ठє $\delta$ óкŋтal Rare form for סédoктац．Cf．Curtius，Greek Verb （＇Trans．），p．262，Hêrod．7． 16.

20 aủró $\theta \in v$ Interpolated 3ss． $\delta^{\prime}$ aủt $\delta \theta \epsilon \nu$, Böckh, Bergk $\delta \grave{\eta}$ aútó $\theta \epsilon \nu$ ．
＇From this point，＇－as $\beta$ arìp（ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ тov̂ $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau a ́ \theta \lambda \omega \nu$ бкáuцатоs，Не－ sych．）．From this notice and our $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha}$ ä $\lambda \mu \tau \tau(=\sigma \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$ we may infer that the trench was dug along the length of the leap for the leapers to jump into．It was said to have been originally fifty feet long，and Phayllos of Krotôna was said to have jumped nearly five feet beyoud it at Delphi．In the North of Eng－ land a hop－two－steps－and－a－jump is called＇a jump．＇Eustathios cites the inscription on his statue，$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau$＇

 $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \rho \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ ．Cf．Schol．on Lucian Ad Somn． 6 т $̂ \nu \nu$ прò aủroû $\sigma \kappa a \pi \tau 6 \nu$－ $\tau \omega \nu \nu^{\prime} \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \alpha \mathrm{s}$ каi toútous $\pi \eta \delta \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \nu$
 Whether the $\sigma к а ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ was an actual trench or only a strip of soil loosened with the spade，as in the English long jump，it is hard to say，Flavius Philostratus speaks of the dauger of hurting the limbs in the leaping match． It is obvious that the distance of the leap was measured along a given direction；but that there

3 каi тє́คav тóvтоьo тá̀доעт’ aiєтó． 40<br><br><br> $\delta \iota \dot{\kappa} \kappa \nu$

＇ $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau$ ．$\beta^{\prime}$.

## I í $\gamma \epsilon i t o ~ \pi a \nu \tau o i ́ \omega \nu \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ ．ai $\delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ v ̈ \mu \nu \eta \sigma a \nu$ $\Delta ı o ̀ s ~ c i p \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̀ \nu ~ \Theta e ́ т \iota \nu ~$

was a maximum limit of length is incredible．See my note on P． 1． 44 ，which applies as well to the leap as to the discus or javelin throwing．As for Hor．Od．1．8． 12 saepe disco，｜saepe trans finem iaculo nobilis expedito，the exercises of the campus are referred to，not regular games；again，the passing of the finis is a credit，not a disqualifica－ tion．Phayllos and Chiônis are said to have leaped beyond the $\sigma \kappa a ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$（which Eustathios calls collectively $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ モ̇бка $\mu \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ ，misunder－ stood by Philipp to mean marks of the several leaps，by Dissen to mean a transverse trench bounding the end of the leaping．ground）．Their achievement does not appear to have been a disadvantage．Any official mark of distance would be for a warning to spectators and a guide to competitors，not a check on their performances，or else merely the boundary of the space which under ordinary circumstances was sufficient for the particular exercise．The Schol．on this pas－ sage of Pindar says $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ цєтафорà $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \omega ि \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha ́ \theta \lambda \omega \nu^{*} \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 $\beta \delta \theta \rho o s$, éká $\alpha \tau 0 v$ тò ä $\lambda \mu \alpha$ ठєเкขús．It is not correct to make a distinction between this $\beta \dot{\theta} \theta \rho o s$ and $\sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \mu a$ ． The Schol．seems wrong in saying סєเкиús．Ė入aфpóv Metri causa． ass．$\epsilon \lambda a \phi \rho a ́ \nu$ ．For termination cf．

N．2．14．ópuáv＇A spring．＇
$21 \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda o v \tau$＇＇Shoot．＇The con－ text shows that the poet is thinking of a spring．The swift straight flight of the eagle may well be described as if it were the result of one impulse，like the flight of a stone or a javelin．Note the al－ literation with $\pi$ ，cf．N．4．54－56．

22 ס́ Introduces the subjects just announced，beginning with б $\lambda \beta$ os，v．19．kal keivos Böckh．
 P．3．55，also $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \in \kappa \in \nu \omega \nu \nu \in$（Mss．）， O．6．102．In O．2． 99 каі кєіроя ought to be read from late ass． The only case in Pindar where the MS．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon L \nu$－occurs without crasis of кal or elision of $\epsilon$ before it is in a corrupt fragment，No． 114 ［102］， from Clemens Alex．＇To them too，＇ as well as to Kadmos；cf．P． 3. 89，90．Dr Sandys on Eur．Bacch． 877－881 quotes Theognis 15 Moi－ баı каi Xáptтes коüpaı $\Delta$ tós，aï тотє

 Tò $\delta$＇oủ ka入òv oủ $\phi \grave{\lambda}$ ov $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$ and Plat．Lysis 216 с кıvঠıvєúєц кат
 єlvar．This saying might well be introduced into the account of Pêleus＇honorable repulse of Hip－ polytê．äєь．Graphic imperf．， as also $\dot{\alpha}$ रєĩг below．

[^3] $\pi \epsilon \delta a \hat{\sigma} a \iota$




55 'Ет. $\beta^{\prime}$.

 aitretvol̀ 入óyol.

 oủpa $\frac{1}{}$


$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} \gamma^{\prime} .
$$

1 $\gamma а \mu \beta \rho o ̀ \nu ~ П о \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta a ́ \omega \nu a \pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma a \iota s, ~ o ̂ s ~ A i \gamma a ̂ \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \pi o \tau i ̀ ~ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{a} \nu$ $\theta a \mu a ̀ ~ \nu i ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a l ~ ' I \sigma \theta \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \Delta \omega \rho i a \nu . ~$

27 छuvâva For $̧$ ̧uváova ef. P. 3. 48. 'Having beguiled by cunningly devised tales her husband, the king of the Magnêtes, to be her accomplice,' not 'his friend.' Cf. Aesch. Prom. 559 éovoıs azaүєs
 троу. For бкото̀̀ ef. O. 1. 54, 6.59, P. 3. 27.

28 токк $\lambda . \mathrm{Cf}, v, 42$ for echo.
29 'For she concocted a lying fiction.'

30 ápa 'Forsonth,' 'as she said.' Cf. for âpa=ăpa Soph. El. 1179 and Jebb's note.

31 єv̉vâs 'Union,' cf. O. 7. 6, 9. 44, I. 7. 30.

32 тарфацє́va 'Trying to beguile him.' Cf. O. 7. 6i5, 66 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\delta} \hat{o}^{\prime}$ ӧркод $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma a \nu \mid \mu \grave{\eta}$ тарфа́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$, 'utter an oath guilefully.' $\delta$ ' áp' Rauchenstein. Mss. $\delta \epsilon$. alтєเvol
-'Bold,' 'wanton'; uttered under influence of stupendous (aimis, q.r.) passion. The combination of blameworthiness and loftiness occurs in Bacchyl. 13. 11 üßpıos $\dot{u} \psi \iota \nu$ óou, Aesch. Prom. 18 tท̂s oj $\theta$ oßoúhou $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu i \delta o s ~ \alpha i \pi \imath \mu \hat{\eta} \tau a \quad \pi a \hat{\imath}$, where the epithets are nearly correlatives. Lat. praeceps.

33 छєเv. тат. Zєis 気évios.
34 opotvєфทs Epithet of Zeus the thunderer, cf. O. 4.1.

35 ஸ̈ $\sigma \tau^{\prime}$ Cf. Thuk. 8. 86 є̇та $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \backslash \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ ய̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \beta \circ \eta \theta \in i \nu$, (ioodwin M. anl T. §588. Render, 'to the effect that.'

36 тovtiâv Heyne. Mss. mov. riav. $\pi \rho \alpha^{\prime} \xi \in t v$ 'That he (P'eleus) would be requited with.' Cf. P. 2. 40. Of course $\pi$ cioaus refers back to Zeus. Cf. I. 7. 27 for the myth.

37 रapßoóv As husband of


 $5 \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．тì $\delta^{\prime}$ Aǐíva $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ，Ev̉日ú $\mu \in \nu \in \varsigma$ ， 75
 ＇$A \nu \tau . \gamma$＇．
 о́ о́бттороу є้рдоя，Пvөє́a．

80
 ＇A $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ．

Amphitritê Poseidon was connected by marriage with the Nereids． Alyâtev Probably the Achaean Aegae，cf．Il．8． 203.
38 єข้фpoves โגal＇Festive throngs．＇Cf．N．4．1．Dissen thinks Poseidon and the Isthmos are mentioned because Phylakidas was preparing to compete at the Isthmian games．For $\mu \nu \ldots \theta \epsilon \delta \nu$ cf．Od．6． 48 ク̈ $\mu \nu \nu$ é $\gamma \epsilon!\rho \in$ Navoıка́av

39 Especially in the pankra－ tion．

40 тóт $\mu$ os $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in v \eta$ ற́s Cf．I． 1. 40，P．5．16．＇The destiny that attends a man＇s race．＇
41 Cookesley points out the exception to Monk＇s rule that $\theta$ ebs is not fem．with a proper name added，and compares Soph．Antig． 800 قєòs＇Aфpodíta．Mss．$\theta \in a ̂ s$. Note the position of Eü讠úueves．

42 токк $\lambda$ ．An echo from v． 28. E४quvas＇Thou didst hansel．＇Eu－ thymenes was a pankratiast；see I．5．60－62．Cf．I．2． 26.

43 uss．read $\eta_{0}, \mu_{\text {．K．．．}}, \tau \in \mathrm{c}$ s
 $\theta$ éas，which is unintelligible．As Euthymenes is the principal theme of the preceding and succeeding sentences，he is presumably the subject of this parenthesis，and кєivov（or кeivos），if sound，must
refer to him and not，as Mommsen suggests，to Pêleus．Eathymenes， like Pêleus and Telamon，has won victory and song，and so illustrates
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \pi \alpha \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．Through his vic－ torious uncle Pytheas is brought into kinship at once with victory， and with Pêleus and Telamon． Render the text＇Verily for having hastened in the track of thy ma－ ternal uncle he is doing honor to thee，a scion sprung from the same seed，＇i．e．from Themistios，another link between Pytheas and Pêleus and Telamon．The superfluous indication of the meaning of $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \omega$ is an impressive reference to $v .40$ ．
 Donaldson＇s view that $\begin{gathered}\text { e } \theta \nu \text { os }=' \text {＇blood }\end{gathered}$ relation＇is untenable．The reading of $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \omega \sigma^{\prime}$ as $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \omega s$ would at once tend to the change of $\tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu$ and кeivos．The change of époos may be independent，for if the $\rho$ were illegible $\epsilon \theta$ vos would be a natural guess．
44 ăpapev Cf．N．3．64．In Pindar ápape means＇is connected with＇in some way，＇fits，＇＇suits．＇ Here Nemea＇comes nest，＇i．e． ＇follows Ægina＇s lead＇iu being the scene of the second victory won by Euthymenes．Note the periphrasis for the Eginetan month

 5 є่ $\sigma \lambda о i ̂ \sigma \iota \mu a ́ \rho \nu a \tau a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota ~ \pi \alpha \hat{\sigma a} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma$.
 ' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.
 є $\mu \mu \epsilon \nu$. 90
 c фமváv, àvà ס’ iбтía тєîvov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \zeta u \gamma o ̀ \nu ~ к а р \chi a \sigma i ́ o v, ~$
 סıтло́av

 $\mathrm{X} \alpha ́ \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$.

Delphinios, April or May, when the Æginetan Delphinia or Hydrophoria and perhaps the Pythia at MIegara were celebrated. $\mu \in l / s$ $\tau^{\prime}$ For $\mu \dot{\mu} \nu \ldots, \ldots \epsilon$ cf. O. 4. 1s. The instances collected by Mr Bury tend to establish my explanation.

48 Gùv тúxa Cf. N. 4. 7, 6. 25. Menandros' aid was somehow secured by public effort.

50 Themistios was Euthymenes' father, the victor's maternal grandfather, according to the best explanations. For ikets with ace. cf. Soph. Phil. 141.

'Wax warm' in his praise. Dissen cites frigeo Cic. ad fam. 11. 13, Verr.4.25. $\delta$ ( 8 ot For this imperative cf. O. and P. p. xxx; for the phrase of. Eur. Iph. in Taur.

## $1161 \delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ' ' $\pi$ о

51 'Set thy sails full.' For the metaphor cf. P. 1. $91 \epsilon_{\xi} \xi \epsilon \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \sigma$ -
 Dissen cites Plat. Protag. 338 A.

53 deєtáv For the acc. cf. $v .5$ supra. For the meaning 'victory,' 'glory,' cf. I. 1. 41. For the connexion of the Graces with victory cf. P. 6. 2, N. 9. 54, 10. 1. Tpoीúp. Alak. Themistios had been victor at the Aeakeia, and his statue in the pronaos of the Aeakeion still bore 'crowns of grass and flowers.' Probably crowns of flowers bound with grass are intended. 0. 7. 80 $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ к $\nu \iota \sigma \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$ по $\mu \pi \alpha ́$ is obviously irrelevant. Note the present tense $\phi \epsilon \bar{\rho} \epsilon \iota$, but the aorist ${ }^{\text {è }} \lambda \in i v$.

## NEMEA VI.

## ON THE VICTORY OF ALKLMIDAS OF AEGINA IN THE BOYS' WRESTLING MATCH.

## INTRODUCTION.

Aummidas, son of Theon, one of the clan of the Bassidae (v.32), was trained by Melêsias of Athens, and therefore probahly won before 01.80 .3 , B.c. 458 , about the same period as the rictory celebrated in 0.8 , gained by another pupil of Melêsias. The poet appears to have been engaged by the clan or Melêsias rather than by the victor himself. According to K. A. Miiller the Bassidae were Heracleids. That the poet composed the ode at Aegina has been inferred from távó vâoov (v. 48); but this is not conclusive, cf. P. 9. 91, O. 8. 25.

This ode, like N. 5, insists on hereditary excellence, tò $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in \in s v$. s, and, like 0.8 and N. 4, celebrates the Athenian aleipta Melêsias. Ir $v .48$, 49 clearly echo-with aioav heterometrically recurrent-v $\tau .13,14$.

The reinforced tautometric recurrence $\mu \in \theta \epsilon \in \pi \omega \nu r \tau .59,13$ is significant, suggesting that amid the praises of Aeakidae and Bassidae the poet is mainly concerned with the career of the victor Alkimidas; for $\pi a i s \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ar'́vios taítav $\mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \in \pi \omega \nu \Delta i \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ aî $\sigma \alpha \nu$ is echoed by $\mu \in \theta \epsilon \in \pi \omega \nu \delta i \delta u \mu o \nu \ldots$ $\tau \circ \hat{\tau} \tau$ रapú $\omega \nu$ $\epsilon \hat{u} \chi o s \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu$ ä $\pi 0$, so that we have four recurrences of which one is tautometric. The exact responsion of Пoбelóavlov v. t2, to ' $\mathrm{I} \sigma \theta \mu \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}$. 19, may be intentional. The exact responsion of $-v \in \sigma \iota r v, 38$, 15 is curious.

The last two feet of the sixth verse with the seventh verse of the strophes and antistrophes have met with hard usace from scribes or grammarians. Critics have in most cases altered every place. My latest text, which is more conservative than that of my first edition, alters four places out of the six, viz. all except 'Avt. $\beta$ ' and 'Avt. $\gamma^{\prime}$. Boeckh alters

seriously). It would only bewilder the student to record the various conjectures which have been propounded.

Bergk changes $\tau \epsilon \pi \notin \phi a \nu \tau$ ' to $\pi \ell \phi a \nu \tau$ '. 'A $\lambda \tau . \alpha^{\prime}$.



$\kappa \alpha \beta \beta a ̀ s$ to катаßàs. $\Sigma \tau \rho$. $\gamma^{\prime}$.
$\bar{\epsilon} \pi l \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \kappa \sigma \iota \iota$ to $\bar{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \ell \kappa \sigma \sigma \iota$. 'Аעт. $\gamma^{\prime}$.
For the resolution of the first syllable of the irrational choree in


The mode is Eolian ; the metre logavedic.
Strophe.


Epode.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \sim \sim|L| \sim u|\sim u| L|\smile \cup v| ட \rrbracket \\
& 2 \quad \sim \cup|\cup \cup \cup|-\smile!レ]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \quad \sim \cup|\sim \smile| \sim \smile \mid-\smile-\wedge]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7,8 \smile \vdots-\cup \mid\llcorner||-\dagger \simeq|\llcorner|\sim \cup|-\cup \mid-\wedge] \\
& 9 \quad\llcorner|\sim \cup| \sim \cup|\sim u|-\wedge]
\end{aligned}
$$

Vv. 1-4 and $v v, 5-7$ of the strophe form two periods, the first antithetic, of 7.8.8.7 feet, the second perhaps palinodic, of 4.6.4.6 feet. Vv. 1-3 and 4-9 of the epode form two periods, the first antithetic mesodic of 7.4 .7 feet, the second perhaps antithetic of 5.7 .7 .5 feet. The ratio of the periods is thus 3 to 2 in the strophe, 2 to 3 in the epode. The strophe is mainly composed of second and third Glyconies.

[^4]
## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-7. Men and gods are of common origin but have diverse powers, get men, for all their ignorance of the future, are a little like immortals.
8-11. The victor's family illustrates this. For its powers are shown in alternate generations.
11-25. Celebration of the success of the victor and his ancestors.
25-27. No other fanily has won more boxing matches.
27-29. The poet's high praises are true and proper.
29,30 . He invokes the Muse to glorify the victor.
30,31 . Bards and chroniclers revive the memory of great deeds.
32-46. Such as those of the Bassidae which the poet enumerates.
47-56. Praise of older Aeakidae, especially of Achilles.
57-59. But the present achievement is ever most interesting.
59-63. The poet willingly undertakes the doulde duty of proclaiming the twenty-fifth victory of the clan.
63-65. The lot disappointed them of two Olympian victories.
66-end. Melêsias as a trainer is as pre-eminent as a dolphin is for swiftness among creatures of the deep.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . a^{\prime}
$$



1 'The race of men (and) of gods is one and the same, for we have our life from one and the same mother (「aia). But difference of faculties distinguishes us, inasmuch as the one \&c.' Commonly read after the mss. $ॄ \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu, \varepsilon ้ \nu$ $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$ र'tuos. Most commentators render in effect, with Cookesley, 'The race of man is one, the race of gods is another, though both are created of one mother. But a totally different power distinguishes (the two races), since the one is worthless, but the firm heaven eternally remains an imperishable mansion (for the other). Yet we resemble them to a certain degree.'

My version is admissible even without the insertion of kai. The presumed $\hat{\varepsilon} \nu-\hat{\varepsilon} \nu=$ ' one ' - 'another' demands illustration. If, on the other hand, there is a metrical division after $\dot{\alpha} \nu \bar{\partial} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, the likelihood of which can be seen at a glance, the order is equivalent to ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu, \varepsilon^{\circ} \nu \dot{\alpha}$. $\theta . \gamma$. Cf. O. and P. p. xxv. As the Greek for 'one' occurs thrice in the space of so ferw words, each and all of the three must be intended to emphasise the idea of unity. The asyndeton is not inappropriate in a solemn conjunction of opposed ideas. Cf. P. 3. 30 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \nu \mid$ oủ $\theta$ єòs ov̉ $\beta$ pootòs


 є́ $\delta$ os


Cf. Soph. Trach. $1160 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t \omega ̂ v$

 lowing stemma exhibits the common descent of gods and men from Gaea.


Pandôra Promêtheus

## Human race


 'Wholly,' cf. Madv. § $86 a$; or 'in every case,' cf. N. 5. 16. кєкриц́́va Cf. Hes. Scut. Herc. 55 ' $І \phi \iota \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \ldots \kappa \epsilon \kappa р \iota \mu \epsilon ้ \nu \eta \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \eta \eta^{\nu}$, i.e. distinguished from Hêrakles. Schol. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau a ́ \beta \lambda \eta \tau o s \geqslant \eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \kappa \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \in ́ \eta \eta$, the latter is clearly right. For the construction of the participle and substantive ef. O. 9. 103, I. 7. 12 ठєîนа $\pi \alpha \rho о \iota \chi \dot{\jmath} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$, N. 9. 6.

3 ws The Schol. explains by тобои̂tov $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon$, a Herodotean use found in Xenophon and Attic poets with antecedent expressed (Madv. $\S 166 c$ Rem. 2). This then is open to question. In the cases where $\dot{\omega}=$ 'for' or 'since' it introduces a cause, not, as here, an illustration which comes nearer to effect than to cause. The closest parallel I know of is Eur. Hippol. $651 \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime}$
 ßоилєú $\mu a \tau^{\prime}, ~ \epsilon \epsilon \xi \omega \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa ф \epsilon \rho о v \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho \delta \sigma-$ $\pi 0 \lambda o l \mid$ ís ('just as') кai ov́ $\gamma^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ v$

II atpos, ê како̀ к ка́ра, | $\lambda є к к т \rho \omega \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \theta i \kappa \tau \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ '̇s $\sigma v \nu a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \alpha ́ s$. Dindorf takes the $\dot{\omega}$ s as exclamatory with a full stop before it, 'How manifest it is that...' $X{ }^{\alpha} \lambda_{\kappa \in o s}$ Cf. I. 6. 44, P. 10. 27, Il. 5. 504, 17. 425. So Milton Par. L. 7. 199 'chariots winged | From th' armoury of God, where stand of old | Myriads between two brazen mountains lodged | Against a solemn day.' áoф. ai. 'єठ. Cf. Hes. Theog. 126 「aîa $\delta \epsilon$ tol $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\omega}$ $\mu e ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \gamma \epsilon i \nu a \tau o ~ i \sigma o \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \alpha v \tau \hat{n} \mid$ Oúpavòv

 $\dot{a} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda$ ès alel.
$4 \pi \rho о \sigma \phi \dot{\rho} \rho о \mu \epsilon \nu$ 'We resemble.' Cf. Frag. 19 Oทрòs $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho a i o v ~ \chi \rho \omega \tau i ~$ $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \nu 60 \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$. The tragic fragment quoted by the Schol. on


 тoע $\mu$ épos $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \lambda i c l a s$, does not seem to the point. If $\nu$ óov, ф'́бוv or any part or aspect of self be expressed the middle is not required to further indicate self. Still Dissen's observation remains true that compounds of $\phi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$ are not seldom used intransitively, e.g. d̀ $\nu a \phi \in \rho \in \iota \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu, \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon เ \nu$, which bear the same sense in active and middle (while $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu=$ 'to be different,' $\pi \rho о \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$, ن́ $\pi \epsilon \rho \phi \epsilon \rho^{\rho} \epsilon \iota \nu=$ 'to excel ${ }^{3}$ ). ${ }^{\prime} \mu \pi \alpha \nu$ Refers back, though followed by каimєр. Cf. N. 4.36. The poet seems to regard a knowledge of the future as the most distinctive characteristic of divinity. For man's lack thereof cf. 0. 12.7-9, I. 7. 14, Bacchyl. 10. $\left.46 \tau \grave{\partial} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{} \right\rvert\,$


## 55 ขóov グтo九 фv́бıv à $\theta a \nu a ́ t o \iota s$,  то́т $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ я 10 

 А $\nu \tau$ ．$a^{\prime}$ ．

5 ทै．．．ที๋тol Rare or unique order： ク̈rot，グтot－$\gamma \epsilon$ usually precede $\ddot{\eta}^{\prime \prime}$ ． The rot shows that the godlike physique is more common than the godlike mind．Cf．Thuk．6．34， 2，40．1．фv́бเv＇Physique．＇Cf． I．3． 67 oủ रà $\rho$ фú $\sigma \nu$＇$\Omega a p t \omega \nu \epsilon i ́ a \nu$ ${ }^{\prime} \lambda a \chi \in \nu, i b .5 .47$ ．Pindar in these places includes beauty and strength as well as＇stature＇for which Soph． Oed．Rex 740 is quoted．Note that $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a v$ is emphatic．Only the finest specimens of humanity，which show likeness to divinity，are $\theta \in 0 \epsilon \iota \bar{\partial} \eta$ ， $\theta \in о$ еікє

6 éфацєріа⿱ For form cf．N．3． 2. For adj．used adverbially cf．v．39， O．13．17，7．31，Eur．El．860，

 ท̇vias $\chi$ єpoîv．$\mu \in \tau \alpha$ vúkтas＇Night by night．＇Critics have altered to кат $\dot{\nu}$ ．（Pauw），vuxlav $\boldsymbol{l}$（Hartung），
 Tiv＇ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon$（Rauchenstein）；but $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$ gives enough support．

7 oíav $\tau v v^{\prime}$ So Böckh after Hermann for mss．äע $\tau t \nu^{\prime}$ and ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \tau \tau \nu \nu^{\prime}$ ． е＇үpa $\epsilon \in$ Cookesley renders＇marks out，＇the $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \mu a$ being the $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \dot{\eta}$ ， the line marking the end of the course．Cf．P．9．118．Dissen translates jussit proprie，legem scripsit．For $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \theta \mu a \nu$ ef．Eur．Ion $1514 \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ oìa ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \lambda \theta \circ \mu \in \nu \sigma \tau a ́ \theta \mu \eta \nu \beta i o v$ ． Both these constructions need ${ }^{a} \mu \mu \iota$ and also $\delta \rho a \mu \in i v \pi \delta \tau \iota$ ，for which， however，see P．9． 123 бikov｜фúh $\lambda$＇ ধ̈ $\pi \iota$ ．Mezger quotes P．6．45，wrong－ ly both there and here，explaining $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \mu a \nu$ as＇die Messschnur，die Schmitze，welche durch den Röthel
mit dem sie gefärbt ist die Linie bezeichnet，nach welcher man sich zu richten hat．＇But it is precisely the lack of guiding lines which the poet asserts．Render＇to run to what goal Destiny（as $\left.{ }^{2} \gamma \omega \nu 0 \theta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \eta s\right)$ enters our names．＇The goal is a crisis of success or failure in a man＇s struggles and hopes of тò $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \notin \rho \in \iota \nu \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \theta a v a ́ r o t s . ~ T h e ~ i s s u e ~$ of such struggles is ever unknown， and their direction may have to be changed unexpectedly．Note that $\pi o \pi i$ with the accus．cannot possibly mean＇by＇either here or P．6．45，or anywhere else．Note that érpa $\psi$ ev is a gnômic aorist（so too éooo $\alpha a \nu$ v．10，${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \alpha \rho \psi a \nu$ v．11）and should not be translated＇has marked out， has prescribed，vorgezeichnet hat．＇ This passage is recalled by Eu－ ripides，Orest．981，$\beta$ pot $\omega$ ข oे＇$^{\prime}$ ó $\pi$ âs $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau a ́ \theta \mu \eta$ тos aî̀v．

8 kal vôv So best mss．，but so too P．9．71．＇So in the case before us Alkimidas gives proof to be seen that the genius of his race is like that of corn－bearing tilth．＇tò ouyyevés Dissen＇s interpretation must be right，as the alternation in successive generations extends over the victor＇s family and is peculiar to it．For the phrase cf． P．10．12，where the sense is limited to the manifestation in one indi－ vidual of hereditary qualities．In fact tò $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu$＇s in its widest sense is whatever is derived from $\pi \delta \tau \mu$ os ouy $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { vin＇s I．1．39，N．5．40．The }\end{aligned}$

 ouryeveis，which is inoluded in my




$$
\tau 0 \iota
$$

20

 $\pi \epsilon ́ ф а \nu \tau а \iota$

25

 b татрота́тороs о́ $а \iota \mu i ́ o v$.
 30

interpretation. I think the word 'genius' may include the idea of тот $т о$ о.

9 For general sentiment cf. N. 11. 40. Mezger is unhappy in torturing this simile into a suggestion of the nature of Earth, the common mother of all. The family peculiarity is an instance of the mutability of human affairs which prevents men knowing their future.

10 ék $\pi \in \delta \hat{\ell} \omega \nu$ The Triclinian Mss. omit $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$, but $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \overparen{\eta} \bar{\xi}$ Tavò $\nu$ is supported by Hếs. IV. and D. 607 (605).

11 dvaтavoápeval 'After lying fallow again (aũ c) attain strength.'

12 éparâv 'Delightful,' because he had been victorious.

13 тaútav...aïà 'This career (the athletic, just referred to in $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \theta \lambda \omega \nu$ and $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} v a \gamma \omega \dot{\nu} u o s\right)$ allotted by Zeus'-cf. $v .7$-either as $\pi \Delta \tau \mu$ os or a divine minister of $\pi \delta \tau \mu$ os (cf. Bacchyl. 17. 26 f. $\pi \in \pi \rho \omega \mu \hat{\nu} \nu a \nu \mid$

 and for the exact sense of aloa, cf. N. 3. 15, v. 49 infra. $\mu \in \theta \in ́ \pi m \omega v$ 'taking kindly to.' Leaf 'making his own.' Dissen wrongly cps. 0 . 3. 31.

14 ä $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \rho \frac{s}{}$ Not altered from
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu-\mu \rho \rho o s$, the original sense of $\mu \delta \rho o s$ being preserved in the compound. व $\mu \phi$ Cf. P. 5. 111; N. 1. 29; I. 4. 55.

15 то́סa vє́ $\mu \omega \nu$ Cf. Soph. Aj.
 which I explain, lit. 'Will you not move off this pasturage as to your (with) returning foot?' The $\pi 6 \delta a$ would not be added to the middle but for the ä\%oppov, which however Jebb takes as an adverb. Rather

 $\nu \epsilon \mu \omega \nu$, 'guiding his tongue-.' For metaph. cf. N. 5. 43.

16 одаинiov 'Of the self-same strain.' It is not superfluous to indicate identity of blood between grandsire and grandson à propos of $\tau 0$ ovy $\sigma \in \nu^{\prime} s(v .8)$. The adjective insists upon to $\sigma$ ovyevès causing the grandfather's qualities to be exhibited in the grandson. Hermann needlessly о дасхиіоv.

18 émápkє Mss. have lost _-, not $-\sim_{0}^{-.}$Böckh è $\lambda a l a s$,
 Mommsen $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \ell \frac{\delta \rho a ́ \pi \epsilon \nu \text {. For the }}{}$


g êtaváe $\lambda$ á ${ }^{2}$ av





fact cf. Paus. 6. 18. 5. Praxidamas won, $\pi v \gamma \mu \hat{\eta}$, Ol. 59 . It seems to me evident that a verb is missing. Of course a lacuna is assumed. Experts in ductus litteranum seem to ignore the possibility of complete defacement.

21 'He put an end to the oblivion of Sôkleidas,' by causing him to be proclaimed as a rictor's father. Or was he grandfather, int $\rho$ r. being 'best'?

22, 23 The comma mitigates the hiatus before ös, but perhaps $\Sigma \omega \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \delta a^{\prime}$ should be read. $\boldsymbol{i \pi \epsilon р т . ~}$ 'Most distinguished.' 'Aүทनt. Note the almost possessive dative. Cf. O. 9. 15. үéveтo 'proved to be.' The implication is that Alkimidas' father is the most distinguished son of Praxidamas. As Agêsimachos does not seem to have been a victor, the alternative of $v v, 8-11$ is limited to three generations.
24 Érel Most editors except Bergk and Mommsen read $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon l$ oi. But one Schol. makes oi the pronoun, referring it to Sôkleidas. Foi Cf. Bacchyl. 1. 17, Tûv ( $\pi$ al-

 P. 11. 55, I. 3. 50 тє̇入os ăkpov, Simon. Frag. 58 [32]. 6 ès äxpov àv $\partial \rho \epsilon$ ias, Theokr. 1. 20.

25 éyєúбаขтo Cf. P. 10. 7, $\gamma \in \dot{v} \epsilon \tau \alpha l$ रàp dé $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$, I. 4. 20. Túxa Cf. N. 4. 7, 5. 48, P. 2. 56 тò
 äpıotov (which should perhaps be rendered, 'to be wealthy with the kindly aid of fate is far better than cleverness'; in my first volume I followed Dissen. For vopias äpt-


 also O. 8. 67, P. 8. 53. In Pindar rúxa means (1) whatever man encounters or attains by the overruling guidance and influence of higher powers, (2) such guidance and influence, when the power is mentioned. The only point of contact between this túx $\alpha$ and our chance is its áфáveta to mortals.

26 dं $\pi \epsilon$ фávaro Gnômic aorist and causal middle (see on N. 9 . 43); 'is wont to cause to give account as steward of more crowns in its penetralia than all Hellas (besides can number in one family). The voice and tense are generally ig. nored, with the rendering 'Boxing has ordained,' and $\mu v \chi \hat{\varphi}$ is taken with 'E $\lambda \lambda$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi$. after Il. 6. 152, é $\sigma \tau \iota$
 $\beta$ bototo; but the phrase is intolerable. The use of Foîoo for 'family' is like our use of 'house.'


 ơ̂pov є่ $\pi \epsilon \in \omega \nu$

＇A $\nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.





 aîرa тáтрas
；хрибалаки́тои тотє̀ Ka入入ías àठòv
＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \beta^{\prime}$.
＂є́pvєбь \atov̂ऽ，тарì Kaбтa入ią $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{Napí} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{\nu}$

27 For metaphor cf．O．1．112， 2． 89, N．1． $18,9.55$.

28 бкотой a̋vта Mingarelli ； for دیs．ävta бкотоиิ．Probably a gloss supplying the omission of one of these words was incorporated into later wss．out of place．B． reads $\tau \epsilon \tau \cup \chi \epsilon i v$ ，and a Schol．records a v．l．âv $\tau \in \tau v \chi \in i v$. Though in Soph．Phil． 629 ây is with，not after，ė̀ $\pi i \sigma \alpha \iota$ ，Thuk．vii． 61 тঠे $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$
 gives some support to ăv $\tau \epsilon$－．But the less positive construction，even were it amply supported，would be out of place here．

29， 30 ä $\neq \kappa . т . \lambda$ ．So mss．See Introd．

29 ஸ̂t＇Cf．P．10．54．iels Cf．Soph．Aj．154，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ خ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$
 tov Sc．oโkov．oũpov Cf，0．9．47， P．4． 3 ．

30 єủk $\lambda \epsilon$ îa For acc．sing．$\epsilon$ ủ－ $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma$ ，regularly contracted into
$\epsilon \dot{\beta} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \hat{a}$ or shortened into $\epsilon \dot{u} \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́^{\alpha}$ ．
31 入óytor So mss．Böckh入órot．Cf．P．1．93，94，v． 47 infra． $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ к．$\sigma \phi$ ．＇Their noble needs．＇ For quasi－possessive dat．cf．v． 23.

33 ápóтаıs Cf．P．6．1－3，N． 10． 26.

34 ข̋ $\mu$ ．．．．єр $\frac{1}{\rho}$ ．Cf．N．4． 83.
35 áyä́áa Its meaning as shown by its usage should prevent connexion with dyäós．Bacchyl． 3． 52 є́s ára $\theta \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu . . . \Pi v \theta \dot{\omega}$ ．

36 aîua In apposition with Ka入入ías．So Hor．Od．2．20．6，non ego pauperum sanguis parentum．

37 ảdóv＇Having found favour with．＇Artemis and Apollo were with Lêto patrons of the Pythian games．For ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota$ cf．I．3．63， Soph．Oed．Col． 1108 由ै фiגтar＇ $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \rho \nu \eta$ ，and the use of $\theta \alpha^{\prime}$ रos，ösos． Cf．Bacchyl．5． 86 ff ．Tis ágaváт $\omega \nu \mid \vec{\eta}$
 х日ovl；
b є́ $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho เ o s ~ o ́ \mu a ́ \delta \omega ~ \phi \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \nu$.


e тípaбє Побєıठávıov à̀ тє́ $\mu \in \nu$ роs.
f ßотáva тє́ ขív
$g \pi o \theta$ ' a $\lambda$ éoขtos



$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \gamma^{\prime} .
$$





+ тє́татаı $\delta^{\prime}$ є́тí $\tau \epsilon \chi$ Óva каì ठıà $\theta a \lambda c i ́ \sigma \sigma a \varsigma \mid ~ \tau \eta \lambda o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu ~$

 $\nu \in i ̂ \kappa o s{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \chi^{\iota} \lambda \epsilon$ ùs

39 'Was lauded with loud chorus of songs,' i.e. in the $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \mathrm{s}$. In P. 5. $42 \phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ is used thus, but transitively; intransitively but literally 0. 2. $72 . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi$. For adverbial adj. cf. v. 6, Aesch. Sept. c. Th. 6, 72, 80, 81, Il. 17. 384 тoî $\sigma \iota$
 For light-words applied to sound cf. O. 1. $23,93, \mathrm{~N} .3 .84,9.41$, Aesch. Sept. c. Th. 100 ктúmoע бєборка, Soph. Oed. R. 126, Phil. 201.

40 The 'impregnable causeway through the sea' is the Isthmos of Corinth.

41 Kpeovt. Of course this may be the name of an individual, but probably the Schol, is right in saying it is Kallias' patronymic, as the $\sigma \epsilon$ shows a close connexion between the two names, and Pindar generally specifies relationships.

43, 44 'The lion's herb ' is the parsley of Nemea.

45, 46 For the two adjectives
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa ., \dot{\omega} \gamma$. cf. O. and P. p. xxiv.
 Schmid. Hermann v $\omega \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \tau^{\prime}$ ク̆ $\rho \in \phi \epsilon$ jaokios. Relative to Nemea the Phliasian mountains to the South and West are shadowless.

47 Cf. I. 2. 33, 3. 19 and 20. The notion of bringing classifies the inf. коб $\mu \in i \nu$ as an inf. of purpose, ef. Soph. Oed. R. 198 and Jebb's note.

48 тávठє For the demonstrative cf. P. $9.91 \pi$ т́d$^{2} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \delta^{\prime}$.

49 If al $\sigma \alpha \nu={ }^{'}$ lot,' $\sigma \phi \iota \nu=$ тois $\nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega$ таıs. If $\alpha i \sigma \alpha \nu=$ 'prescribed path, career,' $\sigma \phi \iota \nu=\lambda o \gamma l o t \sigma \iota \nu$. See note on aioav N. 3. 15, 16.

52 émầтo 'Extended its swoop.' Darbishire, Relliq. Philol. p. 51 , separates $F \alpha \lambda \tau 0$ from ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \mu \alpha$, . Pindar neglects the digamma (as
 usage. The Homeric poems retain the digamma twice $\in \mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \dot{a}^{\lambda} \mu \in \nu 0 s$, cf. Curt. Greek Verb (Trans.) p. 26.


7 ё $\mu \pi a \iota \epsilon ~ \chi а \mu a \grave{~ к а \tau а ß a ̀ s ~ a ̉ \phi ’ ~ a ́ \rho \mu a ́ т \omega \nu ~}$




5 $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \pi a \nu \tau i ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \delta o \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~$



100
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi \cdot \gamma$ ．
 b＇А入кıцíס＇，ő тоь є̇та́ркєбєข



＇A $\chi \iota \lambda(\lambda)$ є่̀s $\chi \alpha \mu a l$ ка $\beta \beta \dot{a} s$（ $\kappa \dot{a} \mu \beta a s$ ） $\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}$ ．The corruption of ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi a L \varepsilon$ （graphic impf．）to ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma^{\prime}$ ，after the， misplacement of＇ $\mathrm{A} \chi i \lambda \epsilon u$ s，was easy．

54 For theme cf．Nem．3．61－ 63, I．4．41， 7.54.

55， 56 kal．．．kai Cf．N．2． 1.
56 á $\mu$ aॄ̆七тóv Elsewhere o óós is not expressed，but the adj．is used as a substantive，cf．v． 47.
$57 \pi \alpha \rho \pi 0 \delta l$ vaós＇By the sheet of a vessel，i．e．close to the $\pi \rho \omega \rho \in u$ s． Others understand＇the keel＇or ＇the steering paddle．＇Mrr Cecil Torr has shown，Ancient Ships，pp． 95,96 ，that here and $O d .10 .32$ ， Soph．Ant．715－717，Eur．Or． 706 f．\＆c．the $\pi$ ovs means the corner of the sail terminating in and held by the leeward sheet；so that the rendering＇sheet＇is correct enough，but required explanation．

58 入є́ $\notin \tau a\llcorner$＇It is a proverb that．＇For кvдáт $\omega \nu$ дá入ıбта cf． Theogn． 173 quoted $v .25$ supra．

Sovєโ̂v $\theta u \mu$ ．Cf．Bacchyl．1．40，41，



59 The＇double burden＇is the praise of the clan and the praise of the victor．$\mu \in \theta \in \in \pi \omega \nu$ Cf．v．13，
 9．83，13．97，P．4． 268.
$60 \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau \% \nu$ For scansion ef． P．9． 114.

62 MSS．＇A $\lambda \kappa \iota \mu l \delta a s ~ \tau o ́ ~ \gamma ' ~ Є ̇ \pi a ́ p к є-~ . ~$
 victories were counted to the clan， not to Alkimidas，is proved by the succeeding súo，of which only one refers to Alkimidas．émápk．intrans．

65 äv日＇＇Crowns，＇cf．O．2． 50，＇I $\sigma \theta \mu$ 乞̂ $\tau \epsilon$ коьval Xápıtєs ăv $\theta \in a$
 It seems hardly probable that the ＇random lot＇can refer to the pairing of the competitors；for to be drawn with antagonists who were too strong for them was to be de－ feated on their merits，and the poet
f $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi i v i ́ \kappa \epsilon \nu$
g тáxos $\delta{ }^{\prime}$ "̈̈ $\lambda \mu a s$
и їбоу єїтоцць Me入ทбíav

was hardly likely to recall such unpleasant reminiscences. But if one of their antagonists drew one or two byes, they might well be too much exhausted to throw an acknowledged inferior, who was comparatively fresh. It is therefore manifestly quite possible that the honors of the wrestling match, especially of that for boys, did not always rest with the technical victor. Perhaps however the number of competitors sent from Aegina was limited by lot. Of course it is possible that A may be able to throw B by a particular trick by which B is baffled, and that similarly B can throw C and C can throw A ; so that if B and C drew together A would throw D and B and win, whereas if A draws with C, B wins; but still, if A be defeated, it is a poor consolation to hint that he might have won had he been differently paired. But one Schol, seems to have had ä $\nu \theta$ ous $\pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} s \kappa \lambda a ̂ \rho o s$, and explains that premature growth of hair excluded them from the boys' wrestling match!

66 For the simile of. P. 2. 51, Frag. 1, 6. 'I will say of Melêsias as a trainer elicitiug skill and strength that he is equal to a dolphin as to speed through the brine': i.e. as the dolphin is unsurpassed in speed, so is he unsurpassed in his profession. For ávioxov cf. Simon. Frag. 149 [206] $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \theta_{\iota} \theta \epsilon \delta \dot{-}$



 Bergk proposes $\epsilon i k a ́ s o l \mu$ or $l \sigma a ́ S o \iota \mu$, Bury loov $\sigma \pi o i \mu u$, giving the exact metre of the two corresponding lines. A Schol. says davil tồ í $\sigma$ ov

 spaced words, however, do not seem to be commented upon, but only transcribed. Pindar uses the Epic
 Frag. 142, but always ( 12 times) ioo- when not part of a compound. In this epode $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi-v$. 66 corresponds to two short syllables. For mention of the aleipta at the end of the ode cf. N. 4.

## NEMEA VII.

## ON THE VICTORY OF SÔGENES OF AEGINA IN THE BOY'' PENTATHLON.

## INTRODUCTLON.

Sûtenes, son of Theirion, of the fanily of the Euxenidae, of Aegina, won the victory commemorated in this ode in Ol. i9. 4, B.c. 461 , aceording to Hermam's alteration of the impossible date Nem. $1 \delta^{\prime}$ in the Schol. to Nem. $\nu \delta^{\prime}$, the 54th Nemead. The Schol. gness on to state that in the Nemead 17 the pentathlon was introducel at Nemea. I do not think it right to alter this late, as it is possibible that to it the date of Sôgenes' victory was erroneously assimilatel ${ }^{\text {* }}$. The competitors all contested at the same time and were placed in each kind of trial, only being paired for the wrestling, which came last; the order being-1. leaping, 2. meming, 3. discus-kerling, t. spect-throwing. The victor only had to beat his rivals in three contests out of the five. Generally the wimer in the discus-throwing would not win in the ruming. The wiestling took place in the heat of the afternoon ( $c a, 7,7,73$ ). It maly have been permissible for the judges to omit the wrestling, if a competitor who was known to be a good wrestler and in wrestling condition had already secured the victory. Or again, such a victor might have been excused from wrestling contested to settle second and third places. In the 2nd, 3rd and the contests there was a line which must not be over-stepped before throwing or starting ( $c: 71$ ). I think that Souggenes had over-stepped this line and so lost the spear-throwing after winning in the leaping and discus-throwing. According to the Schol. ( $i .94$ [65]) the poet had given offence to

* That the pentathlon was introduced before Nem. vy' is proved by Hêrod. 6. 92, 9.75 (Bergk).

Fginêtans in a Dithyramb sung at Delphi by his version of Neoptolemos' death. The Schol. tells us that Aristarchos' pupil Aristodemos explained the invocation of Eileithyia as referring to sôgenes being the child of Theirion's old age, which view is said to be confirmed by an epigram by Simonides. The name Sôgenes nuggests that the hope of offipring was small until he was horn. Aristodêmos also says that Pindar had seemed to represent Neoptolemos as having gone to Delphi $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad i \epsilon \rho o \sigma \vartheta \lambda i ́ a$, Schol. e. 150 [103].

The various suppositions proposed by myself and other's to invest sundry phrases with special appropriateness are superfluous and vexations. Holmes is particularly unhappy in inferring from $c \cdot .90 \mathrm{ff}$. that Sôgenes had not been duly filial. If an elderly man tells a lad to be grood, he need not be supposed to imply that he had been bad. It is not necessary to accept even the story of the offensive Dithyramb, and it is idle to assume that Thearion was unpopular or needed any consolation, or that there are political allusions in the poem, or that Thearion had a relative afflicted with feeble health or was himself an invalid or had contended in games and failed. The following simple account of the connesion of thought is amply sufficient. The rapid transition through ei. 17,18 from youth enjoying the favor of Eileithyia, the Fates, and Potmos to Death is perfectly natural to the moralist and poet and is from an artistic point of view startlingly effective, lut it may well have sounded to Sôgenes and his friends harsh and ill-omened as the scream of a vulture. To this harsh note the poet-after repeating it with slight variations $v v .30,31$ and $42-$ refers $v<.75,76 \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a v$
 foreboding of evil by the prayer of $v e .98-101$. Moreorer the theme of Death leads up to the mythical portion of the ode which treats of the triumphs, wanderings, ignominious death and consequent apotheosis of Neoptolemos. The selection of this topic is relevant for more than one reason. Neoptolemos was more of a victor than any other of the Aeakidae and was the nearest approach to a Boy Victor presented by the Mythic cycles of Hellas. He was also the victim of inhospitality, and his posthumous honors vindicated the principles of hospitality, which the poet naturally has much in mind when celebrating an Euxenid (as Mr Bury has observed), see $v i .43,48,61,70,86$. Yet again as a $\tau \in \theta_{\text {vakàs }} \beta$ oíaoos he was a permanent $\theta$ eapos at Delphi and so comected in illea with
the son of Theirion, even if Theârion had nothing to do with the theôri of N. 3. 69, and his resting-place by Apollo's temple is a parallel to Sûgenes' home by the $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \dot{\rho} \eta$ of Hêrakles. After asserting (ic: $11-16$ ) that noble deeds can only be rescued from oblivion by song-it topic which, recurring $v v, 20-23,31,32,51-$ $53,61-63$, forms one of the leading motives of the ode-the poet takes occasion to vindicate and illustrate his method, namely that of tempering encomiums with warning, advice, and even censure. He is a moralist and teacher whose inspirations are not held in
 form an apposite parenthesis, illustrating the nature of the highest kinds of fame (к入є́os є́т $\dot{\tau} \tau \nu \mu \nu \nu v .63$ ) and song. Second-rate heroes like Odysseits depend for fame on false praise, while an Ajax or a Neoptolemos can afford to have their failures and faults mentioned. It is further implied, $v v .20-27$, that success is often undeserved and that unmerited failure or humiliation is but a stepping stone to ligher honor than ever. Thus we are prepared to recognise the wanderings and the ignominious death of Neoptolemos as loridges connecting triumph with triumph. Whatever Pindar may have retracted about Neoptolemos, the notice of his death ( $c .42$ ) would without the context have been brutally offensive. Sôgenes' failure in the spear-throwing, $v x$. $71-73$, entailed toil and danger, but made his victory after all more praiseworthy; so that he is brought into line with Ajax and Neoptolemos, and the allusion to his mishap is rendered a source of gratification, and gives extra zest to the praise which, if kept up indiscriminately, might cloy ( $2 v .52$, 53 ) and prove monotonous ( $\mathrm{vv} .104,105$ ).

This ode is full of repetition-with variations-of sentiments and of verbal echoes (with some phonetic edhoes), as may be expected in a poem in which several motives are artistically blended into a harmonious though diversified whole. Some of the echoes are effective. For instance тò $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu \dot{b} v$. $7 \frac{1}{4}$ (the syllable $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi$ - constituting a whole choree) is tautometric with $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \pi \nu^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu-\tau$. 55 , and we understand that part of Sôgenes ${ }^{\prime}$ delight is the honey and roses of song ( $\mu$ é $\lambda \iota \tau .55$ recalling $\mu \in \lambda$ iфpov' aiciav $v$. 11) with due divátavoıs; the negative particles are tautometric in $\tau \tau, 18$ and 60 in which occur $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \epsilon \nu$ and $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$ respectively, so that, taking these verses with rv. $25,32,52 \mathrm{f} ., 63$ and 68 f ., we see that Theirion's ouveous is that of a $\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ capable of appreciating the poet's method. The exact ceho $\pi 0 \lambda \iota-(v .85)$ of $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \iota \nu(v .35)$ shows that the echo
of mokle r. 9 is not intended to be significant, any more than that of



 nearly so with $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho a \theta \epsilon \sum \omega ́ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon$ v. 70.

The heterometric recurrences are very frequent. Some naturally express the dominance of ideas, as the five instances of hospitality noticed

 $\tau v .21,32,51, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon-v v .16,21,48,104, \pi \circ \mu \pi-, \pi \epsilon \mu \pi . v \tau .29,46,72$. Also
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \epsilon \pi \omega \nu v .10$, and suggests that Sûgenes' cheering, sprightly affection for his father is to be in part a reward for his father's having helped him to become a victor, and $\pi 0 \lambda v-\ldots \ddot{\mu} \mu \nu \omega \nu \quad \tau .81$ recalls $\pi \delta \lambda \nu \nu \quad \ddot{\mu} \mu \nu \omega \nu \quad \tau$. 13, and
 parallel between Sugrenes and Neoptolemos, and $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \delta 0-\tau .98$ is an effective
 vv. 22, 49, єủ $\delta \alpha \iota \mu \nu-v v .56,100$.

Lastly we find $\lambda \alpha \chi-4,54, \mu \epsilon \lambda_{\iota} 11,53$, бкото-13, 61, кало-14, 59, трото-14, 103, 入ıтаро-15, 99, өоа- 28, 72, батєоिо-34, 83, тор-36, 71, $\chi \rho о \nu о-39,67$, є $\dot{\omega} \omega \nu v \mu-48,85, \beta \iota \tau-54,98, \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi-69,74, \pi а \tau \rho a-70,8.5$, $\phi \rho \in \nu$ - or $-\phi \rho o \nu-1,3,11,26,60,67$, and other repeated radicals.

The mode is Eolian, the metres are logaodic and choreic.

## Strophe.



* Incisio except v. 94.
+ Incisio in 5 verses out of 10 .
$\ddagger$ Caesura in 7 verses out of 10 .

Epode.

IV. 1-4 and 5-8 of the strophe form two periods, the first antithetic mesodic of 43.434 .34 .34 feet with a choreic mesode; the second palinodic of 6.7.6.7. The Epode is an antithetic period of 5.5.6.6.55 feet.

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-8. Invocation of Eileithyia, to whom men owe life and glorions youth. Men are destined to divers careers, but she has given Sôgenes glory as a Pentathlete.
9,10. (No wonder.) For he divells in the city of the Eacids.
11-16. Victory gives a pleasing theme to poets without whom achievements are covered in oblivion.
17, 18. Wise mariners wait for a good wind, and do not suffer loss through impatience for gain.
19, 20. Rich and poor must equally die (and be forgotten unless the rich be immortalised by song).
20-23. Homer by his art gave Odysseus too high fame.
23, 24. Most men are blind of heart.
24-30. Had men known the truth, mighty Aias would not have slain himself.
30,31 . Death is the common lot.
31-34. But the honor of those whose fame God cherishes lives.
34,35 . Who visit Delphi (as perhaps Sôgenes intended).
35-48. There lies Neoptolemos after noble exploits slain there, that an Eacid might preside over Pythian rites.
48, 49. Three words suffice; that witness presides over games with perfect honesty.
$\therefore 0-52$. Aegina furnishes examples of glory.

[^5]F. II.
vv.
52, 53. But too much praise is distasteful.
$54-58$. Our lives naturally differ, no one attains prosperity in every respect.
58-60. Theariôn enjoys a reasonable amount-a reputation for bravery and unimpaired intelligence (so that he can do the poet justice).
61-69. The poet's defence against the charge of having calumniated Neoptolemos.
70-79. Praise of Sôgenes with
80-84. Adoration of Zeus.
84-86. Who beeame the father of Aeakns that he might rule over Aegina and be a comrade to Hêrakles.
87-89. Now a good neighbour is a supreme blessing.
89-94. Such is Hêrakles to Sôgenes.
91-101. Prayer to Hêrakles for Sûgenes and Theâriôn.
102-104. The poet resumes his protest that he hats not spoken disrespectfully of Neoptolemos.
104, 105. To repeat the same thing three or four times is foolish.
$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} a^{\prime} .
$$

 ă้ $\nu \in v \quad \sigma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \nu$
3 ov̉ фর́os, ov̉ $\mu$ ć $\lambda a \imath \nu a \nu$ Spaкévites єủфpóval


1 'Enct(日via Also Elnelovia and 'Eגєv $\theta \dot{\omega}=$ 'The Deliverer.' Moıpâv For their attendance at births cf. O. 1. $26 \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon i \nu t \nu(\Pi \epsilon ́ \lambda о \pi a)$ ка日apov̂

 $\pi a p \notin \sigma \tau a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ t $\boldsymbol{M}$ Moipas.

2 Cf. Hês. Theog. $922 \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ ("Hpa)
 $\kappa \tau \epsilon \nu$. Hêra (cf. v. 95) and Hêbê prepare the way for the invocation of Hêrakles, vv. 86 ff . The four opening verses are suggested by the name and age of the victor.
$\mu є y a \lambda o \sigma \theta$. Applied to Zeus by Bacchylides 17. 52.

3 Sракє́vtes Cf. P. 2. 20. This is the participle of the gnômic aorist, cf. N. 1.62. The contrasted $\mu \in \hat{\lambda} \alpha \nu a \nu . . . \epsilon \cup \cup \phi p$. leads up to the contrast of death and obscurity (vv. 19, 13) with youthful life and fame ( $\phi \alpha \in \nu \nu$ al $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \alpha l$ v.51). For the asyndeton cf. N. 6. 1, Frag. 217 $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ т̀े $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ oủ $\pi \hat{v} \rho$, oủ бıঠápeov teîरos, P. 3. 30 oủ $\theta$ ès oú $\beta$ potós.

4 è $\lambda a ́ x \circ \mu \varepsilon v$ Echocd by $\lambda a \chi b$ vtes


 10

＇A $\nu \tau . a^{\prime}$ ．


v．54．á $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ó $\gamma$ ．Cf．Bacchyl． 17. $103 \mathrm{ff} . \dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda a \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \epsilon$ रuí $\omega \nu$ $\sigma \epsilon \hat{\lambda} \alpha$山̈ $\sigma[\tau \epsilon] \pi v \rho o ́ s . ~ T h e ~ r a d i a n t ~ l i m b s ~$ of the youthful athlete are sug－ gested quite as much as those of the goddess．The suggestion that Pindar had a work of art before his mind＂transports us from the realm of poetry to the realm of prose．＂I erred in suggesting that dj$\lambda a b \gamma$ ．may be causative through not seeing that the personification shades off into abstraction at the end of the verse．Or we might say＂The force of the adjective is that the＂ajخaia＂may be com－ municated＂；but then we get perilously near the realm of prose． Cf．Tyrtaeos 10． 27 f．עéoьஎ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau$＇


 note）．

5 ¿ンaлvє́оцєv Rendered＇live，＇ or＇aspire，＇but is it a metaphor from running and other exercises， ＇gather breath for equal efforts＇ （cf，N．8．19）？For＇live＇Cookes－ ley quotes Soph．$A j$ ． 415 ả $\mu \pi \nu 0 a{ }^{2}$ モモХогта，＇while alive．＇

6 єіррүє Schol．ঠtaкш入úєt，＇re－ strain，＇＇check．＇＇For we beneath the yoke of Destiny by divers checks are severally held．＇Cf．N． 6．2．For suyévo＇，siss．juyóvo＇，cf．
 бv̀v $\delta$ è тiv Refers back to ăvєu
$\sigma \epsilon \in \theta \varepsilon$ v．2．Eileithyia cooperates with the Moirae and Potmos．

7 kal＇Even so，＇in spite of lets and hindrances．Note the respon－ sion of $\Sigma \tau \rho$ ．$\delta^{\prime} v .7$ Eủ $\xi \epsilon \nu i \delta \alpha \pi a ́ r \rho a \theta \epsilon$
 virtute distinctus，comparing Soph． Phil． 1425 á $\rho \in \tau \hat{\eta}$ тє $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau$ тоs є́ккрь $\theta \in i s$ отратєú $\mu a \tau o s$. Don．compares the use of крıтós，P．4．50，1．7． 65. The Schol．interprets by éккрєто̀s $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu 0$ s．Cf．N．4．1，note on $\kappa є \kappa р \iota \mu \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ ．

8 ảe $\ell$ ．Cf．P．8． 25 áe $\ell \delta \in \tau \alpha . .$.
 dंєiঠєтаи．

9 філо́ $\mu$ одтог Cf．Frag．1． 6 f． （of the Dorians of Aegina）$\tau \alpha \mu l \alpha \tau \tau$ $\sigma \circ \phi 0\} \mid$ Moıoâv ả $\gamma \omega \nu i \omega \nu \tau^{\prime}$ áé $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$ ．

10 Alak．The Dorian citizens of Aegina ；cf．N．3． $28 . ~ \mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \alpha$ ， к．т． ．＇And right glad are they $^{\text {a }}$ to foster a spirit conversant in contests．＇For ả $\mu \phi \in \pi \epsilon \iota$ cf．P． 9. $70,3.51,108$ ，where the object is a person，while v． 91 infra，here and I．3． 77 the object is an at－ tribute of the subject．The Schol． is wrong in suggesting that the reason for their zeal is because Pêleus had invented the pentathlon， as à $\gamma \omega v i \neq$ refers to all kinds of contests．For the dative with $\sigma \dot{v} \mu$－ $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu$ Dissen quotes Od．3． 23 oủס́
 and explains the dative as giving the force of＇making trial of one＇s
$3 \epsilon i \delta \epsilon ̀ \tau v ́ \chi \eta$ тis $\epsilon ้ \rho \delta \omega \nu, \mu \epsilon \lambda i \phi \rho o v{ }^{\prime} a i \tau i a \nu$

з бко́тор то入̀̀v v̋ $\mu \nu \omega \nu$ є้ $\chi о \nu \tau \iota ~ \delta є о ́ \mu є \nu a \iota . ~$


## 


self in an occupation，＇not merely， ＇trial of the occupation，＇cf．Lat． jure peritus．The preposition in $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \frac{\nu}{\text { accounts }}$ for the dative $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu$（q，the sense being＇essaying trial in commexion with contests．＇

11 тúxŋ For $\epsilon l$ with subj．cf． my note ou P．8．13．For turxáve
 $\pi \epsilon \iota \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ á $\gamma \omega \nu$ las $\pi a \rho a \lambda u ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \delta v \sigma$－ фроуаิv，P．3． 104 хрウ̀ $\pi \rho$ о̀s цака́р $\omega \nu$ тіүхávovт＇єủ $\pi \alpha \sigma \chi$ ́́ $\mu \in \nu, v, 55$ infra． Pindar uses $\epsilon \in \delta \omega \nu, \epsilon_{\rho} \rho \xi \alpha / s$ with refer－ ence to contests four times out of mine instances（eight participles），
 $\mu \in \lambda i \phi \rho o v^{\prime}$ aitiav＇A motive for honeyed thoughts．＇For poaîol cf． $r .62$ infra，and I．6． 19 клuтaís $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ คooī $\sigma \nu$.

12 evté ${ }^{2} a \lambda_{\epsilon}$ For the gnômic aorist in hypothetical constructions cf．Goodwin M．and T．§466．The metaphor seems to be from throw－ ing some herb or other object of worth into a scanty spring with an incantation to procure an abun－ dant flow of water．The idea is recalled，vv．61， 62 infra．à ${ }^{\prime} k \alpha l$ Distributive－＇feats of endurance．＇ Pindar uses $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \alpha$ in reference to the pentathlon，pankration，wrest－ ling and boxing．

13 ＇Dwell in thick darkness if they lack the light of song．＇Here $\dot{\epsilon} \chi . \sigma \kappa \delta \quad \sigma \nu$ is a construction similar to $\dot{\epsilon} \chi$ ．$\chi \hat{\omega} \rho o \nu$ ，＇be in a place．＇One is reminded of＂He maketh dark－ ness his secret place．＂There is no more connexion between бко́тог or $\begin{gathered}\text { éoortpon and poaía than there }\end{gathered}$
is between $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \lambda \hat{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu$ doı $\dot{\delta} a i ̂ s$ and кâtov 0．9．22－27．The poai nourish ă $\nu \theta \epsilon \alpha$ ï $\mu \nu \omega \nu$ ，cf．O．6．105， 9．48．Cf．also N．6．65，10． 26. Note the involved order，$\ddot{\mu \nu \omega \nu}$ and ÉXovtı being transposed．Dissen quotes Eur．Frag．875． 8 ì $\delta^{\prime}$ eủ $\lambda$ á－
 phrase．

14 そँซomtpov Eren the victor himself cannot appreciate his omn exploit without the poet＇s aid．The spread of his fame reacts on his own mind，and poetic treatment reveals to him an elerated and idealised representation of his achievements and position．Cookesley aptly quotes Hamlet 3．2＇Anything so overdone is from the purpose of playing，whose end both at the first and now，was，and is，to hold，as＇t were，the mirror up to nature；to show virtue her own feature；\＆c．＂ Elsewhere Pindar speaks of the im－ mortality conferred by verse；here he speaks of immediate distinction． Evi ouvv трóтب＇On one condition only，＇lit．＇in connexion with one way．＇

15 Cf，O，14． 18 for हैкать＇by faror of．＇Mnêmosynê was a Titanid，daughter of Uranos and Grea，mother of the Muses by Zeus．Hêsiod，Theog．915，calls her daughters хрьซд́итикєs，cf．Р．3． 89. Bacchyl．1． 19 f．has $\lambda \iota \pi a p \omega ิ \nu . .$. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu \omega \nu$ and 5． 13 хрибá $\mu \pi v к o s$ Oupapias．

16 єüp $\eta$ тal MSS．єíp $\quad$ Trai Tis the pronoun being clearly an incor－ porated gloss intended to show that

the verb was the subj. mid., not the perf. pass. For $\tau$ is understood cf. Soph. (Ed. Rex 314 ăvôpa $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i v$
 $\pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$, O. 6.4. к $\lambda \nu \tau \alpha i \bar{s}$ 'Through glorifying strains of verse.' For causative use of adjective cf. 0.1 . 26, 105, 6. 76, 11. 4, P. 4. 81, 216, 9. 11, N. 8. 40. The implication is that Sôgenes has justified his name by delivering his father and himself from all $\sigma к$ ко́os, even that which death-as is immediately hinted-brings except to those $\dot{\omega} \nu$ $\theta \in \dot{\partial} \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \dot{\partial} \nu$ aǘg $\eta$ 入óoov. But this is not fully revealed until we have the whole ode in our minds. $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \pi \epsilon\end{gathered}$



17 ' Wise poets know that a wind is due in three days, nor are they hampered by considerations of gain': vimò кép $\delta$ є七 Cf. Hês. Theog. 862-866 TÉXVך ÜT' aiگ̀ $\bar{\omega} \nu$ and
 voфol The meaning of this passage has been obscured hitherto by interpreting 'skilled mariners' instead of poets; the metaphor ought to be confined to the phrase $\tau \rho \iota \tau a i=\nu$ ă $\nu \epsilon \mu 0 \nu$. The ship of Sôgenes' fortunes is sailing before a gentle breeze over a smiling sea under a bright sky; but the poet, soaring far above the present, has a vision of imminent storm and gloom (only to be averted by divine favor) which reminds him of the fate of Aias and Neoptolemos, and draws forth the warning cry of $v v .19,20$. His employers may prefer that he should reiterate flattering praise and confine himself to what is radiant and delightful in the past and present, but he must confer the
immortality of song ( $\kappa \lambda$ द́os $̇$ є̇ $\tau$ ท́ru $\mu$ ov) in his own way as deity inspires him. $\quad \beta \lambda{ }^{\prime} \beta \in \nu$ Don. alters the Triclinian $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\partial} \ldots \beta \dot{\alpha}$ रो $\quad \nu$ to $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \ldots \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda o \nu$. But the Vatican $\dot{u} \pi \dot{o}$ ... $\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \epsilon \nu$ gives the best sense 'trammelled,' i.e. prevented from the free pursuit of their craft. Cf, Theogn. 387 ( $\pi \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime} \eta$ ) $\beta \lambda a ́ \pi т о \nu \sigma^{\prime}$ é $\nu$
 $\kappa \eta$. We might understand with
 Theârion can appreciate this free utterance, as we learn from $v .60$ that his understanding is untrammelled, Moîpa...бúve $\sigma \iota \nu$ oủк $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \beta \lambda \dot{a} \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \quad \phi \rho \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, where two sounds are echoed, oủ-, tautometrically. If there is any significance in this responsion, the subject of ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu a \theta_{0} \nu$ and $\beta \lambda a ́ \beta \epsilon \nu$ must be poets, for $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ cannot mean merely readiness to pay for an ode. Moreover interpretations on the line proposed by Dissen and others, namely that it is wise to pay a poet and chorus, assume that there is a complete break between $v v .18$ and 19 , whereas I make death an instance of äveuos, which means 'storm-wind' several times in Pindar. Dissen and others also assume that $\kappa \epsilon \in \rho \delta \epsilon \iota$ is equivalent to $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \alpha$, or $\phi \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\imath}$, which is an illegitimate application of the saw "a penny saved is a penny gained."
19 Өavátov $\pi$ t́pas á $\mu \alpha$ srss. Oavátov тapà $\sigma a ̂ \mu a$, against the metre. Böckh $\theta a ́ v a \tau o v \pi \dot{a} \alpha \rho a \mid \theta a \mu \dot{~}$ ( $=a ̈ \mu a)$. Wieseler, Schneidewin and Mommsen give the text. 'Wend their way together (cf. Il. 7. 335) to the bourn of death.' For $\theta a v$. gen. of definition with $\pi$ épas cf.




30
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.

 $\tau v \phi \lambda o ̀ \nu \delta^{\prime}$＇$ौ \chi \epsilon \iota$
 35

｜reipas out $\pi \iota$ बavátou（the rest of the sentence supporting my view of $\alpha \nu \epsilon \mu \circ s)$ ，Il．16．502，Mimnerm． 2 ［2］． 5 ff．K $\hat{p} \rho \in s ~ \delta غ े ~ \pi \alpha р є \sigma т \eta ́ к а \sigma \iota ~$

 dar often uses verbs of motion tran－ sitively，without a prep．Bury＇s
 as after a verb of motion，Soph．dj． 73，Eur．Or．111，$\pi$ ápos $=$＇forth from，＇＇to the front from the in－ terior．＇Of course the wrong division $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \quad \sigma \hat{a} \mu a$ would be changed by a corrector to napa $\sigma \hat{\mu} \mu a$ ．

20 ＇$\lambda \pi$ тоцаи＇I believe that the renown of Odysseus came to tran－ scend the reality，＇$\hat{\eta} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \theta a \nu(\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \nu)$ being equivalent to $\hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ar $\frac{\text { er }}{} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \theta \in \nu$ ． Old mss，read $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \alpha \nu$ ，new $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ． For ${ }^{\prime} \wedge \pi_{0}=$＇believe＇cf．Frag．39． 1 т $\delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \pi \epsilon a \iota \sigma о \phi l a \nu{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$ ，Theogn．
 є̈ $\tau v \mu a$, Asch．Sept．c．Th． 76 छॄvขà $\delta^{\prime}$ er $\lambda \pi i \zeta \omega \quad \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \omega$ ，where Verrall quotes Plato Rep．p． 45 a $\epsilon \lambda \pi i j \omega . .$. ѐ $\lambda \alpha \tau \tau о \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu a ́ \rho \tau \eta \mu \alpha$［rival］．Cf．also N．4． 92.

21 ＂Opךрог Probably the Lesser Iliad or the Acthiopis is meant． Cf，on N．8．23－32．

22 甘eúX．Fol＇His characteristic falsehood．＇Cf．O．9． 15 Ө＇́ mes $\theta u$－ үáтทp $\tau \notin$ Fol．．．Ev̉voula．Of course for＇her daughter＇Fou is not
wanted，but in such cases a posses－ size or quasi－possessive pronoun of the third person suggests that the relationship or connexion is pe－ culiarly close or appropriate，e．g． that the daughter closely resembles or is peculiarly dear to the mother． In these two instances and N． 10. 29 For is equivalent to a case of obs， Fós，or éós．Cf．also $\sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota$ P．9． 82. тотаvâ $\mu \alpha x a v a ̨$＇Power of making winged．＇Cf．note on P．1． 41 and P．8．34，रpéos（＇debt of praise＇）， $\epsilon \mu \hat{a} \pi$ тота $\nu \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i \quad \mu \alpha \chi \alpha \nu a ̨, ~ P . ~ 9 . ~ 92, ~$ $\sigma \iota \gamma a \lambda o ̀ \nu \dot{a} \mu a \chi a \nu i a \nu$ ，＇Lack of poetic power that bringeth silence．＇For sentiment cf．O．1．28，29，Thuk． 1. 21．1．$\tau \in$ Supplied by Hermann． Bury＇$\mu \phi$ from P．8． 34.
$23 \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ v \pi t$＇An air of salem－ nity＇which induces belief．For er $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ Dissen quotes Aristoph．

 ＇Poetic skill．＇Cf．P．1． 42 ．к $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \epsilon^{-}$ $\pi \tau \epsilon \imath$ тара́ fora．For suppression of object cf．P．2．17，＇Beguiles us by the seduction of epic nora－ lives．＇

25 É Tad Böckh．MSS．モ̇à or
 öpinos．$\tau \dot{\alpha} v \alpha^{3} \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ ．That to him belonged the клє́os єंт $\dot{T} \tau \cup \mu o \nu$ and $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha}$ which no human decision could impair，since for him $\theta \in d s$ $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \dot{\partial} \nu$ avis $\epsilon$ 入órov．For $\tilde{\eta}^{\nu} \nu$ with




' $1 \nu \tau$. $\beta^{\prime}$.
30 1 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ’ I \lambda o v ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu . ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ к о \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ै \rho \chi є \tau a \iota ~$
 See yíveтal,


accusative pronoun of. ci $\eta$ with acc. pron. O. 1. 115, P. 2. 96, I. 1. 64. Yo $0 \omega \theta$ es 'Have been infuriated at the loss of the arms and so....' For causal gen. cf. Il. 1. 65 ci l ' ${ }^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}$ ' $^{\prime}$ on $\gamma^{\prime}$ $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \omega \lambda \hat{\eta} s \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \phi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ єї $\theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha т \dot{\prime} \mu \beta \eta s$, Soph. FEd. R. 698, Philoct. 1308, Ant. 1177 marple uŋvlaas фóvov,


26 о карт. Al. 'Alias the stout champion.'

27 ôv кра́тьбтоข...коцібац 'For he was the noblest... whom the wafting of...Zephyros conveyed in swift ships to recover....' For inf. cf. Gdwn. M. and T. § 758. For subject of. 11. 2. $768 \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ av

 $\phi$ ¢́татоs $\hat{\eta} \epsilon \nu$.

30 ad $\lambda \lambda \alpha \ldots$.... $\alpha{ }^{2}{ }^{\prime}$ 'But (his might and bravery did not save him from a sad fate) for....' For коцlíc relating to Helene cf. O. 13. 59.

 0. 1. 99 f.

31 кरิน' 'Ait $\alpha$ For metaphor of. passages quoted on N. 4. 36. áóóntov 'Ingloriously even on a glorious hero.' Cf. O. 2.28 iv kail

 'and' can intervene between a proposition and its noun. P. 2. 10, 11
$\delta i \phi \rho o \nu \mid{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu\left(=\dot{\epsilon} s\right.$ as here) $\theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ atp $\mu a \tau a$, illustrates the position which is assumed for the preposition by Dissen and others who render ' on the inglorious and the glorious.' Paley renders ' unexpected, as well as on him (one?) who is looking for it' (so too Merger). It is questionable whether $\delta о \kappa \epsilon \omega \nu$, used absolutely, would bear this sense; and the rendering certainly is inappropriate to a suicide. My version suits the cases both of Ais and Neoptolemos. Note the change of tense; ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \chi \in \tau \alpha \iota$ covers all time, $\pi \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon$ refers to many points of time. $\quad \tau \mu a ́$ Dissen points out that this 'honor' is higher than mere $\lambda$ bros, 'fame,' which latter only was enjoyed by Odysseus, while Ais aud Neoptolemos gained the former also.

32 áßpóv Extension of the predicate. 'Rears to dainty (or 'luxuriant') growth.' Pindar twice uses $\kappa \hat{\delta} \delta o s \dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \rho \delta \nu$. For the metaphor cf. N. 8. 40, 9. 48. Note the contrass with $v v .20-23$. aṽŋ̧ Schol., Mss. avis $\epsilon$. For absence of ac ( $\kappa \epsilon$ ) cf. N. 9. 44, Goodwin M. and T. 540.

33 ßoa日ó $\omega v$, vol mapd̀ $\mu$. Text Didymos, mss. $\beta$., (or full stop) moi ràp $\mu$. Hermann, Dissen and others read $\beta$ oäbou, vol $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \mu$.

 7 т $\hat{\imath}$ каì $\Delta a \nu a o i ~ \pi o ́ v \eta \sigma a \nu * ~ o ́ ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̉ \pi o \pi \lambda є ́ \omega \nu ~$
 $\tau \epsilon \varsigma$.

55
＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \beta^{\prime}$ ．
a Mo入oббía $\delta^{\prime}$ є’ $\mu \beta a \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon v \epsilon \nu$ ỏ $\lambda i ́ \gamma o \nu$



 нахаípa．
＇Namely of champions who would come，＇dre．The Schol．on $v .68$（46） tells us that the god used to invite certain heroes to $\xi \in \nu \downarrow a$ at Delphi ； perhaps $\beta$ oatbol was a frequent title of such guests．Dissen＇s reading gives us＇to succour them when dead．＇
$34 \mu \mu^{\prime} \lambda_{0} \nu$ ass．${ }^{*} \mu 0 \lambda \epsilon$ ，the sin－ gular being ascribed to Didymos by the Schol．Vet．Mezger reads $\tau \hat{\omega}$ for toi，$v .33$（comparing P．5．21），
 jots．It is needless to alter further than to replace the recorded $v, l$ ． $\mu \delta \lambda o v . \quad-i \tau \epsilon$ MSS．$-\iota \delta \epsilon$ ．
$37 \Sigma \kappa$ र́por The home of Neopto－ lemos＇mother Dêidamia，daughter of Lykomêdes．Böckh needlessly
 For－av $\pi \lambda a \gamma \chi=$ ，the only instance of an irrational long syllable in this foot，cf．oixt $\omega \nu$（with synizesis）v． 65. ＇Eфúpav In Thesprôtia，afterwards called K ＇ रupos（Strabo 7．324）．

38 Cf．N．4． 51.
39 ф＇िєєv For the verb＝haluit Dissen compares N．3．18，I．6． 21. The tense takes us up to the aboli－ tion of kingly rule in Epeiros．
40 Fol＇This dignity in his honor．＇Cf．$\pi$ átpq v．85．The order
prevents us taking of with $\gamma^{\hat{k}}$ vos，as in $0.9 .15, v .22$ supra．$\quad \pi$ рòs日єóv To Delphi，to the Pythian Apollo．

41 ктéav＇＇Precious objects．＇ Cf．O．6．1， 4 （Фıá̀av）корифàv $\kappa \tau \in a ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ．All यss．except the two Vatican give $\kappa \tau \in \dot{\epsilon} a \tau^{\prime}$ dad $^{2} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu$ ，a false correction of ктєадáy $\omega \nu$ ．For $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о$－ $\theta t \nu$ ich cf．O．2．4．The sense is here almost proleptic，prime spoils set apart for offerings being indicated by the term for＇offerings of prime spoils．＇dxpoo．Gen．of definition， cf．$v, 19$ ．

42 ＇Where he met with a brawl about flesh in return（for his offerings）and a man（Maxaıpeús） smote him with a knife．＇The posi－ tion of $\nu \nu \nu$ should have been quoted by Dissen for his insertion of $\sigma \epsilon$ between $\pi$ ori and $\pi$ ávia $\lambda$ bo $\gamma 0 \nu$ ， P．2，66，rather than the Homeric formula $\tau \hat{\eta} \quad \mu \ell \nu \quad$ é $\epsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \hat{\prime} \nu \eta$ п $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon$－ фُّ́ $\nu \in$ Il．3．389，cf．Madv．§ 80. Such an order as we have here is rare except with $\pi \epsilon \rho!$ ，and even in this case the preposition is gene－ rally at the end of the clause or else close to the verb．Compare also Kallinos 1． 20 ひ̈бтєр $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \nu$


# $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \gamma^{\prime}$. <br> 1 Bápvע $\theta \epsilon \nu$ dè $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \grave{a} ~ \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i ̀ ~ \xi \epsilon v a \gamma \epsilon ́ \tau a l . ~$ <br>  <br> талаєта́т $\omega$ 

453 Aiaкıठầ крєóvт $\omega \nu$ тò 入oוтò̀ ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$
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the preposition úrt́ $\rho$ Dissen quotes Eur．Phoen． 1326 ク̈коубє тє́кขа
 $\ddot{\eta} \xi \epsilon \iota \nu \beta a \sigma i \lambda \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu \quad \delta \dot{\sigma} \mu \omega \nu$ ü $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ．The slaughter suggests one of the various uses of the Delphic knife， cf．Aristot．Pol．1．2，which was very likely a broad two－edged knife， with a point and a hook at the end．Reject Dissen＇s explanation of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \tau v \chi \epsilon i v=$ forte incidere like the Homeric $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \in \iota$（de industria adire）$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \in \mu o t o, \& c$. The Schol． says that Neoptolemos was various－ ly said to have gone to Delphi to consult the oracle about Hermionê＇s barrenness，or to sack the temple， or to demand satisfaction from the god for Achillês＇death（so Euri－ pidês），and that he was slain by the Delphians or by Machaereus．There is another version that he was slain by the machinations of Orestes， Eur．Orest．1654－6，Andr．1085－ 1165，who persuaded the Delphians that he intended sacrilege．Pindar＇s account of the visit is not incon－ sistent with Euripidês＇，but their accounts of the reason for the attack upon him differ substan－ tially．

43 The uss．reading $\beta$ ．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma$ à $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o l$ is an interesting case of dittography wrongly corrected by leaving out the $\delta \epsilon$ in the proper place．

44 According to Pausanias（1． 14）the Pythia herself ordered his slaughter．

45 ＇Funeral sacrifices were offered up to him（Neoptolemos）at Delphi every year（Paus．10．24．5）， and he was commemorated first of all the heroes，whose names were recited at the Delphic $\xi \in \in \iota a{ }^{\prime}$（Don．）． The Schol．，quoting Asklêpiades＇ Tragoedumena，tells us that he was at first buried under the threshold， but that Menelâos had the body removed to the $\tau \epsilon \in \epsilon \nu$ os on the right of the entrance to the temple （Paus．10．24．5）．He was honored with yearly $\epsilon \nu a \gamma \iota \sigma \mu 0 l$ and by the Aeniânes with a $\theta \epsilon \omega p i a$ every fourth year．
$46 \pi$ тонтais Processions and offerings in connexion with the above－mentioned $\xi \in \nu i a$ ．For the two adjectives cf．P．9．8，10． 6.

48 ＇With a view to（upholding） fair－named justice，＇$=$ the shrine＇s good name for justice．The victim of a dispute about a sacrifice was an appropriate guardian of fair－ dealing with respect to the sacred rites at Delphi．Mommsen，after the Schol．（citing Aristarchos）， places the full stop after $\pi 0 \lambda v \theta \dot{v} \tau o c s$, not after $\delta i \kappa a \nu$ ．The word єúẃvvuov recalls Aesch．Choeph． 948 є́т $\eta \tau \dot{u} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}$
 $\mu \epsilon \nu \mid \beta$ ротоl тuхóvtєs ка入へ̂s．Böckh （Not．Crit．p． 540 ）says－＇Eúúvvuos Pindaro est bonus，prosper：Notat Eustathius（ad Il．$\mu$. p．859，5）： $\mu \hat{i} \rho a$ ठè $\delta v \sigma \omega ̈ \nu v \mu o s \pi \rho \partial s$ $\delta \iota a \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \nu$



## 


＇А $\nu \tau$ ．$\gamma$＇．
 75
 ко́роу $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ ё $\chi \in \iota$


so

simply meant to express，what is the fact，that Pindar uses the word єvंఱ́vvuos（ $=$＇glorious＇），the correla－ tive of $\delta v \sigma \omega \dot{\nu} v \mu o s$ which when quali－ fying $\mu_{0} i \bar{\rho} \alpha$ has another correlative， $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} . \quad \tau \rho i \alpha$ Three words suffice to indicate the special import of Neoptolemos＇cult at Delphi to Sôgenes．＇The witness who pre－ sides at the games is perfectly fair．＇The witness is Neoptolemos （according to Rauchenstein，Apollo， to Mommsen，Pindar）．Cf．vv．23， 63 for the superior truth of records of athletic prowess compared with epic fame．Mezger puts a colon after $\mu$ áprus，a comma only after $\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \tau a \tau \epsilon \hat{\ell}$ ，a full stop after $\epsilon \kappa \gamma \delta \nu \omega \nu$, but $\epsilon^{\kappa} \kappa \gamma \delta \nu \omega \nu$ is wanted in the next sentence．

50 ＇Aegina，with respect to the descendants of thyself and Zeus I am bold to affirm this，that by their brilliant distinctions there is a high road（for poets）of noble themes derived from their home．＇ For the genitive $\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \gamma \dot{\delta} \nu \omega \nu$ cf．Madv． §53 Rem．Aegina and Zeus were parents of Aeakos．Cf．N．8． 6. The meaning of kupiav is not＇own peculiar，＇＇legitimate，＇Germ．＇echt，＇ ＇rightful，＇but＇of regulation width，＇ i．c．a regular temple－road with the Delphic gauge of 5 ft .4 in ．，cf．Curt． Hist．of Greece，Ward＇s＇Trans．Vol． 2．p． 36 ；in short $\dot{\delta} \delta$ ．кир．$=\dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu a-$ $\xi \iota \tau 6 \nu$, N．6．56．For the metaphor


 Aesch．Ag． $1154 \pi \delta \dot{\theta} \in \nu$ őpous É $\chi \in \epsilon$
 Paley（1123）quotes $\lambda 0 \gamma i \omega \nu$ o $\delta \delta \delta \nu$ ， Aristoph．Equites 1015，$\theta \in \sigma \phi a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ó $\delta 6 \nu$ ，Eur．Phoen．911．Aristo．
 $\lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu$ єi $\pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，seems to have had this passage of Pindar in mind． For oĭк．cf．O．3． 44.

51 фaєvvais Opposed to $v v .12$ ， 13 dंлкаі｜бко́тоу，к．т．入．and to v． 61 ．

52 ả入入à үáp＇But enough！for．＇ kópov Cf．N，10． 20.

53 äv $\theta$＇＇A 1 poSírıa＇Aphrodite－ blossoms，＇i．e．roses，as I suggested on P．5． 21 ；＇those other delights， roses，＇songs of praise are suggested． The verse sums up the indulgence of the appetites，$\mu \epsilon \lambda_{c}$ representing generally the gratification of taste． The Schol．quotes $I l, 13,636 \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha}-$

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu u ́ \mu о \nu о s \dot{\partial} \rho \chi \eta \theta \mu$ о̂́o．

54 For sentiment of．vv．5， 6. The natural coustitution，$\phi$ vá，is regarded as the means by which variation is produced，fate as the cause；hence the aorist $\lambda$ á $\chi$ оутєs．

55 rá For the neuter pronoun referring to $\beta$ เotà cf，the relative oโa，O．1． 16 ．тuxeîv Cf，v 11 supru．
 7 єimєîv, тì้ тои̂тo Moîpa тє́ $\lambda o s$ é $\mu \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu$
 ' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.
a $\delta i ́ \delta \omega \sigma \iota$, тó $\lambda \mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa а \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\rho о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$ 60 b бv́vєб८้ oủk ả $\pi о \beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \phi \rho \in \nu \hat{\omega \nu}$. c $\xi \in i ̂ \nu o ́ s ~ \epsilon i \mu \iota \cdot ~ \sigma к о т \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon ́ \chi ~ \chi \omega \nu ~ \psi o ́ \gamma o \nu, ~$ 90

 оข๋тоร.
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \delta^{\prime}$.


56 tum dale. For sentiment cf.

 d$v \in \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon v o v$ Gerundive, 'by winming,' cf. N. 3. 16.

57 тé̀os 'Consummation.' " $\mu$ $\pi \in \delta o \nu$ Extension of the predicate. For sentiment cf. P. 3. 105, 7. 20.

58 каıрóv 'Measure,' 'proporthou.' Cf. P. 1. 57 ஸ̂v épatą кацрд̀v дıóoús ( $\theta$ eos).

59 тó̀ $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu$ 'A character for courage,' cf. O. 7. 89 äv $\delta \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \pi \nu \xi$



 ar $\rho \in \tau \eta \dot{\nu}, 3.58$ какlà àvтi入aßєîv.

60 oúveซty Cf. O. 2. 85 ф $\omega \nu$ áє $\tau \tau a$ वvעєтoĩ $\sigma \nu$, of the poet's own sayings, which are here also in part at least referred to. Cf. also Bacchyl. 3. 85 фрорє́ovtє $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 trammell'; L. and S. renders 'ruin utterly,' but to tell a man he ie not an utter imbecile would be taken by many people for a serious reflection on their mental powers.

61 okotetvóv Causative, cf. P. 4. 81, 0.1. 26, 6. 76, 11.4, N. 8. 40. The syllable before $\sigma \kappa$ ought to be
short, so Böckh proposed котєเข̀̀ =коти́єขта, Rauchenstein крифаîov, Berg $\kappa \epsilon \lambda a \iota \nu o ́ \nu$, Hartung $\epsilon i \mu ’ \dot{a} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon$ $\chi \omega \nu$ бкот $\epsilon i \bar{\nu} \partial े \nu$ \%. Kayser cites Hês. W. and D. $587 \pi \epsilon \tau \rho a i \eta \tau \epsilon \sigma \kappa \iota \eta$, but the colon seems to make Pindar's license worse. The text is supported by N. 4. 40 and $v v, 12,13$ supra.

62 Cf. N. 1. 24, 25 入є́ $\lambda$ оухє
 $\phi \hat{\rho} \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ | ávтiov. Here however as smoke is not mentioned, and as pool is not the most appropriate word for water employed to quench fire (and as it occurs $v .12$ with $\sigma \kappa$ ттоv v.13) the poet probably had irrigation in view. Cf. v. 32.

63 є̇тท่тицог 'True,' 'genuine.' Cf. vv. 23, 49 for the opposite. There is no reference to a name as Dr Verrall imagines with respect to Aeschylus' étv pos, étท́tv pos. тот (фороs Cf. N. 3.31. $\mu$ เनӨós (f. $v .16$ supra.
 likely that hypothetical proximity would be placed in such a prominext position in verse and sentence. I take it that a Molossian (Achæan) was present with the poet in Aegina when this ode was recited, and
 $\tau \epsilon$ бано́таıя 95




$707 \mathrm{E} \dot{\xi} \xi \epsilon \nu \dot{\delta} \delta a \pi a ́ т \rho a \theta \epsilon \sum \omega ́ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \varsigma, a ̉ \pi о \mu \nu v ́ \omega$

render－＇Though he be near，an Achæan，a dweller above the Ionian sea，will not blame me．＇

65 v̇mép Cf．P．1．18．Cookesley rightly objects to Dissen＇s ad mare and explains $\dot{\boldsymbol{i} \pi \epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \rho=$＇above，＇adding less correctly＇or beyond＇in Strabo


 ＇Ioviou ко́入тou．So again ib．7． 324
 （it was at least a mile off） K （ $\chi$ vopos，


 ö（i．e．not so far above the sea as Kichyros），Don．thinks Pindar＇s phrase＇peculiarly applicable to Cichyrus，＇but it was in Thesprôtia， and reference to N．4． $51-53$ ， compared with the above－quoted passage of Strabo 7．326，enables us to apply it to Dôdôna with which we know Pindar had friendly intercourse．Cf．Frag．35．kal
 кal or $\pi \rho 0$－，but oik $\epsilon \omega \nu$ is dissyllabic with an irrational arsis，and we therefore need not alter the ars． reading．For adjectival use of part． ef．N．4．29．The poet＇s position as $\pi \rho b \xi \xi \in \cos$（of Dôdôna）would prevent him from disparaging Neoptolemos， while the fact that he was still $\pi \rho \sigma \xi \xi^{2}$ os showed that the Æacids of Epeiros had not taken offence at an objectionable Paean．Saцó－
taıs Thebans，cf．N．8． 38.
66 入aptróv For idiom cf．P． 2． $20, \mathrm{~N} .4 .39$ ，and for sentiment cf．N．10．40．Our＇serenity＇comes very close to the meaning of $\lambda a \mu$－ $\pi \rho o ́ v$ ．oúX vi $\pi \in \rho \beta a \lambda \omega$ и́v＇Unconscious of arrogance．＇

67 épv́raıs Metaphor from clear－ ing a road by dragging aside ob－ stacles．For the exact meaning of Blaua cf．N．8．34．єv̋фршу＇May the remainder of my days steal on amid kindliness．＇

68 тоті．．．＂¢＂рои Cf．N．4．43， and for the compound P． 1.57 тòv
 any one understand my meaning．＇ There is a reference to $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \in \sigma \iota \nu$ ，
 cf．Goodwin M．and T．§§ 196，197， O．1．109，I．5．59．Hermann would


69 єi Not hypothetical，but $=\pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{}$ after $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \varepsilon \hat{\varepsilon}$ ．The passage concerns the poet so intimately that I cannot think ép $\rho$ оцаı refers merely to the chorus．For $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\mu \epsilon$ ios，＇untunefully，＇ef．O．9． 38

廿áyoo So Vatican 3ss，supported by Hêsychios．The poet recalls vv．48－53．

70 Evjevi $\delta$ ă Vocative．aंmo－ $\mu \nu v \omega^{\omega}$ Lat．，deiero，＇I make oath as defendant，＇＇I deny on oath．＇ Bergk，from the Schol．，i̇mounvíw．
$71 \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \pi \rho о \beta \alpha$ ás It would seem
'А $\nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$.

 $\epsilon ่ \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$.
$3 \epsilon i$ тóvos ग̉v, тò тєртvòv $\pi \lambda$ є́ov $\pi \epsilon \delta \in ́ \rho \chi \in \tau a \iota$.


that Pindar alludes to Sôgenes himself actually having discharged his spear in the pentathlon with his foot advanced beyond the line which marked the beginning of the throw, so that having failed to gain the third victory he was obliged to strive to the utmost in the wrestling. Pindar often likens his verse to arrows and spears, cf. 0.1.112,

 кота́paov äкov $\theta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$ à $\gamma \hat{\omega} \nu o s$ ßa入єiv
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \in \dot{v} \sigma a \sigma \theta$ ' $\dot{\alpha} v \tau l o u s . \quad$ ő $\rho \sigma a \iota$ Refers to the past, cf. O. 2. 92, 93 [Don.].

72 ős, к.т.ג. 'Which (if thrown successfully) is wont to dismiss the sturdy neck (hendiadys) from the wrestling unbathed in sweat before the limbs encounter the blazing sun.' Dou. says 'As most of the public games of Greece were celebrated in the hottest season of the year, and as the pentathlum in particular was contested in the full blaze of the noonday sun (Paus. 6. $24 \S 1$ ), when the heat was so oppressive that even the spectators could not endure it (see Aristot. Problem.38, Ælian, V. H. 14. c. 18); we may fully understand this allusion to the wrestling match, coupled with the $\epsilon i \pi 6 \nu 0 s{ }^{2} \nu$ which follows.' Simonides, Frag. 155.5 f., says of a pentathlete oủס' Єं $\gamma \in ้ \nu \nu \tau o \mid \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau / \nu \omega \nu$
 Gnômic aorist. If Thetis can be told in the first book of the Iliad, r. 401 ả $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{u} \tau \delta \delta \nu \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \theta 0 \hat{v} \sigma a, \theta \epsilon a ́$,
vimo入v́ $\sigma \alpha 0$ $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, although the rebel gods had not bound and did not bind Zeus, Pindar could say $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ even if there had been no wrestling. But there must always have been wrestling, if only to prove a minimum of proficiency, for it would give some competitors a most unfair advantage in the earlier contests not to have practised and trained honestly as wrestlers. But if Sôgenes had won with the spear, his task in the wrestling might have been comparatively light, as after one or two bouts he could retire on the strength of three previous victories. The readings ö $\sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \xi \in ́ \pi$. (Bergk), ôs $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \kappa \sigma^{\prime}$ ध̀ $\pi$. (Bury) tack a special assertion on to a simile, which is awkward compared with the general statement presented by mss. The poet playfully alludes to a failure which, so far from being a disgrace, resulted in enhancing the merit of the victory. The Schol, wrongly explains $\pi \rho o \beta$ ás as = ú $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta a \lambda \omega \nu$.

74 єi móvos ท̂v This proves that Sôgenes had been obliged to beat dangerous competitors in the wrestling, and probably also been beaten in the foot race. $\pi \lambda$ éov 'More abundantly.'

75 ' $\mathfrak{a} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ 'Let me alone,' i.e. 'Fear not.'

76 divékpayov Idiomatic aorist referring to the immediate past. The meaning of $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon i s$ is



＇Е $\pi . \delta$＇．
80 a $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \nu a \mu e ́ v o s ~ a ̉ \mu \phi \grave{~ N ~} \operatorname{N} \mu$ éa


120
a $\delta a ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta o \nu$ à $\nu$ тó $\delta \epsilon$ үарvє́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ á $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho a$
 $\phi u \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$ ，
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} \epsilon^{\prime}$.

125
simply＇soaring too far＇with the usual metaphor of the flights of poetry．Cf．v． 22 supra，N．5．21， Aristoph．Pax 831．Pindar refers to $v v .19 \mathrm{f}$. and the sombre topics introduced thereby．tрaxús ＇Niggardly at paying my debt of praise．＇Cf．P．11．41．For inf．cf． Gdwn．M．and T．§758．ката日́я $\mu v$ Cf．Soph．Ed．Col． 227 à $\delta^{\prime}$ v̇té $\sigma \chi \in \circ$ то̂ ката日भंбєเs；and Jebb＇s note． The suppressed object is the $\mu$ lotos of $v .63$ specialised．

77 àvaßá入єo＇Strike up．＇Cf． P．1．4．The poet makes as it were a fresh beginning．This one word is addressed to the musicians． Hermann renders impone tibi，Dis－ sen expecta，morare．

78 ＂ยv $\tau \epsilon$＇And therewithal．＇Cf． Soph．Edd．R．27．The Schol．quotes
 токк<br>ov äv $\delta \eta \mu$ ．Cf．N．8．15．This early allusion to elaborate gold－ smith＇s work in which gold，ivory and white coral were blended is of great interest．＇For the Muse com－ bines gold and therewithal white ivory and the lily blossom，having culled it from the dews of the sea．＇ Pape takes $\lambda$ clpiov here for an adjec－
tive $=\lambda \epsilon \iota \rho$ ós（Hêsych．i $\sigma \chi \nu$ ós－${ }^{\prime} \chi \rho o ́ s$ ） $=$＇slender，＇＇pale．＇

80 áцф＇＇With regard to．＇Cf． O．9．13，P．2． 62.

81 Sóver Dissen observes that the metaphor is from spear－throw－ ing，comparing P．1． 44 ӑкогта $\pi a \lambda \alpha ́ \mu a ̨ ~ \delta o \nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu: ~ b u t ~ c f . ~ P . ~ 10 . ~ 39 ~$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \underline{̣ ̂} \delta \epsilon$ रopol $\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$｜$\lambda v \rho a ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon$
 For $\pi 0 \lambda u ́ \phi$ ．$̈ \mu \nu$ ．cf．O．1． 8.

82 áoux $\hat{a}$ Contrast this lan－ guage with reference to an Eolian ode sung to the lyre with that of N． 3 （v．67）which was sung to flutes．

83 Sámeঠov So wss．Mezger restores the mistake $\gamma a ́ \pi \epsilon \delta 0 \nu$ ，which does not scan．Perhaps here and v． $34 \delta \alpha{ }^{2} \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu=$＇terrace．＇The next verse shows that the Aeakeion is meant．

84 ข̇тó Cf．I．5． 44.
85 ＇́ $\mu \hat{a} . . . \pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \rho \underset{\sim}{\text { a }}$＇To the honor of my clan，＇the Asôpidae，to which both Thebans and Egine－ tans belonged，and which was $\epsilon \dot{\text { vं心．}}$ vupos from its further connexion with Nemea．Of course $\begin{gathered} \\ \mu a \\ a \\ \text { has }\end{gathered}$ been altered to $\tau \epsilon \hat{a}, \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{q}, \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{q}, \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{q}$ （Bury）．
 $\epsilon i$ ठѐ $\gamma \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \tau a \iota$


$5_{5}$ є่тá $\xi_{\imath \nu}$ • єi $\delta^{\prime}$ aủtò каi $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu ヒ ́ \chi o \iota, ~$


 135 ' $A \nu \tau . \epsilon^{\prime}$.

 ळ̉ $\mu$ а́кар,
 $\mu \dot{e} \nu)$ after vocative cf. N. 2. 14. $\mu$ ѐv... $\tau \epsilon$ Cf. N. 2. 9. $\gamma$ єи́ยтаи Delibut. Cf. I. 1.21. There is an old variant $\delta$ ঠéectat.

87 yєícov', к.т. $\lambda$. Cf. Hề. W. and D. $346 \pi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ како̀s $\gamma \epsilon i \tau \omega \nu$, ö $\sigma$ -

 Alkman Frag. 50 [60] $\mu$ é $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ үєírov $\gamma \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu$.
88 vów Cf. Hês. Th. 661 ḋтeveî
 'Delight,' 'blessing,' as in O. 2. 19.

89 divéxor Cf. Soph. Aj. 212 and Jebb's note. 'Should be constant to neighbourly relations.' mss. read ă $\nu$ é $\chi$ or. The text is due to Thiersch. Holmes puts a full stop after $\dot{a} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \chi o u$, taking it with $\epsilon i$, 'for the simple expression of a wish,' like the Euripidean $\epsilon l$ بot $\gamma$ evouto. I cannot think that a wish could be expressed here just before the wish $v .98$.

90 ह̉v $\tau i v$ 'Under thy protection,' 'in dependence on thee.' Cf.

 Equal to $\mu \hat{\epsilon}$ \doo, or should we ren-der-' would be willing to cherish towards his father and so to go on
dwelling happily,' \&c.? I.e. the neighbourhood of Hêrakles' temple is enough to keep Sôgenes contentedly at home tending his father in his old age, rather than ranging in quest of adventures like Hêrakles who subdued the Giants. For the advice to the youthful victor to cheer his father cf. P. 6. 19-27.

91 día入óv 'Tenderly affection. ate,' with a suggestion of cheering gaiety. Cf. v. 10. The son is to be cheering to the father, as the ode provided by the father cheers the son.

93, 94 'For that he hath his house between thy precincts close as four-horse chariots may be seen, (having one) on either hand as he goes.' It is a mistake to suppose that four-horse chariots had two poles or two yokes, as art proves the reverse. Mr Bury, though professing to hold fast to Pindar's language, thinks suyois means 'yoke-arms,' and that "the relation of Sôgenes' home to the temples is compared to that of a chariot-pole to the two arms of the yoke which is attached to its extremity." This view suggests a singularly long and narrow house with semi-detached

140
 § ả $\mu a \chi a \nu \iota a ̂ \nu ~ \delta v \sigma \beta a ́ t \omega \nu ~ \theta a \mu a ̀ ~ \delta ı \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu . ~$

$6 \epsilon i$ үáp $\sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ є́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \delta о \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ a ~ \beta i ́ o \tau o \nu ~ u ́ p \mu o ́ \sigma a \iota s ~$
$14 \Sigma$


'Ет. $\epsilon$ '.
a خє́pas тó $\pi \epsilon \rho$ עv̂ע каi ă $\rho \epsilon \iota \circ \nu$ oै $\pi \iota \theta \epsilon \nu$.

150
с а̇тро́ттоьб८ $\mathrm{N} \epsilon о \pi \tau о ́ \lambda є \mu о \nu$ є̀лкv́ба८

temples fastened on to the top near one extremity. The preposition $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ does not suit Mr Bury's theory. Close contiguity might well be suggested by a reference to racing chariots whether we think of three abreast or one pressing up to pass between two. In $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho$. $\dot{a} \rho \mu$. juroîs we have a paraphrase of $\tau \in \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \dot{\jmath} v \xi \xi \downarrow$
 a dative of mere reference. An episode in the four-horse chariot race which Sôgenes had witnessed at Nemea may be alluded to. Note that ë $\chi \epsilon l \ldots i \dot{\omega} \nu$ is a variation of the
 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \mathrm{a} \mu \phi . \chi \in \iota \rho \partial s i \delta \nu \tau \iota$.
$95 \delta^{3}$ For ò $\bar{c}$ after vocative ef. 0.1. 36 .

96 кópav Hêbê, cf. $v v, 4,99$. 8è 'For.'

97 From this passage and from $v .60$ it has been inferred that Theârion labored under some bodily ailment or infirmity.
98 This prayer refers to $v v, 55$ -
 and Theârion. ápıóraıs Participle, 'should'st attach....'
99 סtanतékots 'Carry on to the end.'

101 'The present victory and a nobler one (at Delphi or Olympia) afterwards.' The notion of Delphi
in ápetov brings the poet back to Neoptolemos.
103 аंтро́т. 'Ill-mannered,' 'which cannot be turned' from any malicious or sinister acceptation. Theognis, 213-218, shows plainly that $\dot{\alpha} \tau \rho o \pi i a$ means inability to adapt oneself to one's company. è $\lambda$ кúбat 'That I have maltreated,' like beasts worrying a corpse. Cf. Il. 17. 394, 558.

104 тà̉тá, к.т.入. 'To work over the same ground three or four times argueth lack of inventive power, like the " $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ K o ́ p l v \theta o s " ~$ of a woman sillily prattling to children.' This was probably the burden of a popular nursery ditty. Cf. Aristoph. Ran. 439, Eccl. 828. Müller, Dor. 1. p. 88 (Trans., 2nd ed. p. 96) and von Leutsch, Paroem. Gr. 2. p. 368, give the historical account of the origin recorded by the Schol., namely that ambassadors from the Bakchiadae, sent to invite the Megarians to resume their allegiance, at last said סıкalws
 то $\delta \leftarrow \kappa \eta \nu \pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. Whereupon they were pelted, and in an ensuing fight the Megarians urged each other to strike $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \Delta i o ̀ s ~ K ~ b o p \nu \theta o v . ~$ The proverb is said to refer $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \omega ิ v$

 pıv $\begin{gathered}\text { os. }\end{gathered}$ ${ }^{1} 55$
$\lambda a r \tau \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$, an explanation which is not supported by Pindar. Some editors seem to take $\mu a \psi v \lambda \alpha ́ к a s ~ a s ~$ nom. sing. $=$ ' a silly babbler,' but the construction with ärє after $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi o \lambda \varepsilon i v$ would be the accusative, and the only possible construction for the nom. sing. is to make $\mu a \psi v$ -入áкas agree with Kópıvөos. The Schol. Vet. explains $\omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi a \rho \dot{\alpha}$
 reading had been $\mu a \psi u \lambda$ ákais, or else the interpretation last given
was intended. The phrase in this case is regarded by the poet as the agent in the rain repetition of itself; for such a form as $\mu \alpha \psi$. could hardly be passive in meaning.
 тaútà $\beta$ oú入є каl тpis $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi о \lambda \epsilon i ้ \nu ~ \mu '$ єัँ $\eta$;

105 ärє Equal to $\omega$ ढ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$. Cf. O. 1. 2, note. $\mu \alpha \psi$. Gen. sing. fem. Cf. Sappho Frag. 27 [93]
 $\tau \alpha \nu)$.

The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode are
 $\mu а т \rho o ́ \delta o к о s, ~ \epsilon ' \mu \pi \epsilon \delta о \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \dot{\eta}, \pi о \lambda l a \rho \chi o s$.

## NEMEA VIII.

ON THE VICTORY OF DEINIS OF AEGINA IN THE SHORT FOOT-RACE.

## INTRODUCTION.

Deinis, the son of Megas, of the family of the Châriadae ( $v .46$ ), of Aegina, had, like his father, been twice victor in the stadium at Nemea. The ode was sung on the occasion of the dedication of Deinis' crown at the temple of Aeakos (c. 13).-There is mure break than usual between the metrical divisions of this ode.

There is nothing to indicate the date except perhapss $c$. 20, from which it may be inferred that the date fell in Ol. 79 , either B.c. 63 or b.c. 61 just before N. 7. It is absurd to discern political allusions in $\tau \%$. 20-22, for it was at any time natural to remind the Aeginetans of Pindar's day that in the time of Aeakos Aegina was not inferior in influence to Athens and Sparta, which had since become the predominant states of Hellas. We may therefore dismiss Dissen's view that politics subsequent to B.C. 457 are indicated, and Mezger's b.c. 491, when Aegina submitted to Persia, and consequently was compelled by Sparta to send hostages to Athens (Hêrod. 6. 49, 50). If the ancient coüperation of the three states suggested any special political event or situation, it ought to suggest the coüperation of Aegina at Salamis and Plataea. Moreover, if the poet meant to allude to enmity between Athens and Aegina, it is incredible that he should have chosen as a leading motive the myth of Aias, the Eacid whom Athenians delighted to honor. Lastly the third strophe is more likely to be the utterance of an elderly poet than of one about thirty years old. Though the main theme is envious detraction, it does not follow that Deinis or Aegina had any

 commonplace of Epinician compliment to represent the oljects of the poet's praises as attacked by $\mu \hat{\omega} \mu o s, \phi \theta^{\prime} \nu o s, \pi$ tip $\phi$ aбts, к.т. $\lambda$.
 ${ }^{6} \rho$ poos, P. 1. $81-84,11.29$ f., while the epinician ode is the divine
charm to counteract these malefic influences, and confer on the victor imperishable unimpeachable fame.

The introduction occupies the first system, and the first verse of the second. It is devoted to invocations of Hôra and Aeakos. The central portion, concerned with falsehood, detraction, and Aias, ends with the second system. The conclusion extends over the third system, and is apportioned between the poet and the victor.

The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode are


There are some curious tantometric echoes, - $\epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ai- $v .39,-\epsilon \in \nu \nu i-v .27$,
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota-v v .23,6,-\hat{a} \nu \phi \iota-v .1, \dot{v} \pi-v .47, \dot{v} \pi \prime v .30,-\phi o \rho o \nu v .48, \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu v .14$, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota-v v .39,5$. Heterometrically än $\pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is found $v v .14,22,36$, ä $\pi \tau \epsilon \iota v$ $v .37, \phi \iota \lambda-v v .1,13,42$, $\pi 0 . \lambda \lambda$ - repeated $v v .8,20$, àv $\tau \in i v \epsilon \iota \nu v v .25,34, \mathrm{~K} v \pi \rho$. $v v .8,18, \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \iota v v .32,51$. The last of these is rhetorically significant. Perhaps änтєтaı $v .22$, ä $\pi$ тоцаı $v .14$ accentuate a contrast between the supplicatory help of the poet and the attack of the envious.

The morle is Dorian, the metres dactylo-epitritic. They are unsymmetrical in arrangement.

Strophe.


Epode.


* Incisio.
† Caesura after first syllable.
$\ddagger$ Caesura after first syllable of 7th foot.
|| Caesura after first, incisio after last syllable.

ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-3. Hôra is sometimes kind, sometimes cruel.
4,5 . One must be content to be moderate and attain one's nobler desires.
6-8. The union of Zeus and Aegina was blest.
$8-12$. Aeakos was much courted by heroes.
13-16. Dedication of ode and crown to Aeakos.
17,18 . Prosperity granted by the gods is comparatively lasting, such, for instance, as that of Kinyras of Cyprus.
19. I pause like a rumner preparing to start.

20, 21. For anything new provokes envious criticism.
22-32. For envy attacks the noble as in the case of Aias and the arms of Achilles.
32-34. Detraction existed of old.
35-39. Far be this from the poet, who hopes to win fame and popularity by straightforward plain-speaking.
40-44. Excellence and the joy of victory are enhanced by song.
44-50. The poet cannot restore Megas to life, but he can rear a monument to father and son and assuage pain.
50,51 . The antidote of song is as old as the poison of detraction.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} \cdot a^{\prime}
$$




1 " $\Omega p \alpha$ Goddess of puberty. Cf. Aesch. Suppl. 996, quoted N. 5. 6. For the double genitive cf. P. 9. 39
 iepầ фıлотát $\omega \nu$.

2 Cf. Soph. Antig. $795 \nu เ \kappa \frac{q}{z} \delta^{\prime}$
 $\nu$ v́s $\quad$ as. Pindar often wavers between a quality and the personification thereof, but here we have an extreme case. How anything which sits in the eyes could carry their proprietor with gentle or
rough hands is a problem insoluble even by a poet. Sophokles proves that the seat of desire is the eyes, though that of sleep might be the eyelids. For ätc after a vocative clause standing by itself cf. Eur. Orest. 317 f. ©̂... $\theta$ eai...ai к.т.入. 'Thou who...nestling in...dost touch.'

3 ßart. Cf. Aesch. Ag. 35. The herald leads lovers by the hand to each other, cf. Il. 1. 320 - 323 , or by force. diváүкаs
 $\delta^{\prime}$ є́тє́paıs.



$$
{ }^{\prime} A \nu \tau . a^{\prime}
$$

 $\pi o ́ \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu$

10
${ }_{2} \mathrm{~K} v \pi \rho i ́ a s ~ \delta \omega ́ \rho \omega \nu$. ‘’ $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu$ ס’ viòs Oivஸ́vas $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon u ̀ s$
 עєvov í $\in \in i ̂ \nu$.


' $\mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$.



 татоя. érє́pars Euphemistic for àplas. Cf. P. 3. 34, Eur. Herc. Fur. 1238, also the similar use of dinos. The poet means violent or thwarted passion. There is a zeugma in the construction of $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha j \epsilon t s$, which first means to carry in fondling fashion and then to enfold in a tight grip. We can render by 'bear along' in both cases, but I do not think
 alone.

4 aj$a \pi a \tau a ́$ For plur. cf. P. 1. 34, N. 4. 71. kalpov̂ 'Without having transgressed the bounds of moderation.'

5 ย̇ாเкратєi้ 'To get secure possession of his nobler objects of desire.'
 к.т. Х. I.е. ё $\rho \omega \tau \in \mathrm{s}$.

7 Kขтр. $\delta \omega \dot{\rho}$. Cf. Theog. 1293
 $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$. Bacchyl. 17. 9 і $\mu \epsilon \rho а \mu \pi и ́ к о \varsigma$
 viós Aeakos. Oivẃvas Old name of the island before the nymph Aegina gave her name to it.
$8 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$ Cf. N. 5. 31 and the Homeric $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \lambda / \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a c$. Dissen interprets $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \kappa s$, but Don. rightly observes that 'the secondary idea of frequency' is contained in $\lambda \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}-$ yєvov. iסєโิv 'That they might behold him.' Do not take $\nu \iota \nu$ as primarily the object of $i \delta \in i \bar{\nu}$.

9 áßoari Generally rendered 'unbidden,' 'unsummoned,' but ' without fighting,' $=\dot{\alpha} \mu a \chi \eta \tau i$, seems to be more in accordance with analogy and with the meanings of $\beta$ on' dwtor 'The flower.' Cf. O. 2. 7 .
$10 \gamma \in$ 'His, and his alone.'
11 áp $\mu$. oтp. As Aeakos was according to the myths in about the same generation as Thêseus, this phrase may refer to the legendary classification of the citizens of Attica by Thêseus.

12 avd The preposition is ap-
 d d̀ $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta^{\prime}$ ímèp $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$＂̈̈ттодає фє́ $\rho \omega \nu$
 a̋үa入 $\mu a$ ．
 $\mu о \nu \omega ́ т \epsilon \rho о$ ．

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.<br> Kи́т $\rho \omega$ ．

propriate to the scattered quarters of Sparta which Thukydides con－ trasts with the topographical con－ dition of Athens．According to the known myths the Pelopids of Sparta（Menelâos）were not con－ temporary with Aeakos，and it is therefore possible that Pindar refers to an unknown myth．

13－15 The crown won by Deinis was being dedicated with the poet＇s crown of song at the temple of 正akos．

15 каvax 1 סá Cf．P．10． 39 кavaxal av̉入⿳亠丷⿵人，Soph．Trach． 641 aủไòs oủk àvapolav｜á $\chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ каขaxà $\nu$ $\epsilon \pi \pi a ́ \nu \epsilon เ \sigma \iota \nu . H e ̂ s i o d ~ u s e s ~ t h i s ~ a d v e r b . ~$ For the metaphor cf．Frag． 160 $\dot{v} \phi \alpha i \nu \omega \delta^{\prime}$＇$A \mu v \theta \alpha o \nu l \delta a l s \pi o \kappa k i \lambda o \nu{ }^{2} \nu-$ $\delta \eta \mu a$ ，quoted by the Schol．on N． 7. 78 （115）．The $\mu$ itpa here and in 0．9． 84 means the whole crown， but was properly the twisted wool－
 by which the leaves or sprays of the wreath were kept together．
 and his father，as is proved by vv． $47,48 \pi o \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ єủ $\omega \nu v{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$｜$\delta$ is $\delta \dot{\eta}$ juoiv，＇the feet illustrious on two occasions of two（Châriadae）．＇
 of Nemean victories in two foot－ races．＇

17 бv่v $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$＇By the aid of a
god，＇i．e．of Aeakos or of Zeus for Aeakos＇sake．For $\phi v \tau \epsilon v \theta \epsilon$ is cf．P． 4． 69 тьцal фи́тєv $\theta \in \nu$ ．The com－
 sentiment of P．3．105， 106 oै $\lambda \beta$ os

 For the idea cf．Frag． 111 evidat－
 Render $\pi \alpha \rho \mu \nu \nu$ ．，＇maketh longer stays，＇after Sir J．Suckling，＇Love with me hath made no stays＇［Mr Fanshawe］．

18 ö $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ каi Echoing exactly oiot kai v． 6 ，joins such prosperity as that of Kinyras to such $\overline{\text { z }} p \omega$ res as delighted Zeus and Aegina into a double portion of happiness which Pindar implies that Deinis will en－ joy．Kinyras was priest of Aphro－ ditê，which further connects wealth and épwres．Note that кeìvos kal， v． 23 ，points a contrast between what the poet wishes to arert and what he prays for．This recurrence is reinforced by the tautometric $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi-v v .23,6$ ，while the other recurrence is reinforced by the repetition of $\mathrm{K} v i \pi \rho-v v, 18,7$ ．In each case кal emplasises the in－ stance adduced．＂Oג $\beta$ os is here personified，though not so in the preceding line．For Kinyras cf． P．2．15－17．тотє For the position cf．P．2．33，4． 258.

 $\beta a \sigma a ́ v \omega$
 роі̂б८ข．

＇А文．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．
 бats．
$+0$

19 亿бтацац＇I stay on tip－toe，＇ i．e．poising myself and taking breath before the start，in the atti－ tude of the cast of＇A girl starting for a foot race＇in the Fitzwilliam Museum．The phrase кои̂фa $\beta_{\imath}$－ $\beta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a$ ，＇with light tread，＇＇tripping lightly，＇ 0.14 .15 ，is not quite the same．The body of the ode begins here，the first eighteen verses being dedicatory to Aeakos．

20 ＇Many tales have several versions；but when one has dis－ covered new points it is utterly hazardous to submit them to the touchstone for assay；for discus－ sions are toothsome to the envious， and envy ever fastens on to the noble，but contends not against the mean．It did rend even the son of Telamon by forcing him on to his sword．＇It would appear that Pindar invented himself（or gave currency to an Æginetan version of）the detail of the myth of the кpi $\sigma$ ts ö $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$ which attributed the defeat of Aias to unfair means， which version is adopted by So－ phokles Aj．1135，where Teukros says to Menelâos $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \grave{̀}$ s $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ aủroû $\psi \eta \phi$ oтotds $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \in \theta \eta \eta$ s．In the earlier ode，I．3，in which the fate of Aias is mentioned，Odysseus＇$\tau \notin \chi \nu \alpha$ defeats the better man，but no under－ handed proceedings in connexion
with the decision are suggested． In N． 7 the unfair character of the voting is insinuated，and I am there－ fore disposed to date N． 8 before N．7．See on $v .12$ ．

21 入óyou＇Discussion．＇Dissen， Deliciae vero sunt verba quae dicant invitis quacrentibus quod repre－ hendant；Don．，Cookesley，Paley， ＇praise．＇Markland goes too far in regarding $\lambda$ órot here and in Eur． Suppl． 565 as $=\psi$ oboc．It is rather ＇criticism＇；the neutral term getting a color from the preceding clause． For the extraction of $\phi \theta \delta \nu$ os from $\phi \theta$ оуєроїбt cf．Soph．Aj． 201 vórov from $\nu o \sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu$ ．Not very unlike is N．4． $3 \pi \delta \nu \omega \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \iota \mu \in \nu \omega \nu \ldots \nu \nu \nu$（ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ $\nu \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\omega} \tau a)$ ．For the sentiment ef． Soph．Aj． $157 \pi \rho$ òs $\gamma$ व̀ $\rho$ ròv＂exov $\theta^{\prime}$ ó фӨ́óvos ép $\rho \epsilon \ell$, P．7．19，11．29， infra $v .34$ ．

22 ä $\pi \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha l$＇Attacks．＇Cf．Aesch． Sept．c．Th．204，Soph．Aj． 1608. There is no hint of disease or medicine in the ode except $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha o \mathrm{o}$－ $\delta a i i_{s} \ldots \nu \omega \dot{\partial} \delta \nu 0 \nu, v v, 49,50$.

23 גј $\mu$ เкид（баเs Cf．11．8． 86
 by the bronze，＇Soph．$A j .828$（ $\mu \epsilon$ ）
 899 фабүávщ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \tau \cup \chi$ ク̆s．The slang＇to get outside a glass of beer，＇\＆c．is a similar idiomatic inversion．

 àvтétaтal.
 бav. +5

' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \beta^{\prime}$.


50
 d ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \mu o ́ \chi \theta \omega \nu \pi а \mu \phi \theta o ́ \rho o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ є่ $\nu$

 ővєıסos.

24 Cf. Il. 13. $82 \pm$ Аīav $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho т о є-$
 'Encompasses,' 'overwhelms.' Cf. O. 7. 10, P. 1. 96.

25 aiód. Cf. Bacchyl. 15. 57 à $\delta^{\prime}$ aló入oเs $\psi \in u ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ каi à $\phi \rho \circ \sigma$ v́vals |
 àvтétatal 'The greatest prize has been held out to,' conferred upon, not 'held up,' 'proposed.' For perfect cf. O. 1. 53, N. 3. 84.

26 Өєра́т. 'Did service to,' 'truckled to.'

27 фóvఱ 'Invited the grip of violent death.' Cf. Hês. W. and D. 413 ăт $\eta \eta \iota \iota \pi \lambda a l \in \iota$.

28 ท̂ $\mu$ áv 'Yet verily.' ávó $\mu o \alpha^{\prime}$ үє Though Odysseus was perhaps equal to Aias, or almost equal, according to the terms of the contest for the arms, in battle 'at least they made far different wounds gape (or 'gush [with blood]') on the warm flesh of foemen (dat. incommodi) when hard pressed,' \&c. That is to say in battle Aias was far superior to Odysseus.
$29 \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \mu$ ¢̧́ $\mu \in \nu$ ol So Schol. Vet.

ISS. $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \zeta$.
30 ím' Cf. O. 11. 36, P. 3. 10. $\mu$ èv- $\tau \in$ Cf. O. 4. 15. The poet refers to exploits not related in our lliad, but probably from the ballads which formed the Aethiopis of Arktinos. From the frequent mention of Memnon this group of legends seems to have been a favorite either with Pindar or with the ※ginetans. Of course the fight with Hektor, Il. 14. 402, may have been in the poet's mind among the ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \mu \dot{\delta} \chi \theta \omega \nu$. $\quad \alpha \phi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A x$. Suggests a strong title to Achilles' arms for Aias.
$31 \pi \alpha \mu \phi$ Ópolซเv év Text Mommsen, $3 s s . \pi 0 \lambda u \phi \theta \delta \rho o t \sigma \iota \nu$ è $\nu$, Böckh év $\pi 0 \lambda v \phi \theta \delta$ pors. In Bacchyl. Frag. 36 we should read $\pi \alpha ́ \mu \phi \theta o \rho o s$ $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma t s$ for $\pi \alpha ́ \mu \phi \theta \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota s ~ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma t s$.

32 éX $\theta$ рá, к. т. $\lambda$. 'Fell detraction then (as may be inferred from the above-mentioned instance) existed even of old.' ảpa...ทิv 'Is, as it turns out.' Cf. O. 11. 52, 57.

33 סo入oфpaסท's, к. т. $\lambda_{\text {. ' Deviser }}$ of guile, mischief-making calumny.'

I in rò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \beta ı a ̂ t a l, ~ \tau \hat{\nu \nu ~} \delta$＇áф́ávт $\omega \nu$ кv̂ठos ảעтєívєє баӨрóv．
 $\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon$ и́ $\theta$ on

$3 \mu \eta$ тò $\delta v ́ \sigma \phi a \mu o \nu ~ \pi \rho o \sigma a ́ \psi \omega . ~ \chi \rho v \sigma o ̀ v ~ \epsilon v ้ \chi о \nu \tau a \iota, ~ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ o \nu ~$ $\delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$
 $\psi а \mu^{\prime}$,

＇А $\nu \tau$ ．$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．


34 Cf．Apollod．（Brunck，Gnome．）

 í $\psi \omega ́ \sigma \eta ~ \tau u ́ \chi \eta$ ，and for $\beta$ lâtal cf．Od．
 $\tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \tau \mu \hat{s} s($ Dissent $)$. For $\dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ cf．P．1．84，11．30．Render＇which doth violence to the illustrious，but sets up a rotten notoriety of the obscure．＇Lit．$\alpha \nu \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma \alpha \theta \rho \dot{\partial} \nu=$ rears on a rotten foundation．

35 кe入ev́Oors For metaphor cf． 0．1．115，N．1．2． 5.

36 є́фатто／ца⿱⿱亠䒑日心 See O．1． 86 and note．Oavóv Death is introduced with reference to Degas．к $\lambda$ éos $\mu \eta ̀$ тò $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \phi$－ $\mu o v$＇A reputation－not that dis－ graceful one．＇The $\tau 6$ refers back
 the position of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ cf．N．1． 18.





37 єűxovtal For suppression of oi $\mu \hat{\ell} \nu$ cf．Il．22． 157 т $\hat{n} \dot{\rho} \alpha, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$
 Eur．Ip．in Thur． 1350 кovтoîs $\delta$ et


206.

38 ＇̇ $\gamma \omega$ ，к．т．${ }^{2}$ ．＇But for me， may I even till death prove a favorite with my fellow－citizens for praising the praiseworthy and scattering censure on wrongdoers．＇ The aorist participle $\dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\omega} \nu=$＇as an established favorite＇；or is it the participle of the gnomic aorist？cf． N．1．62，7．3．With many mes－ givings I have not adopted Shilleto＇s explanation given on Thuk．1． 20
 something if they must risk their lives＇－in effect，＇may I please．．．， if I die for it．＇He compares Asch．
 ìotuav，Soph．El．1079．Here， however，the idea of death is not involved in that of pleasing．Cf． Dêm．Meidias 530 gl．．．$\mu \dot{\eta}$ op
 Similar is the construction of I． 5.


 Ed．R．510．Bias（Dig．Laert． 1．85）$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau 0 і ̈ \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̆ \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~$


40 X ${ }^{\lambda \omega \rho \alpha a i ̂ s ~ C f . ~ O . ~ a n d ~ P . ~ p . ~}$ xxiii，Frag．99．3．éépoaıs

 à $\mu$ фì тóvo七s


'Е $\pi . ~ \gamma$ '.
 b $\sigma \epsilon \hat{v}$ סè $\pi a ́ \tau \rho a \mathrm{X}$ X $\rho u a ́ \delta a \iota s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \lambda a ́ ß \rho o v ~$

 | $\sigma 0 \phi 0 i$ is. The text is Böckh's. 'Springs up.' Twice, in compounds, P. uses the uncontracted form.

41 'When exalted to the buxom air of heaven among men who love song and justice. Many are the uses of friends. Most important is help in regard to toilsome achievements. While the delight (of success and rest) seeks to get evidence set before men's eyes.' I.e. in the first flush of triumph men long for perpetual commemoration of their exploits. Though substantial help is most important as leading to success, yet success cannot be enjoyed unless one gets lasting credit for merit by confirmation or proof of song. Dissen cites O. 10. $6 \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \gamma a ́ \rho v \epsilon s$ v̛ $\mu \nu o \iota \mid \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi a l \lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega \nu \mid \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ каi $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\partial} \nu$
 Pont. 1. 5. 32 sumque fides huius maxima uocis ego. v́ypóv Spenser, Milton and Dryden give the phrase 'buxom air.'
$44 \pi เ \sigma \tau \alpha$ So B; $\pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \nu$ D; $\pi$ loctlv Tricl. The emphatic position of the word and the stop quite justify the hiatus. Bury's mıatá fot, Mé $\gamma a$, gives a perfectly otiose pronoun in a prominent position. Bergk proposes $\pi \iota \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\varphi}$ as possible,
but reads with Mommsen $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\nu} \nu . \hat{\omega}$ Mé ${ }^{\prime}$, from the Schol. $\epsilon \pi i \xi \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \ldots \tau o ̀$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \delta \nu . \quad \delta^{\prime}$ For $\delta \grave{\text { è after vocative }}$ cf. O. 1. 36 .

45 Cf. P. 2. 61 रaúvą $\pi \rho a \pi i \delta \iota$ $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \mu о \nu \epsilon \imath ̂$ кє $\kappa є \alpha \dot{1}$.

46 XapıáSaıs $\tau \epsilon$ Dissen thinks that the фparpia of the Châriadae included the $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ of Deinis; but perhaps $\tau \epsilon$ is explanatory as in Aesch. Ag. 10, 215, 1526, Eum. 107. , $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \rho o v ~ C o o k e s l e y ~ w o u l d ~$ read $\tau$ ' є $\lambda \alpha \phi \rho \circ \nu=$ 'it is easy,' comparing N. 7. 77. Schneider proposed $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ v$. I think the text should be kept. The order is $\lambda \alpha^{\beta} \beta \rho o \nu$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}(s c, \mu \circ \iota) \sigma \in \hat{v}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda . \quad$ ' I am violently impelled,' 'I have more than enough energy.' The word here takes color from oủ $\delta u \nu a \tau o ̀ v . ~ T h e ~$ poet springs from 'impotence' to an opposite. It is erroneous to render $\lambda$ á $\beta$ pos 'tall,' 'lofty,' 'huge,' or 'loud,' as the fundamental idea of the word is 'furious motion,' 'boisterousness,' the notion of loudness being often concomitant, and when applied to speech and conduct $\lambda a ́ \beta p o s$ means 'rash,' 'bold,' 'vehement,' 'impetuous,' 'headstrong.'

47 'To uprear a lofty stêlê of song on behalf of the twice illustrious feet of two men.' Cf. v. 16 supra.



vt $\mu$ д os
85
 К $a \delta \mu \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ er $\rho \iota \nu$.

48 Sri Hardly translatable. The particle points out that Deinis' two victories exactly match his father's.

49 év ťpỵ ' On the occasion of an exploit.'
50 kali $\tau$ ts For the position of ts cf. P.1.52. The poet is meant; his ode is a proper expression of triumph, while at the same time it is a spell to soothe physical pain. For sentiment cf. N. 4. 4. $\quad \hat{\eta} \kappa \in \nu$ Gnomic aorist. $\quad \gamma^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{2} \boldsymbol{v}$ 'Never-
thees,' ie. this is no new thing, for though detraction existed in olden time ( $v .32$ ) there also existed the antidote of laudatory poetry even before the foundation of the Nemean games by Adrastos when his host set out against Thebes. There is a double opposition: the antiquity of his art opposed to present effort of the poet, and the beneficent art itself opposed to coëval detraction.
$51 \delta \eta{ }^{\prime}$ 'Already' $=\eta \bar{\eta} \eta \eta$.

## N EMEA IX.

ON THE VICTORY OF CHROMIOS OF AETNA WITH THE FOUR-HORSE CHARIOT IN THE PYTHIA AT SIKYON.

## INTRODUCTION.

For Chromios see Introduction to N. 1. In this rictory Chromios won a crown ( $e c .52,53$ ) and silver bowls ( $e .51$ ). The ode was composed some time after the victory (e.52) soon after the founding of Aetna, i.e. B.c. $4 \overline{4}+$ or 472 (e. 2). As Pindar seems to have been present the latter date is preferable.

Pindar makes effective use of the legend suggested by the games of Adrastos at Sikyon.

In the two passages $v r .21-29$ and 48-5 4 the poet has elaborated a striking contrast between the turmoil and disaster of defeat in war on the one hand and the peaceful celebration of a peaceful victory on the other. We have:-

|  | v. 48 á $\sigma u \chi$ la, <br> v. 49 ขєкафорia |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| *v. 22 imiteiols $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ ढ̆ע $\nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ |  |
| $v v^{\text {c }} .22,23$ र入uкv̀v \| עóбтоv (denied) |  |
|  | $v v .52,53 \theta \epsilon \mu เ \pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa т о \iota s$ ä $\mu \alpha \mid$ \атоṫда бтєфа́yoıs |
| vv. $23,24 \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ Ė $\pi$ lavav катvóv•\| غ́ $\pi \tau$ à $\gamma$ à $\rho$ סalбavтo $\pi \nu \rho o l$ vєoүvious $\phi \hat{\tau} \tau a s$. |  |

[^6]

ข้. 26, $27 \pi \rho i \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \tau a$ тuTt́v $\tau \alpha .$. ai $\sigma \chi \nu \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu$

v. 25 Zєùs
rv. 28, $29 \pi \epsilon i ̂ p a \nu$ à $\gamma a ́ \nu o p a ~ \dot{~} \gamma \chi \notin \omega \nu$ таи́тav*
$\pi a \hat{\imath ̂}{ }^{\prime}$
 ò̀v dंoı $\delta \hat{a}$
 $\gamma$ iveral
ข. $53 \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v} \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$
 $\delta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$

In the prayers $v v .28-32,53-55$ we find besides this last responsion another contrast and two resemblances :-

$\tau .31 \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v} \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$ (beginning line) $\quad \tau .53 \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v} \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$ (ending line)


In the 4 th verses of the 4 th and 5th stanzas Zeus' lightning is mentioned, first as not giving a favorable omen, then as dealing merciful destruction.

We have also subordinate contrasts between the defeat of Amphiaraos and his friends and Chromios' victories in righteous war. Thus $v .37$ Oupòv aí* $\chi \mu a \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$ echoes $\imath \tau, 26,27, \mu a \chi a \tau \grave{a} \nu \mid \theta u \mu \dot{v} v a i^{*}$, and véтarı v. 44 effectively echoes the nearly tautometric $\nu \in \boldsymbol{v}^{2}$ vious $r .24$, as does $i \pi \pi i \omega \nu$

 - $о \mu \iota-v v .8,3, . o v \iota-v v .43,28$ seem to have no significance.

The mode is Dorian and the ode is processional, accompanied both by lyre and flute ( $i .8$ ) ; the metres dactylo-epitritic.

Strophe (unstmmetrical).

| 1 | A.A. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | C.A. ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| 3 | A.A.B. |
| 4 | B.A.A.C. |
| $5-\vdots$ | B.C. |

[^7]ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-5. Invocation to the muses to inspire the chorus to celebrate Chromios' victory in games sacred to Lêtô and her children.
6, 7. Let not a deed of prowess sink into oblivion.
8,9. Strike up with lyre and flute in honor of the games instituted by Adrastos.
9-27. Myth of the Seven against Thebes concluding with the flight and engulfing of Amphiarâos.
28-32. Prayer that Zeus may grant lasting peace, civil order and glory in games to the Etnæans.
32-34. They are fond of horses and, strange to say, are above considerations of gain or economy in their ambition.
34-39. Chromios is a mighty warrior such as few besides.
39-43. As Hektor by Skamandros, so Chromios by Helôros got glory, and elsewhere too by land and sea.
44. He has earned a peaceful old age.

45-47. He has been blessed with riches and honor.
48, 49. The banquet loves peace; fresh victory is enhanced by song, which is inspired by the bowl.
$50-53$. Let the prize bowls be filled with wine.
53 -end. Zeus is invoked to note the excellence of the poet's minstrelsy.

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho \cdot a^{\prime}
$$


 ขєvíкаขтаı Өúpuє,
$1 \mathrm{~K} \omega \mu$ áбо $\mu \in \nu$ For the future referring to the time of recitation cf. $v .10$, P. 9. 89, 11. 10. Böckh and others make this and $\delta_{\rho \rho \sigma o \mu \in \nu}$ conjunctives, Don. on $v .43$ deliberative futures, a needless distinction.
2 dvaтєттаце́vai 'Flung back.' $\xi \in(\nu \omega \nu$ For the gen. cf. Soph. $A j$.


Eur. Med. $315 \sigma \iota \gamma \eta \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$, крєє $\sigma$ $\sigma \delta \nu \omega \nu \nu \iota \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu 0$, Madv. § 64 . For the hospitality of Aetna cf. P. 1. 38; of Chromios cf. N. 1. 19-25. The Schol. on Aristoph. Acharn.
 $\theta \dot{v} \rho a$, has come down to us with the strange misquotation of this
 $\nu \omega \nu$ èveкev $\tau a i ̂ v$ búpalv.
 $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma є \tau a \iota$.
 ठıठúpots $\pi a i ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ aủ $\delta a ̀ \nu \mu a \nu v ́ є \iota$

10

1 Єै $\sigma \tau \iota$ ठє́ тıs $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta р \omega ́ т \omega \nu, ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \lambda o ̀ \nu ~$
 $\chi$ даь áoıठà тро́бфороs．

15
 av̇тà $\nu$ ő $\sigma \sigma о \mu \in \nu$

 20

3 тра́ббєтal Cf．O．3．7，10． 30 for the phrase，in which the ode is regarded as a debt．The majority of yrss．and editors read $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ，but it is not easy to see who is meant， as the Muses do not claim songs but inspire，and so forth．For the addition of $\overline{\epsilon \pi \epsilon} \omega \nu$ cf．v． 7 infra and N．7．16．The $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ favors the reading $\pi$ ра́⿱㇒木धєтal．The chorus must discharge their obligation of minstrelsy before partaking of Chromios＇hospitality．
4 крати́бıттоу＇Team－van－ quishing，＇according to analogy probably，but cf．P．9．86．$\mu \mathrm{at} \mathrm{f} \mathrm{f}$ ， к．т．ג．In honor of Lêto and Apollo and Artemis．Cf．P．4．3．aủdàv $\mu a v$ vict＇He gives the signal for a strain．＇The seeming interpreta－ tion of aưodav by the Schol．，tò
 a false reading äyav or to a true $\pi \alpha i \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma^{\prime}$ äyaбөal．Anyhow the meaning of $\mu$ avvé $\frac{\text { is singular．}}{}$

6 For sentiment cf．P．9．93－ 96，Frag．98．тєтє $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} v o v$, ，к．т．$\lambda$ ． －Hide not by silence in abasement the achievement of a deed of prowess．＇For the infinitive clause cf．P．2．24．For the participle cf．

O．9．103，I．7．12，N．6．2．év入óv Used in this sense，N．5．47，and almost $=$＇victories，＇＇prizes，＇P． 8. 73．Cf．the poet＇s use of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta$ ， N．5． 53.

7 ह̇ $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ Paley rightly takes this

 Rauchenstein and v ．Leutsch alter $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ．каúXais $\pi$ тоórфороs＇Is well adapted for loud acclaim．＇ Cf．O．9．38，and for sentiment O．11．91－96．riss．give кaú $\chi$ as， which Mommsen reads．The geni－ tive кaúzas might be like dंváүкas，
 but $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi o p o s$ without a dative is awkward．
$8 \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ Resumptive，going back to vv．4，5．Cf．O．4． 6 ．$\beta$ ро ${ }^{\prime}$ av ＇Pealing＇（Myers）．Cf．N．11． 7 入úpa $\delta \epsilon \sigma \phi \iota \beta \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau a \iota$ каi daot $\delta_{\alpha}$ ．The root $\beta \rho \epsilon \mu$ properly denotes deep sound or great body of sound．$\quad$ divd．．．advá Tmesis．For repetition cf．I．3． 8. ＇ $\boldsymbol{\pi}$＇aủrdv i．$\alpha$ ．кopuфáv＇For the very prime of contests with horses．＇ The phrase refers to four－horse cha riot races generally．Cf．N，10． 32.

9 ＇Aб由той For this stream， on the east of Sikyon，cf．N．3． 4.


$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} \gamma^{\prime}
$$


 ar $\mu \phi а \iota \nu \epsilon \kappa v \delta a i ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.

 Ta入aov̂ $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma, ~ \beta ı a \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ v t \epsilon s ~ \lambda u ́ a ̨ . ~$
15 е крє́ $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ סє̀ каттаи́єı Síкаи тà̀ т $\quad$ о́б $\theta \in \nu$ ảעи́p． 35 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \delta^{\prime}$.




12 a̋ppaб؛ Böckh and Dissen take this dative as dependent on $\dot{\alpha} \mu \backslash \lambda \lambda a t s$, comparing 0.5 .6 ．Cf． also P．6．17．$\quad \gamma \lambda a \phi$ upois＇Carved．＇ Böckh．ar $\mu \not$ ave киסaivov Both $^{2}$ these words are elsewhere（P．9．73， 0．11．66）used of victors；but here of the adj $\gamma \omega \nu_{0} \theta^{\prime} \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$ Adrastus，who conferred distinction upon Sikyon， the city whither he fled from Argos when expelled by Amphiaràos＇ faction，by instituting sacred games there．Pindar ignores the fact that Kleisthenes had suppressed Adras－ os＇games and substituted the Pythia in which Chromios gained his victory．

13 фєûyє＇Had fled．＇Lit．＇was in flight from．＇

14 Ta 入aov̂ $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s$ Adrastos and his brothers Parthemopaeos，Pro－ max，Mêkisteus and Aristomachos； these were descendants of Bias，Am－ phiarâos of Melampus，Kapaneus of Proetos．$\lambda$ via Cf．Hêsych．入úa． $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma$ s．Meaning at first，no doubt， a struggle for deliverance from oligarchy or monarchy．

telligence．＇Cf．Yen．Mem．1． 2. §§ 16 and 47，and perhaps P．5． 102
 A School．interprets крєí⿱宀⿻三丨口巾 фaveis каi биขети́тероs．каптаv́єt For кататаи́єє，＇annuls．＇For the as－ similation of．O，8，38．$\delta$ ikav ＇The previous award＇（given against him）．The line must refer to what follows on account of the asyndeton（or $\tau^{\prime}$ ）in the next line and the fact that $\delta \delta \bar{u} \tau e s$ refers to the same subject as $\beta$ aaa $\theta$ év ers． One School．，Thiersch and Merger explain＇For a stronger man put－ teth an end to the former right （of sovereignty）＇；ie．might goes before right．The shade of mean－ ing given to $\delta i k \eta$ is at least unusual： and why should Pindar say＇might is right＇just before telling of a compromise by which the former right was recovered？

16 uss．give àvסроסá $\mu a \nu \tau^{\prime}$ and di $\nu \delta \rho о \mu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta} a \nu \quad \tau^{\prime}$ ．Pindar uses the epithet in reference to her bringing about Amphiarâos＇death．For termination－a $\boldsymbol{\tau}$－fem．cf．I．5． 73. ต์s öтє Cf．O．6． 2.

17 Sóvтєs er $\sigma \sigma a v$＇It was after
 aiolầ

 45

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \epsilon^{\prime}$.



 עóv．

55
$+\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \grave{a}$ yàp Saíaavto $\pi v \rho a i ̀ ~ \nu \epsilon o \gamma v i ́ o u s ~ \phi \omega ̂ t a s . ~ o ́ ~ \delta ' ~$



$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \varsigma^{\prime} .
$$


they had given．．．that they were．＇ Oik $\lambda \in$ é $\delta a$ Amphiarâos．$\delta \grave{\eta}$ tó $\theta \in v$ The mss．have here a lacuna．Böckh got the text from the $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \in \hat{v} \theta \in \nu \dot{\delta} \dot{\eta}$ of the Schol．；literally＇In consequence of just this．＇

18 Cf．Aesch．Ag．104－120， esp． $10 \pm$ öठ̊ov крátos aïбıov，Eum． 770 тapbpvitas ỏoous．Note that aiocàv ópvix $\omega \nu$ is a genitive of quality after $\dot{0} \delta 6 \nu$ ．

21 Cf．Archil．Frag． 98 ［65］，

8＇áp＇Cf．P．4．78，＇And accord－ ingly it was with open eyes that＇\＆c．

22 oúv For position cf．P． 2. 59，N．10． 38.

23 épєє $\sigma$ á $\mu v o l$ Böckh and others read épuб秋 $\mu \in \nu o l$ ，＇having stayed delightsome return．＇Cf． Od．23．244；but the phrase is not quite parallel，$\dot{\eta} \omega \hat{\omega} \dot{\rho} \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau^{\prime}$ ．So too in I．7． 53 the object of ṕvovio， ＇were wont to check，＇is a person． Text Mommsen arss．દ̨puáá $\mu \boldsymbol{v} 0$ t
and épetóáuevol．Hartung àmovpá－ $\mu \in \nu_{0}$（cf．Hês．Scut．Herc．173）， Benedict $\dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ou．Rauchen－ stein proposes $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu o l ~ o r ~ a ̀ v a \nu b-~$ $\mu \in \nu o u$ ．But the ms．reading épeı $\quad$ á－ $\mu \in \nu_{0}$（＇having set fast on Ismênos＇ banks delightsome return＇）is to be retained．It is supported by the Schol．，aủróbl тク̀v otikol ḋva－
 бஸ́uat＇＇As pale corpses．＇Old siss．give $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota(\nu) \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \alpha \nu \alpha \nu$ ；some editors read $\sigma \omega \mu \mu \sigma \iota$ тiavav，taking $\lambda \epsilon u \kappa \alpha \nu \theta \in a$＇white－wreathing＇with катvóv，As white myrtle was sacred to the dead（I．3．88）$\lambda$ dev－ каข日＇́a may mean＇decked with myrtle．＇The text is Böckh＇s．

24 Saloavto Carries on the metaphor of $\dot{\epsilon \pi}$ lavav．Cf．O．6． 15.
＇A $\mu \phi$ фápp The nom．and acc．of this name in Pindar end in－p pos， －p oov．This dative，as if from a contracted form in－$\rho \eta \mathrm{s}$ ，changes its declension by analogy．
 үоעт८ каі $\pi a \hat{\iota} \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$.

65
c єí Svvatóv, Kpovíшע, тєîpà $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma a ́ \nu o \rho a ~ Ф о \iota \nu \iota к о-~$ $\sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$
 es то́рб८бта, $\mu о \hat{\imath} \rho a \nu \delta^{\prime}$ єvैvo $\mu о \nu$

70


 Єै $\chi о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ к \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma о \nu а я ~$
 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi т є \tau а \iota$,




28 'If it be possible, son of Kronos, I am for putting off as long as possible the talked-of gallant struggle for life and death with the host of Carthaginian spearmen.

 Aegina was famous for Euvoula, cf. I. 4. 22, Bacchyl. 13. 149 ff . каi $\mu a ̀ \nu(a ̉ \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{a}) \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \kappa v \delta \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ vâбov | Aíaкoû



30 ó $\pi \alpha \dot{\}} \epsilon เ \nu$ Cf. N. 3. 9.
 victories.' Cf. O. 13.14 note, P. 6. 46, N. 1. 13. ar $\boldsymbol{1}$ тvvó $\mu$ oils Lit. 'In the city' (cf. àpóvoноs, 'rural') ie. 'enjoyed by the citizens,' generally rendered - 'public.' Dissen renders dj $\gamma \lambda$. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau$. 'decor ludicra (0.9.99) quad ad urbem pertineant.' I prefer the more usual sense of a $\gamma^{\prime} \lambda a t a$, as it involves a commower meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \dot{\nu} \nu \mu_{0}$ than the other, and compare I. 5.69, 0.
7. $21,11.11$. It is not necessary to assume that the victor gave a public feast, but the festivities in commemoration of a victory would be general. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \hat{\xi} \alpha \downarrow$ Cf. O. 1. 22, 91.

32 évt Cf Cf. O.1.35. ктєávav, к.т.入. 'With souls too lofty to grudge their hoards.' Cf. P. 8. 92


33 aid ผ́s, к.т. $\lambda$. 'Due love of honor (cf. O. 7.44) which bringetl renown is steadily sapped by greed.' mss. give $\dot{\sim} \pi \dot{\sigma} к р и ф а$, but the Schol. suggests by $\dot{\cup} \pi о к \lambda є ́ \pi \tau є \tau \alpha \iota$ that there is a tmesis of the preposition.

35 'You might have formed an idea of the danger of keen fight'; because Chromios was ever in the thickest of the fray. For кєд-äv cf. Goodwin $\S \S 224,225$. For adv Bergk reads $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ (for $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha})$ taking oüveкєy as 'that.' The unchanged text gives the perfectly satisfactory sense " only one who kept close to

$\Sigma \tau \rho . \eta^{\prime}$ ． 85
 §è ßou入єv̂ซą фóvov
 otíxas

90
 $\kappa \lambda \in ́ o s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \eta ̄ \sigma a \iota ~ \Sigma \kappa а \mu a ́ v \delta \rho o v ~ \chi є ข ́ \mu а \sigma \iota \nu ~$
 95 $\Sigma \tau \rho . \theta^{\prime}$.
 1，тaıסi то̂̂т＇＇A $\delta^{\prime}$ äддaıs á $\mu$ épaıs 100

Chromios could have discerned the maximum of danger to be met with in battle．＂

36 kelva $\theta$ oós Aidós，who is personified by Hêsiod，W．and D． 198.

37 Perhaps the similarity of phrase to $\mu a \chi \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \mid \theta v \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \alpha l-, v v$ ． 26,27 ，is intended to mark the contrast between Amphiarâos＇lot and Chromios＇．

38 тартобiov＇Imminent．＇Cf． P．3．60．vєф́́ $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\alpha}$＇Storm－cloud．＇ For the metaphor cf．I．6．27，4．49， 50，3．35，Verg．Aen．9． 667 ff．

39 к入є́os $\alpha \cup \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ For the phrase cf．P．1． 66.

40 See Introduction．
 $\nu \eta$ ，cf．K póvlov（ $\lambda \dot{o} \phi o \nu$ ），O．1． 111. The Schol．gives a variant $\varepsilon \nu \theta a$ ＇Pelas．For the compendious con－ struction for＇where is the ford which men name from Arês＇ spring，＇of．Il．11． 757 каl＇A入є८－
 Trach． 638 है $\nu \theta^{\prime}$＇E入入áv $\omega \nu$ à $\gamma o p a i$ II $\downarrow \lambda$ átıঠєs клєb̀tau，Oed．Rex 753，
 oûtos，$\delta \nu$ к．т．${ }^{\text {．，}}$ ，Eur．Ion 11－13

そ̌עӨa $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta$ óppous $\pi$ éт $\rho a s \mid \Pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s$

 I．5．47．Dr Postgate takes＇Apelas as acc．plur．，supplying ảктàs from above，as he does not see why a ford should be named from a spring．The alteration ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \theta \alpha^{\text {＇Peias }}$ （＇Péas）has been suggested by Beck， Hartung and Bergk，＇Pelas mópov meaning＇Ióv cov mópov（N．4．53）．


 $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \tau \alpha$ ．It is，however，open to question whether $\pi \delta \rho o \nu$ would be used of the sea when a river had just been mentioned，and whether it would apply to the open sea off the Helôros．Western Crete lay at the entrance to the Ionian sea from the Archipelago，and so the Cretan cult of Rhea probably gave rise to the old name recorded by Aeschylos． §є́סоркєン Cf．O．1．94，＇shone forth and still shines．＇This perfect is like $\lambda \epsilon \in \lambda_{0} \gamma \chi \in \nu, 0.1 .53$.

42 тоиิт＇＇Such．＇Cf，O．4． 24.
 prime＇；i．c．when first he became

с $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ e ̀ \nu ~ к о \nu i ́ a ~ \chi e ́ \rho \sigma \omega, ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon i ́ t o \nu \ell ~ \pi o ́ \nu \tau \omega ~$ фа́бонає．


distinguished．Böckh and others render wrongly＇in his first youth．＇ The battle of Helôros（Herod． 7. 154）was fought at least before B．c． 491，when Hippokratês the victor died，but at the date of this ode Chromios was probably more than forty years of age，for from $v .44$ we might gather that his old age was not very distant，as indeed from the general tone of both the odes to Chromios．tà 8＇Perhaps cf． N．2， 17 ．

43 Cf．O．12． $6 \pi \delta \lambda^{\prime} \lambda$＇ar $\nu \omega \ldots \tau \alpha ̀$ $\delta$＇a仑̂ кáтш．Render，＇And his honours won at other times，many mid the dry land＇s dust，others again on the neighbouring sea，will I proclaim．＇The idea to be sup－ plied with the neut．plur．pron． must surely be suggested by $\kappa \lambda$ os $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota(v .39)$, ，$є$ б́оркє $\mid$ тои̂то $\phi \in \gamma-$ gros（vv．41，42）．Dissen under－ stands $\pi \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau a$ or $\pi \rho a \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ and compares Aristoph．Ran． 278 iss oûtos on $\tau$ óros દ̇бтlv，ô̂ $\tau$ al Onpía｜Tà
 five verb is obviously suppressed，or at least a participle．But ф́́бo $\mu \alpha$ can take an accusative like кeîva



 kovia An adjective．үєiтovı тóvтผ The sea off Cumae．For the battle cf．P．1．71－75．фá⿱宀ода Pindar also uses the middle forms фáto， $\phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \ell$ ，which may in all five instances be well rendered＇in the second and more definite sense of ф $\eta \mu i$ ，to affirm，declare，\＆c．＇（Don．）． He uses $\phi a \mu \epsilon ้ \nu \omega$ ，I．5．49，of the utterance of a wish．This фá $\sigma o \mu a \iota$ then has a different shade of mean－
ing from $\phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ ，and has no proper connexion with the following theory which Don．propounds in this place．＇Pindar uses a middle form for the future of active verbs signi－ tying＂to utter a sound＂；as aúסá－ бонає ̇̀vópкєоע $\lambda$ nov，＂I will so－ lemnly swear，＂O．2． 92 кє $\lambda a \delta \eta$－ $\sigma o ́ \mu \in \theta a \mid \beta p o \nu \tau \alpha ́ \nu$ ，＂we will sing of the thunder，＂O．11． $79 \kappa \omega \mu \alpha \alpha_{\sigma} \mu \alpha \iota$ ， ＂I will raise the comus－song，＂$P$ ． 9．89：and here $\phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma о \mu$ ，＂I will affirm．＂In all these cases of du－ tire assertions he uses the middle form of this tense，for the reason which I have given in the passages above referred to－namely，because ＂when we speak of something which will make an impression upon our senses or feelings，or，in general，befall us，as future，we consider ourselves as merely the object of these outward impressions or accidents；but when we speak of their present effect we consider ourselves as an agent or inchoative in respect to them．＂If，however， we use the future in a deliberate or prohibitory sense，the idea of agency is not lost；and thus we find that Pindar not merely writes aúdóoopar，＂I will speak＂（O． 2. 92），but also $\mu \grave{\eta}$ aud $\delta a ́ \sigma o \mu \in \nu$ ，＂let us not speak＂（O．1．7）；and not only $\kappa \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma о \mu \iota$ ，＂I will raise the comus－ song＂（P．9．89），but also $\kappa \omega \mu a ́ \sigma o-$ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ，＂let us sing the comus－song＂ （supra，v，1）．Similarly，although Bonjoopal is the regular Attic future of $\beta$ odd $\omega$ ，we have in Aeschyl．Pere．
 I to go on proclaiming my woes？＂， Now aúסáбoual，O．2．92，is dis－ tinctly reflexive，as the utterance of an oath binds the utterer．кєла－




 $\tau a \iota$
 кратท̂ра фшขà үі́vєтає．
 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \iota a^{\prime}$.


ט＇ñouє $\theta a$ is neutralised by кє入a－ óno $\omega$, O．10．［11．］14．Don．should refer $\kappa \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \rho \mu \iota \iota$ to his $\kappa \omega \mu a ́ s o \mu a \iota$, I．3．90．This mid．is used cau－ satively，＇I cause to be celebrated in（or＇by＇）a kômos，＇only used in the first person sing，in reference to the poet．Cf．also N．3．12，27， 6．26．Perhaps $\kappa \epsilon \lambda a \delta$ ．，O．11．79， is causative．Thus there is no in－ stance in Pindar to which Donald－ son＇s ingenious explanation of middle futures to active verbs will fairly apply．
 natural outcome of toil．＇oi $\gamma$＇f－ $\nu \omega v \tau a t$ See Goodwin §540，N． 7. 32．vєóratt The classical youth includes our middle age．Pindar speaks，P．2．63，of Hieron＇s veótas in connexion with the battle of Himera（в．с．480），and yet of his Boùal $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \epsilon \rho a l$ ，though the date of the ode is b．c．477．mpòs Cf．
 cf．P．4． 186.

45 ทбтш 入ахळ́v Cf．O．6．8， N．11．15，I．1．68，6． 27.

46 For sentiment cf．P．1．99， N：1．32，I．1． 50.
 For metaphor cf．O．1．115，N． 1. 25，8．35，I．4．23．For EैTı cf．Eur．$^{\text {．}}$ Med． 1077.

48 aű $\xi \in \tau$ Cf．P．10． 10. Render＇a victor＇s honor（the status of a $\nu$（кŋфópos）putteth forth fresh growth by aid of soothing minstrelsy．＇For metaphor cf．N． 8． 40 ．

50 є̇үкเрขáтн $\mu เ ข$ For constr． cf．$\epsilon ่ \gamma \chi \epsilon i ้ ~ к \rho \eta т \eta ิ \rho a, ~ S o p h . ~ F r a g . ~ 149, ~, ~$ ＇To mix into the cup＇＝to pour in and mix．Cf．I．4．25．трофа́－ rav The bowl is the interpreter of the $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu o s$ because it adds vigor to the performers and stimulates the faculties of the audience，raising both nearer to the level of the poet＇s inspired genius．It would appear that another ode was to be sung at or after the feast．The Schol，indicates a variant $\pi \rho o \eta$ ． $\gamma \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，which does not scan．

51 Cf．N．10．43．Silver cups were also prizes at Marathon，cf． O．9．90．Probably the wreath was universally given as a symbol of victory in games．



 ímèp $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \mu a \lambda \phi \in i ̂ \nu$ 入óyous I30


52 á $\mu$ тé ${ }^{\lambda}$ ov тâ̂ $\delta$＇＇The mas－ terful child of the vine．＇Conversely （Aesch．Pers．616），ảкท́paтóv тє $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \gamma p l a s ~ a ́ \pi o \mid \pi о т o ́ \nu, ~ \pi a \lambda a l a ̂ s ~$ $\dot{a} \mu \pi \epsilon \in \operatorname{lov}$ रávos $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon$ ．In the Schol． the quotation from N．5． 6 uarє́ $\rho^{\prime}$ olvávもas ó $\pi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$ is misplaced and put under $v .48$ ．It appears that Chromios did not himself attend these games．$\quad \theta \in \mu เ \pi \lambda$ ékтols Schol．
 yous，＇twined with due ceremonial＇； not＇fairly－twined，＇＇twined in justice to him，＇i．e．＇fairly won．＇ For crowns won by horses cf．O． 2. $50,6.26$, P．3．73，74．But the plural is used for the victor＇s crown for a single victory，e．g．I．3． 11.

53 ípâs The Schol．refers this epithet to the partition of the vic－ tims between gods and men at Mêkônê close to Sikjon．Cf．Hês． Theog．535，but the fact of Pythian games being held there is perhaps sufficient ground for the attribute．

54 єบ้Xоนa؛＇I pray．＇ápєтáv ＇Glory（in games）＇；cf．I．1．41， 4.

17．$\sigma$ v่v Xapitєซのเv For the association of the Graces with Epinician poetry and with Pythia of．P．6．2，N．10．1．For－$\sigma a \iota$ oúv
 ＇And that more than many（bards） I may make victory of great accomnt by my verses．＇Notice the aorist $\kappa \epsilon \lambda a \delta \bar{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ referring the poet＇s cele－ bration of the particular achieve－ ment，the present $\tau \iota \mu a \lambda \phi \in i ้ \nu$ referring to his general habit．A Schol．gives an unhappy v．l．тол入ลิิข ．．．$\nu เ \kappa \alpha ̂ \nu$, which Christ appropriates．For v่ $\pi \grave{\rho} \rho \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ cf．I．2． 36.

55 dкоขтi乡由v For the hurling of the javelin，one of the contests of the quinquertium，cf．O．13．93， P．1．44．For the metaphor of．O． 1．112．бкотой Mss．give $\sigma$ котой， but cf．P． 11.41 （where I find Christ had anticipated my suggestion of

 $\pi о \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ áváкєเтаl，I．1．16．The me． of Bacchylides supports－ot＇，e．g．


## [NEMEA X.]

## ON TWO VICTORIES OF THEIAEOS OF ARGOS IN THE Wrestling match (IN THE Heratunibaea at argos).

## INTRODUCTION.

This fine ode is proved by $\mathrm{cz} .22,23$ and the thrice-repeated mention of Héra to be composed for an amiversary of the Hekatombaea at Argos, in which Theiacos son of Ulias of Argos had won the wrestling match twice. He had also won thrice at Nemea, thrice at the Isthmos, once at Pytho, lut not yet at Olympia. Dissen argues, from Amphitryon being called an Argive that the date falls after the destruction of Mykênae by the Argives, Kleônaeans and Tegeaeans b.c. 468; he also fixes the later limit, B.c. 458 , by the consideration that Argos joined in an invasion of Boeotia in that year, after which Pindar would hardly compose an ode for an Argive.

It is probable from ど. 29-36 that an Olympian contest was at hand, that is that the date was either b.c. 464 or 460 , as Mykennae was prohably not taken till late in the year and the poet would hardly he likely to transfer the myths of Mykenac to Argos immediately after the destruction of the former. For such transference in the Tragedians ef. Aesch. Ag. 24, Porson on Eur. Heruel. 18s. (Elmsley and Barnes). The confusion wats made easy by the larger meaning of Argos = Argolis (see on $i, 42$ ).

Mezger points out that ve. 37 f . form the midule point of the ode, referring the victories of the family to the Graces, who are invoked $c$. l, and the Tyndaridace, who form the sulject of the close of the ode.

Polydenkes is vividly presented as the ideal exemplar of brotherly love, and it is hard to believe that the poet wished a beautiful picture to be hhurred by any occult references to Theiaeos. Dissen sees that the exaltation of Polydeukes' brotherly love is the print of the myth, but gratuitonsly proceeds to infer that Theiacos' unselfish brotherly love is indirectly celebrated. The poet implies, $c .54$, that he is just ; but beyond that no indication of his character can be traced.

All the heroes and heroines mentioned in this ode are children (1r descemlants (or both) of Zeus. Talaos, and Adrastos and Diomêles, three Acolidae, through Pêro wife of Bias are descended from both Zeus and Poseidon. Amphiarâos is not only-through his ancestress, the daughter of Proetos, wife of Melampus-a link between the Argive Aenlidae and the line of Epaphos, but through his mother Hypermmêstra, sister of Lêda, the seer is cousin to the Dioskuri and descendant of Zens again through Thestios and Ares. From Zeus through Epaphos descend Danaos, Lynkeus, Hpermnêstra, Danaê, Perseus, Alkıênê, Hêrakles, Kastor (through Perseus), the Apharêtidae, and Amphiarâos.

According to Pansamias, Lysianassi the wife of Talans was daughter of Polybos, son of Hermes, son of Zeus. The whole ude

 40, Пацфáŋs v. 49.

There is much recurrence. Zens is mentioned by name or title $v v . s$, 11, 16 (Z $\mathrm{Z} \hat{\mathrm{v}}$ tautometric with Z C is c c. 11), 29, 56, 6.5, 71, 76, 79. I'v. 79 84 naturally contain several echoes from $c r .15-18$ as the themes present several points of similarity. Heredity is noticed ve. $37,40,51$, ét. Hêra is mentioned $v r .18,23,36$, and Hêrakles $v v .17,33,53$. Ivi. 45,46 echo $v r .3,4$, with $\mu v \rho \ell$ - and $\mu a \kappa \rho-$ in corresponding verses. The echo


 natural. The echo $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o l v . ~ 78$ of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \partial े v . ~ 54$ is effective.

As Zeus enters so largely into the ode and as the Dioskuri are his offspring and evarúvoot $\theta$ eoi as well as jelvoi of Theiacos' ancestor, it is quite superfiuous to look for parallels between Theiaeos and the Dioskuri, which indeed would savour of impious presumption. How indifferent to, or careless about simple echoes Pindar was, is shown by the exact
responsion of the solemm E $\sigma \sigma i \quad i .80$ with the $-\epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ of Xapite $\sigma \sigma \iota v, 3 x$. The five systems are distributed in the unusual scheme, $1+2+2$, devoted respectively to Argive worthies, 'Theiaeos' victories and aspirations, and the myth of the apotheosis of the Dioskuri.

The mode is Dôrian with a few Lydian characters. The metres are dactylo-epitric.

> Strophe (unsymmetrical).


From v. 2 to end we get the symmetrical numbers


Epode (unsymmetrical).

1. B.A. $^{\prime}$.
2. B.A.B'
3. $A . A^{\prime}$.
$4 . \quad$ A.B.
4. C.A.
5. $B^{\prime}+. B_{\ddagger}^{\prime}+. A^{\prime} C^{\prime}$.

ANALYSIS.
ic.
1-3. Invocation of the Graces to laud Hêra's Argos.
4-18. Mention of mythic worthies of Argos (see note on $2: 12$ ).
19, 20. The pret must refrain from reciting the blessings of Argos.
21-23. Still he will turn his mind to wrestlings under the influence of the festival of the Hêraea (Hekatombaea).

[^8]> vv.
> 24-28. Enumeration of victories of Theiaeos.
> 29, 30. Invocation of Zens to grant Theiaens' prayer.
> $31-36$. What it is, is well known. His Panathenaic rictory is an omen that it will be answered.
> 37,38 . His successes are due to hereditary worth and to the favour of the Graces and the Dioskuri.
> 39-48. Mention of rictories of Theiaeos' maternal ancestors.
> 49-54. No wonder, since Pamphaês (a remote ancestor) entertained the Dioskuri, the faithful patrons of games.
> $55-\mathrm{end}$. The death of Kastor and self-sacrifice of Polydenkes.
> $\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, a^{\prime}$.
Xápıтєऽ,

> ảpєтaîs
> $3 \mu \nu p i ́ a \iota s$ є้ $р \gamma \omega \nu$ Өрабє́ $\omega \nu$ єैvєкєข.
> + $\mu а к \rho a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma є ́ o s ~ \grave{\mu ф ф i ~ M \epsilon \delta o i \sigma a s ~ Г o \rho \gamma o ́ v o s . ~}$

1 diy入ao日póvov So old siss. Triclinian $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda \alpha o \theta \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$. For the meaning of the epithet of. O. 2. 22, P. 3. 94, N. 4. 65, from which last line we may gather that at Aegina the Nereids were represented enthroned. In Eur. Iph. in Aul. 239, golden statues of the Nereids stand on the sterns of the ships of Achilles. Bacchylides applies the epithet to the Nereids xpii. 124 f . So also no doubt at Thebes and Argos were the local heroines thus sculptured in some public building. The Fates, Seasons, Nereids and Danaiads would scareely suggest this attribute without the intervention of plastic representation demanded by solemn cults. With the great deities the case is different. See Addenda. Xápıtes For the Graces as patronesses of Epinician minstrelsy cf. O. 14. 12-14, P. 6.

2, 9. 89, N. 9. 54. The Seasons and the Graces had been sculptured by Polykleitos on the crown of his colossal statue of Hêra in the Hêraeon at Argos. This fact may have influenced the poet in his invocation, but it is rash to be positive on the point.
$2 \delta \omega ิ \mu a$ 'Home'; cf. Soph.
 $\mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$. The Argives constitute a family of which Hêra is mistress and foundress. $\phi \lambda$ е́ $є \epsilon \tau a l$ For metaphor, cf. P. 5. $42,11,45$, I. 6. 23. apeтaîs Dat. of manner. ' Distinctions,' cf. O. 13. 15, N. 7. 51.

4 цакра́ One Ms., Medic. B., gives какрд́, an interesting error; this vox nilili is corrected in the lemma of the same ass to кäapá. In I. 5. $56{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{2} \alpha \gamma \gamma^{\eta} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ is expressed with $\mu$ акрóv. duф' 'The tale of
 тала́ $\mu a \iota$.
 катабхоїба $\xi$ і́фоя.

10 'A $\nu$ т. $a^{\prime}$.
 $\theta$ єóv.

$\lambda \in \sigma \iota \nu$


Perseus with respect to the Gorgon Medusa.' There is no need to render $\tau$ à $\Pi$ € $\rho \sigma$ éos Persei res gestae, and to strain the force of the preposition to certamen circa Med. with Dissen.

5 MSS. $\pi$. $\delta^{\prime}$ Ai. кат $\kappa \kappa \kappa \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ ă. т. 'E. $\pi$. Mommsen тà катє́ктเ $\theta \in \nu$, Böckh rà кат $\kappa \kappa \kappa \sigma \epsilon \nu$, with the subject Argos understood as in vv. 10, 13. The latter alteration is the best, as $\psi^{\prime} \kappa \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ is easily deduced from -世́кь $\sigma \sigma \in \nu . \mathrm{Mr}$ Bury reads the metrically impossible 'I $\omega$ ктiбधv. His examples of resolution are irrelevant. The plural â $\sigma \tau \eta$ is distributive, hence the passive verb would be plural. $\pi a \lambda a ́ \mu a \iota s ~ M e r e l y ~$ 'agency.'
 phatic meiosis; 'trod the path of honor.' For $\pi \alpha \rho \in \pi \lambda$. cf. O. 7. 31 ai


 with the Vatican old urs. omits the v. Cf. Hor. Od. 3. 11. 33, Aesch. Prom. 865, Ov. Her. 14. for the story. $\mu$ ovó $\psi a \phi o v$ So miss. After the Schol. Vet. Mommsen - $\phi$ os. The uss. reading should be preferred as the less easy. For the transference of the attribute of the person to the instrument cf. the

adjective should be taken adverbially. Cf. O. 6. 8 бачцо́vıov $\pi$ ó $\delta a$, where again the attribution of the quality of the whole to the part is on a similar principle to the trans. ference to the instrument. kaтa$\sigma \times 0 i \sigma \alpha$ The aorist would be appropriate to her sudden resolve not to draw her sword, if we rendered 'because she retained,' but it is simpler to render 'when she retained.'
$7 \Delta$ top 7 б́єа A Schol. tells us that Diomedes was endowed with the immortality forfeited by Tydeus when he ate some of Melanippos' head. Diomêdes, the reputed founder of Argyripa or Arpi in Apulia, was deified as a hero of Hellenic colonisation of Southern and Eastern Italy.

8 èv 'Near,' cf. O. 6. 16, where the fate of Oeklês' son Amphiarâos is being celebrated. As Amphiarâos was running away when engulphed, the addition of
 cession to Argive feeling. L. and S. should not say ' raîa ú $\pi$ ह́ঠөкто aủtóv, the grave,' for the earth reseuted him from death.

9 то入є́رоьо vє́фоs Cf. Il. 17.
 "Eкт $\omega$. Vergil's nubes belli, den. 10. 809 , is differently applied, to


入ógov． 20


＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$.

a shower of missiles．For more general application of the metaphor cf．I．3．35．Lucretius＇S＇cipiadas belli fulmen（3．1034）is quoted．

10 áplotevé Argos is the im－ plied subject．The wrong punc－ tuation before mádat is due to Leporinus．For present including perfect cf．Dem．Meid．§59．
11 For Alkmênê and Amphi－ tryon being reckoned as Argives， see Introduction．toûtov ass． тòv，Bergk and Mezger є̇̇òv，com－ paring Schol．on Il．1．133．Text Schmid from Schol．Vet．

12 тatpi Talaos．фрєvêv карто́v＇Experience，＇cf．P．2．73， Aesch．Sept．c．Th． 593 及ateíav ä入ока дıà фрєуд̀s картои́यєvos．Frag． 193．The orderin which the worthies of Argos are mentioned is not so confused as appears at first sight． First come two sons of Zeus，the younger first；then an example of feminine courage and rectitude； thirdly，two immortal heroes，the younger first；fourthly，two wo－ men ；fifthly，two wise and just heroes，the younger first；and lastly，Amphitryon and Hêrakles， who through Hêbê is connected with Hêra of Argos，whose cella contained a silver altar on which their marriage was represented．

13 Өр＇́ $\psi \in$ Argos is again the implied subject most probably， though Zeus might be．Note aix－ $\mu a ̀ \nu$＇$A \mu \phi$ ．$=\alpha i \chi \mu \eta \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu$＇$A \mu \phi \iota \tau р \nu \dot{\omega} \omega \alpha$ ． Cf．I．4．33．$\dot{\circ} \delta^{\prime}$ ，к．т．$\lambda$ ．Partly owing to the corrupt condition of
$v .15$ this passage has caused much difficulty．Commentators have ex－ plained i $\delta^{\prime}$ as Zeus and kelvou as Amphitryon or vice versâ．The objections to $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ being Zeus are obvious．Again Amphitryon was Zeus＇descendant，therefore he could only enter his generation by birth， not，as Mezger supposes，by virtue of Zeus＇connexion with Alkmênê． It seems more appropriate to refer кeivou to the first word of the ode， \avaoû．The Schol．àvalpoîvtos aù－ тô̂ T $\eta \lambda \in \beta^{\prime}$ óas suggested to Mommsen
 alteration being fairly easy，first to èvápoutı by assimilation to the case of an interpolated oi and then from－ONTI to－ENTI，－EN TI． The rhythm however rather points to évap＇（see the corresponding lines），so I suggest ěva ap＇，єv̂ $\theta$＇oi，or द̆ $\nu \theta^{\prime}$ oi（cf．N．7．78）．Goram pro－
 cf．0．4．9，P．4．105，N．8． 10. Böckh read êvapev kai oi with crasis of the diphthongs．Hermann gave Èvapev，oì．The scansion presented by the ass．is inadmissible；the resolution of the third syllable of an epitrite，$N .5 .10$ ，is irrelevant． The expedition against the Taphioi or Têleboae was an integral part of Amphitryon＇s bliss，as it was the condition of his union with Alk－ mênê，so that a parathetic structure of the clauses after $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mathcal{L}(5.14)$ is not inappropriate．Kayser＇s altera－ tion of $\dot{\circ} \lambda \beta \omega$ to o $\quad \lambda \beta$ os（supported by 0．2．22，P．3．105，5．51）is needless．


a $\dot{a} \theta a v a ́ t \omega \nu ~ \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon u ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \lambda a ̀ ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \eta ̂ \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$,
 To D

30
f ä入охоs＂ $\mathrm{H} \beta a$ тє入єía тарі̀ $\mu a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \iota ~ \beta a i v o \iota \sigma " ~ є ̀ \sigma \tau i ́, ~ к а \lambda-~$ $\lambda i \sigma \tau a \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．

$$
\sum_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime} .
$$

 ё $\chi \epsilon \iota$ тє́ $\mu \epsilon \nu о$ о 35





 $\lambda \dot{1} \theta a \nu \pi o ́ v \omega \nu$ ．
$17 \sigma \pi$ ．${ }^{'} \mathrm{H} \rho$ ．Cf．I．6．7，${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha=$ $\kappa \lambda \in i o t s$ rovaîs．
$18 \tau \in \lambda \in \mathfrak{i} \alpha$＂Hpa $\tau \in \lambda \in i a$ or $\gamma \alpha$－ $\mu \eta$ iia or suria was the Goddess of Marriage，Iuno Pronuba．The phrase $\tau \in$ t̀ os $\theta a \lambda \epsilon \rho o i ̂ o ~ \gamma a ́ u o ı o ~ o c c u r s ~$ Ot．20． 74 ；cf．Soph．dutig． 1241. Oin the sceptre of her statue in the Hêraeon was a cuckoo．Near this statue stood a chryselephantine statue of Hêbê（Paus．2．17）． ßaivoto＇є̇ठт＇Walks for ever＇ （Holmes）．The participle is per－ haps adjectival in such construc－ tions，cf．Madv．§ 180 d ．Most edd． read $\epsilon \sigma \sigma$ ．
 The idea is elaborated by Vergil， Aen．6． 625.

20 \＄è kal＇And besides．＇For кópos cf．P．1．82，8．32，O．2． 95. àvtáaal For inf．cf．v． 72 infra．

21 This may be the original of Gray＇s＇Awake，Aeolian lyre，awake．＇

Cf．，however，the Psalmist＇s＂Awake， lute and harp．＂Suscito musam， crepitum are different and much less artificial．The poet addresses himself．

22 Xá入кєоs Cf．O．7． 83 ó $\tau^{\prime}$
 brazen shield given as a prize at the Hêraea or Hekatombaea（see next line，$\beta$ ov0valav）．

23 крїเv Cf．O．3．21，0． 7. 80，P．4．253．The line recalls 0 ． 5． 6 ن́ $\pi \grave{\partial} \beta$ ßov $\theta v \sigma i a u s \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \theta \lambda \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi$－ танє́poıs $\dot{\alpha} \mu i \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota s$.

24 Ė $\sigma X \in \nu$＇Gat，＇cf．O．2． 9. єúфópwr＇Bravely－borne．＇The Schol．interprets＇profitable，＇which seems to be a comparatively late sense，and would here be proleptic． The Scholl．record a variant $\epsilon \dot{v}$ ． $\phi \rho \delta \nu \omega \nu$ explained as єن̉фраעтıкஸ̂ע．


 $\tau \epsilon \mu о \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$




 סè $\tau$ é ${ }^{\prime}$ os
 тараıтєîtaı ха́рıv． 55

25 є́кра́тท̄бє For this sort of zeugma where the verb is taken in another of its own meanings with a second object cf．O．1．88＇$\lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu \delta^{\prime}$ Olvouáov ßlà $\pi a p \theta \in \varepsilon \nu o \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \sigma u ́ v \epsilon v \nu o \nu$, Eur．Ion 666 vं $\mu \hat{i} \nu \bar{\nu} \delta \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \gamma \hat{\alpha} \nu, \delta \mu \omega i \delta \epsilon s$ ，
 סá $\mu \alpha \rho \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, Aesch．Prom． 665
 $\dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}, \ldots \kappa \in i \quad \mu \eta ̀ \quad \theta \in \hat{\lambda} \lambda o t, \pi \nu \rho \omega \pi \delta \partial$
 oté $\phi$ avov cf．N．5．5，v． 47 infra． ＂E入入ava oтparóv Cf．P．11． 50 ＇E入入avíóa oтpatıáv，12． 6 ＇E入入áסa ขıкáбаута．тúxa．Equals єủтvхia， cf．7． 11, P．3． $104,0.2 .51$.

26 For omission of $\tau$ tóv before N $\epsilon \mu$ ．cf．Madv．§ 16 b．ápóбal For metaphor cf．P．6．2．For omission of object cf．L．and S．$\delta i \delta \omega \mu, 4$.

27 tpls $\mu$ ̀v．．．Tpls $\delta$ é Cf．for this repetition N．11．6，I．3． 8. тúגaır Cf．O．9． 86 èv Kopiv $\theta$ ou
 from above．
$28 \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu . \delta a \pi$ ．The plain of Nemea．Locative，cf，v． 35 infra． év＇A．vó $\omega \omega^{\text {．}}$＇ ccording to Adrastos＇ institution＇（cf．N．8．50，51）．For the preposition cf．P．4，59；Dêm． 496 ad fin．The alteration to $\nu о \mu \hat{\omega}$ is worse than needless．For the use of $\nu 6 \mu \omega$ Cookesley compares
the use of $\tau \epsilon \theta \mu o ́ s, v .33$ infra，0． 6. $69,13.40$.

29 Theiaeos aspires to win at Olympia，cf．v． 33 infra．Fol For this dative of．O．9．15，N．7．22， 40，P．4．48．$\pi \hat{a} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，к．т．入．＇All issue of deed is in thy hands．＇I．e． $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \sigma \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ．We have $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \omega \nu{ }^{\text {ép }} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \in \lambda o s, 0.2$. 15－17．There＇the effect，＇here ＇the completion＇is meant by $\tau \epsilon \bar{\lambda}$ os． For sentiment，cf．O．13．104－ 106．For év $\tau i \nu$, cf．Soph．Phil．
 little different is N．7．90．Cf． Aesch．Sept．c．Th． 118 ผ̄ Z $\epsilon \hat{u}$ тáтєр $\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \in ́ s$.

30 ov̉ $\delta$＇，к．$\tau_{0} \lambda_{\text {．}}$＇But adding a spirit of daring to a resolution that shrinks from no toil he makes an indirect request for favor．＇He hints at a wish which he is too modest to express openly，or rather he mentions incidentally in his prayer the petition which he really has most at heart，but is too difti－ dent to lay stress upon in words． Mezger renders mapaiteîo $\theta a \iota$＇eine neben hinausgehende Bitte thun，＇ comparing the use of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ in $\pi \alpha p-$ $\phi a ́ \mu \in \nu, 0.7 .66$ ，\＆̌c．$\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, P． 11．25，N．7．23．Other commenta－ tors have rendered the verb＇obtain，＇





 тантоикі́入оьs．
＇supplicate for，＇＇decline＇（L．and S．）．In support of＇request indi－ rectly，＇＇request by the way，＇not given in L．and S．，ef．the use of тареүरvá $\omega$ Soph．Oed．Col． 94 （Campbell），and of $\pi$ apaф $\theta \epsilon \gamma \gamma \mathrm{roma}$ and $\pi a \rho a \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \in$ and perhaps Aristoph．Equites 37.

31 The older mss．give кai öotıs， the rest $\chi$＇${ }^{\prime}$ s $\tau \iota s$ ．Dissen follows Hermann＇s more than needless
 Kayser with almost equal temerity
 The poet says that he need not tell more precisely to Zeus or any athlete who aspires to Olympian victory what Theiaeos prayed for．
$32{ }^{\epsilon} \sigma x$ d dé $\theta$ ．kop．The various contests at Olympia，each of which is a supreme contest．The su－ perlative is reinforced by корифais， the genitive not being partitive but ＇of definition．＇Pindar twice uses éruatos in a good sense，I．3．29， with a reference to sailing to the Pillars of Hêrakles，and 0．1． 113 тò ${ }^{2}$＇ $\begin{gathered}\text { é } \\ \text { aatov（of greatness）корифоû－}\end{gathered}$ тai $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ ，a metaphor from a mountain height as here．The

 űtarov This sentence explains the last somewhat vague phrase．Note the order，and render＇Hêrakles＇ ordinance which Pisa received is highest．＇For sentiment cf．O．1．7． For tox．ef．$v .24$.

33 For $\tau \epsilon \theta$ ．cf．$v .28, N$ ．
 sweetly．＇Though the not having won an Olympian victory was bit－ ter，yet the Panathenaic victory was especially sweet as being an omen of an Olympian victory．This $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu=\mu_{a ́ v}$ ，cf．P．4．50，N．3． 83. á $\mu$ ßodádav＇By way of prelude．＇ Cf．P．1．4，N．7．77．［Don．］

34 тe入єтais The Panathênaea， at which the prize was oil，from the Moplat or sacred olives，contained in a vase of burnt earth，see the next verse．Dr Jackson has sug－ gested to me that Mopla means ＇belonging to a tribe，division．＇ The winners on the Panathenaic vases are represented with crowns of olive．Athênaeos，5．11，tells us that Panathenaic victors were crowned，and Suidas，s．v．Пava－ өグpala，and Pliny，N．H． 15.5 （4）， specify the olive crown．Hence Pindar has drawn an augury of success in winning the olive crown at Olympia．ó óqal Cf．Frag． 129.

35 yala Dative for locative， $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \notin \rho \kappa$ ．being in apposition．Cf．I． 4．18，7．4，oủpav $\widehat{\text { v．}} 58$ infra． $\delta$ é＇For．＇

36 партоькi久oss＇Richly paint－ ed．＇For Panathemaic Amphorae （our Schol．speaks of vioplat）see Brit．Mus．，First Vase Room，table－ case A， 24 （The Burgon Vase，5th cent．в．c．）；Second Vase Room， table－cases E，G．Though the six amphorae there displayed belong

$\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.<br><br>$\rho \omega \nu \quad$ 70<br> накія.<br><br> $7:$  т $о$ óфо⿱

to the fourth ceatury, the archaism traditionally kept up imitates the ceramic style of Pindar's time, the designs being chiefly in black and white with incised lines. On such vases "Athênê stands between two columns which are usually surmounted by cocks." They are in. scribed TON AOENEOEN AOAON or TRN A T HNH日EN $A \theta \Lambda \Omega N$, the former of course in Pindar's time. Cf. P. O. Brönsted, On Panathenaic in Mon. dell' Inst. di Corrisp. Arch., 10. Tav. 47, Annali, 1877, pp. 294 ff., 1878, pp. 276 ff.; O. Jahn, Kurze Beschreib. d. Vasensamml. in der Pinakoth. zu Mïnchen, no. 445 (and eleven others there enumerated).

37 "ॄєєтal Here governs an accusative as in late poets. To suppose the ellipse of a preposition $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ or $\epsilon$ is is merely shifting the difficulty. Cookesley's ellipse of " $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}$, throughout the whole line of your maternal ancestry" is not admissible, especially with $\theta$ a $\mu$ ákıs, 'repeatedly.' He seems right in objecting to Kühner's explanation that $\epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ implies or expresses motion to a place. In this case it may imply, extension beside, if it be not the ordinary accusative of the direct object as with sequor.
 $\pi$ туг८ as a third echo of this passage in $v v .51-53$.
 successful contests.' For the compounded adjective instead of its substantive with an epithet in the genitive Matthiae compares P. 5. 28
 pol. 67, 1092. Cf. also Soph. EEd. R. 26 à $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\epsilon} \lambda$ at $\beta$ ßovvbuot, El. 861. oúv For the position of the preposition of. P. 2. 59, N. 9. 14, 22, $v v .53,84$ infra. It is omitted in the ass. before the following $\tau v y$ The position of $\theta а \mu$ а́кıs seems to show that it and the prepositional phrase are to be taken more closely with $\epsilon \dot{d} d \gamma . \tau / \mu$. than with the verb. Don., Dissen and Böckh take $\theta a \mu \dot{\alpha}-$ kts as $=a ̈ \mu a$, but it is 'oftentimes.' For the Charites cf. $v .1$ supra. The mention of the Tyndaridae leads up to the coming myth.
39 Not 'I should not think fit to veil,' but 'I should think myself justified in not veiling' \&e., i.e. 'in feeling and showing pride.' Cf. N. 7.66 for the form of expression. ṫต́v I.q. $\epsilon i \in i \neq \eta$. Thrasyklos and Antias were two of the maternal relatives of Theineos.

41 örats Exclamatory, though
 may have originally governed it in the poet's mind. The text, which is Böckh's (except the stop after $\theta \dot{d} \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ), is unsatisfactory, as the list of victories is much too small for Argos, of which Proetos was

# ＇А $\nu \tau$ ．$\gamma^{\prime}$. <br> 1 $\sum ı \kappa v \omega \nu \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ àp $\beta a \nu$, <br> 80 <br>  каья． 

$3 \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa o ̀ v ~ \mu \nu \rho i ́ o \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \delta u \nu a \tau o ̀ \nu ~$

perhaps joint king before his ex－ pulsion by his twin brother Akrisios． In Frag． 284 ［141］the Schol．on Il． 14． 319 states that Pindar said that Proetos slew Danaê．Perhaps he re－ gained the kingdom when Akrisios fled from Perseus，who subsequently to avenge Danaê dethroned and slew Proetos．This form of the legend is not incompatible with Apollodôros＇（2．2．6）tradition that Proetos gave Bias and Melampus each a third of his kingdom，but differs from Ovid（MIet．5．238，239）， who makes Perseus kill Proetos in Argos in revenge for the expulsion of Akrisios．Talaos，son of Bias， was king of Argos，which seems to tell against the Ovidian version． The mss．read öraus intoтpó申ov ă ãv $\tau \grave{̀}$（or тб．）Проїтою $\begin{gathered}\text { d́ } \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon(\nu), \kappa . \tau . \lambda . ~\end{gathered}$ I propose öraus IIpoitou $\theta \in \sigma a \nu$ im $\tau \rho \dot{\phi} \phi \frac{\nu}{\mid a ̈} \sigma \tau v$ өa入 $\hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha$, ，as $\epsilon$ for $\alpha \iota$ is a common error（cf．v． 72 infra） and IIPOITO T $\theta$ ECAN easily passes into－TOIO OCAN，and then the last four letters are cut out as a partial repetition of OCAIC．The omission would lead to rearrange－ ment to suit the metre．

42 Kopiv $v$ ov $\tau^{\prime}$ ėv $\mu$ ．At the Isthmian games．The phrase is precisely equivalent to $\begin{gathered} \\ \nu \\ \beta \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \alpha \omega \sigma \iota \nu\end{gathered}$ ＇I $\sigma \theta \mu$ ov，I．3．11．Not＂in the recess in which Corinth stands，＂ ＂Corinth which lies in the recess of the Isthmus＂；for Corinth is
not in a recess，but in＇a corner＇of
 Corinth might be said to stand on the gulf（ $\mu v \chi^{0} s$ ）of Corinth，but the Isthmian games were held on the opposite side of the Isthmos．This clause begins an answer to the half－ question of the preceding clause． $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ ．$\pi \rho$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho$ ．＇At the hand of Cleonæans．＇Cf．N．4． 17.
43 Eぃкvตvó日e For the Pythia founded by Adrastos at Sikyon，cf． N．9．Introduction．ápyup．Cf． I． 2.8 ，＇with gleam of silver shining on them，＇perhaps．For $\sigma \dot{v}$ cf．L． and S．s．v．（1．7），v． 48 infra． áté $\beta a v$ So wss．；Aldine and other edd，${ }^{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \beta a v$ ．Schol．Vet，interprets d．$\nu \in \chi \omega \emptyset \rho \eta \sigma a \nu$ ，and a gloss（Tricl．） $\dot{a} \pi \hat{\eta} \backslash \theta_{0}$ ．

44 Cf．O．9． 97,98 for the prize of a large woollen cloak，chlamys， at the（Apolline）Theoxenia or the Hermaea，or the Diaea celebrated at Pellênê．
$45 \chi^{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \kappa . ~ \mu \nu \rho \text { ．＇The vast number }}$ of prizes of bronze it is impossible to ascertain．＇This included no doubt bronze shields won at Argos
 83.
$46 \mu$ акр．$\sigma \times 0 \lambda$ ．For this descrip－ tive genitive cf．Madv．$\$ 53 b$ ，Rem． 1 ；the act of counting is measured or valued in terms of the time required．

 $\tau \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu є \iota$.

90
'Е $\pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.




е $\mu о i ̂ \rho a \nu ~ ' Е \rho \mu a ̂ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma \grave{v ~ ' Н р а к \lambda є i ̂ ~ \delta \iota є ́ т о \nu т \iota ~ Ө a ́ \lambda є \iota a \nu, ~}$
 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu$ خévos.

100 $\Sigma_{\tau} \tau . \delta^{\prime}$.
 $\phi i \lambda \omega$
 Өєрátvas,

105



47 övтє Sc. $\chi a \lambda к \delta \nu$ governed both by $\theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ and by viкâбal, cf. N. 5. 5, v. 25 supra. The games at Kleitor were Koreia in honor of Persephone and Dêmêtêr, and at Tegea Aleaia in honor of Athênê


48 Oñкє 'Set by the racecourse of Zeus as prize for men to win,' \&c. L. and S. wrongly class it with Frag. 154 ' made to win.' Join $\pi$ à $\rho$ $\Delta \iota \partial s \quad \delta \rho \delta \mu \omega$. For the Lykaeon cf. O. 13. 108, Paus. 8. 38, 5. The prize at the Lykaea was a bronze tripod. The singular verb is an instance of the so-called schema Alcmanicum. Some editors wantonly alter $\delta \rho \delta \mu \varphi$. $\quad$ ov́v 'By means of,' ' by dint of,'

49 IIa $\mu$ án Probably a maternal ancestor of Theiaeos.
$51{ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \mu \nu$ 'Iaken twice (Mezger),
'that it is innate in them to be,' \&c. Cf. N. 11. 33.

52 тapla, 'Kings,' cf. P. 5. 58. á үต́v. ноі̂р. Cf, O. 6. 79.

53 бv̛v Cf. v. 38 supra.
54 นév Cf. O. 3. 19, N. 9. 11. тьттóv Cf, v. 78 infra.

55 They both together live in Olympos every other day and lie together in the tomb on the alternate days, cf. Od. 11. 303. For the temple of the Dioskuroi at Therapnae of. Paus. 3. 20.

56 үudidots The Schol. explains by ن́ $\pi$ ó $\gamma \epsilon \iota a$, 'an underground vault,' but this seems tautological. Therapnae lay in the valley of $\kappa \circ / \lambda \eta$ $\Lambda а к \in \delta a l \mu \omega \nu$.
$58 \eta{ }^{\eta}$ For suppression of $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ cf. Madv. § 93 c; Il. 1. 117 ßoú入o ${ }^{\prime}$
 ov̉pavề Locat., cf. Soph. EEd. R. 20.
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega$.
606 тò̀ $\gamma$ àp＂I $\delta a s$ á $\mu \phi i \quad \beta o v \sigma i \nu ~ \pi \omega s ~ \chi o \lambda \omega \theta \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon ̈ \tau \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon ~$ халкє́as до́әхая а’кцর̂．
＇А $\nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$.
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \iota$ 115



59 Note the position of Mo入v－ бєưkทร．
 Cf．Hês．Scut．Herc． 12 र $\omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu$ os $\pi \epsilon \rho$ b $\beta$ oval．The further recital of the cause of quarrel is dismissed by $\pi \omega$ s，＇as some say．＇The Schol． mentions another account of the feud，namely that the Dioskuroi had carried off the brides of the Apharêtidae，Phoebê and Elaeira， daughters of Leukippos．Apollo． dôros，3．2．3，tells us that Idas and Lynkeus，sons of Aphareus， whose tomb was at Sparta（Paus． 3．11．8，13．1），dwelt in Arênê in Messênia．They had been cattle－ lifting with the Dioskuroi and cheated the latter of their share of booty．The Dioskuroi in re－ venge made a raid and drove off into Lakônia all the cattle they found in the possession of the sons of Aphareus，for whom they lay in wait，expecting to be followed home by their foes．They were espied by the miraculous eyesight of Lynkeus，and Idas was thus enabled to kill Kastor．
$61 \pi \in \delta a v y a ́ \xi \omega \nu$＇Sending pene－ trating glances after them．＇But old siss．give $\pi \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}, \pi \in \delta^{\prime}$ ，and so suggest a doubt as to the original reading；as $\pi$ ód＇$^{2} \dot{\alpha} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega \nu$ ，＇seeing its foot clearly＇（cf．P．11．36）， gives a sense nearer to the ordinary usage of av̉zás $\omega$ ．The quotation
from Stasinos＇（？）Kypria is as follows：
 $\pi \in \pi o t \theta$ ús．
 äтаба»
Tavta入lôov Пé入oтos，тáxa $\delta^{\prime}$ єĭcıòє ки́ômos йр
 ä $\mu \phi \omega$＂коi $\lambda \eta s^{*}$
 IIo入ıôévéa．
 Hence one is prompted to suggest $\pi \epsilon \rho a v \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \zeta \omega \nu$ ．The meaning of $a \dot{v}$－ fá $\sigma \in a c$ ，Hês．W．and D．476，is not ＇see distinctly，＇but＇look longingly， keenly，＇the middle denoting the mental emotion accompanying the keen glance．Lynkeus was said to be able to see through all material barriers to sight，stone，earth，sea， \＆c．Cf，Apoll．Rhod．1． 153.

62 ท́ $\mu$ évous So Böckh；but some of the old Grammarians corrected ${ }^{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu$ os（old ass．）to ${ }^{\eta} \mu \in \nu \nu \nu$ ．Perhaps Didymos＇$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{e} \nu o s$ is right．They seem to have thought that Pindar meant to imply that Polydeukes was not with Kastor when he was espied and attacked，but was con－ ceived to be hiding somewhere near，probably west of Kastor，as the Apharêtidae did not flee back into Messênia．But they may have attacked from the East or have

120
 $\gamma{ }^{\text {atp }}$
 $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ̀ \nu \pi a \tau \rho \omega i ́ \varphi$ ．




 ขóv．
 on $\mu \iota \lambda \in i ̂ \nu ~ \kappa \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ． 135
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\prime}$.
 סapíoas，
had to double back in the flight． The Apharêtidae were a spear＇s throw off when Polydeukes left the tree．Aristarchos cited the Kypria， according to the Schol．，surely to prove that Lynkeus was not in the tree（ $\ddot{\eta} \mu \in \nu 0 s$ ）：Didymos cited the words to defend the Doric acc．plur． $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ v o s,-\omega s$ ．There is no ground for impugning with Bergk the accuracy of the Schol．as to the readings of the Grammarians．

64 ＇̇ $\mu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau^{\prime}$ MSS．${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ， $-a \nu \tau$＇．The insertion and omission of $\nu$ are common errors．Cf．Od． 3． 261.

65 kail＇And accordingly＇；cf．
 ぞокєリ．

66 पर́סas tais Polydeukes． They stood face to face hard by the tomb of Aphareus．

67 ar $\gamma$ ．＇A＇toa A sepulchral
column，stêlê，consecrated to＂Acorns． For the genitive Dissen quotes Eur．
 $\mu 0 \lambda \pi \alpha$ ．

71 The tmesis $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i-\pi \lambda \hat{\alpha} \xi \in$ has caused corruption．uss．give $\epsilon \pi{ }^{*} I \delta \alpha$ $\pi \nu \rho \phi \dot{\rho} о \nu \quad \pi \lambda а \hat{\xi} \epsilon$ భо入óєขта кєраиуо́ข． Edd．with the Schol．Vet．alter ＂I $\delta a$ to＂I $\delta \alpha$ ．Matthias＇s citation of $\pi a i \epsilon \iota \nu$ छो申оs only half－supports $\epsilon \pi$ ${ }^{*} I \delta \alpha \pi \lambda \hat{a}{ }_{5}^{\zeta} \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \rho a v \nu o ́ \nu=\beta \alpha \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \kappa \epsilon \rho a v v \grave{\nu} \nu$


72 ékalovt＇Mrs．ठè кє́ovt＇，є for al and vice versa are common errors．ép $\eta \mu \mathrm{L}$ With none to aid or avenge them．$\quad \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi a$ ， к．т．入．Cf．v． 20 supra；＇a strife with higher beings is dangerous for men to encounter．＇Eris is half personified．The order is involved． For inf．cf．O．8．19，7．25，I． 2. 37.
 є＂кıұєข．
$75{ }_{3}$ Өєр $\mu a ̀$ ठ̀̀ Té $\gamma \gamma \omega \nu$ סáкрva $\sigma \tau о \nu a \chi a i ̂ s$

 $\stackrel{3}{a} \nu a \xi$.
 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau о і ̈ ~ \beta \rho о т \omega ิ \nu ~$
 ク̈ $\lambda v \theta \in \epsilon$ fot，
 то́бья

150
3 $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a$ Өvaтòv $\mu a \tau \rho \grave{~} \tau \epsilon \hat{a}$ at $\pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \sigma a \iota \varsigma$

 Өо́ $\mu$ ยуод

155
 $\kappa \in \lambda a \iota \nu \in \gamma \chi \in \hat{\imath} \tau$＇＂A $\rho \in \iota$,

74 đ̋ $\sigma \theta \mu a \tau!$＇Drawing his breath convulsively in suffocation，＇i．e． with the death rattle（ruckle）in his throat．Cf．N．3． 47,$48 ; \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu \alpha$ is ＇labored breathing．＇The mss．give $\phi p i \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha-(\dot{a} \mu) \pi \nu o a ̀ s$, which will not scan；＇ruffled as to breaths＇is a strong but intelligible metaphor， which is helped by the accompany－ ing shuddering of the whole frame often observed in deaths from mortal wounds．Mommsen＇s $\gamma \bar{\epsilon} \nu v a s$ for $\pi \nu o a ̀$ is not happy．

75 тย́үyшv Cf．Soph．Trach． 847
 For $\delta \dot{\eta} 3$ sss．give $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ．$\quad \sigma \tau \operatorname{loxaîs~}$ Dative of accompanying action，cf． Madv．§ 42，Il．24．696，Od． 24. 416.

78 Apparently adapted by Euri－ pides，Hel． 274 каi $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu \tau \eta \tau \omega \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \eta$

סoú入 $\eta$ каө＇́ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa$＇，though loss of friends to a Greek woman was a very different case to that of a man．The faithlessness of mortals is contrasted with the trustworthi－ ness of deities；cf．v． 54 supra and Introd．Cf．Theogn． 79 maúpous


$79 \mu \in \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \in t v$＇So as to share．＇For inf．cf．Madv．§ 149. $\eta \not \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon$ So Mss．Elsewhere ${ }^{\varepsilon} \nu \nu$ ．
$80^{3}$ Eroi $\mu$ ot viós And therefore immortal．\＃órıs Tyndareus．

84 Between Oण入v $\mu \pi \pi \nu$ and $\sigma v^{\nu} \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ass．give $\epsilon^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ or $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota$ only，with defect in both sense and rhythm． Pindar elsewhere has $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ ，which will not scan here，in verbal forms， except 0.8 .85 ，though he uses the present participle of $\theta \in \lambda \omega$（P． 2.





 кодітра Ка́бтороя.

69, 10. 5, I. 5. 43, and O. 2. 97. The wars. give $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu$ at the end of P. 2. 69). The Schol. interprets.... $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \iota$ тòv oủpavòv oik єîv
 $v .86$, by $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon$, whence Kayser gets voeís oikeiv $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ (for the position of preposition cf. $v .38$ supra). The letters - ONNOEICOIḰ INEMOI would be peculiarly liable to corruption, as $€, 0, \mathrm{C}$ were often confused, and also IC and K, and IN and M. Thus $\theta \in \lambda \in t s$ or $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \in t s$ would be a gloss on $\nu 0$ oft. Nom-

 is so utterly inappropriate that Mommsen's suggestion may be at once rejected. Against the considerations in favor of the text (for which cf. $v .58$ supra) the only point to be urged is the assumed repetition of $\nu 0 \in i$ iss. For the position of $\sigma$ vv cf.

## P. 2. 59, N. 9. 14, v. 38 supra.

$\mathbf{8 6}$ Floor Note the emphatic position. For fico $=$ ' au equal share' cf. Soph. Oed. Rex 579, Eur. Ion 818, Ip. in Thur. 1009.
$87 \pi v$ enos Observe the phrase: he is to live in the tomb.

89 ov̉, к.т.入. Polydeukes 'offeed not a double plan to his judymint.' That is, he decided without the least hesitation.
90 Note the change of subject back to Zeus: unless with Merger we regard Polydeukes as the author of the release. $\chi^{\text {алкорітра }}$ The $\mu i \tau \rho a$ of a warrior was a broad woollen girdle faced with plates of bronze, worn below the $\zeta \omega \mu a$.
 chylides 13.76 "Ектора $\chi \alpha \lambda[\sim-]$, as Kenyon suggests with the inadmiscible alternative $\chi$ алкєохáp $\mu a \nu$, P. 5. $77 \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa о \chi . ~}$

## [ NEMEA XI.]

FOR THE cioltinpla OFFERED BY ARISTAGORAS OF TENEDOS, PRYTANIS.

## INTRODUCIION.

This ode (enkonnion) was performed before the altar and shrine of Hestia Prytanitis in the Prytaneion of Tenedos upon the occasion of the installation as $\pi \rho v v^{\prime}$ avis, or president of the $\beta$ pou $\lambda \dot{\eta}$, of Aristagoras, a wrestler and pancratiast of great strength and heanty, son of Arkesilas (c. 11). It has nothing to do with the Ňemean games.

The date is probably later than B.C. 470 ; but there is 100 clue except the style. The subject of the poen lelonged to the clan of the Peisandridae who claimed kinship with both Amyklie and Thebes ( $c i .34-3 i$ ). Short though the poom is there is much of lasting interest in it. The doctrine of the mean is propounded with reference to excess or defect in honorable ambition, and mention is made of the uncertainty of the future and the inevitable approach of death (ec: 13-16), natural topies in comerion with the installation of a constitutional dignitary. The theory that hereditary excellence displays itself in alternate generations is introduced in a way that reflects rather severely on Aristagoras' father, who supplies an instance of àтoえ̀ $\mu i a$.

There are exact echoes in $v .38 \dot{\alpha} \nu o ̂ p \hat{\omega} \nu$ of $v .33$ - àvôpov, in $v .18$ dooōaîs (dissyllabic) of dooóá $v .7$, in $v .36$ of кai $\pi a \rho$ ' introducing mention of a locality $v .25$. Are aîxat $v .29$ contrasted with $\mu \in \gamma a u \chi \in i ̂ v .21$, as Bury suggests?

The three divisions of the poem roughly coincide with the metrical systems. The first strophe and antistrophe are au introductory invocation of Hestiat on behalf of the rictor. The first epode and the second system deal with the victor and his father; the last system with his ancestry and hereditary worth.

The compounds which seem to have been used for this ode are


The mode is Dorian, the metres are dactylo-epitritic.
Strophe (unsymmetrical).

1. $B^{*} \cdot A \cdot B^{\prime}$.
2. C.A.
3. $A \cdot A^{\prime}$.
4. $C+. B^{\prime}$.
5. $-\cup \cup|\sqcup| B^{\prime} \cdot B .|-\cup \cup|-\wedge$

Epode (palinodic).

1. A. $A^{\prime}$.
2. B.A. B'.
3. A.A $\ddagger$.
4. $A$. $|-\S \cup \cup| \sqcup \mid B^{\prime}$.
5. $C\left|\mid \cdot B^{\prime}\right.$.
6. $B^{\prime} \cdot B^{\text {® }} \cdot A^{\prime}$.

The numbers are 6.7.6.7.6.7.

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-10. Invocation of Hestia Prytanitis.
11, 12. Praise of Aristagoras.
13-16. He is reminded that excellence gives no exemption from death.
17-21. He deserves praise for his athletic victories in local games.
22-29. The timidity of his parents debarred him from chance of distinction in the great games.
29-32. Over-diffidence and over-confidence are alike detrimental.
33-37. The noble ancestry of Aristagoras.
37-43. Hereditary excellences develope themselves in alternate generations only.
43-46. In spite of the future being beyond our foresight we embark on ambitious schemes.
47, 48. Insane jearnings for things unattainable hinder our setting due limits to our desires.

[^9]|| Caesura in third foot.

+ Caesura in fourth foot.
§ Caesura.
- Incisio.





' $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau$. $a^{\prime}$.

 3 каì $\xi \in \nu i ́ o v ~ \Delta i o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \sigma к є i ̂ t a \iota ~ \Theta e ́ \mu ı s ~ a ̉ ́ є \nu a ́ o \iota s ~$
 10

1 tputaveía $\lambda$ é ${ }^{\prime}$ oyxas As the goddess of the family altar and hearth generally, Hestia was naturally the deity worshipped at the common altar and hearth of the state under the title חputavĩ̌cs. It would appear from Thuk. 2. 15 that a Mpúravis, whether $\beta$ aбileús, rúpavyos, or one of a numerous body of officials, held the title as president of the $\beta$ où $\eta$. For $\lambda e ́ \lambda o r \chi a s$ cf. 0. 7. 55 ff., 9. 15, Aesch. Prom. 228 ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ тáxı




3 日àdauov A sanctuary or shrine within the rpuraveiov. For $\mu \dot{e} \nu \ldots \delta \hat{\delta}$ with repeated word, epanaphora, cf. v. 6, N. 10. 27, I. 37.

4 íralpous. Members of the
 shows that there was a statue of Hestia in the $\pi$ puraveion at Tenedos, as there also was at Athens (Paus. 1. 18. 3). The sceptre is an usual attribute of Hestia in art (Dissen quoting Welcker).
5 ópoár Extension of predicate; 'in welfare.'
$6 \pi \rho \omega ́ t a \nu \quad$ 'The eldest'; cf. Hês. Theog. 453 ' Рєîa $\delta$ ' ن' $\pi$ o $\partial \mu \eta \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \alpha$


 Z $\hat{\eta} \nu \dot{d}$ á $\tau$, whereon Paley shows that Homer makes Hêra the eldest child of Kronos, and Zeus the eldest son.
7 $\sigma \phi \mathrm{L}$ 'At their bidding.' Bрє́мєтal 'Peals.' Cf. N. 9. 8 Bромià фо́риг $\gamma \gamma$ а.

8 Guests of the state were entertained at the $\pi \rho v \tau a v e i o v$, and suppliants to the state sought sanctuary at its altar. For Themis being associated with Zeus Xenios cf. the similarly worded passage, O. 8. 21

 where Aegina's just dealing with strangers is intended. The connexion of the goddess of right and order with hospitality is illustrated
 ठeīmvov.

9 ėv 'With'; cf. v. 17 infra. Rumpel and Bury prefer the locative sense. For the perpetual public hospitality of Tenedos \&c. edd. quote the трámє广ą छ̇єעккаl of the Cretan ovaritia, Athên, 4, 22 (p. 143 c). $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\text {Cf. O. 2. 12, }}$ 4. 6. Gúv The repetition of $\sigma$ óv in the next line has troubled critics greatly; so that $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \delta \delta \xi, c$ has been


$$
' \mathrm{E} \pi \cdot a^{\prime}
$$


 1:


e $\theta \nu a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \nu a ́ \sigma \theta \omega ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \eta$,

 $\delta \dot{\xi} \xi \alpha \nu$, $\sigma \partial \nu$ and $\nu \iota \nu \delta \delta \xi \alpha a$ (with $\pi \epsilon$ pâ $\sigma a \ell)$. L. and S. give Böckh's $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha u s(\epsilon ̇ \nu)$. Others alter $\pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma \alpha \iota$ $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ into $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha l$ $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ or $\pi \epsilon \rho a ̄ \sigma \alpha l ~ \nu l \nu$. Mezger rightly defends 3ss. and Scholia. For - $\alpha \alpha$ o $\begin{gathered}\nu \nu \\ \text { cf. I. 3. } 17 .\end{gathered}$

10 árpótب For the metaphor ef. N. 1. 48. 'Without annoy.' He might have discharged the duties of his office 'with credit' amid continual dangers and anxieties.

11 ävסpo Emphatic, cf. P. 2. 29. The meaning is almost 'So far as I may congratulate a manI deem his father Arkesilas blessed, and I laud his (Aristagoras') admirable form and innate hardihood.' I agree with Mezger in making ăv $\nu \rho a$ refer to Aristagoras, but I take ăv $\delta \rho a$ as an accusativus pendens; though $\mu$ aкарís $\omega$ takes a double accusative. See Aristoph. Vesp. 588 тouti $\gamma$ áp $\tau 0$ ol $\sigma \epsilon$ ubvov
 shawe). The sense might be the same. ' On the man do I congratulate his father, aye on his (the man's) form.' I cannot see the point of congratulating the son upon his father, who kept him from winning the Olympian and Pythiau games (v. 22). Again if àvopa is Arkesilas, his too is the $\theta a \eta \tau \partial \nu$
 is unsatisfactory. I think tékos 'AркєбI入a may have got corrupted through the incorporation of a
marginal note. Dissen is probably right in recognising the zeugma, the sense of aiv $\dot{\epsilon} \omega$ being drawn from
 Note that $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is answered by $\delta \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime}$, v. 13 ; 'though I praise, let him remember.' Otherwise Mezger and Dissen citing O. 5. 10.
 aorist. The future $\pi a р a \mu \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ refers to moments of future selfsatisfaction on the part of Aristagoras, as well as to the future generally.

15 'Let him remember that the frame which he bedecks is mortal and that at the last of all he shall don a resture of earth.' Cf. "muddy vesture of decay." For construction cf. O. 6. 8, I. 1. 68 . $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \sigma \tau е \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Cf. Eur. Alc. 663 ( $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ o i ̂) ~ \theta a v o ́ v \tau a ~$ $\sigma \epsilon \mid \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma$ каl $\pi \rho \circ \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \nu \tau a \iota$ $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \delta \nu$. The verb $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ is the regular word for arraying a body for the funeral. For the participle with $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu a \iota$ cf. Soph. Oed. R. 49 f. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ò̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\sigma \hat{\eta} s ~ \mu \eta \delta a \mu \hat{\omega} s$ $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a \mid \sigma \tau a ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ к.т.入.

16 Te $\begin{aligned} & \text { evtáv } \\ & \text { Accusative in ap- }\end{aligned}$ position with the sentence; cf. O. 2. 4, 7. 16, I. 3. 7, Aesch. $\Delta g .22$ 5. Here the use is similar to that of the "adverbial" àp $\rho \not{ }^{n} \nu . \quad \gamma \hat{a} v$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi\llcorner\mathrm{F} \epsilon \sigma \sigma$. For the phrase Don. cites Aesch. $A g .869$ є $l$ §' ${ }^{\prime} \nu \nu \tau \in \nu \eta \kappa \dot{s} s$, wंs






$20+a ̀ \gamma \lambda a a i ̀ ~ \nu i ̂ \kappa a \iota ~ \pi a ́ \tau \rho a \nu ~ \tau ’ ~ є \grave{\omega ́} \nu \nu \mu o \nu$

'Avt. $\beta^{\prime}$.


 $25+\kappa a \grave{~} \pi a \rho$ ’ єข̉ס́́v $\nu \delta \rho \varphi \mu_{0} \lambda \omega ̀ \nu$ oै $\chi \theta \omega$ K Ко́vov

є́ка́ $\sigma \tau \omega$ кат $\theta a \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \mu о \rho \phi \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota ;$ N. 8. 38. Hemsterhuis (on Hesych. 1. p. 1352) besides the references in L. and S. gives Simonides, apud Athen. 3. p. 12 ŏ D, 168 [227] aủ $\frac{a}{a} \rho$


 $\chi$ б́yтєs. This warning to avoid excessive pride is peculiarly appropriate in an official ode composed for a civic magistrate.

17 入óyoıs ' Friendly (fair) discussions.' Cf. N. 8.21. For èv cf. P. 5. 97, v. 9 supra. ảyäoî́t vเv Mommsen. uss, -oîs $\mu \mathrm{e} \nu$.

18 For sentiment cf. O. 6.6,7. $\mu \in \lambda \leftharpoonup\}^{\xi} \mu \in \nu \quad$ The infinitive goes with $\mu$ e入tróoúnotot (Madv. § 150), and
 There is a kind of etymological play on $\mu \in \lambda_{t}$-. With Pindar 'song' is 'honey.' The exceptional synizesis ảoùaîs does not warrant alteration. Mezger supposes that there is a change of subject. The papyrus of Bacchylides supports Pauw's $\mu \epsilon$ $\lambda i \xi_{\epsilon} \in$, which avoids the unusual synizesis. Hermann alters to $\mu \epsilon^{\prime}-$ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ : Mommsen to $\mu \epsilon \mu i \chi \theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$.
 tension of the predicate. As Nikn is often represented in Art holding out a wreath, there is probably a
half personification of the Victories here. Note that $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \kappa \tau$. should not be taken with vîka but with the verb, meaning ' on the authority of, at the instance of the neighbouring peoples.' The $\delta \dot{\epsilon}=$ ' for.'
$21 \mu \in \gamma \alpha{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \uparrow$ Schmid for uss.

 great diffidence.' Literally 'Too shrinking apprehensions.' We can speak of 'confident hopes' but, hardly of 'diffident or timid hopes.' For é $\lambda \pi i$ is cf. N. 1. 32.

23 हैनXov For the inf. without $\mu \eta$, cf. Soph. $A j$. 70 a ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \dot{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon i p \rho_{5}^{\xi} \omega$


24 yáp 'For else.' ${ }^{\text {ć } \mu \mathrm{a} \nu}$ Sógav Cf. Aristoph. Pax 232 каi
 These are accusatives of 'extent, range, sphere,' like тò $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \quad \mu \notin \rho o s$,
 ing the action or state predicated, they qualify (make conditional) the predication, like an infinitive, e.g. ठокєì єimeì dंкои́єє, with or without $\dot{\text { às }}$. $\quad$ apá Here and in the next line to be taken after $\delta \eta \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, while $\mu \circ \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}=$ ' had he gone (thither).' For the victor's return cf. N. 2. 24, 0. 8. 67-71, P. 8. 81-87.

'Em. $\beta^{\prime}$.
 35



е ї $\chi \grave{\nu} \nu$ оікєі́ $\omega \nu$ тарє́ $\sigma а \lambda \epsilon \nu ~ к а \lambda \omega ิ \nu$

$\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.
1 $\sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \mu a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ن ̉ \mu a \rho \epsilon ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \Pi \epsilon \iota \sigma a ́ \nu \delta \rho o v ~ \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~$

3 Aionє́ $\omega \nu$ отратıàv $\chi a \lambda \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon ́ a ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho ’$ àv'íy $\omega \nu$.

+ каi тар' 'I $\sigma \mu \eta \nu о \hat{v}$ ค̊оâע кєкра $\mu \in ́ \nu о \nu$

' $А \nu \tau . \gamma$ '.



26 Medicean mss. $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\prime}(\epsilon) \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau^{\prime}$ by dittography.
27 Cf. N. 10. 33, O. 11. 57, 58, 13. 40.
$28 \pi$ орфvpéoเs Cf. Hor. Od. 4. 1. 10 purpureis oloribus. Like xpúveos, O. 8. 1, N. 1. 17, and elsewhere, $\pi$ ор $\phi .=$ 'gleaming,' 'glistening,' 'rich-colored.'
29 кєvє́ф́фp. Cf. Theogn. 233 $\kappa \in \nu \epsilon \delta ф \rho о \nu \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \varphi$.
 quentative aorist; so $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \nu$, and $\begin{gathered} \\ \delta \\ \\ \text {. v. } v .39 \text {. Render 'cast }\end{gathered}$ down from.' áyatầ 'Blessings of victory' (cf. 0. 8, 13) are of course included under the general term. катанєнфө́́vт' 'Disparaging.'
31 oike' $\omega v$ 'Proper,' 'within his reach.'

33 $\sigma v \mu \beta \lambda_{\epsilon}$ iv 'Infer,' 'gather.' $\tau \epsilon$ Taken up by каl ( $v .36$ ), from ' $A \mu u ́ \kappa \lambda \alpha \theta \epsilon \nu$ to $\alpha \nu a ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ being a paren-
thesis. For er $\mu \mu \in \nu$ suppressed with $\pi \dot{a} \lambda \alpha \iota \dot{a} \pi \dot{\partial} \quad \Sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau a s$ cf. N. 10. 51. The Achrean Peisandros was said to have been driven from Sparta on the Migration of the Dorians into Peloponnêsos and to have joined Æolian emigrants from Boeôtia in that country, whence they sailed to Tenedos.
$35 \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \in \alpha}$ asss. $\chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu}$ (one $\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa \epsilon \in \omega \nu \tau \epsilon,-\omega \nu$ being expressed by a superscribed ").

36 In Thebes.
37 ék M едavimuoto $\mu$ árp. ' With the blood of a daughter of Melanippos.'

38 For sentiment cf. N. 6. 8-
 P. 7. ad fin., $\phi \epsilon \rho \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ probably transitive. Here the compound means 'return,' 'yield'; not 'raise up,' 'bring with them.'
$39 \mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \iota$....ảp. Like the com-









40 oủk दُधénct 'Are not wont.' L. and S. only give prose examples of this sense. Don. would render ${ }_{\epsilon} \theta \in \lambda \omega$ as = $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu a \iota$ here and N. 7. 90 . $\pi \epsilon \rho o ́ \delta o l s$ For $\pi \epsilon \rho 16 \delta o l s$, cf. $\pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \tau \omega \nu$, P. 3. 52.

41 тлоútw Fírov Dat. of manner; 'in equal abundance.'

42 čv ápeißovit For the gerundive use, 'in alternation' ( $=\hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma$ $\sigma \delta \mu \in \nu \alpha \iota$ ), of the active participle cf. Thuk. 1. $142 \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \in \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota$, Madv. 180 b, Rem. 2, Soph. Oed. Col. 1219 öтav qis ès $\pi \lambda$ र́o $\pi \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \eta \mid$
 $\pi \epsilon \pi o \nu 66$ s. Dềm. Meid. § 59 qò $\sigma v \gamma-$ $\kappa є \chi \omega р \eta \kappa \delta$ s. Compare our English confusion of abstract nouns in -ing with the participle (which originally in Saxon ended in -nd). Pindar's suppression of the article is noteworthy. Perhaps the usual explanation given above is wrong and
 from ètê $\omega \nu$. kal...oűrws 'Even so.' 3iss. oüt $\sigma \theta$ évos. Test Heyne.

43 tò $\delta$ ' ék $\Delta$ tós 'As for what comes from Zeus.' Cf. N. 2. 17

 meat cf. O. 12. 7, 8, Soph. Oed.
 бaфท́s. I. 7. 14, 15, Eur. Herc. Fur. 62. Bacchyl. 10. 45 f. Tò $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu \mid \delta^{\prime}$ àкрітоus тiктєt $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau$ ás (where dxpltous means 'undetermined,' not admitting the effectual exercise of human judgment), Eur.
 tos aíúv, perhaps a reminiscence of N. 6. 6 f., ef. also O. 2. 30 ff.

44 दौ $\mu \beta a i v o \mu \epsilon \nu$ 'We embark upon.' Metaphor from navigation followed up in $v, 46$.
$45 \tau \epsilon$ For the coupling of a participial clause to one containing a finite verb cf. Soph. Oed. Rex 740

 Also with the participle preceding
乡єтal $\delta \epsilon$ ', I. 1. 14, Aesch. Ag. 97
 $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu s$ alveiv, | $\pi a t \omega \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{0} \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ $\mu \epsilon \rho i \mu \nu \eta$ s: where however, as in Choëph. (557), $\tau \in$ seems $=$ 'accordingly' and is hardly copulative. In the present case I think the construction is кaтd̀ ouvve $\sigma \nu$, as though $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda a \nu o p l a t s$ contained $\mu \epsilon$ -
 strained.' Perhaps a metaphor from a slave chained to the oar. Cf. P. 4. 71 тis $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ кivovvos кратєроís

 cf. Bacchylides Frag. 43 (4). 2 is d' $^{3}$

 ' Improbus,' ' unconscionable,' 'unreasonable.'
46 трона日éas Mezger and Postgate rightly join the genitive with poal. Meu strive or drift in a variable, uncertain course, but foreknowledge, if they only had it,



Would bear them along steadily like a current. No doubt the mariners of Tenedos were familiar with and often grateful to the strong Hellespontine current. For the metaphor cf, O. 2, 33 poai $\delta$ '
 $\pi b \nu \omega \nu$ є่s áv $\nu \rho a s{ }^{\xi} \beta \alpha \nu$. For the general sentiment cf. Solon Frag.


 ognis 585.

47 For a more general statement of the doctrine of a $\mu$ é тpov cf. O. 13. 47 Є̈ $\pi \epsilon \tau a \iota \delta ’$ '̇̀ $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa a ́ \sigma \tau \psi \mid$
 Also Hês. W. and D. 692, P. 2. 34,
I. 5. 71 .

48 For general sentiment cf. N. 3. 30. $\delta^{2}$ Equivalent to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$. Cf. Soph. $A j .12$.
őgúтєраи Don. refers to Matth. Gr. Gr.§457, thus making it doubtful whether he would render the comparative by 'too' or 'somewhat,' 'rather,' or as merely equivalent to a positive. It clearly means 'too acute' in the medical sense of ' acute.' Cf. 0.8.85. For mavial cf. Theognis $1231 \sigma \chi \in \tau \lambda \iota$ ' "Ep $\omega$ s, paviat $\sigma$ ' $่ \tau \iota-$ $\theta \eta \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu r 0 ~ \lambda a \beta o v ̂ \sigma a \iota$. So that Plato's classification of "Epws under $\mu \alpha \nu i ́ a$, Phaedr. 244, 245, was perhaps suggested by poetic diction.

## ISTHMIA I.

## ON THE VICTORY OF HERODOTOS OF THEBES IN THE FOUR-HORSE CHARIOT RACE.

## INTRODUCTION.

Hêrodotos, son of Asoppodiros of Thebes, was one of several Theban victors at some Isthmian festival of uncertain date. Some consider that Asipodiros had been exiled from Thebes ( $e v .36-38$ ); but this sulposition is not consistent with the most natural interpretation of the passage $c$. $34-46$, and seems in particular to involve making his father's exile too prominent a topic introducing the most striking part of the ode. If Herodotos himself had been exiled at the time of the Persian war as a young man of about twenty he would not be too old to act as his own charioteer ( $e$. 15) in B.c. 458 , O. 80.3 , to which date Dissen refers the compusition of the ofle. He thinks that the alliance between Thebes and Sparta, before the war in which the battles of Tanagra and Oenophyta were fought, is figured in the association of Kiastor and Iolanos ec. 16,17 (1)ut cf. P. 9. 59 ff . composed B.c. 478); that war is suggested by the allusion to ('rêryou's $\theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon i a \ell ~ k u ́ v e s ~(~(~ . ~ 12) ~(h u t ~ P r o f . ~ S e y m o u r ~-~$ justly remarks, agreeing with Don.--"This was the most distant point reached by Heracles, hence this clanse means 'whose mighty deeds reached even to the ends of the world' ' ${ }^{\prime}$; and ly ${ }^{n} \pi \quad \pi \quad \lambda \epsilon \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$ $x$. 50 (but see my mote), so that the premises can hardly he said to be strong enough to carry Dissen's conclusion. Leoprold Schmilt on altogether insufficient grounds places the date between the third Isthmian and the fifth Nemean, that is, in the tirst period of Pindar's poetic activity. Pindar may merely intend to apologise for the slightness of the comprsition and the thimess of the
sentiments when he mentions his engagement for the men of Keos. It is to lee safely inferred from ic. 39,40 that Herrodotos was an aristocrat. (Mezger thinks the father was obliged to retire to Orchomenos through loss of property by actual shipwrecks, reading є́pєıто́дєvov $\therefore$. 16, a view which I camot at all admit.) The main thread of the ode is the enforcement and illustration of the glory conferred on the matpis hy a successful pursuit of áperci and the consequent reward of maise and remembrance due from fellowcitizens (cp. © 2.12 ; 17; 30, 31; 35; 40; 66, 67; 1-6; 43-46; 50,$51 ;(67,68)$. This train of thought is peculiarly appropriate if Herrodotos was re-established at Thehes in consequence of this Isthmian victory, which may he inferred from $\because \therefore .39,40$.

Exact echoes are тò reóv vv. 5̄. $1^{*}$, á $\lambda o ́ s ~ v .37, ~ \dot{a} \lambda t-v .9, ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} \nu 0-~$
 $\chi \in \rho \sigma i x .15$. (The last epode echoes $v v .14-16$ of the first epode generally, but it is unsafe to enter into particulars, with Mr Bury, as to the several responsions being specially significant.) The first responsion is obviously inetfective, and the rest are supertluous as keys, signals, or aids towards interpretation. The introduction of the ode extends to $\tau .17$, that is, over all the first system except the last verse; and the conclusion occupies the last system. The central portion has marked breaks at $v \tau .32 \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \bar{\omega} \hat{\omega} \hat{\epsilon}$,



The mode is Dorian, the metres are dactylo-epitritic.
The strophe is antithetic-mesodic, the epocle antithetic with both mesode and epode.

## Strophe.

1. $A^{\prime}|\sqcup| B$.
2. $A B^{\prime}$.
3. $B A$.
4. $A^{\prime}$.
5. $\simeq: B A^{\prime}$.
6. $B A^{\prime}|-\cup \cup| \sqcup \mid C^{\prime}$.

The numbers are respectively 6.5.5.3.5.5 6 and 8.8.7.8.8 4 .

* Not noticed by Bury or Mezger.
+ Incisio after the epitrite. $\ddagger$ Caesura after the first syllable.


## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-10. Invocation of Thêbí, with an apology for laying aside a poen for the men of Kens to compose an Athenian ode.
$10-12$. Since six prizes have fallen to Thebes.
12, 13. The birthplace of Hêrakles.
14-16. In honour of Herrodotos' victory in the four-horse chariot race the poet is ready to compose a Kastoreion or ode of Iolâos.
17-31. The athletic prowess of Kastor and Iolâos.
$32-40$. Allusion to the victor's fimily and to his exile and return to good fortune.
40-52. General sentiments in praise of prowess and enterprise glancing at Hêrodotos.
53-59. Enumeration of some of his victories.
60-63. The scope of the ode prevents him proclaining all.
(i;3. Often what is not mentioned gives the greater satisfaction.
$64-67$. A hope that encouraged by poetic praises Hêrodotos may win at the Pythian and Olympian games.
67, 68. If any one hoards and finds fault with those who are lavish in pursuit of honor, he does not consider that he will die 'unhonored and unsung.'
$\Sigma \tau \rho, a^{\prime}$.
Mâtєр є́ $\mu a ́, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon o ́ \nu, ~ \chi р и ́ \sigma a \sigma \pi \iota ~ \Theta \eta ́ \beta a, ~$

 + $\Delta a ̂ \lambda o \varsigma, ~ \epsilon ๋ \nu ~ a ̨ ̣ ~ к є ́ \chi v \mu a \iota . ~$

1 Xpú $\alpha a \sigma \pi$ This epithet refers to a statue of Thêbe, perhaps that which is mentioned in Frag. 177 $\epsilon$ ט̉áp $\mu a \tau \epsilon$, хрибохіт $\omega \nu$, iєр $\omega$ татоע ä $\gamma-$ $\alpha \lambda \mu \alpha, \theta \dot{\eta} \beta \alpha$. For the prominence given here to the shield compare the shield on coins of Thebes. The hypothesis that the epithet has reference to a state of war is baseless (see Introd.). For Thêbê, daughter of Asôpos and Metôpê, cf. O. 6. $84,85$.
$2 \pi \rho a \hat{\gamma \mu \alpha}$ 'Thy interests,' the re-
quirements arising from the recent Isthmian victories of her children. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \times 0 \lambda$ las 'A pressing engagement.' Plato, Phaedl:. 227 в, refers to this
 каi (even) à $\sigma \chi$ о入ías úтє́ $\rho \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma-$

 de genio Socratis 575 D $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon े ~ к а i ~$



$4 \Delta \hat{a} \lambda o s$ Dêlos, as the birth-

5 इ тí фì $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ кє $\delta \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ токє́ $\omega \nu$ à $\gamma a \theta$ о̂̂s; 5
 $\zeta \epsilon v ́ \xi \omega$ тє́ $\lambda о \varsigma$,



place of Apollo, was interested in the paeân which was being composed for the Isle of Keos. кéx ${ }^{\text {- }}$ $\mu \alpha{ }^{\text {a }}$ 'On which I have been pouring forth my soul.' Cf. Cic. Att. 1. 18. 2 in qua...ommes profudi uires unimi atque ingenii mei. Dissen points out that $k \in \chi u \mu$ evos ( $\epsilon$ 's $\pi t$, $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \iota$ ) is generally used in a bad sense.

5 The claims of parents, i.e. in this case of $\pi a \tau p i s$, are paramount. A respectful apology is needful to excuse his taking up a human theme, when engaged on a paeân. The poet does not ask leave to postpone the paeân, but prays to be excused for diverting his attention for a time from it.

6 ङً 'то $\boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \omega \nu$ tás 'Beloved of Apollo.' The tutelary deity of Dêlos is entreated to respect the poet's devotion to Thêbê even as she appreciates the devotion of Apollo to herself. For the personification cf. P. 6. 6, 12. 2, 0. 7. 13, 14, N. 1. 4, Frag. 64. Others explain Apollinea urbs, quoting Ov . .1.et. 13. 631. Xapiтwv 'I shall combine the completing of both songs,' i.e. by finishing one very soon after the other. Mr Bury, altering каl to кà $\nu=\kappa \alpha l$ àvà v. 9 , renders "shall yoke together the particular ends of both gracious works," explaining that "The praise of Apollo is a $\tau \hat{\text { A }}$ 生 common to both." Mr Bury's pair, the incidental and the essential, is
badly matched. Cf. P. 3. 72. The phrase $\zeta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \xi a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda o s, ~ N . ~ 1 . ~ 7, ~ i s ~ a ~$ different application of the metaphor.

7 áketp. "Milton's 'unshorn Apollo" (Prof. Seymour). Xopevicu 'Composing a choral ode for'-is what is meant, but he represents himself as the $\chi o \rho \eta \gamma \delta$ s, корифаíos. The Schol. says that Pindar was asked by the men of Keos to compose a paeân to be sung at Dêlos, inferring the place of
 öтt єis $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ cै $\gamma p a \phi \in \mathrm{Keiols}$, but from v. 8 it is clear that the ode was to be recited in Keos, perhaps in the principal temple of Apollo at Kartheia, in the $\chi$ op $\eta \gamma \in i=0$ of which Athênaeos tells us ( 456 F ) that Simonides, who was perhaps dead at the date of this ode, was for some time $\chi$ оробı $\delta$ á $\sigma \kappa \lambda \lambda$.
$8 \alpha \mu \phi!p$. This form of the fem. is Epic. The word is only found here in Pindar's extant works.

9 kal Bury needlessly alters to кáv (for каi ávà), as he demurs to making 'I $\sigma \mu 0 \hat{v}$ ठ $\epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ parallel to $\Phi_{0 \hat{} \beta \circ \nu \text { and assumes a reference }}$ to Apollo being praised at the Isthmos as well as at Keos. ci $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{l}}$ Fєркє́є Cf. P. 1.18 ; here 'barring sea from sea.' The epithet seems
 'sea-fenced' may be right. Observe the hiatus, $-\varepsilon \alpha$ ' $\mathbf{I} \sigma \theta \mu 0 \hat{v}$, cf. infra, vv. 16,32 , O. 7. 74, also Bacchyl. 2. 7 aú $\chi \in ́ v \iota^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \sigma \theta \mu 0 \hat{v}$.
$10+\delta \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \delta^{\prime} \cdot \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon i \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu o u s$

 ＇Алкцท่ขа тє́кєу
＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$ ．
＂тaîठa，Өрабєîal тóv тотє Гŋрvóva фрí\}av кúvєs. ${ }^{15}$
 үє́рая，

20


11 бтрaт⿳⺈ Taken up by $\pi \alpha$－ трío as $\sigma \tau \epsilon \notin \dot{a} v o v s$ is by кa入入lv． к̂̂ôos．In P．2．87，$\dot{\delta}$ 入áßpos $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ s$ means＇the democracy，＇in P．1．86， 2． 58 ，Hieron，in N．1． 61 Amphi－ tryon is excluded．But no such exclusion is intended here or in $\mathbf{O}$ ． 9． $95,10.17, \mathrm{~N} .10 .25$ ．á ${ }^{\hat{e}} \theta \lambda \omega \nu$ Obviously ouly means the recent Isthmian games．Hêrodotos was clearly one of several（from four to six）Thebans who had won prizes．

13 kúves It must remain a question whether Orthros is made plural in consideration of his two heads or whether Pindar is following an unknown version of the myth．
$14 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ’ The poet checks him－ self in the praise of Hêrakles． Prof．Seymour points out that here， and N．1．33，I．5．16，द́ $\gamma \omega$ wintro－ duces the transition to a myth．Cf． also O．9．21，13．49，P．1．42， 9. 103．For $\tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \chi \omega \nu . . . \epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon$ cf． 0.
 3．12，Aesch．Ag． 97 入 $\epsilon \xi \xi^{\xi} \alpha \sigma \ldots \ldots \pi a l \omega \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \gamma \in \nu 0 \hat{v}$ ，and with the verb before the participle N．11．44，45．For $\mu \hat{\ell} \nu-\tau \epsilon \quad$ of．N．2． $9,0.4 .15$. Prof．Seymour takes $\tau \grave{o} \mu \dot{\prime} \nu=$＇part－ ly．＇Of course editors，esp．Ger－ mans，alter．ä $\rho \mu$ ．For instru－ mental dat．with noun cf．Soph． Ocl．Col． $1026 \tau$ à $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} \lambda \omega \mid \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \grave{\eta}$ oıкаіч ктйцата，＂so often，esp．in

Plato＂（Jebb），and O．and P．p． xxvi．It matters not to the con－ struction whether the $\gamma$ fopas be the crown as in P．5． 31 or the ode． Dissen and others，regardless of the order，compare double datives such as those at $0.2 .14 \mathrm{sq} .$, P．7．2，vv． 61， 62 infra．$\tau \in v ́ x \omega \nu . . . \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho} \rho a s=$ ＇making（－the－ode－on）－the victory＇； the theme is put in the place of the composition，as occasionally with $\pi$ oté $\omega$ ．This reasonable assumption saves the passage from the charge of corruption．For $\tau \epsilon \dot{u} \chi \omega \nu$ ef．$\tau \epsilon \dot{\text { ú－}}$ $\chi_{0 v \tau ' ~} v .67$ infra，＇working，＇＇caus－ ing（honor）．＇Or is it＇making for Hêrodotos the gift due to the chariot＇？
$15 \nu \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ Acc．agreeing with $\mu \nu v$ ．＇For that he managed the reins with no alien hands．＇Note that $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ от $\rho$ ．oủ $\chi \in \rho \sigma t=o u ̉ k \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho$ ． $\chi$ єpoi．

16 ＇Io入áor＇3ss．－áov，but cf．O． 13．35，N．9．55．This conjecture is confirmed by the Bacchylides papyrus，e．g． 5.62 à $\pi \lambda$ átot＇${ }^{\prime}$ Ex ${ }^{\text {（ivvas．}}$ Ėvapнógat Cf．I．6．19，N．3．11，12， for the kind of phrase．The strain of Iolâos was probably a variety （perhaps Theban）of the im $\pi \pi$ cos
 variety of the same，cf．P．2． 69. As Iolâos was charioteer to Hêra－ kles（Schol．），perhaps an＇Io入．v̈ $\mu \nu$ ．
 є่тє́кขшӨєン кри́тєбтоに．



＋$\gamma \in \cup о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu \omega \nu$

 отлітаия бро́доья．
＇$А \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.
1 oiá $\tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i \nu$ ảкоутiל̧отєs ai $\chi \mu a i ̂ s$
was sung in honor of an actual charioteer，as $v$ ． 15 suggests．Iolâos and Kastor are mentioned together in a Theban ode，P．11．59－61． Were Hêrakles and Iolâos Theban Dioskuroi？

17 ėtékv．крátiotol＂Were the best that were born．＇For the pre－ dicative adjective containing the most emphatic idea cf．I．2．12，N． 10． 32.

18 ề $\tau$＇àé $\theta$ 入otot Cf．O．6．7， 13． 51, N．1． $34,3.32, v v .34,57$ infra．Mezger，quoting Friese， Pind．p．28，wrongly joins $\varepsilon \in v$ with the dat．to $\theta$ lyov here；to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \dot{v} \rho \sigma \alpha \iota s$ （so Dissen），O．6．7；to $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ орои， N．8． 48 ；to dдрар́та，O．11． 82. For the prizes mentioned Dissen compares Il．23． 264 ff ．Elsewhere Pindar has a dat．after $\theta \iota \gamma \cdot$ ，cf．the similar phrase dं $\rho \in$ caîs $8 l$ foura，P． 8 ． 22．Notwithstanding the accent of the participle $\theta$ ifov is a frequenta－ tive aorist．

20 kai．．．．te The class of vessels is coupled to tripods by кal，the sub－ classes of vessels are coupled by $\tau \epsilon$ ． xpurov For gen．of material of． P．4．71， 206.
$21 \gamma \in \operatorname{ló}_{\mu \in v o l}$ So two（the old Vatican and Munich）mss．The
rest $\sigma \in v \delta \mu \in \nu 0$ 亿．Cf．N．6．25，I． 4. 20.

22 ขเкафо́р $\omega$（Brought by victory．＇Cf．O．13．14，note． Not＂＇bearing victory，＇that is， symbols of victory＂（Bury），but possibly＇of victory＇with the second element of the compound quiescent． $\lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ Cf．P．11．45，О．1．23，
 $\mu \in \tau^{\prime} a l \theta \epsilon \rho^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \in \rho \theta \in \in \nu \tau \alpha$ ．

23 о́т入íтаıs Cf．Eur．El． 444 $\mu$ óx $\theta$ ol－$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s . ~ F o r ~ \gamma v \mu \nu o i ̄ \sigma$, which is in contrast to $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta$ ．，cf． Thuk．1．6，§§ 5，6，P．11． 49 ；for the causative use of．N．7．61．For the two adjectives ef．P．9．8．

24 Mezger takes icv also in this line as well as in the next without inserting with Dissen after olá $\tau \epsilon-$
 ing oia $=$＇How＇exclamatory（cf． O． $9.89,93$, I． 5.62 ）；but I prefer ＇and as to the casts they made＇； kai coupling aixuais to oj $\bar{\delta} 6 \tau^{\prime}$ è $\nu$ бíбкогs íєv．The construction is oia
 ঠíбкогs（גंкоут．）їєข．Cf．I．2． 35
 for variety of construction infra $r$ ． 50．For the dat．al $\chi \mu a i$ s＇with javelins＇cf．N．1．18，O．11． 72.

 35
＋ёр $\gamma \mu a \tau \iota$ кєі̂то тє́入оs．

 Еијผ́тa тє́ $\lambda a s$ ，
＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \beta^{\prime}$.


 $+5$

 ＇А $\sigma \omega \pi$ об由́pov татрòs aî $\sigma a \nu$

50
$\Sigma_{\tau} \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.


25 द̇v Sírools＇In hurlings of the discus．＇Dissen quotes Xen．


 ＇in chariot－races．＇wss．give ӧтótє without ${ }^{\hat{e}} \mathrm{v}$ ．
$26 \pi \epsilon v \tau a \epsilon^{\prime} \theta \lambda$ tov For form cf． P．8．66．The first invention of the pentathlon was said to have occurred during the Argonautic expedition． Cf．Schol．Apoll．Rhod．4． 1091. But Prof．Seymour quotes Od． 8. 123 sq ．，where the contests in the special exercises of the pentathlon are still separate．

27 кєîro Pass．of $\tau \operatorname{l\theta \eta \mu }$ ，cf． 0．1．85．For a corresponding use of the active cf．N．10．48．tènos ＇Prize．＇Cf．O．11．67，P．9． 118.

28 Tติ้ Sc．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ ，from $\lambda \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \iota$ to кєî̀o $\tau \epsilon$＇ोos being a paren－ thesis．

29 そ́фаขєv For é $\phi$ áv $\eta \sigma a \nu$ ．For position of prep．cf．P．2．11，N． 9. 14.

30 ＇Iф．$\pi$ aîs Iolâos．$\Sigma \pi \pi a \rho$ ．
$\tau \omega ิ \nu$ The＇sown＇men who sprang from the dragon＇s teeth．

31 Tveסaplסas Kastor，son of Zeus，putative son of Tyndareus．
 sited．＇Cf．N．10．47．oiké $\omega$ v A spondee．For adjectival use of participle cf．N．4．29，7．66，I．3．5， 37.

32 xaiper＇Addressed to the heroes，the topic of whose praise is thus dismissed．${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{I} \sigma \theta \mu \hat{\omega}$ For hiatus cf．supra v．9，Bacchyl．2． 7 aủXévu＇I $\sigma \theta \mu \mathrm{ou}$. Dat．commodl，＇in honor of．＇

33 At Onchêstos on the shore of Lake Kôpâis was a temple of Poseidon．Cf．I．3．37．Tєрь－ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Metaphor from the toi－ lette，cf．N．11． 15.

34 үapúvopar A true case of a middle fut．of a verb of sound． àүакл́́a Part of predicate，＇as right glorious．＇aloav＇Career，＇cf． N．6． 49.
35 ＇Орхоцєขоîo Gen．after äpoupav，$\pi$ aт $\rho \dot{\mu} \dot{a}$ b being predicative．
$2{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \iota \nu$ є́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \nu a v a \gamma i ́ a \iota s$

4 dégato $\sigma v \nu \tau v x i ́ a . ~$
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$\theta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ фє́pєє.
' $A \nu \tau . \gamma$.


36 vv Asôpodôros (Merger). Dissen is clearly wrong in referring
 ting $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \epsilon \pi$. needlessly. Don. randens 'driven ashore.' But as 'driving people ashore alive' is not a specific or au usual function of shipwreck, it would hardly be attributted thereto in a metaphor. Remder 'hard pressed.' He was shipwrecked in the 'fathomless brine,' i.e. serious troubles at Thebes, and swam to shore, ie. fled to Orchomenes. The plural pavariats expresses more than Asôpodôros' orr misfortune, including those of his friends. Merger takes vavarlats literally.

37 ג $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho \eta^{\tau} \tau a s$ Lit. 'of liquid, content too vast to measure.' Merger supposes this epithet to indicate the sea beyond the Pillars of Hêrakles, but there is an obvious allusion to the oracle delivered to the messengers of Kroesos, Hêrod.

 in O. 2. $98 \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu$ os $\dot{\alpha} \rho t \theta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon ́-$ $\phi \in u \gamma \in \nu$. Leaf on Il. 23. 264 tells us that Hultsch identifies the Epic definite fluid $\mu \dot{e}$ 'poo with the Phœnician standard, the aton. For fem. termination cf. N. 5. 9.

39 èméßare Supply $\nu, \nu$ from v. 36. Cf. Il. 8. 285, for metaphot from horses or chariots, $\tau \delta \nu$
 The aorist is apparently idiomatic,
referring to the time of the lately won chariot victory. The poet cannot mean 'set him on board the ship of good weather.' то́тцоя ovyyevís Cf. N. 5. 40, P. 5. 1618, note.
40 o $\pi$ ovท́бals A variation on $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \in \iota ~ \mu a ́ \theta o s$, Asch. Agram. 177, Schol. $\dot{o} \pi \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \overline{\alpha a l} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho \rho \mu \eta \theta \dot{\eta} s$ भiरveта. Hes. IW. and D. $218 \pi a-$
 hath endured, brings accordingly fore-knowledge to his mind,' ie. adds fore-knowledge to his other mental powers; cal is rightly placed to emphasise the connexion between móvos and $\pi \rho о \mu \dot{\partial} \theta \epsilon i a$. Perhaps the poet means that Hêrodotos has learnt wisdom by experience. $\phi$ épet The past experience is a store whence at any time he can draw the basis of fore-knowledge ; thus the present tense is appropriate. Here $\pi \rho o \mu \mathrm{a} \theta \in \epsilon \alpha$ means ' the faculty of fore-knowledge' so that, as in N . 3. 18, the present $\phi \hat{\ell} \rho \epsilon \iota$ contains a reference to the past, 'hath won for and adds to.' Dissen's $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon$, e' $\chi$ et is only partly right, while in
 'to bear,' 'endure.' The active $\phi e ́ \rho \omega$ is used indifferently with фє́ромаи, but here, עó $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ being reflexive, the active is natural. Cf. N. 6. 15.

41 кaтákeıtal Yen. de Venatione
 effundere colet, seems the nearest

 $4 \mu \eta े \phi \theta о \nu \epsilon \rho a i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$
$455 \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu a \iota \varsigma$ ．Є̇ $\pi \epsilon \grave{\imath}$ кои́фа $\delta o ́ \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ \grave{a} \nu \delta \rho i ̀ ~ \sigma о \phi \hat{\varphi}$
 ópө̄ิбaı кадóv．
parallel，ajperậ being a dat．termini． ＇If he（ $\dot{o} \pi \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma a(s)$ be expended， every impulse of him，on distinc－ tion．＇Hermann＇s ảpetá（cf．I． 4. 17）cannot stand with $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ó $\rho \gamma \alpha{ }^{2} \nu$ ． Hartung＇s кaтa日 $\hat{\eta} \tau$ וs removes none of the real difficulty．Dissen ex－ plains the verb as nearly equivalent
 Kayser proposed ápetà．．．тâoıı óp－ $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ．It is clear that $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ oj $\rho \gamma \alpha{ }^{2}$ is an acc．of reference，not $=\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ тоб́то⿱（Schol．）．For sentiment cf．I．4．22．There is no need to supply $\tau$ is（cf．O．6．4，N．7．16）． Prof．Seymour＇s reudering of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\alpha}$ ， ＇excellence and the praise for excel－ lence，＇only errs in being too precise． The word cannot convey at one time with equal vividness the subjective and objective phases of an idea or a fact and its consequence．He quotes Theognis 29，30，where dj $\rho \in \tau$ ćs is ＇credit for virtues＇rather than ＇rewards for virtue＇；Soph．El． 626 $\theta \rho a ́ \sigma o u s \mid$ тоû́ ${ }^{\prime}$ ои̉к $\dot{d} \lambda u ́ \xi \in เ s$ ，where the causal genitive gives＇the punish－ ment of，＇so that the citation is irrelevant；O．7．89，Eur．Med．297， where the notion of reputation for bravery，idleness is predominant； and Od．14． 417 ка́цatov そ̇ঠovo兀v， ＇eat the fruit of（our）toil，＇which is only remotely relevant．

42 ả $\mu$ о́тєроv Cf．O．1．104， 6．17．Samávais Dat．of reference， or sphere of action（state）．Cf， 0 ． 5． $15 \pi \delta \nu 0$ os $\delta a \pi a ́ \nu \alpha \tau \epsilon$ ．

43 ev́póvt．For the change to plur．ef．P．3．60，Soph．Antig．
 ＇Praise for thorough－manliness．＇

Cf．I．4． 24.
44 Dissen explains rightly； those who differ from him have got wild．＇The key to the passage is to recognise Pindar＇s（and others＇） irregularity in giving a general apodosis to a purticular protasis （cf．P．11．41－44，N．4．79－84） or vice versâ（P．11．54，55，Eur． Orest．566－－570）．Here indeed the protasis is not exactly particular but only less general than the apodosis．The construction is $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ $\phi \epsilon \rho \in \iota \nu \kappa \dot{\mu} \pi \sigma \nu \varepsilon \dot{\cup} \rho \delta \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$（dat．of re－ mote object after $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ ）$\nu \iota \nu(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{ } \nu$ ， direct object after є $\cup \rho \delta \dot{\nu} \tau \in \sigma \sigma \iota$ ，cf． 0．7． $89 \pi \dot{v} \xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \delta \nu \tau \alpha$ ）．$\mu \eta े$ фOovepaíन Cf．Bacchylides＇quota－ tion from Hêsiod 5.187 ff ．र $\rho \dot{\eta} \mathrm{j}^{\prime}$ ả $\lambda a \theta \epsilon i ́ a s$ Xápıv｜aiveîv фOóvov á $\mu$ фо－ $\tau \in ́ \rho a \iota \sigma \iota \nu|\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i \nu a ̀ \pi \omega \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu.| \varepsilon \ell ้ \tau \iota S$ $\epsilon \hat{v} \pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma o \iota \beta p o \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．The negative is to be taken with the adjective as in N．8． 37.

45 коv́ $\alpha$ ．Sóvis Cf．Aesch．Sept． Th．246，Eur．Bacch． 893 кои́фа $\gamma$ àp

 боф $\widehat{\omega}$＇For a poet，＇as usual in Pindar．

46 ảvti Cf．I．4．25．єiтóvт＇ Accusative．For the change of case cf．I．5．21，L．and S．s．v．${ }_{\xi} \xi \in \sigma \tau \iota$ ， Matth．Gr．Gr．§ 536 obs．；and without an infinitive Soph．Oed．R． 713，El． 480 ט̈т $\epsilon \sigma \tau$ น $\mu$ ot $\theta \rho a ́ \sigma o s-~$ $\kappa \lambda$ v́ovoav，on which Jebb quotes Aesch．Pers． 913 入є $\lambda \cup \tau \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \mu \omega ิ \nu ~ \gamma v i \omega \nu$

 slight variation in Aesch． Ag .1588

 ү入ขкv́s，
 $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota$.


 $\xi \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ र $\lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \varsigma ~ a ̈ \omega \tau o \nu$.

75
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \delta^{\prime}$ ．



$55+\kappa a i \sigma^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ，＇$А \mu$＇фьт $\rho v ́ \omega \nu$ ，

 O．1．8－10 ó тo入úфатos v̈цvos à $\mu \phi$ に
 ．．．iконévovs，is slightly different from the two cases in the Isthmians， as the infinitive is that of result and comes between the substantive and the participle．See also note on I．3． $11 \delta \epsilon \zeta_{\zeta} \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega$ ．áya日óv Exactly our＇good word．＇छ$\xi$ vóv Cf．P． 9．93．ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma a l$ Cf．P．4．60， 0. 3． 3.
$47 \mu$ L．$\theta$ ós Observe the position． ＇Remuneration，differing for differ－ ent employments．＇

49 的＇For．＇The poet seems not to think of hunting as a regular means of livelihood．alavŋी ＇Teasing，＇＇galling．＇This epithet suggests a persistent annoyance． Cf．P．1．83，I．3．2，epith．of кópos． тÉratal＇Is intent upon keeping off．＇

50 Prowess in games and war are appropriately coupled as the chief $\tau i \mu \iota \alpha \iota$ ápєтal，cf．O．6．9 sqq． For sentiment and ăp $\frac{1}{}$

N．9．46．Here substantial кє́poos is implied lyy calling $\kappa \hat{v} \delta o s, \& c$ ．＇the highest gain．＇ős a’p $\quad$ тal Cf． Goodwin NI．and T． 540.

51 äผтоข Cf．O．2．7，P．10．53， I．6． 18 ．

52 Poseidon of Onchêstos the neighbour of the Thebans．Cf． I．3． 37.

53 ＇Our neighbour，in return for his beneficence，＇i．e．in granting the victory．

54 ＇To celebrate．．．as lord of the horse－race with chariots．＇

55 kal Couples the games of Isthmos to Bœotian games which are coupled by $\tau \epsilon$ to each other， and again by kai to the games of Eleusis and Euboea．Cf．O．and Y．p．xxvii．Hêrakles and Iolâos were patrons of the great Theban games，the former the putative son， the latter the grandson of Amphi－ tryon（ $\sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu \pi$ aîdas）．

56 Does this mean the frmous Treasury of Minyas at Orchomenos （cf．N．6．27）or i．q．$\mu v \chi o i ̂ s, ~ N . ~ 10 . ~$
 є̇v $\gamma \nu а \mu \pi \tau o i ̂ \varsigma ~ \delta \rho o ́ \mu o \iota s$.
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$.
I Прштєбì入a，тò тєò $\delta$＇à $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{A} \chi a \iota \omega$
2 є่ข Фvла́ка тє́ $\mu \in \nu o s ~ \sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda о \mu a \iota . ~$



 $\mu \epsilon і \zeta \omega$ фє́рєє．


42？Certainly Orchomenos was in neither a corner nor a recess． Funeral games in honor of Minyas were held near his tomb．Paus． 9. 38． 3.

57 év $\gamma \nu a \mu \pi \tau 0$ îs Spó $\mu$ ors To be taken with $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \nu$, ，in the sphere of，＇＇$\grave{e}$ propos of bent race－courses＇； cf．$v, 18$ supra．The epithet has especial reference to the frequent turns in the chariot race，cf．O． 6. 75．Similarly Eur．Iph．in Taur． 81 ठ $\rho \delta \mu$ ovs $\tau \epsilon \pi$ то入入oùs $\epsilon \xi \xi \in \pi \lambda \eta \sigma a$ кантiцоия．
58 8＇＇Also．＇Not quite the usual $\delta \epsilon$ after a vocative．The poet adds（ $\sigma v \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ．）the shrine by which， at Phylakê on the Pegasæan gulf， the sepulchral games in honor of the hero Prôtesilas were held．

60 द́ $\xi \in \epsilon \pi \epsilon i ̄ r$ Cf．N．4．33．For absence of $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ after $\alpha$ dalpeital cf． N．11．23．ả $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{2} \nu \mathrm{los}$ Of．O． 6． 79 ．

62 亿ттоוs Additional dative of closer specification，cf．O．2．14， Eur．Herc．Fur． 179 Г＇（yaбt $\pi \lambda$ ยevoîs $\pi \tau \eta \nu^{\prime}$ ѐvapubбas $\beta \in \lambda \eta$ ，Aristoph． Equites 503 ì $\mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ тро́б $\chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ тঠ̀ עoû̀ тoîs àvamalotots，Od． 12.
 áфaıpeital In this sense，＇pre－ vents，＇takes $\mu \dot{\eta}$ in Trag．For
sentiment cf．P．4． 247 ש̈pa خà $\rho$ бv̀árтєє．
$63 \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} \nu$－кai＇＇Verily oft－times that which is wrapped in silence actually brings more satisfaction．＇ For $\bar{\eta} \mu \alpha ́ \nu$ cf．P．4．40，Soph．Phil． 593．то入入ákь According to the prevailing theory not a case of loss of final $\sigma(s)$ ，but a form without the casual s of mo入入d́kis．tò
 91．The poet means that often it is politic to say least about the very success which is most pleasing and satisfactory．Some edd，alter to $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \gamma a \mu \in \dot{\nu} \nu \nu$.
64 єil $\mu$ Lv asss．$\mu \nu \nu$ ，edd．$\nu l \nu$ ． For phrase cf．O．1．115，P．2．96， N．7．24，25，Aristoph．Acharn． 1079，Od．2．310，16．243；and with pronoun suppressed P．1．29．For sentiment cf．P．5．107，8．25，N． 7. 22，Theognis 237 бoi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \pi \tau \notin \rho^{\prime}$

 p $\eta$ ıotws．The wings of the Muses are songs；there is no need to suppose that Pindar regarded the Pierian goddesses as winged．I． 3.
 the air，äךral．Theognis gives wings to the subject of his verse．


 крифаîov,



65 'ti cal 'Besides also.' Mu$\theta \hat{\omega} \theta \in v$ rs. ПuӨóधєv. éğalpétols Cf. N. 10. 32 ; it only qualifies ' $O \lambda \nu \mu \pi$. है $p \nu$.

66 фpágat Perhaps 'fill to the full,' cf. farcio.

67 тєúXovт' Cf. v. 14 supra $\tau \epsilon u ́ \chi \omega \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \in \rho a s$. vє́ $\mu \epsilon \iota$ Schol. áтотauєєvod́uєvos, 'lay up,' an uncommon sense of $\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \omega$. Perhaps 'lords it over' is what was meant. kpuфaîov For sentiment cf. N. 1. 31. Cf. Bacchyl. 3. 13 oi $\delta \varepsilon \pi v p-$
 $\kappa р \cup ́ \pi \tau \epsilon เ \nu$ бко́тш.

68 'But inveighs against and
jeers at others (who, like Hêrodotos, do not do so), he considereth not that he will render up his soul to Hades without honor.' Cf. P. 11. 57, N. 8. 36, Theognis 243 on vav
 кшкútous cis'Atסao pompous, | oủס̀è тóт'
 $\sigma \epsilon \iota s \mid \alpha ̈ \phi \theta \iota \tau о \nu$ ar $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi т о \iota s$ alèv er $\chi \omega \nu$ ơขома. тє $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime} \omega \nu$ Perhaps future, in spite of $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \in \iota$ N. 4. 43, and Prof. Seymour. For the debt of nature Cookesley quotes Hor. A. P. 63 debemur morti nos nostraque. For the participle of. 0.6 .8 誼 $\sigma \omega .$. er $\chi \omega \nu$, N. 11. 15.

## ISTHMIA II.

## ON THE VICTORIES OF XENOKRATES OF AKRAGAS TITH THE FOUR-HORSE CHARIOT.

## INTRODUCTION.

The position of the Isthmian victory, ri. $13-16$, before the Pythian victory justifies the classification of this ode among the Isthmia. But we cannot determine whether the celelration of Ienokrates three victories by his som Thrasyhulos had any special connexion with an Isthmian festival, as Pindar had already connposed an ode, P. 6, in honor of the Pythian victory, and may merely for this reason have given prominence to the Isthmian. For the victor's fanily and the chromology cf. O. 2. Introd. Ol. 75. 4, B.c. 477 , is 1 robably the date of this Isthmian victory. It is mentioned in O. 2. 50, which was composed b.c. 476 . The ode was probably composed before Théron's death in B.c. 473 , certainly after Nenokrates' death. Donaldson and Cookesley buth say that Thêron is spoken of as dead, which is inaccurate.

Mr Bury assumes (a) that the ode was composed after the fall of the Emmenidae,
(b) that Pindar composed the ode and also P. 6 without payment,
(c) that Thrasybulos was a poet capable of producing an Epinician ode.

Now the first assumption makes firr too muth of $2 \%$. 43-45 (see my note), and the general tone of the ole, if it be as sombre as some think, is amply accounted for by the death of Xenokrates. The second assumption mainly depends on the first. The third assumption rests on wrong interpretations of P. f. 49 and I. 2. 12. In the former passage Thrasybulos' cultivation of minstrelsy is
mentionel in comexion with his wealth, so that it is clear that he culled the flowers of other people's poetry not of his own. In the latter passage it would obviously not require a puet to understand that Pindar is celebrating in song a fanous Isthmian victory. In Pindar's works $\sigma o \phi o$ ós does not cluceys mean a 'poet,' 'poctical,' 'skilled in minstrelsy,' for example see P. 8. 74.

Mr Bury alduces several parallelisms of thought and diction to prove that Pindar "not only echoes but alludes to the earlier hymm," P. G. The coincidences with perhaps two exceptions are just what might be expected in two perfectly distinct proms dealing with the same persons and similar things. Of course it is quite likely that the diction of the later ode was influenced by that of the earlier without any deliberate intention of making either echo or allusion. It is worth remark that $v .34$ of I. 2 might be taken for an allusion to I. 3. 14, if there were any comexion hetween the odes.

There are exact responsions of kindred ideas in cv. 3£, 7 Enex $\omega$ -

 iv. 31 ), 12 is meant to be effective. Compounds of $\mu$ enc- are applied to
 $v$. 31. Hospitality is mentioned $v v .24,39,48$, as is natural in celebrating hospitable 录evoкрátŋs (vv. 14, 36). The introduction of the ode occupies $v v .1-9$. The central portion, $v r .10-34$, encroaches both on the first and on the last system.



The mode is Dorian; the metres dactylo-epitritic.

## Strophe.

| 1. | $-\vdots .1^{*} \cdot C^{\prime \prime}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | $C^{\prime} \cdot B+\cdot A^{\prime}$. |
| 3. | $C \ddagger \cdot A$. |
| 4. | $A \cdot B$. |
| 5. | $C \cdot B$. |

Epode.

| 1. | A.A. $B^{\prime}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | A. $B$. |
| 3. | $C$. |
| 4. | $C .-\cup \cup\|\sqcup\|$ |
| 5. | $B . A^{\prime}$. |
| 6. | $-\quad$ B $B^{\prime} B$. |

[^10] $\ddagger$ Caesura after the fifth syllable.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-5. Poets of old freely sang of their favorites.
6-8. For the Muse was not yet an artisan.
$9-11$. But now we must regard the saying of the Argive'Money makes the man.'
12-22. Verbum sap. Famous are the victories of Xenokrates won by Nikomachos,
23-28. Whom the Elean truce-bearers welcomed to Olympia,
28, 29. Where the immortals gave honor to Aenêsidinnos' sons.
30-32. Thus their homes are familiar with songs of triumph.
33,34 . It is easy to utter praises of men of high renown.
35-42. Praise of Xenokrates' popular disposition, his horsebreeding, and his hospitality.
43--48. Nikâsippos is enjoined to tell Thrasybulos not to be deterred by the enry of the commonalty from rehearsing his father's distinction and the odes he (Nikâsippos) has charge of, for they were not composed to lie idle.

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho \cdot a^{\prime}
$$


 то́ $\mu \in \nu 0$ и,
 4 ӧ $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ่ \omega \nu ~ \kappa а \lambda o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ̂ \chi \epsilon \nu ~ ' A ф \rho o \delta i ́ t a s ~$
$55 \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \rho o ́ \nu o v ~ \mu \nu a ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu ~ i ́ \delta i ́ \sigma t a \nu ~ o ̀ ~ \pi \omega ́ \rho a \nu . ~$
 Cf. P. 3. 89.
2 Siфpov Motoâv Cf. O. 9. 81, P. 10. 65, I. 7. 62, and for the ilentification of the Muses' car with a victor's chariot cf, O.6.23. бuvavtópevor Cf. O. 2. 96. Lit. 'coming into contact with,' i.e. 'taking up.'
3 р́l $\mu$ фа 'Freely.' Metaphor from the regular unrestrained motion of a body flying through the air. For the two adjectives cf.
P. 9. 8. $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon$ lovs 'Addressed to youths.' Cf. Bacchyl. Frag.
 द̇róģvov Cf. O. 1. 112, N. 3. 65, 6. $28,9.55$.

4 öбтts The antecedent is contained in $\pi$ audelous.

5 цváoтetpav Cf. P. 12. 24 ขó $\mu о \nu, \mid \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \hat{\alpha} \quad \lambda a o \sigma \sigma o ́ \omega \nu \quad \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \eta \hat{\rho}$ ả $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu . \quad$ ỏтш́pav Cf. N. 5. 6. Alkaeos Frrag. 61 rєpévas ăv $\partial o s$ intúpas.



$+\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ є́фí $\tau \iota$ тò т $\omega \rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ o v ~ \phi u \lambda a ́ \xi a \iota$
: $\rho \hat{\eta} \mu$ ’ ì $\lambda a \theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ o ́ \delta \omega ̂ \nu ~ c ̌ \gamma \chi \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \beta a i ̂ \nu o \nu, ~$
' $\mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$.
 $\kappa a i$ фí $\lambda \omega \nu$.


6 a Moîóa Not Terpsichorê, muse of lyric poetry (Mezger), but Erato (von Leutsch). épүárıs 'A hireling.' The Schol. says that Simonides was the first poet to take pay, quoting Kallimachos, ou
 ó Keíos 'Th入éxou ̀étous.

3 dippup $\omega \theta$ eírai ' With silvered brow,' i.c. with meretricious adornment such as a slave-dealer would dress out females with for sale. Dissen's explanation is rendered by Don. 'With hire in their looks.' For the participle cf. N. 10. 43.
 Terpsichorê is the subject. $\tau \omega \dot{\rho}$ yєiou Aristodêmos. Mezger thinks he was an Argive who migrated to Sparta, but the Schol. says that he was a Spartan, quoting Andron of Ephesos as enumerating Aristodêmos of Sparta among the seven wise men, and also Alkaeos, Frag.



 This I read thus from eimeiv (which was probably $\epsilon l \pi \eta \nu$ as also ẅs was


 P'erhaps we may restore oúóṫтot' at the beginning of $v .4$ from Suidas,
who $s . v$. रрभ́ната quotes apparently another form of the proverb xpin-
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda$ ós. Bergk alters the order, to accommodate the metre to that of the Stasiotica, Frag. 15 [1], thus شैs


 $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda$ oेs où $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ tiplos. The Schol. explains 'Aprelov as being used in the Epic sense = 'Peloponnesian.'

10 ả $\lambda a \theta \epsilon \in \mathfrak{a s}$ ó $\delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ Cf. P. 3.103
 jobov, cf. ' the way of truth,' Psalm 119. 30. Hermann filled up a lacuna presented by the siss. with ¿̇oûv, Bergk by '̇ंâs (adj.)='real ' from a Schol. on Il. 1. 133, which

 it goeth.'

11 ős Demonstrative, as in Attic $\delta$ s $\delta^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\prime} \phi \eta$. For sentiment Cookesley quotes Horace, nil satis est, inquit, quia tanti quantum habeas sis. $\quad$ a $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\text {Beäch ; mss. } \theta^{\prime}}$ ä $\mu$. Cf. O. 1. 17, note. $\lambda \in \iota \phi \theta \in(s$ Cf. Soph. Antig. 548 кal $\tau$ ls $\beta$ los $\mu$ ot


12 évol Yàp ふ̀v $\sigma 0 \phi$ '́s Verbum sapienti sat. 'I need not say more explicitly that my engagements for pay have prevented my sending you this ode before.' The

# с 'I $\sigma \theta \mu i ́ a \nu$ '̈ "ттоьб九 víкау, <br>  

 $f \pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \epsilon \nu$ diva $\delta \epsilon і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \sigma \epsilon \lambda i \nu \omega \nu$,

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime} .
$$


poet does not mean that Thrasybulls would not pay him, but that if he had been composing for love, Thrasybulos would have come high on Pindar's list; whereas under existing conditions his commission has had to await its turn for executimon. $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho \mathrm{i} v$ These particles have almost the force of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ : but whereas $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ is, as usual, adversative, oûv is half continuative, half dismissive. 'Well then as you are a man of understanding (I declare without more ado that) right famous is the Isthmian victory-in-the-chariot-race that I sing.' He implies that though his praise is bought, it is genuine beyond dispate (cf. O. and P.). Cf. vv. 33, 34 infra. For the dative "intel P. 6. 17, I. 3. $16 . \quad \sigma 0 \phi$ os 'wise.' Not poetical, cf. P. 8. 74. oủk àyvā t'So Mommsen after the oldest Vatican ass. Vulg. ä $\gamma \nu \omega \tau^{\prime}$, which some eld. read. For the predicafive adjective cf. I. 1.17. Note the recurrence of out $\boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \hat{\omega} \tau-v .30$.

15 ко́भa. The dative after $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ $\phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \mu a$. The verb $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \delta \delta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ t a k e s$ the accusative. Cf. N. 11. 28. Bergk's alteration of aủtê to aûov is due to the School. roîs oîv rad

 Cf. a Schol. prefatory to the Semcans, of $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \bar{\epsilon} \phi a \nu o s \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \kappa \chi \lambda \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\epsilon}-$

 $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \nu a$ (Heyne inserted $\xi$ そ̀pà). But cf. N. 4. 88 , where I have followed the prevalent idea. A prefatory

Schol. on the Isthmian says $\sigma \tau \epsilon-$

 orépavos. Another School. tells us that the crown was of parsley, because it was sacred to the infernal deities (cf. O. 13. 33 note), and that when the games, which were originally funeral games in honor of Melikertes (cf. Frag. 2), were restored in honor of Poseidon by Theseus, the pine was substituted for parsley. Cf. Pans. 8. 48. 2. However Pindar seems to know nothing of the dry parsley or the pine. Cookesley remarks, "It is singular that Pindar should call it 'Dorian' parsley; for the Isthmian games appear to have been a $\pi a \nu \eta^{\prime}-$ rupts of the Ionians of Peloponnesus and Attica; and they were dedicated to Neptune, an Ionian god." But N. 4. 88 shows that Dorian meant Corinthian. That the Dorians appropriated pereDorian traditions we have seen on 0. 7. 75. In Bacchyl. 10. 26-28
 $\beta o v^{\prime} \mid \lambda \omega \nu[\ldots .$.$] Tpoфâtal, I fill up the$ gap with Koplvetw (cf. O. 13. 5-8).
$16 \pi \pi^{\prime} \mu \pi \epsilon \nu$ For the imperf. where one might expect an aorist, cf. Thus. 1. 26 (Shilleto), Soph. El. 680. For the infinitive cf. Goodwin M. and T'. 772.

17 фáos Cf. for similar use of
 cf. P. 5. 52. The meaning in P. 3. 75 , where Pindar would be $\phi$ dos to Heron, is not quite the same.





18 èv Kploą Near Krisa，ef． P．5． 35 and my note on P．6．9， and for $\epsilon \nu=$＇near＇O．and P．p． xxv ， N．10．8．єi̊＇Cf．O．7． 11 वैّ $\lambda \lambda$ отє $\delta^{\prime}$ ẳ入ov ėmontev́el Xápıs，P．3． 85
 O．14．14，Frag．53．1．diरnatav Cf．O．9．99，13．14，P．10． 28 ，for the meaning＇victory，＇＇glory of vic－ tory．＇mss．separate $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda$ ．from $\kappa$ ai $\tau \delta \theta_{\iota}$ by a full stop，and give $\kappa$ al $\tau \delta \theta_{\iota}$ $\kappa$ кौєıраîs＇Ep．，к．т．入．Some edd．
 к．т．入．Mommsen alters the full stop to a colon，wrongly，I think， as Thrasybulos was charioteer at the Pythian games，cf．P． 6.

19 kal tóधc＇And so elsewhere ．．．to wit，in glistening Athens．＇ The demonstrative adverb，as it were，introduces a fresh charioteer． The victory at Athens was probably in the Panathênaea．Mr Bury＇s клetvaîs $\tau^{\prime}$ involves the impossible
 Not＇victories＇as in 0． $7.93{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \rho \alpha$－
 кal דó入les，but＇favors，＇＇i．e．＇prizes，＇ or else＇songs of victory．＇It is not easy to determine whether $\kappa \lambda \epsilon$ vaîs is＇renowned＇or＇making renowned，＇but as $\lambda$ itrapai and $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota v a l$ are both applied to Athens in Frag．54，the former is prefer－ able．apapẃs＇Having attained＇； lit．＇joined to＇；cf．O．1．22，N． 3 ． 68，4．21，I．7．19，infra v．29．The
subject changes from Apollo to Xenokrates．

20 ойк $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \theta_{\eta}$ Meiosis，＇he has good cause to thank．＇
 serving．＇For the dangers of the chariot－race，cf．P．5．30－32．
 $\nu \omega \mu \hat{a} \pi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \sigma u s$ ．＇To give the hand to the reins＇$=$ manibus omnes e．ficm－ dere habenas，Verg．Aen．5．818， while $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \nu$ implies that the loose－ ness of rein was allowed with judg－ ment，the team，even at full speed， being＇well in hand．＇кard kat－ póv＇At the right moment，＇＇the critical moment．${ }^{3}$

23 ővtє＇He whom，＇i．e．Ni－ komachos，whom a Schol．states to have been an Athenian，the cha－ rioteer of Thêron and Xenokrates； the latter statement being however clearly based on a misconception of the passage．He seems to have


ка́рикея wpâr Cf．O，4． 1 teal yàp ŵpat｜

 $r v \rho^{\prime}$ dं $\in \theta \lambda \omega \nu$ ．Here the plural $\dot{\text { upầ }}$ may be distributive，＇the heralds of successive seasons（of the Olym－ pian festival）．＇dévéyvov＇Recog－ nised．＇According to Jebb on Soph． Oed．R． 1348 ＂the 2nd aor．has that sense in Homer，in Pindar （Isthm．2．23），and in Herod．（2． 91）．＂$\sigma \pi$ ov 0 oфópot Proclaimers



 $\Delta$ ò̀s
bä $\lambda \sigma$ оs. "iv' à $\theta a \nu a ́ t o \iota s ~ A i v \eta \sigma \iota \delta a ́ \mu o v ~$ c $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s$ èv $\tau \iota \mu a i ̂ s ~ \stackrel{~ \epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \chi \chi \theta \epsilon$.

 $f$ оข้тє $\mu є \lambda \iota \kappa o ́ \mu \pi \omega \nu$ ảoเठầ .


of the solemn truce throughout Greece. Officials not unlike the Roman fetiales. Cf. Pausanias, 5. 15. 6.

24 тa0óvtєs, к.т.入. 'Having, I ween, considerable (?) experience of his discharge of the functions of a friendly host.' The use of "prov implies that he was their $\pi \rho^{\prime} \tilde{\xi} \epsilon \cos _{0} \mathrm{~s}$. The conjunction of $\tau \iota \pi \sigma v$, O. 1. 28

 $\pi o \kappa \kappa \ \lambda o t s \dot{\epsilon}_{\xi} \alpha \pi a \pi \omega \hat{\omega} \tau t \quad \mu \hat{\nu} \theta o c$-and P . 4. 87 ой $\tau i$ ti $\pi$ ov oûtos ' $A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, makes it very doubtful whether or no $\tau \iota$ goes with éprov. The particles convey a modest expression of uncertainty or vagueness, as though the proposition were tentative or too wide to be completely grasped ; but the appeal to the sympathy of the audience makes them virtually give emphasis, as in this passage; so with $\pi$ ou alone, P. 10. 11. The old Vatican sis. gives $\pi$ oû $\tau \iota$, the other good ass. тои̂ (one $\pi$ oú) то.

25 dívitvów Cf. O. 13. 22 ìv

26 xpuáas Cf. N. 5. 7, 0.
13. 8, I. 7. 5. ėv yoúvaatv Cf. P. 1. 74 for construction, and for idea N. 5.42.

28 Includes the "A $\lambda \tau \tau s$, which was a portion of the $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \in \nu \quad$ os planted with trees, but, as Dissen on 0. 3. 17 points out, ä́ $\lambda \sigma$ os does not necessarily imply trees, but means 'precinct.'

29 maî̀єs A purposely vague statement, as only Theron won at Olympia. ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \ldots \mu<\chi^{\theta \in \nu}$ Tmesis. Cf. $0.1 .90 \mathrm{f}, \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu}$ aimakovplass | à $\gamma \lambda a \alpha i \sigma t ~ \mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \iota \kappa \tau a \iota$. For the phrase cf. v. 19 supra, ג́papús.

30 кaì үáp. Mezger points out that these particles refer to $\dot{\alpha} \theta a \nu \dot{\alpha}-$ тots. oúk ảyvิิtes Cf.v. 12 supra, where the sense is passive. Here it is active as in P. 9. 58 ( $\chi$ 右ò s


33 'For there is no hill to climb, nor does the path even tend to slope upwards.' For metaph. cf. N. 6. 47, I. 3. 19.

34 és ávסpîv Sc. סóuous. Dissen

 Cf. also Aesch. P.V. $236 \mathrm{\epsilon} / \mathrm{s}$ "A $\downarrow \delta \delta o v$, Suppl. 416. $\mathrm{El}^{\text {l...äyoı Cf. P.8. } 14 .}$



'А $\nu$ т. $\gamma^{\prime}$.





35 Dissen thinks that the hurling of the discus only is meant, ' Quare $\dot{\alpha} о \nu т і \sigma \sigma a \iota \mu ~ i m p r o p r i e ~ d i c-~$ tum'; Donaldson on the contrary says that ' $\delta \iota \sigma \dot{\eta} \sigma$ aus is used in the primitive sense of $\delta i \sigma k o s$ from $\delta t-$ кeiv.' The latter view is manifestly the best ; $\delta \iota \sigma \kappa \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota s=\dot{\rho} \ell \psi \alpha \iota s$, P. 1.45, where, and N. 7. 71, the same metaphor is found, cf. also 0.1.112, v.3, supra. The poet means ' may my praises be adequate to Xenokratês' superiority.' ópyáv Cf. P. 1. 89


36 i̋tép Cf. N. 9. 54. $\gamma \lambda \nu$ кєîav Cf. P. 6. 52 रोuкєîa dè фрض̀ | $\kappa а і ~ \sigma v \mu \pi о ́ т \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ \dot{~} \mu \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \mid \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha ิ \nu$ $\dot{a} u \epsilon i \beta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \rho \eta \tau \partial \nu \pi o ́ \nu о \nu-o f ~ T h r a s y-~$ buloshimself. Dissen quotes Solon,




37 "̈xev "" Won' by practice" Bury. aidoios 'Loved and rerered.' According to Merger it is the correlative of $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \iota \delta \dot{\eta} s, \dot{\cup} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta$ 's. For such correlation cf. Johann. Damasc. quoted by Bergk at the
 $\sigma \iota \nu$ є̇ாi $\beta \lambda \in \phi \alpha ́ p o \iota \sigma \iota$ кá $\eta \tau \tau \alpha \iota, \mid \dot{v} \beta \rho \iota s$
 jacin. Solon however gives us the passive sense of aidoios in opposing it to $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ós. Now to his associates i bully is $\delta \epsilon \omega \delta^{\prime}$ s, is hated and dreaded, while a truly gracious, courteous character inspires affection and respect. We must render
ó $\mu \lambda \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ 'in their converse with him.' Cf. P. 6. 53, where the same kind of infinitive is rendered differently but similarly explained.

38 imтотрофias The plural is probably distributive, 'divers kinds of horse-breeding.' tє For tє after $\mu \grave{e} \nu$ cf. O. 4. 15, N. 2. 9, 8. 30, 31. The formula couples two ideas without adversative force, but draws special attention to the first; it may be rendered 'Indeed... and besides.' vo $\mu$ ' $\zeta \omega \nu$ 'Practising.' Cf. Aesch. Choeph. $1002 \xi \in ้ \nu \omega \nu$ dं $\pi$ aió$\lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ ка́рүvробтєр $\mid$ ßiov $\nu о \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$. Év 'According to.' Cf. P. 1. 62, 4. 59, N. 10. 28, Dêm. 496 ad fin. Mave入入ávav vó $\mu$ Cf. Eur. Suppl. $526 \tau o ̀ \nu$ Пave $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ עó $\mu \circ \nu$ | $\sigma \omega \dot{\zeta} \omega \nu$, I. 3. 47 . In the manner of all Greeks who assemble for the great games.

39 Saîtas Mss. and Edd. princ. סlaltas. For the idea cf. O. 3, Introd. троб́́ттикто 'Used to cherish'; lit. 'had folded to his bosom.' oúdé $\pi$ тотє 'Nor did the wafting wind which blew around his hospitable table ever induce him to furl his sail.' Cf. on P. 1. 91, where this explanation was in print when Mr Wratislaw commented on the passage before the Cambridge Philological Society; similarly Mezger.

41 Cf. Eur. Andr. 650 ที้ Х $\rho \hat{\eta} \nu$
 ن́т $\epsilon \quad \tau \epsilon \Phi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$. The Phâsis, the

 єो $\lambda \pi i \delta \epsilon \varsigma$,






Nile, and the Pillars of Herakles were the extreme limits of Hellenic (ordinary) navigation. The last had been used metaphorically in praise of Thêron, 0.3.44, and could hardly be used again for Xenokrates. Note the chiasmus. Oepelats Sc. ẅpats.

42 áктáv School. interprets aủzás. Perhaps a variant áктás with $\nu$ written over was read as $\dot{\text { áctivas. }}$

43 öть, к.т. $\lambda$. 'Because envious expectations beset men's minds.' Ci. O. 7. $24,25 \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ фрабiv ar $\mu \pi \lambda а к i ́ a \iota \mid \dot{\alpha} \nu а \rho i \theta \mu \eta \tau о \iota ~ к \rho є ́-~$ $\mu a \nu \tau \alpha$, I. 7. 14. The metaphor is from nets. The poet may mean that the democratic party were anxious for the Emmenidae to fall into oblivion, but the mention of $\phi \theta \delta 0$ os is most likely mere commonplace. Cf. N. 8. 21, 22, I. 1. 44.

44 бเүátw The address to Nikasippos begins at $v .43$, so that Thrasybulos is the subject.
 83-85, ' neither ... nor indeed.' v̋ruous This ode and probably the skolion, of which Athênaeos has preserved a fragment, Frag. 101 [89].

46 Cf. N. 5. 1.
47 Nเкáбเтл' The transmitter of the odes to Sicily; cf. O.6.87$91, \mathrm{O}$. and P . p. xvii. ámóvєlHov 'Impart.' The Schol. wrongly interprets by $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \alpha^{\gamma} \nu \omega \theta \iota$, quoting the
 (Frag. 150, Dindorf), $\sigma \dot{v} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \theta \rho \delta^{-}$
 $\nu \in \iota \mu \mathrm{\nu}$.

48 خं $\theta$ aîov Doric for $\dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} 0 \nu$. See L. and S. 'è日ŋns For $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ with acc. of person cf. Soph. Phil. 141. So їкєเข P. 4. 126 f., N. 5. 50.

## ISTHMIA III. [III. IV.]

## ON THE VICTORI OF MELISSOS OF THEBES IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Melissus, one of the noble and wealthy Kleônymidae of Thebes, probably gained this victory in the spring of B.c. 478 , in the year after the Battle of Platala (iv. 34-36). The mention of Hêrakles' conquest of Antaeos and his clearance of the sea possibly glances at the Hellênic victory over the ßápßapou. The ode was probahly recited at a meeting of the clan in a temple or before an altar.

In the riss. and in editions earlier than Bückh's the third Isthmian ode consisted of only one strophic system, ending at c. 19 (30), the rest heing the fourth Isthmian. The identity of subject and rhythm, the comexion of thought in the two portions, the obvious incompleteness of the first portion and the ahrupt and unique character of the supposed hegiming of the old fourth Isthmian, amply justify Hermann in proposing, and Bückh in adopting, the union of the five systems into one ode; but I think that originally there were six systems, of which the second has been lost (see note on $v, 19$ ).

Mr Bury regards the first system as a new proeme to an earlicer ode.
 $a \lambda \lambda-a \lambda \lambda-a \lambda \lambda-v v .18,23$, also $v \tau$. 35,49 and $v v .42$ and 60 , and the exact


 are other repetitions.

The divisions of the ode fall after $v, 18$ and 60 , the central portion, $v r$. 19-60, being devoted to the victor's ancestors and to Aias' humiliation and fame. It was especially laudable in a man who could afford to compete in chariot-races to endure the hardships of a pancratiast.

The compounds apparently coined for this ode are $\beta a \theta \imath^{i} \kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu o s$, халкоа́pas.

The mode is Dorian; the metres dactylo-epitritic.
The strophe is unspmmetrical; the Epode is perhaps antithetic mesodic with epole, thus 6.3.8.38.364, taking $c$ and $d$ together.

## Strophe. <br> Epode.

| C.C. | 1. | U $\|A\|$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. B.A. $3^{\prime}$. | 2 | A. | 3 |
| 3. A.B. | 3. | $C^{\prime}$ | 4 |
| 4. $A^{*} . B$. | 4. |  | 4 |
| 5. $C$. $-\cup \cup A^{\prime} \cdot B$. | 5. | A.C.C' | 3.4 .4 |
| 6. C.B. | 6 | $A^{\prime} . C \cdot B . C^{\prime}$ | 3.6 .4 |

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-3. One who enjoys good fortune in a moderate spirit is praiseworthy.
4-6. Zeus prolongs the prosperity of the devout.
7,8 . The man of prowess must receive a meed of praise.
9-12. Melissos has won twice, at Isthmos and Nemea.
12-17. His merits are hereditary:
18. But only gods are exempt from vicissitudes.

$$
\text { * } \quad * \quad * \quad * \quad * \quad * \quad * \quad *
$$

19-23. By fixour of the gonl Melissas victory gives the poet ample opportunity for praise of his prosperous family.
$2: 3,24$. But the breeze of mortal destiny varies and shifts.
25-33. Praises of the prosperous Kleônymidae:
34,35 . Yet in one day four fell in battle.
36,37 . But their winter of sorrow gives way to spring.
$37-42$. Poseidon hits ronsed from shunher their ancient fanc.
43-47. Their former achievements.

[^11]48．They rejected the obscurity of the menterprising．
49－53．But in contests the issue is doubtful．Craft gets the better of sterling worth．
53,54 ．Such was the case with Aias．
55－57．But Homer made him famons everywhere for ever．
58－60．For good poetry is immortal，and universal as light．
61－63．May such a beacon－flame be lit for Melissos ：
63－69．Who is brave and cmming，thongh undersized；
70－73．As compared with Antaeos was Hêrakles；
73－78．Who after a glorions career dwells with the gods．
79－86．In his honor the Thelans celebrate yearly funeral sacrifices and games to his eight sons．
87－end．At which grames Melissos，thanks to his trainer Orseats， won three victories．

Mezger sums up，the fundamental ideas of the poem as follows． ＂Melissos and his clan should be highly praised because they are fortunate both in wealth and in victory；and yet keep their pride within bounds．For though they like all mortals are not exempt from vicissitude and have to endure much sorrow，yet still in the victory of Melissos and the comsequent reawakening of the fame and the poetic praises of the clan a fresh spring has brought back what the winter had taken．＂He tells us that Perthes rightly say＇s that the myth of Aias refer＇s to the misuccessful efforts of the Kleôny－ midae to win victories，while Melissos in his success resembles Hêrakles．

No doubt the uncertainty of human affairs is one of the main strands in the thread of song，but another conspicuons strand is the power of song to reward merit（ $\mathrm{rc} \cdot \mathrm{7}, 8 ; 19-21 ; 27-29 ; 39,40$ ； $44,45,55-63 ; 90$ ）．A third strand is the ascription of worth， fame，and happiness to the gods（er．4－6；19，23；33；33－41；61； $76-78)$ ．We may accept the poet＇s own criticism of this ode．He


$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} a^{\prime}
$$



1 oviv The construction with this preposition is half－way between that of P．1．38，oùv єu＇申ஸ́voss $\theta a-$

入iacs òvuaatáv，and of N．10．4s， ＇by means of．＇Of comse the pre－ position is to be talien with ci＇viर久，


5


ठè фрévєбनıv

10
$\sigma a / s$. For $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \tau v \chi \epsilon \in$ ，in connexion with games，cf．N．1．10．€ủ8ógots ＇Glorious＇rather than＇glorifying，＇
 In short，in an adjective qualifying a sphere of action the causative phase of meaning is too clearly im－ plied to need special attention． Note five compounds of $\epsilon \dot{u}$－in ten verses．

2 б日́vยє．Cf．O．9． 51 v̋ $\delta a t o s$ $\sigma \theta \in \mathcal{v o s}$ ，of a flood，Frag．84， 10 $\nu t \phi \in \tau 0 \hat{u} \sigma \theta \in \dot{\prime} \nu 0 s$｜vitépфaтov，in a list of overwhelming calamities；so that in neither passage is the idea of ＇might＇absent．Here again the rendering＇abundance＇is unsatis－ factory in view of P．⿹勹． 1 ì $\pi$ 入ои̂тos $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho v \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta$＇s，when combined with $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{q}$ кaөap $\hat{q}$ ，cf．also I．6．2，3， Frag．207．Render＇potent wealth，＇ cf．O．6． 22 б $\theta \in \in \nu$ os $\dot{\eta} \mu$ ióv $\omega \nu$ ，＇strong mules．＇кaréXє九＇Keeps down，＇cf． Solon Frag． 4 ［13］． 7 б $\dot{\mu} \mu$ ou $\theta^{\prime} \eta^{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$－
 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta s \ddot{d}^{\lambda} \lambda \gamma \in \alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i \nu^{*} \mid$ oủ

 є̀ $\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma u \chi i \eta$ ，Theognis 321 єi ס̀̀ $\theta$ eòs

 фpartv Locative，cf．N．10． 28. alavฑ This epithet is applied，P． 1. 83 ，to кópos，the＇surfeit＇of hear－ ing excessive praise of another．In both places it means＇disgusting，＇ ＇sickening．＇For the present use of ко́pos cf．N．1．65，O．1． 56 кат $\alpha=$ $\pi \in ́ \psi a \iota \mid \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu$ ö őßov oủk è $\delta v \nu a ́ \sigma \theta \eta$ ，


10，note．The victor＇s kópos is parent of $v \beta \rho / s$ ，which is parent of other people＇s кópos at the victor and his praises．

3 єủגoylaıs Cf．N．11． 17 є̀v $\lambda o ́-$ रoıs $\dot{a} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma a \theta o i ̂ \sigma \iota \ldots a i \nu \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \theta a \iota . ~ \mu \epsilon-$
 21， 9.31, I．6．19．For the perfect cf．O．1．53，N．3．84，9．41，Dêm． Meidias 564 ad ．fin．тทขเкаиิта．．．őтє



4 нєүádal ápєтal＇Signal merits，＇such as success in games， proper use of wealth and modesty in prosperity．

5 ék $\sigma^{\prime} \hat{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{v}$ Note the emphatic position．$\mu \alpha \sigma^{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\omega}$ For the com－ parative ef．N．8．17．Not even piety and modesty can prevent great prosperity from being unstable；cf． even P．7．20．ómıఢоцє́v $\omega \nu$＇When folk revere thee＇；cf．P．8．43，1．26， v． 49 infra，note．$\pi \lambda a \gamma$ ias＇Fro－ ward．＇Cf．N．1． $64 \pi \lambda а \gamma^{\prime} \varphi$ ко́р $\varphi$ ．

6 oủX ó $\mu \hat{\omega}$ s $\pi \alpha$ vra＇Scarcely any．＇An exaggeration veiled by meiosis．For ó $\mu \omega \bar{s} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau a$ ，see L． and S．ó $\mu \hat{\omega} s . \quad \theta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Cf．P．7．21．



 $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \in i \quad \pi \alpha \rho a \mu \epsilon \in \nu o v \sigma^{\prime}$ al＇$\rho \in i$

 кро̀v ảvónбas xpóvov，P．5． 2 öтау тis $\ldots$ थútòv（ $\pi \lambda$ 入oûtov）ávárn｜$\pi$ o入úфi入ov غ̇лє́тav，Hês．W．and D． 324 mâ̂pov



3 ё $\sigma \tau \iota$ ठ̀̀ каì $\delta \iota \delta \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ dé $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$ Мє $\lambda i \sigma \sigma \omega$

 Sè коí入a $\lambda$ éovtos

20
＇Е $\pi . a^{\prime}$ ．


15 c ${ }^{\circ} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{a} \nu$ K $\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu v ̌ \mu o v$

 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \backslash \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a o \rho t a ̂ \nu$ тóvols．

7 äтotva Acc．of general agree－ ment，cf．I．7． 4 and O．7．16．Xpr̀ $\mu \varepsilon ́ v$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．Cf．Frag． $98 \pi \rho \in \pi \in \iota \delta^{\prime}$
 cf．also I．7．59， 60.

8 Xpท̀ $\delta \epsilon$ For Dr Kennedy＇s －peculiar idiom of Sophocles in sometimes repeating the same word with each（ $\mu \epsilon \nu, \delta \epsilon)^{\prime}$ cf．N．11．3，4， $6,7,10.27,28,87,88,6.10,11$ ， 1． 62,63, O． $13.14,16$, Р．9． 123 ， 125, I．4． $30,32,5.71$ ．Xapiтєб $\sigma เ \nu$ ＇Songs．＇Cf．I．1．6，7．16，P． 4. 275．For the epithet dyav．cf．N．
 ＇To exalt．＇The object is still $\tau \delta \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda \delta \nu_{\nu}$ Cf．O．12． 19 бтєфа⿱⺌兀б $\alpha$－
 jets．

9 кal $\delta \iota \delta u ́ \mu \omega \nu$＇Even twain．＇
10 тре́ষaı For inf．cf．O．1． 9 кє入aঠєî，v． 61 infra．
 10．42．$\delta \in \xi \operatorname{a\mu } \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} \omega$ The subject to т $\rho$＇́ $\psi a r$ is $\mu o i ̂ \rho a$ ，as the dative agree－ ing with M M $\lambda / \sigma \sigma \omega$ shows on com－ parison with iкouévous，O．1．10，for
which of．I．1．46，5．21．For the meaning＇win＇cf．N．2，4．$\quad$ ттєф $\alpha^{-}$ vous For the one victory in the pankration．For the plural cf．P． 10．26．тà $\delta$ é Cf．O．9．95．For the change of construction，here in－ volving a change of subject，ef． 0 ．


12 év Note the position．Oń－及av The Eponymous heroine stands for the city ；cf．O．6． 85.

13 кратє́فv Cf．O．9．112，N． 5． 5 for the tense．$\delta$＇＇For．＇There is a sort of hypallage in this sen－ tence，＇the prowess of his worthy kinsfolk，＇ov̉ катє $\lambda$ ．Pindar＇s fa－ vorite meiosis，cf．N．4．77，I． 7. 65 a．

16 For the dative cf．I．2．13， P．6． 17.

17 бúvvopol Mommsen on 0 ． 9.16 points out the rarity of two consecutive syllables in different words beginning with a single $\sigma$ ，so
 P．4．217，N．9．54，11．10，a sug－ gested instance P．1．37．Sıє́atel－
 äтрштои́ $\gamma \epsilon \mu a ̀ \nu ~ т а \hat{\imath} \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu \nu} \nu$.

30
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.




Xov Mss．$\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \sigma t i \chi o v$. Hartung $\pi \lambda o u ́-$ $\tau \psi \delta \iota^{\prime} \epsilon \not \sigma \chi \circ \nu \kappa a l . .$. Dissen and others take $\pi \lambda$ oúrou with $\delta \iota \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \chi o \nu$, com－ paring óà $\tau u ́ \chi \eta s$ тolâб $\delta^{\prime}$ léval，Soph． Oed．Rex 773，and similar phrases， but L．and S．（after Thiersch and Cookesley），s．v．oúvvouot，rightly takes the genitive with the adjec－ tive．Thus movors is a dative of mumner（not as Dissen，commodi， ＇they were wealthy［enough］for，＇ nor as Mezger，of accompanying circumstances）．The object $\pi$ गoûtov is got out of $\pi$ גov́tov．The phrase is imitated by Euripides，Andr． 1092
 júaia．Render＇they held a wealthy course．＇

18 aíwv＇Fate．＇Cf．N．2．8， and perhaps I．7．14．кu入เvס．Loca－ tive，＇as days roll on．＇Cf．I．7． 14
 Verg．den．9． 7 volvenda dies， 1. 269，Philistion（Meineke，Com．Ed． mi，p．1039）$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda c \nu$ रà $\rho$ ő $\psi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$
 xòs ó xpóvos кv入ivסєтal，11．2． 295 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \in \omega \nu \quad$ èvıavtós．$\quad \dot{\xi} \xi a ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \in \nu$ ＇Is wont to produce divers thorough （ $\bar{\epsilon}_{5}^{-}$）changes at divers times．＇Note the alliteration with $\lambda$ here and $v v$ ． 22，23．äт $\rho \omega \tau$ с Cf．N．11．10．$\gamma є$ $\mu a ́ v$＇Howbeit．＇＇Time and change and fate affect the gods；but，come what may，they are unhurt．$\pi a \hat{\delta} \delta \epsilon s$ $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{v}$ The schol．rightly interprets ＇the gods themselves，＇cf．v． 54 infra maí $\epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu{ }^{'} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda a ́ v \omega \nu$ ．For senti－ ment cf．P．10． $20 \mu \eta$ ो $\phi$ өуєраîs є́к
 єľ $\eta$｜$\alpha \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ кє́ap．

19 This verse used to begin I．4， which however is manifestly the same ode as the foregoing eighteen lines．But from the break in the continuity of thought and the simi－ larity of the ideas of the six verses $19-24$ to those immediately pre－ ceding I infer that eighteen verses are lost，and that the gap caused the division into two odes．The general sense of the lost passage may well have been，＇Mortal man cannot hope for the abiding welfare of gods．They are enough blest by precarious prosperity，which indeed may be made more lasting by vir－ tues such as those of the house whose praise I have to sing＇－in short，a variation on and develop－ ment of the theme of $v v .3--6$. ké $\lambda \in u$ Oos For phrase cf．Bacchyl．




 oâs reveâs．For metaphor cf．N． 6. 47，I．5． 22.

20 єv̉цaxavlav Cf．P．9．92 бıүа入òv á $\mu a \chi a \nu i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon ้ \rho \gamma \omega ~ \phi u \gamma \omega ̈ \nu . ~$ ＇Thou didst open up abundance of devices for pursuing in song（the theme of）the merits of thy kins． folk and thine own．＇＇I $\sigma \boldsymbol{\beta}$ ioss Locative，cf．N．5． 5 N $\epsilon \mu \in$ lors．

21 ípeтépas＇Of you and yours．＇ठью́кєเข Cf．Xen．Mem．

## + aî $\quad \mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu v \mu i ́ \delta a \iota ~ \theta a ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ a i ̀ i ̀$

 d̀ $\lambda \lambda o i ̂ o s ~ o \hat{v} p o s$

10
' $A \nu \tau$. $\beta^{\prime}$.




+ $\mu a \rho \tau \cup ́ \rho \iota a \phi \theta \imath \mu e ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \zeta \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \chi \not \alpha \not \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu$



22 Death alone has put a period to the prosperity of the Cleonymids. $\theta$ ádлovtes Note the echo from $v .6$, aं $\in i$ reproducing $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \chi$ рóvov. For $\delta \iota \in ́ \rho \chi$ оутац cf. v. 17 ס८ध́สтєıXov. The present tense includes the present generation.
$23 \beta$ lou És Two inferior wss. and Ed. Rom. vò $\beta$ lov, good uss. ßiov. Hermann read $\beta$ tótov, but ${ }_{\epsilon} \dot{\prime}$ is just as likely to have dropped as -ót-. Cf. I. 7.15. тé ${ }^{2}$ os Render 'span of life given to mortals,' or less literally 'span of mortal life.' For $\delta \iota \in ́ \rho \chi$. тé入. ef. Aesch. Prom.
 $\delta \iota \mu \epsilon \iota \psi \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ os. ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda$. ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$. Cf. P. 3. 104, O. $7.95, v .18$ supra.
 dash,' L. and S. on є̇raţas, Il. 2. 146; but 'rushing, sweeping over.' For $\epsilon \lambda a v \nu \in \varepsilon$ of the wind ef. Eur. Heracl. $430 \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \delta \theta \in \nu \pi \nu o a i \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \dot{-}$ $\theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ és $\pi$ óvтоע.

25 Tol $\mu \mathrm{èv} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ 'These indeed.' The case of the Cleonymids is an illustration of the vicissitude which is the portion of all men. The particle $\hat{\omega} \nu(o \hat{\nu} \nu)$ marks $a$ fresh step in the development of the subject, cf. O. 1. 111, P. 3.47, 82, while $\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu$
is taken up by $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha, v, 34$, cf. 0 . 9.5, N. 2. 20, I. 4.51, 7.57, P. 3. 82. I caunot accept Mr Bury's view that $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is answered by $\delta^{\prime} v, 36$. For this use of $\mu \grave{v} \nu$ oûv cf. Lysias pro

 $\nu u ̂ \nu$ ó', Jebb on Soph. Oed. $R$. 483, Oell. Col. 664. тıцáєvтєs 'As dignitaries.' $\lambda$ éyovtaı Cf. N. 2. 18.

26 They displayed both princely and civic virtues. $k \in \lambda \alpha \delta$. vußplos Not 'noisy insult,' L. and S., but 'loud-voiced, hectoring insolence.' Dissen compares O. 13. 10 " $\beta \rho \iota \nu$ $\theta \rho \alpha \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu v \theta o \nu$, which is rather 'unbridled as to the matter of speech' than 'blustering, high-toned.'
 the metaphor cf. I. 1. 64 ; for the witness of song cf. 0.4 .3 ; for $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho$. cf. O. 3. 10.

28 tє Note the Greek idiom of using a copulative particle where we use a disjunctive, 'or.' Cf. I. 5. 15.

29 árोध́тov For derivation cf. P. 3. 106, where for Hermann's ä $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ тоs Bergk (ed. 3) reads $\hat{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda$ ùs (quoting Solon Frag. 8 тiктєє тоє
 and three instances of $\tilde{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha$, one of $\hat{\eta} \mu a ́ \lambda a$ in Pindar. Ėréquavav
 b iттот

 ні́каıраи є́бтіал．



кaтà mâv тé̉os＇They attained with regard to every kind of per－ fection＇（or＇of dignity＇）．An ex－ aggeration no doubt，cf．P．10． 28. For télos cf．N．3．70．Dissen renders＂quoquoversum al finem usque，＂comparing＂катà $\pi$ ávra （gänzlich），＂so that Mezger＇s＂$\kappa$ ． $\pi . \quad \tau_{0}=\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тávтa（gänzlich）－ Dissen，＂is misleading．The exag－ geration is tempered by the use without a case expressed after it of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \nleftarrow a v i \omega$ ，which P．4． 92 with a genitive means＇aspire to，＇＇seek．＇ Mr Bury＇s＇amorous touches＇are due to exuberant fancy，like his ＇embraces＇v．41．ảvopéaus $\delta$＇，к．г．$\lambda$ ． Cf．O．3．43， $44 \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \pi \rho$ òs $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \alpha-$


 4．69，I．2．41．Here $\delta$＇$=$＇for，＇and a $\nu$ opéars is dative of manner．For the dat．$\sigma \tau$ d́入aloıv cf．P．9． 120.

31 Hartung థ̊v цךкє́ть цакротє́ $\rho$ ’ $\hat{\eta}_{\nu} \quad \sigma \pi$ ．à $\rho$ ．Christ（Mezger）$\tau \hat{a} \nu$
 infinitive cf．P．2，24，N．9．6．The imperative address is directed at men generally，not merely at Me－ lissos．Emendation is needless，as каl $=$＇verily．＇$\mu$ акротє́pav For the adjective with adverbial force cf． O．13． 17.

32 т＇＇Both＇；not＇and，＇Bury．

Ěє́v．＇They proved themselves thorough．＇

33 Fádov＇Found favor with．＇
34 di入入d．．．үáp Indicate an ellipse，＇But they have not escaped vicissitude，for，\＆c．＇Probably the great day of Plataea，B．c． 479 ，is meant．

35 vıфळ＇s то入є́poto For the kind of metaphor cf．I．4．49，50， 6．27，N．9．37，38．Contrast Sophokles＇more vague $\delta 0 \rho d s$ èv $\chi \epsilon \iota \omega \omega \nu$ ．
$36 v$ v̂v $\delta^{\prime}$ contrasted with $\dot{\alpha} \mu \notin \rho q$ ṫv $\mu \iota a ̣ ̂ v .34$ ．For adversative $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ cf．Soph．Ant．85，N．11． 48. $\pi \operatorname{motkí\lambda }^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \omega \nu \mu \eta \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ Generally taken with $\dot{\rho} \delta \delta o s$ ，or，at any rate，as a genitive of time with $\tilde{\omega} \tau \epsilon \chi \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ $a ̈ \nu \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，an order for which＂it would be hard to find a parallel．＂ Render，＇but now once more after （their）wintry gloom of changeful months（they blossom［ầ recalls the idea of $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v \tau \epsilon s, v .22]$ ），as the earth blossoms（every Apil） with red roses，by the counsels of the deities，＇For the suppression of the verb in the principal clause and its presence in the simile cf． O．1．2．The winter of sorrow（cf． I．6．39）probably lasted from Meta－ geitnion，O．75．2，to Munychion， O．75．3，i．e．from August to the next April．These months are

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} \gamma^{\prime} .
$$





 $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a \lambda$ $\lambda i ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$,

40

＇A $\nu \tau$ ．$\gamma$＇．

2 ヒٌ้ $\tau$ ’ ’A
decidedly more changeful than those of late spring，summer，and early autumn．Chrysippos makes ऍóoov metaphorical．Schol．Xoú－
 кai rò $\nu \quad \chi \in \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \nu a \quad \eta ้ \nu \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ．Is not the phrase＇many－colored months＇ too artificial for Greek，at least of such an early period？See Orelli on Auctumnus．．．varius，Hor．Od． 2．5．11．Very likely the coming фotvikéouviv determined the choice of $\pi \sigma \kappa i \lambda \omega \nu$ ，but still there is the contrast between metaphysical changefulness and chequer and the general，comparatively lasting glow of the natural spring．Paley thinks that by $\dot{\rho} \delta$ ous＂the scarlet ane－ mone is meant，which in Spring is said to fill the woods both in Asia Minor and the Peloponnesus．＂ They are alluded to P．4．64，in a simile not unlike that of this passage．

37 Sauцóvตv ßov入aîs Mezger compares $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ ёкать in the same place in the preceding strophe and oìv $\theta \epsilon \hat{\psi}(v .23)$ similarly placed to
 paraphrase of＇Evooi $\chi \theta \omega \nu$ ，＇Ev ${ }^{\prime}$ ool－ yalos，＇E\e\íxoluv．＇OүXךбтòv oi－ кéwv Cf．I．1．33．For ointécl cf． N． 7.65 ，but here the god＇s neigh－
bourhood to Thebes and patronage of the Isthmos may give the cause of the Theban＇s Isthmian victory．

38 रéфupav Cf．N．6． 40 тóvтоu $\tau \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \phi \cup \rho \rho^{\prime}$ áááuavtos．

40 Cf．N．1． 34 àp $\chi$ â̊ov ỏrpúv $\omega \nu$入órov．

41 ย่v v̋ $\pi v \omega$ Compare the Bibli－ cal＇fell on sleep．＇For the meta－ phor cf．I．6． 16 à入 $\lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ma入aıà $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \mid$ $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \ell$ xápts，and for $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ cf．P．1． 74
 $\pi \epsilon \in \sigma є \nu$＇Had fallen．＇dंvєуєเроиє́va ＇Now in her awakening her form shows fair and bright．＇Cf．Isaial 60.3 ，＇the brightness of thy rising，＇ Xршิтa $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi$ ．Cf．Bacchyl．17． 103 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{a} \gamma \lambda a-\mid \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \gamma v i ́ \omega \nu \sigma \epsilon \in-$ $\lambda \alpha s \mid \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi v \rho o ́ s$, of the Nêreids．

42 Cookesley compares Milton， Lycidas，$v .168$ ．＇$A \omega \sigma$－is one syl－ lable．

43 ä $\tau \in(\mathrm{kal})$＇She（Fame）who indeed．＇For кท̉v（Bergk after Me－ dicean ass．）Mommsen，after Vatican B，кєlv，Bückh ќдv．＇Aөa－ $v a ̂ v$ uss．wrongly＇$A \theta \eta \nu a ̂ \nu$ ．Momm－ sen reads＇$A \theta \alpha \nu$－except in the Epic form of the goddess＇name＇$A \theta \eta$－ vala，O．7．36，N．10．84．vเкâv For present cf．on N．5．5．The subject is áp $\alpha$ ．

44 Cf．N．9．The subject of

453 тоんáठิ $\epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ то́т＇єóvт $\omega \nu$ фú $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ảoı $\delta \hat{a} \nu$ ．
＋ov̉ס̀̀ тavaүvpí $\omega \nu$ छvvâv ar $\pi \epsilon \imath ̂ \chi o \nu$
 $\chi a i ̂ \rho o \nu " i \pi \pi \omega \nu$.
$6 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \iota \rho a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ خà ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \nu \omega \tau о \iota \sigma \iota \omega \pi a i ́$.
＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$ ．

50 ъ трі̀ тє́خоя аैкрод ікє́бӨaц．
c $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \kappa а i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \delta о \hat{\imath}$ ．
«ккаi кре́ $\sigma \sigma o \nu ’ ~ « ̀ \nu \delta \rho \omega ิ \nu ~ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~$
 фoíviov，тàv ob 千＇ía
$\ddot{\omega} \pi \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu$ and of $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \nu$ above is $\phi \dot{a} \mu a$ ． The family fame is first personi－ fled in a highly realistic manner and then confused with Fame in the abstract．
 Dr Kenyon quotes this verse to illustrate тoıôó Bacchyl．9． 37 ＂comparing a past achievement with a present one．＂т $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ тó $\tau^{\prime}$ cóv－ $\tau \omega \nu$＇From the bards of those days．＇do ot $\delta \alpha \hat{\nu}$ V．l．ảotồv．Text Triclinius．фú入入＇Metaphor from the $\phi \cup \lambda \lambda \circ \beta o \lambda l a$, cf．P．9．124，8． 57 ， N．4．17．For the idea of weaving crowns of song cf．O．6． 86.
46 navar．$\xi v v$ ．The four Great Games．

47 Пave入入ávєбб८ Cf．I．2． 38. ＇epis．For Middle cf．O，1．95，I．4．4．

48 ＇The silence of oblivion is （the portion）of those who make no essay，＇Cf．I．7．70．äүvшто So Mommsen，for once omitting to notice the $v . l . a ̈ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o($（Bergk）．Two good ass．give M．＇s reading ärv $\omega$－ tor，O．6．67．According to Comet， Novae Lectiones，p． 191 （ed．Leyden， 1858），＂A＂A $\omega \omega \sigma \tau 0$ sene Grace sig． nificat cum que intelligi non potest．＂ For sentiment cf．I．7． 70.
49 ＇But there is uncertainty
about fortune even when men are contending．＇One cannot say that Hermann＇s＂decorum que certant＂is wrong，for an ancient Greek would grasp the sense without feeling any ambiguity in the grammar，betas we have to analyse，it is perhaps best to choose the true participial use， unless better sense is given by the adjectival use．Cf．v． 5 supra． For certain participial use cf．P． 8． 43 ஸ̂ठे $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon ~ \mu \alpha \rho \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ ．

50 té dos äкpov Cf．N．6． 24. The verse means＇until one has actually secured the prize．＇Here the first place，highest achievement， is meant，cf．Theognis 594，but in P．9． 118 the phrase means＇first prize．＇

51 тิ̂v $\tau \epsilon$ kail $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ Partitive genitive，＇bad as well as good，＇cf． P．7．22；of mere variety，O．2． 53 ， N．1．30，Dem． 560 mid．，ri oj
 єं $\lambda a ́ \mu \beta a \nu \in \delta i \kappa \eta \nu \pi a \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{v}$ ，＇Theognis 398 тò̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ ad $\gamma \alpha 0 \grave{\nu} \nu \tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{\alpha} \nu$ Х $\rho \grave{\eta}$ тá $\tau \epsilon$ кal тà фé $\rho \in \iota$ ．

53 téxva Here＇guile，＇＇sharp practices，＇see Untrod．There is a vol．Tध́ $\chi$ vac，but it is very awkward for tux to wrestle by agents． Bacchylides，11． 35 f．，also suggests





unfairness in connection with the Great Games．катана́pчaıба＇Is wont to get a good（кaza）hold of and throw．＇Metaphor from wrest－ ling．l＇ote＇Surely ye know of the valiant heart（ $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \kappa \alpha ́ \nu$ ）of slaughterous Aias，for his having transfixed which on his own sword he lays the blame on，＇\＆c．фoiviov For the unusual termination，cf．N． 2. 24．óđía év vvkt＇About dawn，＇ when，according to the Schol．，the Aethiopis represents him as having slain himself．
$54 \pi \epsilon \rho$ C Cf．N．8．23．$\mu, \mu \boldsymbol{\phi} \alpha^{\nu}$ є́＇モєь Copied by Sophocles dj． 180.



 the subject ground for complaint，＇ is not an analogous phrase， while in the passages cited by Bergk，Eur．Heracl． $974 \pi$ по $\lambda \lambda \eta_{\eta} \nu$
 Isaeos，Or．11．39，the phrase is used in the passive sense abso－ lutely：so that there seems to be no support for the passive sense of $\mu о \mu \phi \dot{a} \nu$ Eौ $\chi \in \epsilon$ if a dative follow；we should expect ímò $\pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu$（Cobet， Novae Lect．p．500），though Bergk＇s
 construction．The imperfect how－ ever seems unsuitable，and an alteration，in the face of a possible interpretation of the xs．reading， is objectionable．With the hero＇s death as the result of his loss of the highest honors the poet is here concerned，but not at all with contemporary judgments on the
suicide．Myexplanation gives point to the $\psi^{3}$ and to the tense of ${ }^{2} \chi \epsilon$ ． Mr Bury＇s＇the blood he shed was drops of blame＇is intensely ingeni－ ous，but inadmissible．The present tense is required，for the disgrace of the enemies of Aias is as un－ dying as his fame．$\quad \pi a i \delta$ ．＇Eג入． v． 18 supra．

55 ， 8 ＇＇Right through the world．＇As certain fanciful critics seem to object to this use of＇right，＇ I may as well quote＇right against Jericho＇for their benefit．For special mention of Aias in the lliad of．N．2．14，and add of course Il．7．161－313，where，in the absence of Achilles，the nine champions draw lots for the single combat with Hektor，and $\epsilon \kappa \delta^{\prime}$
 aútol，｜Aľavtos－and 11．2．768， 769 quoted on N．7．27．But still it is probable that the poet had especi－ ally in mind his anthorities for the latter part of the story of Aias．Cf． notes on N．7．21，8． 30.

56 óp日ஸ́rats＇Exalted and，＇cf． N．1．15．kãà ṕáßסov Hês．Theog．

 $\sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \rho o \nu$ ．This laurel wand seems to have marked the singer as the suppliant and minister of Apollo and the Muses．Prof．Jebb，how－ ever，Journ．of Hellen．Stud．June $188 \%$ ，p．15，renders＂＇by the wand of his lays divine＇where кат $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \alpha \beta$ ． $\delta_{o \nu}=\kappa a \tau \dot{c}$ eapádoolv，the branch being the symbol of tradition．＂

57 doimoîs dं $\theta$ úptเv＇For after－ coming bards to celebrate．＇For
＋тov̂to $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ć $\theta$ ávatov ф $\omega \nu a ̂ \epsilon \nu$ ép $\tau \epsilon \iota$ ，
 то́ขтоข Вє́ßакєข


${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$.

 ${ }^{\nu} \mu \nu \omega \nu$

 ＋$\theta v \mu \grave{\nu}$ є่ $\rho \iota \beta \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \hat{a} \nu$ Өทрผ̂v $\lambda \epsilon о ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$
 คó $\mu \beta$ ov ${ }^{\circ} \sigma \chi \in \iota$ ．
inf．cf．Goodw．1I．\＆T．§ 770．For
 Bacchyl．13． 59 єi $\pi \iota \chi \omega \rho i i^{\nu}$ ă $\theta u \rho \sigma \iota \nu$


58 áӨávatov Cf．Frag． 98 ［86］
 haps best rendered＇it lasts on ever－ living，never voiceless，＇or＇it lives on in vocal immortality．＇Jebb on Soph．Oed．IR． 786 seems to favor ＇spreads，＇＇creeps abroad，＇but in Pindar poetry generally travels like light or on wings，and my render－ ing is supported by $0,13.105 \epsilon i$
 the idea of＇spreading＇is given in the next line．

59 єi．．．Fefrn Cf．O．6．11，note． kal $\pi$ а́үк．＇And so．＇

60 For metaphor cf，O．13．36， P．8．96．Mezger notes $\epsilon \cup \mathcal{K} \lambda \hat{\lambda} \omega \nu$ ${ }_{5}^{[ } \rho \gamma \omega v, v v .7,41$.

61 ä廿al For inf．cf．0．1．9， $v .10$ supra（v．11，ôє૬̆ацє́v $\varphi$ ，note）． For the metaphor cf．O．9． 21, ，$\gamma \omega$ $\delta \epsilon ́ ~ \tau o l ~ \phi i \lambda a \nu \pi \delta \delta \lambda \iota \nu \mid \mu a \lambda \epsilon \rho a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota ф \lambda \epsilon ́-$ $\gamma \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} o \iota \delta a \hat{\imath}, \mid \ldots \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \lambda i a \nu \pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \psi \omega \ldots$ ； taútav，P．5．42，N．6．39，I．6． 23. keivov＇Such as Homer kindled for Aias．＇Cf．O．6． 7 кєîvos ảvク่р． N． 9.42 тоûto．．．申é $\gamma \gamma$ os．
$62 \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ v \omega \mu^{\prime}$ Cf．v． $4 \overline{5}$ supra，
 not shrink into a wreath，thanks to $\epsilon \pi \pi \dot{a} \xi$ tov．For the metaphor cf． Frag． 160 ن́фаì $\omega$＇$\AA \mu \nu \theta a 0 \nu$ ídaus $\pi<\iota-$ кỉдо ă $\nu o ̂ \eta \mu \alpha$.

64 Ouдò Cf．Aesch．Sept．c．


 obviously from the $-\hat{a} \nu$ of the word before，rather than from a variant to $\mu \hat{\alpha}, v .63$ ，as Bergk holds．Böckh and Dissen read $\theta \eta \rho \hat{q}$ after a Schol．，which however has oikeios $\ddot{\omega} \nu$ for $\epsilon i \kappa \omega \dot{s}$ or whatever was read in its place．Bergk and Mommsen give $\theta \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ as the noun in apposition with $\lambda \in o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ，the former quoting Eur．Herc．Fur． $465 \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon$ өทрòs $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \epsilon \in \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \hat{\omega}$ кápa｜入є́ovtos，and Epimenidês ap． Elian，Hist．Nat． 12.7 Ө̂̀pa 入є́oцтa．
$65 \mu \eta \bar{\tau} เ \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha^{\lambda} \lambda \omega \pi \eta \eta \xi$ For the accu－ sative cf．．P．5． 104 tápoos סè Tavú－
 that laudable cunning is heretacitly contrasted with the＇guile＇of $v .53$ ． alєtoû pórßov＇The circling eagle．＇ ávamıtvapéva＇By sprawling on his back．＇This trick of the fox was not，as Dissen suggests，shamining to be dead，but fighting on its back as

'Е $\pi . \delta^{\prime}$.
a oủ $\begin{aligned} & \text { à } \rho \text { фúбıv ' } \Omega a \rho \iota \omega \nu \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ e ́ n ~ \\ & a \chi \epsilon \nu\end{aligned}$
 85
c $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̀ к \mu a ̂ ~ \beta a \rho v ́ s . ~$

## 

 $\pi \tau o \varsigma, \pi \rho o \sigma \pi a \lambda a i ́ \sigma \omega \nu \grave{\eta}^{\lambda} \lambda \theta^{\prime}$ àv̀̀ $\rho$

90


a Schol. says-ímtia $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \pi o \sigma i v ~ a ̉ \mu v ́-~$
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma o v \sigma a-a n d$ again that Melissos was кu入ıбтıкós. What Eusebius (quoted by Dissen from Olearius on Philostratos, p. 818) calls $\tau \delta \nu$
 the $\dot{v} \pi \tau \iota a \sigma \mu \dot{s}$, a variety of the ${ }^{2} \nu a-$ $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu о \pi \alpha$ 人 $\eta$, see Dict. of Antiq., s.v. pancratium.
$66 \pi \hat{a} v$ 'tp $\rho o v \tau$ ' 'By any means,' cf. $\pi \alpha \hat{\alpha}$, $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a \quad \pi 0 t \epsilon i ̂ \nu$. For sentiment cf. P. 2. 84. Verg. Aen. 2. 390 Dolus an virtus quis in hoste requirat? Oed. R. 145, Xenoph. Hellen. 74. 12, Plato, Apol. 39 A. For sentiment ef Aen. 5. 390.
 є́рбоута $\mu \alpha u \rho$. But in all three instances riss. give ámavp. Hêsiod gives $\mu \alpha v \rho$. IV. and D, 323 คंєia $\delta \epsilon ́$
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon{ }^{2} \rho t \hat{\omega}$.

67 фúgar 'Physique,' cf. N. 6. 5. Orion was handsome as well as gigantic.

68 óvorós For óvootós cf. $\theta$ av$\mu a \tau \delta s$, O. 1. 28, àтeipatos, O.6.54.

69 For inf. cf. P. 6. 53, I. 2. 37, O. 7. 26. Take $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ î litevally, 'to fall with,' for a struggle on the ground in the pankration. ákuqu Dissen renders 'robore.' Better 'at the crisis of the struggle.' Schol. катà тoùs á $\gamma \hat{\nu} v a s$. Christ
defends the ass. aix $\mu \hat{̣}$ by Aesch. Ag. 483, Choeph. 630, but here and Prom. Vinct. 405 ai $\chi \mu \hat{a}(=$ 'temper') has an adjective with it. Mss. also give aix $\mu \hat{q}$ for $\alpha_{\kappa} \mu \hat{q}$ wrongly N. 6. 54, 10. 60 .

70 Though insignificant to look at, yet he may be compared to glorious Hêrakles. The кaito seems to answer an imaginary disparager of the victor's personal appearance. 3ss. give kal tol ( $\tau \mathrm{ol}$ ) $\pi о \tau$. Of course $\pi \dot{\sigma} \tau^{\prime}$ is for $\pi о т i$. Note that $\pi \delta \tau^{\prime}$ ' $A \nu \tau$. $\delta \delta \mu$. go with the end of the next verse.

71 Bpaxús 'Short,' relatively to Antaeos and Orion and such giants, and to his own breadth and strength. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \pi a \lambda a / \sigma \omega v$ The object aúr $\hat{\omega}$ is supplied from 'Avtaiou.

72 Li $\beta$ v́av For acc. after $\bar{\eta} \lambda-$ $\theta \in \nu$ cf. P. 4. $52,118,134$. Antaeos was the mythical king of Irasa near Lake Tritônis, who used to wrestle with and kill strangers. In Eusebius the story of his gaining strength from contact with his mother earth is interpreted of his skill in the above-mentioned mode of struggling on the ground. kpa$v$ los The frieze was anciently adorned with skulls of animals, whence arose the sculptures on the metopes. See Eur. Bacch. 1212, aipé $\sigma \omega$ $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \mid \pi \eta \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho \partial{ }^{2} s$ olkous



 " $\mathrm{H} \beta a \nu ~ \tau ’ ~ o ̀ т v i \epsilon, ~, ~$

' $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau . \epsilon^{\prime}$.



 Verg. Aen. 9. 406-8. Dissen tells us that Scholia on Pindar 0. 11. 19, 1. 114 say that Kyknos and Oenomaos each meant to use the skulls of their human victims to build a temple to Ares their father. Note the omission of the pronoun and the rather rare construction of a participle after a verb of hindering. L. and S. render épé申ovтa 'wreathing with garlands,' but it means 'decorating the roof of.' Hermann suggested $\Pi$ обєı $\delta \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ós $\sigma \phi^{\prime} \varepsilon^{2} \rho \in \in \tau \tau \circ \tau a$, the syllables corresponding to $\epsilon \in \epsilon \phi$ - elsewhere, being each one long syllable. Perhaps є́p'́фєtv $\mu l \nu$ should be read. (For omission of $\mu$ in cf. Eur. Orest. 263, $\left.\sigma \chi \eta ์ \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \pi \eta \delta \bar{a} \nu \quad \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \hat{\eta} \pi \eta \delta \eta_{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau a.\right)$

74 mo入ıâs I think 'wan,' rather than 'white with foam.' Cf. O. 1. 71. This recalls Theognis, $10 \beta \alpha \theta \dot{v} \nu$ $\pi$ óvтор $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ òs $\pi 0 \lambda \stackrel{\imath}{s}$. The $\dot{\alpha} \lambda, \pi o \lambda$. is Epic. Oévap 'The hollow bed,' here of course especially the shores and shoals. Cf. N. 3. 24.

75 vautidlalot Dat. commodi, abstract for concrete, vauriגoos. $\pi<\rho \theta \mu$ óv. Not 'the sea,' L. and S. after Dissen; but 'the passage F. 11 .
thereof.' $\dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\rho} \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha$ เs Dissen quotes a fragment of Sophokles from the Schol. before the Isthmia, os mapактіал: $\sigma \tau \epsilon і \chi \omega \nu$ á $\nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \sigma \alpha$ кע $\omega \delta \dot{\alpha}$ $\lambda \omega \nu$ óosóv, and Eur. Herc. Fur. 20, 817.
 of a supreme happiness' (Leaf). Cf. Bacchyl, 3. $92{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$, $\sigma \dot{u} \delta^{\prime}$ ó $\lambda \beta o u \mid$ $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \delta \epsilon i \xi a o$ $\theta \nu a \tau 0 i ̂ s \mid \alpha ̆ \nu \theta \epsilon \alpha$.

77 тєтlцaтal An echo of $\tau \in \tau$ $\mu a \kappa \epsilon \nu, v .55$ supra. For the theme cf. the end of N. 1.

79 v̈rtep $\theta \in \nu$ The funeral sacrifices to the sons of Hêrakles (by Megara daughter of Kreon of Thebes), whom the hero slew in a heaven-sent frenzy, were celebrated on rising ground outside the gates of Elektra on the road to Plataea.

80 vé́Spara Mommsen always prints $\delta \mu \eta \tau-$, which he defends unsuccessfully on O.3. 7 against a great preponderance of ss. authority. The last part of the compound is almost quiescent, or means 'made,' 'caused,' as in $\theta \in \delta \delta \mu a \tau o \nu$ xpéos, O. 3. 7, Frag. 159. So a Schol. $\vec{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu \in \grave{\rho} \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ N $\epsilon \mu \varepsilon a$ (rend $\nu \in ́ a$ corrupted through duplication of $\nu \epsilon) \nu \epsilon o ́ \delta \mu a \tau a$. Mezger assumes enallage, 'garlands for
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＋tov̀s Meyápa тéкє for Kpeovtis vioús．
 $\pi a \nu \nu v \chi i \zeta \epsilon \ell$ ，

110

＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \epsilon^{\prime}$ ．

115

с ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \theta a \quad \lambda є \cup \kappa \omega \theta \epsilon i \varsigma \kappa \alpha ́ \rho a$
a $\mu$ úpтots ő ó à $\nu \eta ̀ \rho$ $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o ́ a \nu$


> тท̂роs оiакобтро́фои
newly built altars，＇but the altars were probably permanent，not like the $\delta$ aita provided fresh every year． $\beta \omega \mu \hat{\nu}$ ．We should say＇for the altars．＇The plur．$\sigma \tau \in ф \alpha \nu \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ could not have the force of a circle． av̌go $\mu \in \nu \mid \xi \in \pi v \rho a$＇We sacrifice vic－ tims．＇Cf．Eur．Hippol． 537 ßoútav


81 Xa入kоарáv This Piudaric form is distinct from $\chi a \lambda \kappa \eta \dot{\rho} \eta s$ ，cf． $\phi \rho \in \nu$ oápaıs Bacchyl．17．118．Is it
 10 ）＝＇mighty in（or＇with＇）bronze，＇ cf．Lat．＇vir＇？Gen．abs．＇since the eight warrior sons（vioús taken with the relative clause）suffered death．＇

82 тéxє Fol MSS．oi тtкє．
83 A Schol．says ${ }^{*} \theta$ os $\pi \rho \partial{ }^{\circ}$
 àvato入às roîs $\theta$ cois．The Schol，on Apoll．Rhod．1． 587 says the same of ol катоьхb $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ and Oủpavifal． （Dissen．）тỗ $\sigma$＇In their honor．＇ av̉yâv Gen．after $\delta v \theta \mu \alpha \hat{\imath} \sigma \nu$. Se－ veral times aúyal stands for＇light．＇ Aesch．seems to have adopted the phrase，Ag．1123，ßiou סúvtos aủ－ rais．

84 入aктi豸oเซa Has the meta－
phor a reference to the kicking up of the pancratiast when struggling on his back？

85 ठєúтєроข વ̂นap For this some－ what unusual accusative cf．I． 5. 46，Aesch．Eum． 10 ëəvov ஸ̈pà oúס̄єvòs коเvク̀̀ $\theta \varepsilon \omega ิ \nu$ ，Eur．Bacch． 723 ai $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \eta \nu \mid \ddot{\omega} \rho \alpha \nu$ є̇кi－ vouv Өúpбov és $\beta$ ккхєú $\mu a \tau a$ ，Soph． Ocd．R． 1138 and Jebb＇s note． The notion of＇on＇or＇at＇is joined to that of＇during．＇$\tau \epsilon \rho \rho \mu^{\text {＇}}$ dé $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$ mss．$\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \theta \lambda \omega \nu$ т $\epsilon \rho \mu a$ ，text Schmid． тє́pua＇The end consisting of annual games．＇The＂periphras－ tic＂＂pleonastic＂use of $\tau \epsilon \in p \mu a$ and $\tau \epsilon$＇रos is an $\epsilon l \delta \omega \lambda^{\prime}$ ．The idea of ＇end，＇＇limit，＇＇consummation，＇is indicated in all the alleged cases．

87 Myrtle was sacred（not ex－ clusively）to the dead．Cf．Eur． El．323，Alc． 172 ，but I．7． 67.

89 àveфávaro＇Caused a re－ turn to be made of，＇cf．N．6． 26. кal $\pi \alpha(\delta \omega \nu$ rss．do not give кal but ral $\delta \omega \nu$（ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu)$ tpirav．Böckh $\pi \alpha l \delta \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \rho i \tau a \nu$ ．The construction $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu$ viкav is exactly paralleled by $\kappa \hat{v} \delta o s \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, O．9． 88.
 $\xi о \mu a \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu \grave{a} \nu$ є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \zeta \omega \nu \chi$ Хúpıv．
$90 \pi \epsilon \pi \iota \theta$ ต́v Hartung $\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \theta$ cos． Hermann míavvos．mo入úßou入＇＇O $\rho$－ $\sigma \epsilon \in \alpha$ बùv $\sigma 0 l$ ot $\nu t \nu$ ．Cf．P．3．28， note．The meaning＇obeying，＇ ＇guided by，＇is clearly needed．There is not sufficient evidence to pro－ nounce upon the isolated intransi－ tive use of the form．$\delta$ et＇Ac－ cordingly，＇cf．I．6．23．Orseas was his trainer．Trainers are celebra－ ted at the end also of N．4． 6. $\kappa \omega \mu a ́ \xi \circ \mu a \iota$ Causative middle；＇I
will cause the kômos to celebrate．＇ Cf．P．9．89．Don．with one good
 future cf．P．11．10，N．9． 1. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \sigma \tau a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ So Schol．Vet．The best ms．aंmoбтá乡 $\omega \nu$ and the other old sss．є̇ா८எтоХás＇sv．For meta－ phot cf．P．5． $94,8.57$, I．5．21， O．11．99．Xápıv＇Song．＇Cf． O．11． 93 Tiv $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} v \in \pi \eta$＇s te $\lambda u ́ p a$ $\gamma \lambda \cup \kappa \cup ́ s \tau^{\prime}$ aủ\òs ảvaráa $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ Хápıv，78， v． 8 supra，Frag．53． 2.

## ISTHMIA IV. [V.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF PHYLAKIDAS OF AEGINA IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Phylakidas of Aegina, youngest (I. 5. 6) son of Lampon, was hrother to Pythear, for whom N. 5 wits composed. Phylakidas had won an Isthmian victory, celehrated in I. $\overline{5}$, before the occasion of this ode (I. 5. --7), which was soon after the battle of Salamis, ic. $48-50$, i.e. in the next Isthmian grames, B.c. 478 , 01. 75. 3. The ode was performed in Aegina, according to Dissen 'undoubtedly' at Lampon's house; but, as Theia was clearly worshipped in Aegina as a patroness of games, the ode may have been sung at a family gathering before a shrine of that goddess.

There are several reminisceuces of the earlier ode, I. 5. Exact


 is no warrant for assuming that Pindar meant to offer consolation for a defeat at Olympia.

The introduction of the poen ends with the 4 th verse of $\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$; the centre, devoted to heroes, especially those of Aeginia, extends to the third verse of $\sigma \tau \rho \cdot \gamma^{\prime}$.

The compounds which seen to have heen coined for this ode are


The mode is Dorian; the metres are dactylo-epitritic, represented as in N. 1.

L'isymmetrical. Eight verses are of 5 feet, seven being similar.

## Strophe. <br> Epode.

1. B.A. 1. B.A.
2. $C$. $\mid$-u৩ $\mid-\wedge=$ Epode 5.
3. $C^{\prime}$.
4. B.A. = Epode 6.
5. B. $A^{\prime}$.
6. $A^{\prime}$.
7. B.A.
8. C.B. $|-\cup \smile|$-.C.
9. $B^{\prime} \cdot|-\cup \cup|-\wedge$
10. C. $|-\cup \cup|-\wedge$
11. B.A.
12. B.A'.
13.     - ᄂ - A. $B^{\prime}$.
14. A.B.

If $c i, 1,2$ be supposed equal to $\therefore 6$, by scamning $c, 1$ B.a1'. | L, the strophe is antithetic and mesudic. But the presumption is that the first rerse is really as well as apparently the same in metre as ขv. 3, 5 and Ep, 1, 6.

The epode might be regarded as consisting of two periods, I., vv. 1-4, palinodic, II., vv. 5-9, antithetic with epode.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-10. Invocation of Theia, bestower of wealth and victory.
11. For prowess gains distinction by aid of deities.

12, 13. Well-being and good fame are the two things needful to give happiness to the wealthy.
14, 15. Be content with participation in these blessings.
16. Mortal aims become mortal men.

17-19. Mention of victories of Phylakidas and Pytheas.
19-22. The occasion, having lorought him (in spirit) to Aegina, demands celebration of the Aeakidae.
22-25. For since the island is devoted to noble deeds the meed of laudatory song must not be grudged.
26-28. Her warriors have been sung of for countless ages.
28-35. Different states revere different hernes-Aesina reveres Aeakos and his seed,

35-38. Who twice took Troy.
34-42. Who performed the mightiest deenls (in the second war"?
43, 44. Achilles of Aegina.
$4+45$. The island has long leen an example of lofty virtues.
4(j-50). For instance, Acminctan sailors won the lattle of Salamis.
51-53. But enough, Zeus sends vicissitudes.
53,54 . Athletic victories tor lowe to be celehrated in song.
$54-58$. Praise of the family of Kleonikos for persererance in the labors and expenses demanded by athletics.
59-61. ('redit is given to Pytheas for his brother's tighting.
62,63 . The poet sends the ode with a wreath.

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime}
$$

##  2 бє́о ғє́кать каі̀ $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta ̂ ~ \nu о ́ \mu \iota \sigma a \nu ~$ <br>  + каi үàp є́pı乡ópєvaı

1 Ocia A Titanid (Hês. Theog. 126-136), mother of Hêlios, Selênê, and Eos (ib. 371-374) by Hyperion. Welcker, quoted by Böckh, identifies her with a Lemnian goddess Chrysê depicted on a vase found in Magna Graecia, while Böckh takes her for the Euryphaëssa of the Homeric hymn to Hêlios. Pindar's $\tau \iota \mu \alpha$ of Theia are given by Hêsiod to Hekatê, Theog. 409-443. Note that here Theia is connected with $\chi$ purós and viкa, while we have хриб́є́a Niка, I. 2. 26.

For $\pi 0 \lambda v \omega \dot{v} v \mu \epsilon$ cf. Aesch. Prom. 210 Гaĩa, $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ b̀vo $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ норфウ̀ $\mu i a:$ so, $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \omega \dot{\nu} v \mu \epsilon$ of Dionysos, Soph. dntig. 1115; and of Aphroditê, Soph. Frag. 856 ท̈rou Kúmpıs



2 бє́o Fékatı دiss. $\sigma \in$ ( $\sigma 0 \hat{\text { u }}) \gamma^{\prime}$ E'кать. The Scholl, ignore the $\gamma^{\prime}$. For the digamma of ftкatı cf. O. 14. 18. Cf. $\Delta$ ı̀s ह̈к. v. 29 infra. $\mu \in \gamma a \sigma \theta \in v \hat{\eta}$ Cf. I. 3. 2. The
order shows that the adjective is an extension of the predicate'men even ( $k a i$ ) esteem gold as potent....' Pindar is explaining why men actually go so far as to esteem gold as more potent than all besides. vó $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha v$ Gnomic aorist.

3 Xpuซóv Schol. єє Өtías каi




 'Aфробiтn $\chi a \lambda \kappa$ б́s. But Frag. 207 we find $\Delta$ tòs $\pi$ aîs o $\quad$ xpuoós.

4 kal yáp Elliptical like $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ráp. 'Aye and I can say more for....' The poet goes on to ascribe the speed of the swift and the strength of the strong to Theia. Éprónevar For the Niddle of. I. 3. 47. The competition of ships in speed was for commercial objects, like the present competition of tea ships. Vergil's ship-race, den. 5, is an anachronism.

#  <br> 5 <br>   

' $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau . a^{\prime}$.<br><br><br><br>$+\hat{\eta} \tau a \chi \nu \tau \hat{a} \tau \iota \pi o \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$.<br><br><br>$\sigma \tau o \nu \in u ̉ a \nu \theta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \sigma u ̀ \nu ~ o ้ \lambda \beta \omega$,<br>' $\mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$.

a $\epsilon$ l' $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon \bar{u} \pi a ́ \sigma \chi \omega \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \lambda o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \kappa o v ́ \sigma \eta . ~$

5 í $\phi$ ' So Bergk for $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, from the Schol. The old Medicean ass. omits the preposition. Obviously the horses are the costly teams of racing chariots. Dissen thinks that the poet alludes to mythical war-chariots, and quotes I. 5. 19
 waggons full of produce and merchandise are meant, which is very improbable. Mr Bury suggests that Punic war-chariots are in. tended. Why not also Persian? It is very unlikely that Pindar would mention an exclusively foreign method of warfare in this context, and he could hardly say that within Hellenic experience in the fifth century b.c. war-chariots
 classification is natural. Sea vehicles are coupled with land ve-
 might have been classed with the competitors who contended solely in the might or speed of their own limbs.

6 тt $\alpha^{2} \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}$ 'Through thy power,' 'prerogative,' rather than tuo beneficio (Dissen), which is 'through the exercise of thy prerogative'-
a different form of expression though the thought is the same. Cf. P. 4. 51 $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \tau \mu \hat{q} \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$, 'by-the-aid-of divine power,' 260 бं̀v $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ тıuais (distributive), lit. 'by-the-aidof the several powers of divers deities.'

8 '̇тра $\xi \in \nu$ 'earn.' Active for middle, cf. P. 2. 40, O. and P. p. xxviii. In N. 9. 3 the sense of $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ is different. $\alpha \theta \rho$. 'In abundance.' Properly 'without intermission,' cf. I. 1. 28.

11 крivetal Cf. N. 4. 1, 7. 7; 'becomes distinguished.'

12 ä $\lambda \pi \nu$ เбтov Old دiss. $\alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \nu$. Hartung proposes toниаi$\nu \in \nu$ ßlo ${ }^{\text {äd }} \lambda$ yt $\sigma \tau o \nu$, as one Schol. has
 this oik $p \rho \nu$ is clearly a rendering of ${ }^{2} \nu \in \bar{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau o v$. The plural $\pi о \iota \mu a i-$ роעt is to be expected because the two ideas are represented individually, cf. Kühner, who quotes Xen. Anab. 1. 4. 4 ท̊j $\sigma a \nu$ ò tâ̂ta ò́vo $\tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$ єv̉aveєi Causative. Here jwâs á $\omega$ ovo is the choicest of the $\ddot{\alpha}_{\alpha} \nu \theta \eta$ produced by ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \beta$ os. Cf. Bacchyl. 3. 92 quoted on I. 3. 76.

13 єủ $\pi \dot{a} \sigma x \omega \nu$ Cf. P. 1. 99 tò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi a \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{\nu} \pi \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \tau 0 \nu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \theta \lambda \omega \nu$. $\epsilon \hat{\vartheta} \hat{\delta} \delta^{\prime}$


a $\theta$ vãà $\theta \nu a \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho є ́ \pi \epsilon t . ~$ 20
e $\tau \grave{\nu} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \nu$＇I $\sigma \theta \mu \hat{\omega}$ ठıтло́a $\theta a ́ \lambda \lambda о \iota \sigma$＇ủ $\rho \epsilon \tau a ́$,

$g$ ПvӨє́a тє таүкратіои．то̀ $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \mu o ̀ v$.
h оủk äтєр Аіакıठâv кє́ap vt $\mu \nu \omega \nu$ үєv́єта．
i $\sigma \grave{\nu}$ Xápıбıv $\delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\mu о \lambda o \nu ~ \Lambda a ́ \mu \pi \omega \nu o s ~ v i o i ̂ s ~$
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta$.



 N．1．32．This＇enjoyment＇in－ cludes of course good health，of which Theognis says $\lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau 0 \nu \quad \delta$, íyıaiveıv，and which Metrodôros made the summum bonum．Cf．O．


 $\lambda o ́ \gamma$ ．є̇б入．ark．See L．and S ． ג̇кои́ш（III，2）．

14 For sentiment of．O．5．23， quoted above，and P．3． $61 \mu \eta$ ，ai $\lambda a$ $\psi u \chi a ́, \beta i o v ~ a ं \theta a ́ v a \tau o \nu ~ \mid ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon v ̂ \delta \epsilon . ~$

15 єі．．．є́фікоьто For constr． cf．P．S．13．

16 For sentiment of．Soph． Frag．5，Eur．Bach．394，P．3．อั9， 60．Frag． 39 ［33］．

17 Өá入入oเテ＇Cf．P．9． 8 note． Here＇luxuriant＇because watered by the dews of song．For meta－ phr cf．N．8． 40 ，I．5． $63,64$. ajpetá Cf．N．9．54，Join with таукратіог．

18 кєíтal Cf．O，13． 36 a ar $\lambda$ 人 $\pi о$ ô̂̀ áváкєєтаи．Nєцє́a Dative for locative，cf．N．10．33．Note that $\delta i \pi \lambda b a$ does not affect this clause，except so far as one victory a－piece is a two－fold victory．The Nemean victory of Phylakidas is
celebrated I．5．3，see the Introd． to that ode．
 Dissen quotes Aesch．Suppl． 480 （ 474 P．）for the brachyology，$\sigma \dot{v}$ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$ үєрatè $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \bar{\delta} \pi a \rho \theta \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$｜
 supply aủzàs ar $\gamma \epsilon$ before $\kappa$ 入áôous is easier than Paley＇s explanation． таүкратiou Genitive of origin， cause，cf．I．6． 22 עікау таүкратіои， I．7． 5 db $\epsilon \theta \lambda \omega \nu$ кра́тоs．

20 oủk ätєp＇Full of the
 ＇Is now sipping the sweets of．＇Cf． N．6．25，I．1． 21.

21 бìv Xápıбเv Cf．P．6．2， 9．3，N．4．7，10．1．Paley＇s＇with my poems＇is not so good．＇є́ro－ Nov Idiomatic aorist of the rim－ mediate past．Whether Pindar was present at the recitation in person is uncertain，as távó＇does not imply the poet＇s presence，cf． P．9．91，O．5．20，8．25．Cf．also O．7．13，Frag．53．11．The last verse of this ode makes it probable that he was not present．viois Dat．commodi．

22 єüvouov Because Doric （Merger），though Eunomia is also connected by Pindar with Locrian Opus，0．9．16．For the virtues of Aegina cf．O．8．21－30，Frag． 1.





 35
＇ $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau$ ．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．
I $\mu v \rho i ́ o \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ \nu o \nu . ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ т \alpha \nu ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \sigma o ф \iota \sigma \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~$
2 $\Delta \iota o ̀$ є́катє $\pi \rho о ́ \sigma \beta a \lambda о \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \beta \iota \zeta о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$
3 є่v $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \Lambda i \tau \omega \lambda \omega ̂ \nu ~ \theta v \sigma i ́ a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \phi a \epsilon \nu \nu a i ̂ s ~$
＋Oìvєídar кратєроí，
5 є̇v סє̀ Єท́ßaıs iтттобóas＇Ió $\lambda$ aos 40
 Подvбєúкєо́s т’ є̇т’ Еѝрю́та $\rho \in \epsilon \in \theta \rho o \iota s$.

тéтpamtal Cf．Thuk，2．40． 3
 shawe）．The subject is グסिढ $\pi$ ólis． The voice is middle．In several cases the true passive forms єंтpé－ $\phi \theta \eta \nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\pi} \eta \eta \nu$ mean＇was guided，turned，obliged to turn，＇ rather than＇betook oneself．＇See Shilleto＇s note on Thuk．1．76． 2 àтєтра́тєтo．For the sense to ＇roam＇L．and S．used to compare Il．19．212，where the corpse of Patroklos кєìтal àvà $\pi \rho o ́ \theta u p o \nu ~ \tau \epsilon-$ трацuévos（！！），which illustrates Pindar＇s preposition ä $\nu$ for ává．


 ósbv．For metaphor cf．N．9． 47.

24 For sentiment cf．I．1．41－ 45．The poet addresses himself． ко́цтои Cf．N．8．49，I．1． 43.

25 кıрvá $\mu \in \nu$ For metaphor cf．
 Cf．I．1． $46,3.7$, N．5．48，7． 16.

26 ＇For indeed in the age of heroes her brave warriors were wont to win fame．＇

27 ย̇v Cf．N．3．79，O．7． 12
 $\alpha u ̉ \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} . \pi \alpha \mu \phi \omega ́ v o l s$ Cf．last note and P．12．19．ороклаis＇Blended notes．＇

28 uvpiov Xpóvov Cf．Soph． Oed．Col． 617 uvpías ó $\mu$ vplos｜Хpóvos
 Sè ood．＇Now．．．a theme to poets．＇ The poet，when supporting the general statement of vv．26－28 xpóvov，begins by mentioning the heroes of other States．Virtually $v v .30-34 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{2}$ constitute a com－ parison．Cf．P．1． 42 for бoфөбтaîs．
$29 \Delta$ เòs ধ́к．Cf．v． 2 supra． $\sigma \epsilon \beta \iota \zeta \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ seems to agree with то入є $\mu$ гтаl，the insertion of $\gamma$ feas ＇$\chi$ © making an anacoluthon．

30 év $\mu \epsilon \in v$ For $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu \ldots \delta \hat{c}$ with a repeated word cf．I．3．7， 8.

31 Oivetioa Meleagros and his brothers．

32 imтобóas The two old ass． imтoбias．

33 Káбтороs alxpá Cf．N． 10. 13，P．11．61 Ká $\sigma$ тopos $\beta$ lav，I． 7.54 Méruovos $\beta i a \nu$ ．

## a ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ є̇v Oì

35 b Аїако仑̂ $\pi a i ̂ \delta \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \cdot ~ \tau о і ̀ ~ к а і ̀ ~ \sigma u ̀ v ~ \mu a ́ \chi a \iota s ~$


e каì $\sigma \grave{v} \nu$ 'A $\tau \rho \epsilon i \delta \partial a \iota s$. ë̀ $\lambda a \quad \nu \hat{v} \nu \mu o \iota \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \nu$.

40 g каї $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ т а \rho \chi о \nu$ AiӨıóт $\omega \nu$ äфоßоу



2 ठıaт $\rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ a ~ \nu \hat{a} \sigma o \nu . ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~$

34 à $\lambda \lambda$ ' 'Yea, but' with more reason. This $\alpha^{2} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ is not correlative with $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v, v .30$, but extends the סé clauses. Oivéva Ancient name of Aegina, cf. N. 5. 16. $\mu \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. о́pyai 'The active great-heartedness.' Lit. 'the great-hearted impulses.' Sc. $\gamma \epsilon$ ¢िas é $\chi o v \sigma \iota$ from the last verse.

35 тol Demonstrative.
бúv Tmesis : cf. Eur. Hel. 106. The order suggests 'joined in wars in which the city of the Trojans was sacked.' Perhaps oùv $\mu a ́ \chi \alpha i s$ is a condensed phrase for oúrرaхo üntes.

37 Cf. N. 4. 25, Il. 5. 638 d $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$




 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \pi a \xi \epsilon \pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu, \chi$ ท́p $\omega \sigma \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ ả $\gamma v t a ́ s$. Laomedon withheld the horses he had promised Hêrakles in return for his saving Hêsionê from the sea-monster of the Troad.
$38 \pi \in \delta o ́ \theta \in \nu$ Not $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \hat{s}$ but penitus. 'Go on from this point categorically.' The adverb would
not suit the literal meaning of $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \hat{a} \nu$. Mezger is bold to render 'over the ground,' comparing $\pi \epsilon$ Sioro $\delta i \epsilon \sigma \theta a \imath$. The old Medicean MS. gives $\pi a t \delta \delta \theta \in \nu$. M. Schmidt proposes $\sigma \pi i \delta \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$. Prof. Seymour ingeniously explains 'rise, 0 muse, from the ground to a more lofty height.'

39 Kúkvov Of the Troad, not the Kyknos slain by Hêrakles. For the rhetorical interrogation cf. P. 4. 70.

43 'They (i.e. Achilles) whose mouth proclaims as their home the illustrious isle of Aegina.' For dative of. N. 10. 29. It is to be taken both with $\sigma \tau b \mu \alpha$ and $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho a \nu$. For the plural referring to one person cf. N. 1. 58, Frag. Ј3. 10, 11.

44 тєтelx. hath a tower been built up with sublime merits for men to climb, (Böckh); cf. Frag. $197 \pi$ то́тєроу סiкка

 -and 0. 8. 27, where Aegina is called $\grave{c} \in \mathrm{vous}$ кiova, also N. 9. 47. The virtues of the worthies of Aegina are both a conspicuous



 Aǐavtos ò $\theta \theta \omega \theta$ eĩ $\sigma a$ vaútaıs 60
＇A $\nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$ ．


3 à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ӧ $\mu \omega \varsigma$ каи́ $\chi \eta \mu a \kappa а т \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \rho є \chi \epsilon \sigma \iota \gamma \hat{a}$ ．
＋Zєùs тá $\tau \epsilon \kappa a \grave{~ \tau a ̀ ~ \nu \epsilon ́ \mu є ~}$

 $\mu а \rho \nu a ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ тוs є้ $\rho \delta \omega \nu$
glory to the isle and an example by following which her sons may reach the height of renown．
$46 \mu \epsilon ́ v$ For $\mu \epsilon ́ v \ldots \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mu \omega s, v$. 51，cf．I．3．25，and note，O．and P． p．xxvii．

47 то弓єúpar＇A similar me－ taphor follows the mention of Achilles＇exploits，O．2．83，cf．O． 1．112．Cf．Aesch．Suppl． 455 $\gamma \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha$ то૬̆єט́баба $\mu \grave{\eta}$ т $\dot{\alpha}$ каípıa． $\kappa \in โ v \omega \nu$ ※ginetans－a generalized reference to $v v .43,44$.
$48 \kappa \in \lambda \alpha \delta \epsilon \in \epsilon \nu$ For this infini－ tive and $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \beta \alpha l \nu \in t \nu$ cf．Madv．§ 148 $b$ ，rem．3．iss．$\kappa \epsilon \lambda a \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ，Bergk кє入ари́баи．то́入ıs Alavtos Cf．N． 4．48．óp $\theta \omega \theta \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ A nautical me－ taphor＇righted by the mariners．＇ Mezger compares v． 44.

49 For $\Delta$ ids |  |
| :---: |
| $\mu$ | pos cf．Il．5．91， Hês．W．and D． 488 Tĥmos Zav̀s vion

 the kind of metaphor N．9．38，and for $\chi^{\alpha}$ ．$\phi o \nu .(v .50)$ cf．I．6． 27 хá\ajav ailpatos．For order cf．I． 5． 18.

51 kaтá $\beta p \in \chi \in$＇Drown，＇rather than＇moisten，＇＇steep．＇Cf，Frag． $225, \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \iota \gamma \underset{̣}{a} \beta p \in \chi \in \sigma \theta \omega$ ．

52 тá тє кal тá Cf．I．3．51， P．7．22．Mss．$\tau \alpha ́$ ס́ （and тáôє）каi т $\dot{\text { ．}}$

53 Cf．Frag． 118 Өєòs ó $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a$
 the sphere of lovely honey（song，
 $\kappa а т а \beta \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \nu$ ，and кєрขд́ $\mu \in \nu \quad$ v． 25 supra），honors also such as this（i．e． victories in games）love a joyous song of victory．＇For $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ cf．O．and P．p．37，N．1．34．Edd．generally
 $\chi$ áppa（for $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ Mezger quotes v．27， O．5．19，‥ 11．17，O．1．15，the last reference being apparently a slip）．N．11． 17 é $\nu$ 入ó $\begin{gathered}\text { oıs aiveîo } \theta a \iota\end{gathered}$ $=$＇to be praised in discussions．＇ In the other two cases，as in 0． 7. $12, \mathrm{~N} .3 .79$（which last is the closest parallel to the alleged con－ struction），this use of $\epsilon \nu$ occurs in connexion with musical instru－ ments．Dissen says＂$\chi$ áp $\mu \alpha$ èv $\mu \in ́ \lambda เ \tau \iota$, h．e．$\mu \in \lambda \iota \tau \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ ，of alia ap． Schaefer，ad Longum p．40t．＂

54 тоLal（E tual Two Scholl． bear witness to a reading roṭ̂ô $\tau \iota \mu \hat{q}$, which Bergk adopts．$\quad \epsilon \rho \delta \omega v$ Cf．N．7． 11 note．


с $\mu$ ó $\chi$ Өos à $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$. où $\delta$ ’ óтóбаı $\delta a \pi a ́ v a \iota ~$



55 व’ $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ Cf. N. 2. 17, 6. 14.


56 є́кца日ळ́v We should say - Let anyone learn well before he strives.' Cf. Thuk. 1. 20. 3 тúpav-
 and Dêm. 530 Хор $\eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ \omega ̂ ้ ~ \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau ' ~ ̇ ̇ \pi \epsilon-~$ $\pi \delta \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$. For $\tau \iota s(v .54)$ Prof. Seymour wrongly compares I. 7. (8.) 1. $\tau \epsilon \tau \cup ์ \phi \lambda \omega \tau a \iota$ 'Hath sunk into obscurity,' cf. Simon. Frag. 4. 4, 5
 $\dot{\delta} \pi \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \mu a ́ \tau \omega \rho$ á $\mu \alpha \nu \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \ell \quad \chi \rho \delta \nu 0 s$.
$57 \alpha \alpha{ }^{\alpha} v \rho \hat{\omega} v$ ' Its men,' including Lampon with his sons ; cf. I. 5. 73.
$58 \bar{\epsilon} \lambda i \delta \omega \nu$ Genitive of cause, origin, after ojađával, as Aristarchos (so Schol.) explained it. ekvi $\sigma^{\text {a }}$
 that Aristarchos (reading o $\pi \pi t$ ) explained $\epsilon \kappa \nu \iota \sigma \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$. The reading ómlv gives a much better sense. The frequentative aorist is appropriate to the recurring irritation of expenses. Render 'check by frequent chafing their regard' (for games or for deities as shown most conspicuously in devotion to games). The nom. to ékvt $\sigma^{\prime}$ is the substantive clause $\dot{\delta} \pi \delta^{\sigma} \sigma . \delta a \pi$. $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi$.

59 év $\gamma v$ to ${ }^{2}$ á $\mu a t s$ Generally taken, after Hermann, with $\chi \in \rho \sigma \boldsymbol{\ell}$, giving the most flagrant violation of usual order to be found in Pindar. The two old mss. give Фu入aкt$\delta \alpha(-\alpha \nu)$. The Schol. took $\gamma v t o \delta \alpha^{\mu} \mu \omega t s$ for $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau a i ̂ s ~(s o ~ t o o ~ M o m m s e n), ~ a ~$ notion which may have led to the corruption of $\pi \lambda a \gamma a i s$ to $\pi \lambda a \gamma \hat{\alpha} \nu$ (uss.). The alteration $\pi \lambda$ arais is Hartung's. Render 'I declare in
praise of Pytheas too (as well as of Phylakidas) that Phylakidas kept on a straight course amid crushing blows, an antagonist skilled in fight by-reason-of-his-intelligence.' For $\chi \in \rho \sigma t=$ ' in boxing and wrestling' cf. P. 10. 23 , v. 9 supra. I take this difficult and much-disputed passage to mean simply that Phylakidas never got 'wild' in his fighting, but in spite of 'punishment' persevered in his clever tactics-thanks in part at least to his elder brother Pytheas, who either trained him or practised with him. Those who follow Hermann put a comma after $\chi \in \rho \sigma l$ and take $\delta \epsilon \xi$ toे $\nu \delta \omega$ ajvíma ${ }^{2} \nu$ together, but Mommsen (after the Schol.) puts commas before and after $\chi \in \rho \sigma i \delta \epsilon \zeta \iota t o v$, rendering " manibus habilem mente haud indoctiorem." Most Edd. read $v$.

 plagarum cursum recta praeivisse" (Dissen). Bergk conjectures ìv
 $\delta \rho \delta \mu о \nu$ єن́Өvторŋ̄бац. So Christ, except rô̂ for $\epsilon ้ \nu$. These readings are open to the grave objection that for ought to refer to avtimaiov and to Phylakidas. Nothing but apparent necessity could reconcile Dissen and others to referring the last two verses of the ode to Pytheas. The old mss. seem to show that the scribes of Triclinius' wss. found both proper names in the accusative and altered the second to the dative, perhaps partly because my alteration of the first makes a
 g $\chi \in \rho \sigma i$ ठє $\xi$ ıò v vó $\omega$ àvтíта入оข.
 i каі̀ $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho о ́ \epsilon \nu \tau a$ עє́ov $\sigma \cup ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \circ \nu$ v̋ $\mu \nu о \nu$.
hiatus thut of an ahmis.rihle kind, see 0 . and P. P. 43), amb partly because an accusative after aivt $\omega$ seems so natural. Of course $11 v \theta \in \notin$ is a dat. commodi.

62 The poet bids himself (cf. v. 24 supra) take a crown (in spirit) for Phylakidas and send therewith
a fre-h ode. $\mu$ ítpav ('f. N. s. 1.5. (). 9. 81. The cquithet means of fine wool.'

63 ттєро́єขтa Cf. P. 8. 34, I. 1. 64,3.27. Cf. Theognis 237 бoi

 $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \nu$ áєє $\rho a \dot{\mu} \epsilon \nu 0$.

## ISTHMIA V. [VI.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF PHYLAKIDAS OF AEGINA IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

This ode is in honor of the same person as the preceding ode. It was probably composed soon after the Isthmian games inmediately preceding the battle of Salamis, Ol. 74. 4, B.c. 480, certainly not later than this date. It is clear that it was composed pretty soon after Nem. 5. Prof. Jehb, .Journ. of Hellen. N'tud. June, 1882, 1. 35, says: "In the fifth Isthmian ode, Pindar gives a most brilliant treatment to the initial episode of the very theme which occupied the east pediment of the temple at Aegina-Heracles coming to seek the aid of Telamon against Troy, when Telamon gave his guest 'a wine-cup rough with gold,' and Heracles pronhesied the birth aud prowess of Ajax. Here then is a case in which we can conceive that the poet's immediate theme may have occurred to his mind ats he gazed on the sculptor's work in the splendid entablature of the temple; and we recall Pindar's own comparison of an opening song to the front of a stately luilding," O. 6. 3, 4. The ode was in all probability sung at a banquet in Lampon's house.

There are reminiscences of N .5 and several recurrences. The exact responsions are-Фu入aкióa ur. 57, 7 (resolution does not occur in any other position),- $\phi \theta o \gamma \gamma \alpha v .34,9, \dot{o} v v .41,16$.

The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode are
 Dorian ; the metres are dactylo-epitritic.

The strmpe comsisto of two antithetic memble perimels, or. $1-4$ and $v v .5-9$. The epode is unsymmetrical.

## Strophe.



## ANALYSIS.

vo.
1-9. Comparison of this ode and Nem. 5 (composed for Phylakidas' elder hrother) to the second and first libations at a hauruet, and expression of hope that the third libation may be poured out to Olympian Zeus in honor of a victory gained by one of Lampon's family at Olympia.
10-13. For when a man (as is the case with Lampm) grudges mo pains or expense in earning distinctions and the deity gives him renown, he has reached the utmost limits of prosperity.
14-16. Lampon prays that he mar feel the satisfaction lrought by such success before he is risited by death or old age.
16-18. May Klôthô attend to his entreaties.
19-21. The pmet nunt land the Aeakidae when visiting Aewina.
22,23 . Broad roads carry their fame all over the world.
24-35. All have heard of P'lens, Aias, and Telanm, the companion of Herakles on his expedition against Troy and the Meropes of Kôs and Alkyoneus.

[^12]3i)-it. Herakles, when he went to summon Telanon to this experlition, found him feasting; and, being invited to pour out the first libation, prayed for strength and comrage for Telamon's son. He interprets the good omen sent in answer, the appearance of an eagle, and proposes the name Aias accordingly.
55-is. P'indar can now say no more about the Acavid hernes, as he has $t$ os sing of the victorious hrothers and their uncle.
58, 59. The ode shall proceed with Argive brevity.
60-66. Praise of the three victors just mentioned.
66-73. Praise of Lampen for hospitality, moderation, prulence of speech, and patient encouragement of athletes.
74 , i.5. The pret offers the family a draught from the fountain of Dirkê which was raised by Mnêmosynê.

2 ठєúтєрог кратท̂pa Moıбaíwv $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$
 $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu \pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau \circ \nu, \omega^{\hat{2}} \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v}$,

+ $\tau i \nu$ ä $\omega \tau o \nu \delta \epsilon \xi a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu \omega \nu$,

1 Өá入入oутos Cf. Hom. סaita
 Od. 11. 415. $\dot{\text { wis öt Cf C. O.6.2. }}$

2 ©єírepor For the three customary libations cf. Aesch. $A$ g. 245 [237 P. note], and the following Schol. on our passage, єüхєтal т $\partial \stackrel{\nu}{ }$



 таvailiva $\epsilon$, каl $\Delta$ iòs $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i o v \mid \sigma \pi o \nu-$
 $\pi \rho \omega ̂ t o \nu \quad \Delta i d ̀ s ~ ' O \lambda \nu \mu \pi i o u$ ékipvaбav,


 ஸ́palov $\gamma \dot{\mu} \mu o v \mid " H \rho a s ~ \tau \epsilon . " ~ \epsilon і т а " ~ " \tau \grave{\nu \nu}$

 Hence Aeschylos calls Zeús " $\sigma \omega \tau$ خ̀n трiтоs" Suppl. 27, Eum. 759, 760.

Pindar's first bowl of song was N. 5. For the metaphor cf. I. 4. 25. Mouraínv Mss. $\mu \circ \sigma \epsilon \in \epsilon \nu$.

3 \á $\mu \pi \omega$ vos Cf. N. 5, Introd. $\mu \hat{v} v$ Taken up by av̂̃є $v$. 5 , cf. O. and P. p. xxvii.

4 riv ass. give text. Many edd. Tiv $\gamma$ '. The particle certainly emphasises the pronoun, for, having begun by winning in games sacred to Zeus, they may hope for the third victory under the auspices of Zeus of Olympia. But for the sense $\gamma^{\prime}$ is not really wanted, and though riv is short, P. 1. 29, N. 10. 30 , the form $\tau \in(\nu$ shows that it may be long. For this dat., and $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta^{-}$ $\tau \not \subset$ N $\eta \rho \epsilon \bar{\delta} \bar{\sigma} \sigma \sigma i \tau \epsilon(v .5)$, cf. P. 4. 21,
 O. 5. 1, 9. 19. Here the expression is not quite superlative, 'a choice crown.'
$55 \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ av̂тє, 'I $\sigma \theta \mu 0 \hat{0} \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ т a$,


10

$9 \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ v \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \in \lambda \iota \phi$ Oó $\gamma \gamma o \iota s$ ảoı $\delta a i ̂ s$.
' $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau . a^{\prime}$.

= каì $\pi o ́ v \varphi$ м $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \theta є о \delta \mu a ́ t o v s ~ a ̉ \rho \epsilon т a ́ s, ~$
15
3 бúv тє́ Foı סaíر



20


7 elin For the acc. mopoatvovtas cf. O. 1. 115, P. 2. 96, N. 7. 25, I. 1. 64, Od. 2. 310, 16. 243, Aristoph. Acharn. 1079: with dat. Theognis 1153: for suppression of pronoun ef. P. 1. 29, 2. 83 . $\tau \rho$ (тоv Sc. кратท̂ра.

8 торбаivovtas Sc. $\grave{\eta} \mu a ̂ s, i . e$. the poet alone or with the chorus included. 'Oגvцлí Not immediately ' of Olympos' but of Olympia. Of course Olympia was named from Zeus of Olympos. кarđ̀ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \delta \delta \epsilon เ v$ A metrical tmesis. He 'pours over Aegina' the wine of song (cf. v. 21 infra), as he pours (in fancy) the material wine on her soil. For the compound and construction cf. Eur. Orest. 1239
 libation over thee (the dead Agamemnon) with tears.' Secondarily the meaning 'to honor with offerings of tears' (L. and S.) is right, but катaбTévסف $\Delta i a$ would not be likely to occur.

9 呅ьфӨо́yүoเs Appropriate, as wine was sweetened with honey. For metaphor cf. N. 3. 77.

10 Samávą Cf. I. 1. 42, 4. 57.

11 тра́ббєє 'Achieves,' cf. I. 4. 8, P.2. 40, O. and P. p. xxvii. ápєтás 'Distinctions,' cf. N. 5. 53, I. 1. 41. Perhaps $\theta$ вод $\mu \dot{\text { árous }}$ suggested the metaphor of I. 4. 45. Cf. Bacchyl. 17. 125 єủəvulq $\nu \in о к \tau i \tau \omega$.

12 ov́v ré 'And if at the same time,' cf. Eur. Herc. Fur. 785, but cf. Soph. Oed. R. 347. fot Dat. commodi. фитєv́є Cf. P. 4.69, $\theta \epsilon \delta \pi$ о $\mu \pi$ ol $\sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ тıцul фúтєv $\theta \epsilon \nu, \mathrm{N}$. 8. 17. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \times a t เ a i s$ So the best ars, and Schol. Böckh éaxariás. Cf. O. 3. 43, P. 10. 28, N. 3. 21, 22, I. 3. 29, 30.
$13 \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime}$ For $\beta$ á $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha$.
14, 15 ópyaîs 'With such feelings' i.e. the feelings of restful thankfulness appropriate to the condition just described; dat. of attendant circumstances. divtเáoals $\delta \in \xi$. 'May encounter and welcome.' Both metre and better sense forbid 'having encountered such feelings' or my former version. For $\delta \epsilon \xi \xi^{\sigma} \theta 0$ a cf. Il. 18. 115

 $\theta$ eoi ä入.\oc.



${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi \cdot a^{\prime}$.



 кé $\lambda \in \cup \theta o u$,


17 ध́ $\sigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$ al mss. $\sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$. Edd. after Pauw the late Epic '̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a r$. Bacchylides' recently recovered odes present many words and forms of which we have had only late examples hitherto. My suggestion ' $\pi \iota \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ was due to careless disregard of the metre. к $\lambda$ vтais 'Loud,' ef. O. 14. 19, $\kappa \lambda \nu \tau \grave{a} \nu \ldots \dot{a} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda l a \nu$, and I. 6. 19.

18 div8pós Lampon. Moipas For position ef. $\Sigma a \lambda \alpha \mu i s$, I. 4. 49. ' $\phi є \tau \mu a i ̂ s$ Here 'entreaties,' 'ur-

 The word usually means the 'behests' of a superior.
$19 v^{\nu} \mu \mu \epsilon$ Acc. after $\dot{\rho} \alpha \nu \hat{\prime} \mu \in \nu$. т' For кal or óé cf. Soph. Ajax 1182 $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i{ }^{s} \tau \epsilon$ and Jebb's note. The poet lays an injunction on the Moipar and then virtually lays an injunction on himself, so that the continuity admits of $\tau \epsilon$. Moreover there is a continuity of idea between $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \delta s{ }^{\phi} i \lambda o u$ and the Aeacid heroes.
xpuoápp. Cf. Bacchylides, celebrating Pytheas' Nemean victory, 13. 161, á रриба́ $\rho \mu a \tau o s \mid \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \alpha ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́-~$ $\theta v \mu o s$ 'A $\theta \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha$ (perhaps earlier than I. 5). But Bacchylides may be mischievously applying to Aegina Pindar's epithet of 'Thêba and Thebes.

20 тé $\theta$ нıov ' A most clear prescription,' 'most clearly prescribed.' Cf. O. 7. 88, 13. 29, N. 4. 33, 10. 33.

21 тáv ${ }^{\prime}$ For this pronoun not implying the poet's presence cf. P. 9. 91, 0.8.25; but here the whole tone of the ode suggests that the poet was present. émьनтєlxovia For the change of case from the dat. $\mu 0<$ cf. O. 1. 10, 1. 1. 46 . $\rho \alpha เ v \in ́ \mu \in v$ For metaphor cf. vv. 8, 9 supra, I. 3. 90 , O. 11. $97 \kappa \lambda \nu \tau$ òv हैं $\theta \nu$ os | Мокр $\hat{\nu} \nu$ á $\mu \phi$ $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \circ \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \tau \iota \mid \epsilon \cup ̉ a ́ v o \rho a ~ \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ ката. $\beta \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \omega \nu, N .1 .13$.
$22 \mu v p \not \alpha \iota \ldots \kappa \in \lambda$. Cf. I. 3. 19. $\tau \in \tau \mu \eta v \theta^{*}$ Much of the ancient Greek road-making consisted in cutting rock. For metaphor cf. 0. 6. 73. Cf. Bacchyl. 10. $37 \mu \alpha-$

 $\tau \epsilon \cup ์ \xi \epsilon \tau a l$. But the restoration of the participle is doubtful; $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \omega \nu$ is as likely as $\tau \alpha ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu$. Cf. I. 2. 33, N. 7. 50,51 , and especially N. 6. 47. غ́катó $\mu \pi$, є̇v $\sigma X \in \rho \hat{\omega}$ A hundred feet broad continuously. Év $\sigma \times \in p \varphi$ Cf. N. 1. 69.

23 Cf. I. 2. 41. This is a stronger expression, meaning beyond the furthest regions known (by name) to the Greek, south and north. The slaughter of Memnon

 $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$ ，
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.
ı oủס’＇̈тıs Aïavтos T $\mathrm{T} \lambda a \mu \omega \nu \iota a ́ \delta a$

 ท̄р $\omega \sigma \iota ~ \mu о ́ \chi \theta о \nu$ ，

40


 45



＇$A \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$ ．

by Pêleides spread the fame of Pêleus to the south，perhaps there was a legend that Telamon was with Hêrakles on one or both of his journeys to the Hyperboreans （cf．O．3．13－34）．But the ex－ pression does not require this par－ ticular interpretation，cf．I．3． 55.
$24 \pi a \lambda(\gamma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma 0 s$ Schol．à $\lambda$－入о́котоя．

25 ảtel MSS，áüel．Schol．Vet． катакои́є．Note the resolved dactyl － $\cos \dot{\alpha} t$.

26 ätis Sc．oủk áttet．
27 róv I．e．Tє $\lambda \mu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu a$ ，халко－ Xáppav As Telamon was $\dot{0} \pi \lambda i \tau \eta$ s this epithet may be in apposition with róv，not in agreement with тíteroo．

28 Tpotav siss．tpolav．The phrase $\eta$ クp $\rho \omega \sigma \iota \mu b \chi \theta 0 \nu$（in apposition with Tpoiav）refers to both Trojan wars．$\quad$ óx ${ }^{\text {Oov Cf．I．7．} 11 . ~}$

29 uss．give－tiav，－klav．Kay－ ser gives the text after the Schol．

30 For the late position of the subject cf．vv． 35,40 infra，O． 11. $30,34, \mathrm{O}$ ．and P．p．xxv．
$31 \Pi_{є \rho \gamma а \mu i \alpha \nu}$ Sc．$\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$. Cf．


 $\mu \omega ิ \nu \nu$ ．For theme cf．N．4． $25-30$. Mepótwy Men of Kos．As Hè－ rakles was worshipped at Kos as Alexis（Mezger），the Meropes whom he conquered may have been Egyp－ tian or Carian or Phoenician op－ pressors of Greek inhabitants．

32 ßoußóтav So called because he had＇lifted＇the cattle of Hêlios from Erythia．For the epithets with and without the article cf．O．and P．p．xxiv．For the simile cf．Il． 13.
 Hektor）．

33 Ф入є́үpatoเv Iu Thrace，cf． N．1．67．$\sigma \phi \in \tau \in ́ p a s$ I．q．éas，see L ．and S ．

35 ка入є́ $\omega \nu$ Is this future？
13－2

 $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ ä $\rho \xi a \iota$
＋картєраі́Хца⿱＇А $\mu \phi \iota \tau \rho \cup \omega \nu \iota a ́ \delta a \nu$,
$亏$ äעठ̊шкє $\delta^{\prime}$ aủtề фє́ртатоя

i ó ס’ ảvateivaıs oủpavệ $\chi \in i ̂ \rho a s ~ a ̉ \mu a ́ \chi o v s ~ 60 ~$
 ${ }_{9} \theta v \mu \hat{\varrho} \hat{\epsilon}$ Ө́̀ $\overline{\omega \nu}$ à $\hat{\alpha} \nu$ äкоvбas，
＇Ет．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．


$\sigma_{i}$


36 és $\pi \lambda$ रoov To the above－ mentioned royage．The old Vati－
 סaıvv $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ ，leaving a lacuna of a spondee＇s length before the last word；Triclinian wss és
 Mommsen from Schol．$\dot{\epsilon}$ ．$\pi$ ．тoûtov

 the Schol．I get $\dot{\varepsilon} . \pi$ ．Toûtov кúp $\bar{\sigma} \in \nu$ סauvvuévov．The roûtov is natural as the account goes back to the beginning of the story of the Tro－ jan expedition．

37 äpgai Mezger compares for the construction with dat．N． 2. 25，where $\dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\delta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \phi \omega \nu \hat{a}$ is most likely，as I explain，dative of man－ ner．The Schol，says that this scene is $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \nu{ }^{\prime}$ Hotîv（see L．and S．ウ̇oôos，ir．）．

39， 40 ф＇िртатоs ．．． $\mathrm{T}_{\varepsilon} \lambda а \mu \omega ́ v$ For order cf．O．and P．p．xxv． $\pi є \phi \rho เ к v i ̂ a v \quad$＇Embossed，＇＇rough，＇ ef．Verg．Aen．12． 87 auro squa－ lentem alboque orichalco．．．loricam， 9． 263 aspera signis pocula．Ac－ cording to a Schol．Aristarchos said the metaphor was from a boar，

фрísas $\in \dot{u}$ 入oфıín（Od．19．446）．
41 oùpav̄ิ Dat．termini．Cf． 0 ．and P．p．xxvi．

42 тolov̂tov دsss．，old totồtón $\tau$ ，new $\tau 0$ oố $\quad \delta \nu \tau$＇．Even without a following f－ov can be long，ef． P．9． 114, A． 1.51 ，69，6． 60 ．
 69 （yss．$\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ ，some edd．$\dot{\epsilon} \times \dot{\omega} \nu \nu$ ）， 10．5，N．10． 84 note．

44 ข่דó For the unusual use of the preposition＝＇by means of＇ cf． 0.5 .6 ．
45 ＇Epıß．Cf．Soph．Ajax 569， Bacchyl．13．69，N．7． 84.

46 Old Vat．دrs．à $\nu \overline{\rho \rho l}$ тôôe $\bar{\xi} \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ． vov $\dot{\alpha} \mu \delta \dot{\nu}, \mu . \tau$ ．Old Medicean 3ss．

 $\mu$ ．т．Hermann and Bückh follow these last uss．except in reading $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ for $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ ，interpreting＇$a$ son to make my friend perfectly happy．＇ For $\xi \cdot \dot{\alpha}$ ．Rauchenstein would read


 monstrosity suggested by the abso－
 I propose the text or кєivo $\dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \rho$
 $\pi є \rho \iota \pi \lambda a \nu \hat{a} \tau a \iota$

- Өŋр

 хи́pıs,

$\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.

 Aïavтa, $\lambda a \hat{\omega} \nu$

So
55 ј $\dot{\omega} s$ âpa $₹ \in \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu$ aن̉тíка


Motpldov, comparing P. 4. 255 каi $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda 0 \delta a \pi a i ̂ s \mid \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu^{\prime}$ àpoúpals rov-

 $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma \iota \mu \circ s$ al'́s, of Epaphos' birth, Aesch. Suppl. 47. My proposed temporal acc. $\dot{\alpha} \mu a p$ is amply justified by $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aúтix' $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ p a \nu$ Soph. Oed. Col. 433, see Jebb on Oed. $R$. 1138. Note that $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ é $\sigma$ is to
 usually invoked before the first libation, a similar use being found in Eur. Bacch. 100 є̈тєкєд $\delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu l \kappa \alpha$


47 тòv $\mu \hat{v} v$ 'To make him.' Zeugma with $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma a$. The particle $\mu^{\prime} \nu$ is to be taken with $\phi v a \dot{\alpha} \nu$, correlative with $\theta v \mu \mathrm{~d} s \quad \delta \epsilon, \quad v .49$, cf. N. 9. 39. व’рр $\quad$ ктоv 'Stout,' 'stalwart,' not 'invulnerable.' Pindar seems to have told elsewhere of Aias having been wrapped up in Hêrakles' lion's skiu and thereby rendered invulnerable, ef. Schol. Arg. ad Soph. Ai. фuáv For the meaning 'physique' cf. I. 6. 22, cf. also $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$, N. 6. 5, I. 3. 67.
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ For the compendious construction cf. N. 9. 41.
$48 \pi \alpha ́ \mu \pi \rho \omega \tau о \nu$ áé $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$ Cf. Bacchyl. 9. 7 ff. $\mu \eta \lambda о \delta а i к \tau \alpha \nu \mid \theta \rho \epsilon$ $\psi \epsilon \nu \dot{a}$ 入єuкú入єขos | "H $\rho a \pi \epsilon \rho \iota к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 yov $\lambda$ éovza. Accusative of general agreement. Cf. O. 2. 4.

49 Өuцòs $\delta$ ' є̇té $\theta \omega$ 'And let his spirit correspond.' Cf. O. 2. 22. So Don. Others, 'let the spirit (of a lion) accompany (the lion's strength).' фацє́vఱ Cf. N. 9. 43 фа́борац.

50 Observe the expressive sounds of this line. Ёкvடєєv 'Thrilled him.'

53 'And Zeus calls (idiomatic aorist) him, by a name commemorative of the appearance of the bird, mighty Aias.' Apollodôros gives the same derivation. In Soph. Ai. 430-432 we have, as Prof. Jebb rightly says, a pun, not an ctymology.

56 щакро́v Cf. N. 10. 4, 19. aperás Instances of the worth of the folk of Aegina. This verse refers back to v. 22 .



＇A $\nu \tau$ ．$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．
60 ₹ äpàтo $\gamma$ à $\rho$ víкаs à àò таукратíov，

 oĭà $\mu$ oîpav vt $\mu \nu \omega \nu$ ．

90
＋$\tau \grave{a} \nu \Psi a \lambda v \chi \iota \delta a ̂ \nu \delta$ ie $\pi a ́ \tau \rho a \nu \mathrm{X} \alpha \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu$





＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$ ．




58 For these names of．N．5， Untrod．то̀v ${ }^{\text {＇Apүєíw т то́тоу Cf．}}$ Asch．Suppl．200， 273 цакра́v $\gamma є$
 Frag． 411 uv̂Oos $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho{ }^{2}$ Apro入ıбтi Guv－ $\tau \in \not \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ßpaxús．Dorians of Argolis had colonised Aegina．
$59 \mathrm{~K}^{2}$ For $\kappa \in(a \nu)$ with the future of．N．7．68．The mss．$\pi \alpha \kappa^{\prime}$ and $\pi o v \kappa^{*}$ suggest $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ and $\pi 6 \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ as old variants．

61 Tads $\delta^{\circ}$ Cf．O．12． $6 \pi 6 \lambda \lambda^{2}$
 is wrong in limiting the victories to three and putting a comma after $\tau \rho \in i s$, for N．5． 44 and I． 4.18 give each of the trio a Nemean victory， so that $\tau$ ads $\delta$＇means＇and other three．＇A schol．on N． 5 ascribes the third Isthmian victory to Euthymenes，the two others belong－ ing to Phylakidas．

62 Cf．I．3．39－42．olav Ex－ clamatory，cf．O．9．89， 93.
$63 \Psi a \lambda$ ．Mss．$\Psi a \lambda v \chi ı \alpha \hat{a} v$, but the metre does not admit a resolved long syllable at the end of an epitrite．

64 For metaphor cf．N．8． 40.
65 óp日ஸ゙ซavtes Cf．P．4．60，I． 1． 46.

67 Hês．W．and D． 411 ova रàp

 gov oj $\phi \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ．Pindar of course means athletic exercises by ${ }^{\circ} p$ poos．

69 छuvóv Cf．O．7．21，11． 11.
70 єv่єрүєбiais Dat．of cause． Cf．O．9．83，I．6． 15.

71 For the repetition of a word with $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \delta \varepsilon \in$ cf．I．3．8．For senti－ mont cf．Hês．W．and D． $694 \mu$ er pa $\phi u \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．Katpòs $\delta$＇$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ ăptotos．
 $\dot{\alpha} \in \theta \lambda \eta \tau a \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu$ er $\mu \mu \epsilon \nu$ 105




 go beyond the bounds of wisdom.' Schol. out $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~S} \phi \theta \in \gamma \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. Mezger, ' does not say one thing and mean another.' gains, к.T.入. ais.
 тaïcl. Heyne, Hermann, Böckh, ф. к. ע. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta$. Mommsen, ф. к. Mévávodoov év ale $\theta \lambda$., after the Triclinian gloss, $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon i \pi \tau \tau \nu \nu$ M $\epsilon$ vavôpov rival e"koxov, which is a wrong interpretation drawn from N. 5. 48. Bergk gives the text. So the School, elmo $\delta^{\prime}$ ar rus aúròv $\tau \grave{\nu} \Lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \pi \nu a$, rival Totồтov adv ôpa èv roîs dag $\theta$ tais, olav, к.т.入. The Schol., however, needlessly regards Lampoon as a trainer.

73 Nagiav The Schol. says that the best whetstones were those


For this termination in the femi-
 фúरà N. 9. 16, тотацíq 'Aкра́zàть 1'. 6. 6.
$74 \pi i \sigma \omega$ I will offer them as my $\xi$ Givion a draught. For the future referring to the time of recitation cf. O. 11. 79, 84, P. 9. 89. The causal forms $\pi i \sigma \omega$, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \epsilon$ (Frag. 88) are referred to the late $\pi \iota \pi i \sigma \kappa \omega$ by lexicographers. For the double accusative cf. $\pi$ or if $\omega$. $\sigma \phi \in$ The Psalychidae. Pindar's house was near the fountain of Dirkê.

75 Xpvaotémiov Our phrase 'golden memories' recommends this epithet to us, but very likely it recalled some celebrated picture or piece of sculpture in Pindar's time. єย่тєเхย์สเข 'Of the well-built walls.'

## ISTHMIA VI. [VII.]

## ON゙ THE VICTORY OF STREPSIADAS OF THEBES IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Strepstidas, a Thehan, nephew of Strepsiadas son of Dindotos, probably gained the victory celebrated in this ode at the Isthmian festival of $0.81 . Q^{2}$ A 1 rill, B.C. 456 , soon after the disastrous defeat of the Thebans by the Athenians at ()enophyta, which threw the goverment of Thelies into the hands of the democratic party. In this battle Strepsiadas the elder, matermal uncle of the rictor, had fallen ( $v v .24-36$ ).

Mezger's theory that the ode was written hetween the victory of Tanagra and the defeat of Oenophytal is preposterons. Never hefore or since was a patriot who died in the arms of rictory so cheated of his dues, as the senior Strepsiadas would have lieen, if this theory could hold. And fance a poet salying of his country shortly after a
 No! the Isthmian victury of a Thelan gives the Thelan peet courage to rise de profundis and recall the ancient glories of his conntry which had been obscured by defeat.

The divisions of the ode fall after $v .22$ and in $v .39$.
 occurs $v v .19,44$.

The compounds which seem to le coined for this ode are ciptairas,


The mode is Lydu-Aerlian ; the metre is luganedic. The strophe containing two invertel perionls, $c$. $1-4$, comsisting of first glyomics and choreic tripodies, 4.4.3.3.4.4 and $i$. 5, with mesole and epode,
$=3.4 .3 .3$. The epode also presents two periods; xa. 1,2 palinodic, ce. $3-\bar{i}$ unsymmetrical or antithetic with mesode and eporle. There are six instances of the form of the second Pherecratic while verses 3 and 6 are first Pherecratics.

## Strophe.

1. $\omega \vdots \sim \cup|-\cup|-\geqq \mid->]$ 1st Glyc.
2. $\smile \vdots \backsim u|-\cup|-\cup|-\cup||ᄂ|-\cup \mid-\wedge]$

1st Glyc. +3 chor.
3. ᄂ $|-\cup|-\cup| | \sim \cup|-\cup| ᄂ \mid-\wedge]$

3 chor. +1 st Glyc.
4. $>\vdots \backsim u|-\cup|-u \mid->\rrbracket$ 1st Glyc.
5. $->|\backsim \cup|-\cup \|->|\sim u|-\cup|->||-\cup|$

$$
\backsim \cup|->|-\cup|-\cup|-\wedge]
$$

Epode.

1. $\quad-\cup|\sim u|-\cup \|-v|-v|-\wedge]$ 2nd Pher. +3 chor.
2. $L|\sim \cup|-\cup|L| \sim u \mid->$ I 2nd Pher. +2 nd Pher.
3. $>\vdots \backsim \cup|-\cup|-\wedge]$ lst Pher.
4. $\omega \vdots \sim u|-v|-v \mid->1$. 1st Glyc.
5. $\quad-\simeq|\smile u|-\cup| |-\breve{>}|\smile \cup|-\cup \mid-\wedge \rrbracket$

2nd Pher. + 2nd Glyc.
6. $\sim v|->|-\wedge \rrbracket$ 1st Pher.
7. $L|\sim \cup| L \| \sim|\sim \cup|-\wedge \rrbracket$ 2nd Pher. +2 nd Pher.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-15. Thêloit is asked in which of the ancient glories of Thebes she feels most delight.
16-21. But as men forget what is not immortalized in verse, the poet bids the chorus celebrate in song Strepsiadas.
21-23. For he has won the prize in the pankration at Isthmos, and is richly endowed by nature and made illustrions hy minstrelsy,
24-36. And has given delight to his mamesake and maternal uncle, who had recently died fighting like a hero for his country.

37-39. The poet was liitterly grieved at the defeat and the deaths of his countrymen, but now Poseidon offers him caln after the storm.
39-42. A prayer that divine envy may not disturb, his tranquil enjoyment of whatever pleasure presents itself as he awaits age and death.
42,43 . For all must die alike, but are unequal in fortune.
$43-47$. If a mortal be ambitions, he is too puny to mount to Olympos.
47, 48. Sweets unjustly enjojed are in the issue most bitter.
49-51. Invocation to Apollo to grant Strepsiadas victory at the Pythian games.




+ $\triangle a \mu a ́ t \epsilon \rho o s ~ a ̀ v i ́ c ’ ~ є u ̀ \rho u \chi a i ́ t a v ~$


'А $\nu$ т. $a^{\prime}$.

 glories.' the phrase is used in a rather different sense P. 5. 108.
3 ท̊ pa Cf. P. 9. 37, 11. 38. xaлкокро́тои An epithet of Rhea transferred to Dêmêtêr, ' 'worshipped with clash of bronze,' i.e. of cym-
 comnexion between Dionysos and Dêmêtêr, wine and corn, is natural: Ter. Eun. 4. ั. 6 sine Cerere et Libero friget Venus. They are represented together on several antique gems. Mariette, Traité des pierres gravées, 2. p. 1, Pl. 32.

5 Xpuoû...v(фоvтa 'Snowing gold at midnight.' For the dative cf. I. 4. 50, Nikophon (Athênaeos

 For the adverbial use of adjective cf. O. 14. 11, 13. 17. L. and S., regardless of order, joins $\mu \epsilon \sigma$. $\delta \epsilon \xi \alpha-$ $\mu \dot{v} \dot{\prime}$, but as the legends of Zeus and showers of gold at Argos and Rhodes (O. 7. 34, Philostr. Imag. 2.
 pavoû $\dot{\epsilon} \hat{\sigma} \sigma a l$ каl $\delta \iota a \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ тàs oiklas каi $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \omega \pi$ oùs $\nu \in \emptyset \in \lambda \eta \nu$ 's's avं-
 rested at least partly on a shower of meteors, $\mu \epsilon \sigma$ оуи́ктіov is quite appropriately attached to vi申ovta. It seems as if one of these stories attached to $\pi$ o $\lambda$ úx $\rho u \sigma o t, a \dot{a} \gamma \lambda a i l \theta \hat{\eta}$. $\beta a \iota$.


$+\hat{\eta}$ öт＇ả $\mu$＇$^{\prime}$＇Ió入aov iтто́ $\mu \eta \tau \iota \nu$ ；
 є́ $\xi \dot{a} \lambda a \lambda a \hat{s}$ ả $\mu \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a s$ ỏ $\rho \phi a \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$
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$f$ à $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ тa入aıà $\gamma$ àp

I ö $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta}$ бофías äんтov äкроv
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.

7 jovaîs Cf．N．10．17．Da－ tive of purpose；Schol．Vet．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ rais＇H．$\gamma$ ．Cf．Isth．7．27．Dissen compares however N．10． 69 є́ $\phi o \rho$.


8 Edd．，after Heyne，needlessly read тикขaîs Teıper\｛ao，but by taking $i$ as $y$（the accent going back in pronunciation to the preceding syl－ lable）we can keep to the riss．In this line and the next $\tilde{\eta}$ öт＇scans as one long syllable；Mommsen reads $\eta^{\prime \prime}$ for $\dot{\eta} \in$ in both places．For $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi$ \} ßou入aîs, á $\mu \phi$＇＇Ibidaov，＇con－ cerning，＇after єüфраעаs $\theta u \mu \partial े \nu \tau \epsilon \delta \nu$ mentally supplied from above，cf． O ．and P．p．xxvi．The construc－ tion of $\alpha \mu \phi \hat{l}$ with two different cases but the same sense in consecutive lines is remarkable．
$10 \Sigma \pi a \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Thewarriors who sprung from the sown teeth of the dragon slain by Kadmos．The five survivors of their internecine fight （Ov．Met．3．126）helped Kadmos to found Thebes and founded five Theban families．The gen．is causal，cf．Madv．§ 61 b ，rem． 1.

ả $\lambda a \lambda \alpha$ âs Cf．N．3． 60.
12 For the theme cf．P．5． 64 － 76．For the order $\Delta \omega \rho i \delta^{\prime}$ аंтоккiav ．．．$\Lambda \alpha к є \delta \alpha \iota \mu \nu \nu i \omega \nu$ cf．I．3．36，P． 4. 214－216．
 Hor．Epp．2．1． 176 securus cadat an recto stet fabula talo，where Orelli quotes Pers．5． 104 recto vivere talo，Eur．Hel． 1449 óp $\theta \hat{\omega}$ $\beta \hat{\eta} v a \iota \pi$ тоঠi．Cf．also Ol．13． 72 àvà $\delta^{\prime}$ €̈ $\pi a \lambda \tau^{\prime}$ óp日仑̂ $\pi \circ \delta i$, Kallim．in
 ỏ $\rho \theta$ д̀ $\nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \in \in \sigma \tau \eta$ ．

15 رаvтєúpaбъ Causal dative， ef．I．5． 70 ．

16 d̀ $\lambda \lambda d \ldots$ ．．．$a^{\prime} \rho$＇But，since．．．＇ $\kappa \omega ́ \mu a \zeta$＇＇̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \nu$ ，＇then，this being the case，celebrate，＇\＆c．The $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ dismisses the topic of the ancient glories of Thebes somewhat sadly， still they are not dead but only asleep，cf．I．3． 41.

17 á $\mu v$ ár $^{2} v e s$ A hit at the Lacedaemonians for not helping Thebes before Oenophyta．

18 бофias＇Poetry．＇ä $\omega \tau$ v Cf．I．1． 51.



 ä $\gamma \in \iota ~ \tau$＇à $\rho \in \tau a ̀ \nu$ oủk aï $\sigma \chi \iota o \nu$ фvâs．

30
＇A $\nu \tau$ ．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．
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 aï $\mu a \tau o s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ ф i ́ \lambda a s ~ \pi a ́ t \rho a s ~ a ̉ \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \tau a l$ ，

$$
\text { a } \lambda o \iota \gamma o ̀ \nu ~ * ~ a ̉ \mu u ́ \nu \omega \nu * ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu a \nu \tau i \varphi ̣ ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\varphi},
$$

19 kגurais＇Sounding＇？Cf． O．14．19，I．5． 17. คீoaîrเv Dat． of means with $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ lк $\quad$ ral，of remote object with suүév．乌̧үย́v Cf．I． 3．3．The metaphor is here of a tree planted by the water side，only slightly different from that of N． 8 ． 40．Edd．placed a full stop after this word．

20 кต́pay＇＇Revel in the kô－ mos．＇

21 Etpeұı́d́a Dat．commodi，
 ner of，＇cf．N．3． 18.

22 viкаv таүкратiov Cf．I． 4.
 к．т．入．Cf．N．3．19，O．8．19，9． 94 for sentiment；also I．5．47－49． a̋yє九 áperáv＇He holds virtue to be as fair a possession as fair phy－ sique＂（I．5．47）．For ă $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ cf． Soph．Antig． 34 tò $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu^{2}{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{2} \epsilon \iota \nu$｜ oư $\chi$ ús $\pi a \rho$＇oủdév．Dissen renders ä $\gamma \epsilon \iota$＇habet＇．．．veluti merces，opes， Od．1．184．al̈Xıov Predicative， as is usual with this construc－ tion．For such an accusative，ef． Madv．§ 1 b，rem．3，хр $\quad$ бц $\mu \omega$ тє $\rho \circ \nu$
 Memor．Ir．3．1）．Dissen does not take the neuter adjective as predi－ cative，though Matthiae，to whom he refers，gives no parallel case． Mommsen reads ai $\sigma$ i $i \omega$ ．

23 ф $\lambda$＇́ $є$ єтal Cf．O．9．22，I． 3. 61．$\delta \in \in$＇Accordingly，＇cf．I． 3. 90．Fiom $\lambda$ óк．Text，Mss．$\delta^{\prime}$ lo $\pi \lambda о к \alpha ́-$ $\mu o \iota \sigma \iota$ against scansion，Mommsen． Cf．O．6．30，where Miss．give $\pi$ aî ${ }^{3}$ ioтло́кацоу，Bergk，rightly таîठa नьотлоког．

24 коเขóv Cf．P．5．96，6． 15. ＇Of interest to him．＇$\theta$ á ${ }^{\prime}$ ＇Wreath，＇but used with reference to $v v .18,19$.

25 An inversion of the use of $\mu l \sigma \gamma \omega$ found O．1． 22.

26 àvтiкeเтat＇Is the meed＇ in return for their life．

27 For metaphor cf．I．4．49， Simon．Frag．89．106．For $\check{\sigma} \tau \omega . .$.


28 ả $\mu v v^{2}$ ．Thiersch ăvta $\phi \in \rho \omega \nu$ ． Mr Bury proposes ăvca $\tau \rho \in \neq \pi \omega \nu$ ， comparing N． $9.37,38$ ，Bergk $\alpha \nu t \iota-$ $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ ．

30 с $\zeta \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \tau^{\prime}$ àтò каі̀ $\theta a \nu \omega ́ \nu$.
d тù $\delta$ é，$\Delta$ ьобо́тоьо $\pi a i ̂, ~ \mu а \chi a \tau a ̀ \nu ~$
e aivé $\omega \nu$ Мє入éaүроע，aìé $\omega \nu$ סè каi＂Ектора
f＇А $\mu \phi \iota a ́ p \eta o ́ v ~ т \epsilon, ~$


$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}
$$

35 ミ $\pi \rho о \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$ ar $\nu$ ’ö $\mu \iota \lambda о \nu$ ，${ }^{\prime} \nu \theta^{\prime}$ ar $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \iota$ 50


${ }_{4}$ Гaıáo $о$ os єủ $\delta i ́ a \nu$ ơт $т a \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$



40 І on $\tau \iota \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu \nu \grave{\nu}$ €́фá $\mu \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \omega \nu$


29 य＇́yเのтov Extension of pres． dicate，＇to the utmost height．＇

30 ఢ่ $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ Participle．
àmò．．．$\theta a v \omega{ }^{2} v$. Tmesis．
31 Strepsiadas，the uncle of the victor．

32 aivé $\omega v$＇ demulatus，＇Dissen． Meleagros was brother to Hêrakles＇ wife Deianira，and is thus con－ netted with Theban legends．Hek－ tor was said to be buried in Thebes by the fountain Oedipodia，Plus． 9．18，pseudo－Aristot．Epigr．Bergk


 fell fighting for their country like Strepsiadas，the victor＇s uncle． The allusion to Amphiarâos is not open to reasonable objection． Bergk＇s violent and ungrammatical alteration to $\dot{\alpha} \nu$＇＇A $\mu \phi\left(\alpha \rho^{\rho} \epsilon \iota \nu\right.$ in－ volvas alterations of the two cor－ responding verses．Note that $k a l$ ， v． 32 ，is not＇both＇but＇also．＇

34 á入ıklav＇His manhood＇s prime in its full blossom．＇Cf． Simon．Frag． 114 ［61］db $\phi^{\prime}$ i $\mu \in \rho т \grave{\eta} \nu$ ধ̈ $\pi \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \wedge \kappa i \eta \nu$ ．

 For the sense cf．N．1． 32.

38 For metaphor cf．I．3． 36.
39 \＄Óvos For the envy of the gods cf．P．10． 20.

40 éфá $\mu$ єрог Not＇short－lived，＇ L．and S．，but in diem．Of．Eur．
 $k \omega \nu$ For the（to us）inversion of participle and verb cf．I．4．56， 5. 15．For sentiment cf．P．8． $92 \dot{\epsilon} \nu$

 $\tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \not \subset \sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \circ \nu$ ．Cookesley quotes lille potens sui｜lactusque deget，oui lice in diem $\mid$ dixisse uixi，Hor．Od．3．29． 41.

41 The poet himself was about sixty－six at the assumed date of this ode，but the prayer is of gene－

 60
 ő тои ттєро́єьs є้ppıчє Пáyабоs

$50 f \tau \epsilon a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu \grave{a} \mu i \lambda \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ g єủavӨє́a каì ПuӨöi бтє́фаעоע.
ral application. Here ёкалоs seems to mean "in unambitious ease," i.e. holding aloof from party strife. He seems to warn his oligarchical hearers not to aim at supremacy in the state, but to rest content in the assurance that democratic license, $\tau \grave{o} \pi \grave{\alpha} \rho \delta \delta \kappa \alpha a \nu \quad \gamma \lambda u \kappa v ́$, will be punished in good time. ë' $\pi \epsilon \_\mu$ 'Approach,' not 'traverse'; $\epsilon_{s}$ governs $\gamma \hat{\eta} \rho a s$ instead of the more usual $\grave{\epsilon} \pi$ l or $\pi \rho$ ós.
42 aî̂ra 'The span-of-life determined by fate' $=$ 'the fatal limit of my life.’ äfıoos mss. ăı The Schol. interprets and so suggests the text.

44 таттаívє Cf. O. 1. 114, I. 7. 13. $\beta$ paxús 'Too puny to,' cf. N. 10. 19 for construction, and
for sentiment P. 10. $27 \dot{\delta} \chi \chi^{a} \lambda_{\kappa \epsilon o s}$
 тot Mss. ötь (so Böckh, "quandoquidem"). Schol. ó $\gamma$ à $\rho$ o ${ }^{\eta}$.
 $\nu$ о̀̀̇ $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu$ oú $\sigma$.

47 Zqvós For order cf. O. and P. p. xxv, I. 4. 19, 20, 43, $44,5.27,28,39,40,7.28,29,49$, 50.

49 xpüбéa Lit. "with luxuriant golden hair." The Pythian games fell about four months after the first Isthmian games in an Olympiad.

51 tủav日éa Cf. v. 34 supra. кal 'Even.' Пvөói So Choeroboskos (Bekker Anec. Tom. 3, p. 1202). Perhaps aioboi should be read Il. 10. 238.

## ISTHMIA VII. [VIII.]

ON THE VICTORY OF KLEANDROS OF AEGINA IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Kleannros, son of Telesarchos of Aegina, had been rictorious as a pankratiast at Nencia and at the Isthmos. There is much difficulty in determining the date. Mezger would place it between the battles of Silamis and Plataea, hut the ode is clearly lsthmian, and is Salamis was fought after the Isthmian games of B.c. 480 , ()I. 74. 4, I do not see that this is possible. Monst authorities give the Nemern, games next after the battle of Plataca, which would be in the Jear b.c. 47 according to Cinger, ascording to Bükh in the supposed 'Winter Nemea,' six months after the battle and siege of Thebes. The first Isthmia of Ol. 75 fell in April B.e. 478 (nnt long after the date of the supposed winter Nemea, when Melissos of Thebes was victor in the pankration. I infer that the orle was composed for the Isthmian festival of B.c. 478 , kilementros' victory having heen gaines at one of the three comsecutive Isthmian festivals immerliately precelins the lbattle of salamis April, B.c. 4s $1,4 \mathrm{4}-2$. $48(1)$, Phylakidas heing the suceessful lmaratiant on the nther two of these three necasions (cf. I. 5, Introxi.). As this mle was a commission for the celebration at a fixed date of a victory gatmed two or more years hefore, it was mobally componed lefore I. :3, ie. hefore April, B.e. $4 \pi$, ins might he grathered from the leas cheerful tome of I. 7 compared with I. 3.

The rocalnalary, which presents an unusual propertion of exclusively epic words, and the somewhat tame ffect pronked by frequent demmstrative promouns at the herimings of clatuses bear evidence to the painful effort made hy the pet in ronsing himself from his troubles to compose at triumphal strain. The ode wats recited in or before the $\pi p \dot{\theta} \theta$ upon of Telesarchas' house.

This orle furnishes an admirable specimen of Pindar's adroitness in adapting myth.

The danger which threatened the dynasty of Zens shonld Thetis bear offspring ly a god is a parallel to the danser to the cult of Zeus which attended the Persian invasion. On each oceasion the wistom of Zens and Posedon had avertel disaster, and Aerina had played a conspicunts part in the deliverance. On each necasion the representatives of the island had endured toil and sormw and loss in lattle (though the death of Achilles wats a somewhat remote consequence), but had won deathless glory.

The 'Apırteia won by the Aeginetans at Salamis are alluded to $v r$. 25 , 5.5, which are metrically corresponding verses. While the divine direction is emplasised by the nearly exact responsion of $\theta$ eब $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu, 30$ with $\theta \epsilon$ ós $r$. 10. As has been already observed, $\pi$ av́oate, $c .35$, recalls the same verb in $v \tau .7$ and 13. The exact respousions of $\pi \in \delta i o v, v v .50$, $40,-\epsilon \chi-v v .29,69, \epsilon \stackrel{\sim}{-}-v \tau \cdot 2,32$ seem to be without significance. Mr Bury draws attention to the recurrence of forms from the root $\lambda_{v}$, and of words suggesting humau mortality and the immortality of Gods.

The divisions of the ode fall after $v ., 16$ and 60 . The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode are dróluatos (Aesch.),


The mode is Aeolo-Lydian.
The metre is logioedic. The strophe constitutes an elaborate antithetic mesodic period, the mesode (ir. is b, (f) consisting of 3 second Pherecratics. The first 18, ve. 4,5 , contains 3 or 4 , the second 18, vv. $5 b-7,2$ second Pherecratics.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } \smile \vdots ᄂ|-\smile|-\bar{\omega}|-\smile|-\smile \rrbracket \text { ग } \mid 9 \text {. } \\
& \text { 2. } \stackrel{\omega}{\rightleftharpoons} \vdots-\cup|-\cup| \sim \cup \mid L \rrbracket \text { 4) } \\
& \text { 3. } \quad-\cup|-\cup| \sim u|ᄂ||-v| \text { | }
\end{aligned}
$$



## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-13. The poet rouses himself and the chorus from grief, of which the worst is over, to requite Kleandros for his victory with an ode of triumph.
13,14 . It is always best to attend to the immediate future.
14, 15. Treacherous fate disturbs the current of life.
15,16 . But if liberty remain even such troubles as those of Thebes admit of healing.
It is a manly duty to cherish bright hopes, and it is a duty for a Theban to offer a song to Aegina.

[^13]17-23. Because she and Thêbar are sisters, beloved of Zens, who made the latter queen of Thebes, while the former bore to him Aeakos.
23, 24. He settled disputes even for immortals.
24, 25. His descendants display hravery and wisdom.
26-47. [Myth] Consequently when Zeus and Poseidon were rivals with resplect to Thetis, who was destined to bear a son mightier than his sire, Themis persuaded them to agree to her marriage with Peleus.
47, 48. Of Achilles' prowess accordingly poets have sung.
49-58. The exploits and death of Achilles are mentioned.
59, 60. By mourning for Achilles the immortals showed their approval of celebrating worthy men after their death.
61. This is right now also,

61-63. And the car of the Muse hastens on to raise a memorial of song in honor of Nikokles.
63, 64. Honor him for his Isthmian rictory in boxing;
64,65 . Since he had already defeated his neighbours.
65. His cousin Kleandros does him credit.

65-67. Let his compeers weave wreaths in honor of Kleandros;
67, 68. Since he has won at Megusa and Epidauros.
69, 70. He has made it easy for a worthy man to praise him, by winning distinctions in his youth.

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho \cdot a^{\prime}
$$

## I $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon a ́ \nu \delta \rho \underset{\iota}{ } \tau \iota \varsigma$ å $\lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \lambda u ́ \tau \rho o \nu ~$

1 tis Cf. $v .65 b$. The indefinite pronoun with the active is often found in Greek where we should use a passive, while in other cases it occasionally refers to a definite person or persons, sometimes with deliberate vagueness, sometimes with solemn mysteriousness, sometimes with sinister or pathetic effect. Cf. N. 8. 50 , where it means the poet, while here it means the chorus, $\hat{\omega} \nu \neq 0, v, 2$, also being addressed to the chorus. Cf. Bac-



ảそobvos. Matthiae, §§ 487, 511, quotes Soph. dj. $245 \ddot{\omega}$ ẅ $\tau \tau \nu^{\prime}$ (us)
 סoîv кगorà̀ ápteroal, 1138 тoût' cls àviav тойтоs Ép $^{2} \in \tau a l$ тiv̌ (thee). Aristoph. Ran. 552, 554, Dem. Med. § 40. Cookesley's 'every one' (Dissen omnes) is not wrong, as an explanation, if we limit it to 'of you, the chorus,' as $v .65$ infra, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \kappa \omega \nu \tau / s=$ 'every one of his equals in age'; in 1l. 17. 227 it means 'every one of you my allies.' Professor Seymour, for 'some one,' 'many a one,' compares Il. 2.

3 TaTpòs ä $\gamma \lambda a o ̀ \nu T \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha ́ \rho \chi o v ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \theta v \rho o \nu ~ i ̀ ̀ v ~ a ̉ v \epsilon-~$ $\gamma \in \iota \rho \in ́ \tau \omega$
 5


## $\mu \in \nu O S$


10

${ }^{6} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ '̇̀ $̀$ ỏ $\rho \phi a \nu i ́ a ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu \omega \nu$,
15


382, where however $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ Tts... $\delta \epsilon \in$ tis seem to mean 'some of you, others of you ' (Dissen refers to this place to support 'Pronomen $\tau$ is usitatum in hortationibus ubi omnes intelliguntur'). Cookesley (after Dissen) cites for 'every one' Hêrod. 8. 109, where Matthiae's alternative 'let the houses be rebuilt' is better, for Themistokles cannot have meant literally 'every one' to build and sow. He also cites Il. 21. 126, where 'many a one,' not 'every one,' is meant. In rendering into English, our own indefinite pronouns should generally be used in such cases, as our idiom somewhat resembles the Greek. $\dot{\operatorname{d} \lambda} \mathrm{\iota k} i \boldsymbol{a} \tau \epsilon$ Generally taken as a hendiadys (cf. Hor. Od. 3. 4. 43 impios | Titanas immanemque turmam; Mezger's three quotations from Pindar, vv. 46,55 infra, N. 8. 46, are quite irrelevant); but from $v .65 c$, cf. veótas v. 68, I infer that the poet bids the chorus raise the komossong for Kleandros and his youthful companions in the kômos (cf. P. 2. 74). $\lambda \nu ́ \tau \rho \circ v . . . к a \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Cf, P.


 $\pi \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$.

3 тарà трóधupov Cf. N. 1. 19


фi入ošeivou.
4 durotva Accusative of general agreement, cf. I. 3. 7, v. 63 infra. Neréa Dative for locative, cf. N. 10. 35 , I. 4. 18.

5 á $\theta \lambda \omega \nu . .$. крátos 'Victory in games,' cf. O. 11. 82, I. 4. 19, 6. 22, Soph. El. $476 . \quad \tau \hat{\omega}$ Cf. v. 65 infra; 'wherefore.' àxvúpєvos Grieving over the troubles of Thebes (see Introd.) and in particular for the death of Nikokles, cf. vv. 61-63 infra. aiтéoнal For the pass. of persons cf. Aesch. Choeph. 480 and Paley's note. This use of the simple verb is almost confined to the participles. Xpvбéav Cf. I. 2. 26. ка入е́ซaь Moīav Cf. N. 3. 1. $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ Cf. картєрáv, v. 13. They are still in grief and anxiety which can only be thrown off by an effort, but the worst is over.

6 év Cf. P. 1. 74. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi$ áv $\omega$ 'Festive garlands,' i.e. festivity and song, cf. v. 67 infra, Eur. Herc.



7 á $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{k}^{\kappa} \tau \omega \nu$ как $\omega \hat{\nu}$ Mss. ả $\pi \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa$. ' From bootless, idle, sorrow.' Cf. Il. 24. $522 \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \in \alpha \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \eta s \mid \epsilon ่ \nu \quad \theta v \mu \hat{\varphi}$

 रóoco, also 550 oú $\gamma$ áp $\tau \iota \pi \rho \eta \eta_{\xi} \epsilon \iota$ акахŋ́mevos vtos є́oîo.
$8 \gamma \lambda \cup \kappa v ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ \delta а \mu \omega \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \pi o ́ \nu о \nu$.

10 го тòv Tavтá̀ov $\lambda i ́ \theta o \nu ~ \pi a \rho a ́ ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ є ̈ т \rho є \psi \in \nu ~ a ̈ \mu \mu \iota ~ \theta є o ́ s, ~$ $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.


 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i<\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{i ̂ \nu}>$ 25

 є̇ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a$

30

8 бaцшбó $\mu \in \boldsymbol{\beta}$ ' We will delight the city folk with.' Cf. Aristoph. Pax 797, ascribed by a Schol. to Stêsichoros' Oresteia, тotáôe xpì
 бофд̀ $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \nu \in \dot{\imath} \nu$, the words $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ бoфò $\pi$ tot $\eta \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ being of course Mristophanes'. This Schol. interprets
 The Grammarians seem to ascribe the sense $\delta \eta \mu о к о \pi \epsilon i v, \pi \alpha i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ to Plato. Cf. Dobson on Plato, Tim. p. 161 (2.1.217). Perhaps $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \mu a$ is rather a ' popular song,' 'popular phrase,' than 'a jest' or 'popular pastime.' val $\mu є \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ móvov 'Though after a painful effort.'

10 тóv mss. te, Böckh $\gamma \epsilon$, Mommsen kail, Bergk ä $\tau \epsilon$. I propose to $v$, which is corrupted $v .65$ infra. For theme cf. O. 1. 54-58, Bergk, Anacreontea 22 [20] $\dot{\eta}$ Tap-

 äцць Dat. commodi.

 So mss. Böckh ád入á $\mu 0$, Berg $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \quad \epsilon \mu^{\prime}$ out with картєрầ $\mu \epsilon \rho ц \mu \nu a ̂ \nu$.

12 бєîца...таротхо́нєขоv Mss. $\delta$.
 $\pi a \rho o c \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ with $\theta \in \dot{6}$ s for suppressed subject. Mommsen $\chi$ ápua $\mu \dot{\jmath} \nu$ vapor $\chi$ o $\mu \hat{\ell} \nu \omega \nu$, suggested by the


тóv $\tau \in$ фóßov каl $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \hat{\beta} \rho!\mu \nu a \nu$ ai $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$
 the construction of the text, 'the passing by of the terror,' cf. O. 9. 103 note, P. 11. 22, 23, Thuk. 1.

 2, 9 . 6 .
13 The ass. give no infinitive verb. The School. give $\sigma к о \pi \epsilon i ̂ v ~ к a i ~$ $\epsilon \hat{v}$ dactitéval and $\pi \rho \circ \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ and àvtє́ $\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$. Thiersch and Böckh give $\sigma к о \pi \epsilon i v: ~ B e r g k ~ n o w ~ r e a d s ~ o ́ p a ̂ v ~$ before ${ }^{\alpha} \epsilon$. ${ }^{\circ}$. For the inf. cf. 0. 7.



 Soph. Ant. 1327, Oed. R. 130, and for sentiment 0, 12. 7.
$14 \times \rho \hat{\mu} \mu^{\prime}$ ai $\pi \alpha \nu$ Here ${ }^{2} \pi \alpha \nu=$ 'in every case,' cf. N. 5. 16. mss. $\chi \rho \eta ิ \mu a \pi a ̂ \nu . ~ B e r g k ~ r e a d s ~ \chi \rho \hat{\mu} \mu$. тауঠ̀́̀ıos. aíwv Cf. I. 3. 18. For sentiment cf. N. 11. 43. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \pi^{\text {a }}$ ...кре̨̧́araı Tmesis. Cf. Simôn.
 ка́pтоs, äтрактоt $\delta \grave{\text { eq }} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \delta o ́ v \in s, a i \hat{\omega} \nu t$

 Archil. Frag. 53 [45] $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ on Tap-
 $\mu a ́ \sigma \theta \omega$.

15 € $\lambda$ if $\sigma \sigma \omega$ Cf. I. 3. 18. $\beta$ hov тópov For metaphor of. O. 2. 33.





40
9 on тà $\nu \mu$ ѐ $\nu$ тарà ка入入七о́o $\omega$


$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}
$$



 ò каi
 $5^{\circ}$
 5 а $5^{a} \chi^{\text {á } \lambda \kappa є о \nu ~ \sigma т о \nu о ́ є \nu т ’ ~ a ̉ \mu ф є ́ т т є \iota \nu ~ o ̈ \mu а \delta о \nu . ~}$ 55
 6 таи̂та каì $\mu а \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ є ̉ \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu а \nu \tau ' ~ a ̉ \gamma о р а i ́, ~$

Some mrs．give $\beta$ bótov，cf．I．3． 23. $\sigma u ́ v \gamma$＇en $\lambda \in v \theta \epsilon \rho$ ia＇So freedom but remain．＇kail tad＇Even such a fate as ours．＇Cf．Od．5． 259 o $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ cv тєХүク่бато каi т́́［Prof．Seymour］． Xpí Cf．I．3．7， 8.

16 Xapítwv Cf．I．3．8，Frag． 53．2，＇songs．＇$\quad$ троиє́ $\mu \in \nu$＇To give lavishly．＇＇For that from her sire were born maidens twain， youngest of Asôpos＇daughters．＇ For the daughters of Asôpos cf． 0．6． 84 ．
17 8ifupat Bacchyldes，3． 78 f．， has oi ờu mucous．．．$\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \mathrm{as}$, P．4． 209 ठiठumol．．．jwai．

19 ＂Masc．demonstrative，cf． vv．23，49．Paley however takes it to be for $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ ob．$\tau \alpha^{\prime} v$ Thêba．

20 ф৯ларн．Cf．Frag．83． 5
 here used in the feminine gender． ＇As tutelary deity．＇Cf．（of＇Thêba and Aegina，apparently daughters
of Ares）Batch．9． 50 ais $\theta \in o i l \mid \sigma \dot{v} \nu$ тúxaıs फ̈ккбба⿱ $\mid$ ar $\rho \chi a \gamma o u ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$ ar $\gamma \cup \mathfrak{a}$ ar．

21 $\sigma$ é Aegina．Oivomíav A variation of Oiv＇urv，N．8．7，the old name of Aegina．Cf．Iv．Aet． 7． 472 later ind sinistro｜Ono－ mam Minos petit Aeacideïa regna， Oenopiam ueteres appellauere；sd ipse｜Aeacus Aeginam genitricis nomine dixit．Ėvєүкஸ́v Bergk

 dative after кочаิто is supplied from $\sigma \epsilon$ ．See I．and S．

25 ảplotevov Cf．Il．11． 746
 Defines while $\sigma$ то⿱丷天óe vita is descrip－ five，cf．$\sigma$ тovóeıs $\sigma i \delta a \rho o s$ Soph．Trach． 887，$\sigma \tau о \nu \dot{\varrho} \in \sigma \sigma a$ $\pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \alpha$ Asch．Pers． 1053．For the two adjectives cf．O． 1．9，O．and P．p．xxiv．Ėy＇vo veto ＇Proved themselves，＇cf．N．3．71， P．2． 72.
才а́ $\omega$, $\quad$ no


 $\pi i \delta \epsilon \varsigma$,
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.


 $\tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu$
;о
4 Tovтíav $\theta \epsilon$ óv, os кєраขขov̂ тє крє́ $\sigma \sigma o \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о ~ \beta \epsilon ́ \lambda о s ~$
 ${ }^{\text {cévav }}$
35a $5^{a} \hat{\eta} \Delta i o ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho ’ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \in o i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu . ~ \dot{e} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$


80


27 épıras mss. and Mommsen, School. and Fd. emp lav, taking Побєiồ as nominative. $\quad \gamma$ á $\mu$ 'With a view to wedlock.' Dative of purpose, cf. I. 6. 7.
 $\epsilon \theta \in \in \lambda \omega \nu$, but cf. O. 2. 97, I. 5. 43.
 $\epsilon \iota \bar{\epsilon} \alpha$. For order cf. O. and P. p. xxv. ${ }^{\prime \prime} X \in \nu$ Hrs. $\epsilon \chi \chi \in \nu,{ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon \nu$. For suppression of object cf. O. 1. 29.

30 єủváv Cf. O. 7. 6.
31 ètákovoav Böckh after Medicean mss. $\eta^{\prime \prime} \kappa \nu \sigma a \nu$. Schol. $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$


 $\delta^{\prime}$ So mss. Bückh $\epsilon \uparrow \pi \epsilon \nu$.

33 ass. give text, the last sylable of $\gamma^{6 \nu o \nu}$ being long (cf. N. 1. 51, 69, 6. 60). Ld. have altered variously. eiveкєv Equivalent to oo $\theta$ oúveка like oüveка = 'that.' Don.
would read oüveкєข. Proteus repeat this prophecy to Thetis, Op. Met. 11. 2!2. Ammônios, s. $v$. outvera, says that Kallimachos wrongly used єїvєка= $=$ öт .

34 тоутíav $\theta$ eóv Thetis.
$35 \Delta$ it $\gamma \epsilon$ mss. omit $\gamma \epsilon$. $\Delta t$ is one long syllable. Cf. N. 1. 72. Edd. Zqui. $\quad \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \gamma \circ \mu$ évav ' If united.' The particle $\hat{\alpha} \nu(\kappa \epsilon$ ), added by Bergk, is not wanted in the apodosis, as the consequence is certain. For the theme of. Apoll. Rood. 4. 797. Asch. Prom. 768 (Paley's notes), $786,920 \mathrm{sqq}$. Bergk


 $\tau \alpha$ $\mu_{\dot{e} \boldsymbol{\nu}}$ ' This prospect,' or 'this rivalry.' Note the transition to oratio rect.

37 Note the chiasmus. Iss. äpєï $\chi \in i p a s\left(\chi^{t} \rho a s\right)$ eva.

8 тò $\mu$ èv є̇ $\mu$ óv, П $\eta \lambda$ éĭ үá $\mu o v$ Өєó $\mu$ opov
85
9 òmáб $\sigma a \iota$ үє́pas Aiaкíסá,

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \epsilon^{\prime}$.




 vídaıs


100

 $\sigma o \phi \omega ิ \nu$

105


38 тò $\mu \hat{\mathrm{c} v} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ 'róv 'It is my counsel.' $\quad$ Єєó $\mu$ орог ass. $\theta \epsilon$ á $\mu о \iota \rho о \nu$ | ojráбal. There is here almost a case of hypallage; cf. O. and P. p. xxiii, N. 3. 38, P. 4. 2555 ن́ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a s$ áктìvos b̈ $\lambda$ ßou.

40 фátis Böckh gives the text. uss. фaбiv ( $\phi$ á ${ }^{\prime}$ ) 'Ia $\omega \lambda \kappa o \hat{v}$. Bergk $\phi p a \sigma i \nu$ and $\tau p a ́ \phi \in \nu$. For Pêleus cf. N. 3. $33,4.50-68$.

42 av̉тí' 'At once,' ev̉ $\theta$ ús goes with $\dot{\epsilon} s$, 'straight to.' á $\gamma \boldsymbol{\in} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ (at Abstract for concrete.
$43 \nu \epsilon \operatorname{có}^{\prime} \omega \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \lambda a$ 'Let not... put into our hands votes about quarrels.' In Athens sometimes, and at Syracuse, the letters indicating ballot-votes were scratched on olive-leaves. See L. and S.s. vv. $\pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\rho}, \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \phi v \lambda \lambda о \phi о \rho \hat{\omega} \omega$.
 Iph. in Aul. 716, 717 Tive $\delta^{\prime}$ є̀v
 $\epsilon \in \lambda \eta \eta$ кúклоs. For the plur. Dissen compares עúkrєs, P. 4. 25̆6. Per-
haps the plural covers the sixteenth day of the month, which is
 783.

45 入v́ol For the active, which generally refers to the bridegroom, cf. Eur. Alc. 177, where Alcestis

 Tmesis, є̇тivevбav. картós Cf, Aesch. Sept. c. Th. 618 єl картòs є̇бтає $\theta \in \sigma$ фа́тоьб८ Mo̧̧iov, Eum. 714

 tous ктíal.
 Böckh. Cf. Soph. Oed. Col. 1752.

47 кal yá $\mu$ ov Explains the cognate acc. そuv'. kal 'And accordingly' (Mezger). Bergk reads
 with distributive neuter plural.
 T'ext Schmidt. бофิิv ' Poets.' For the theme cf. N. 3. 43-58.

9 ô каi Múбıov $\dot{\text { í } \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda o ́ \epsilon \nu ~}$

110

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \varsigma^{\prime} .
$$


$2^{\text {'E }} \lambda$ éval $\tau$ ' є̀ $\lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau o$, Tpwïas
 ßро́тои

 Фєрбєфóvas

120
$55 a 5^{a} \mu a \nu v ́ \omega \nu$ 'A $\chi \iota \lambda \epsilon u ́ s, ~ o u ̋ p o s ~ A i a \kappa \iota \delta \hat{a} \nu$,

 125
 8 бтáv, є่тì $Ө \rho \eta ̂ \nu o ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi о \lambda u ́ \phi а \mu о \nu ~ є ้ \chi є \alpha \nu . ~$

130


$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \zeta .
$$



49 : Cf. vv. 19, 23 supra. Cf. I. 4.41 for the subject.

51 The metaphor is perhaps suggested by the famous bridges of the Persians. It occurs again in

 тoû ouá $\beta a \sigma \iota \nu$.

53 ivas Cf. Lat. nervi, Plato, Rep. 411 в $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \nu \in \hat{\nu} \rho a \dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \psi u \chi \hat{\eta} s . \quad$ ṕv́ovto 'Hindered,' cf. N. 9. 23.
54 кopúvoovza In the active this verb seems to mean 'to be at the head of,' 'to make a head (crest) of,' see references given by L. and S. Mépvovós te $\beta$ ßiav For Memnon of. I. 4. 40, N. 3. 63, O. 2. 83. For the formula cf. 0 . 1. $8 \times, 1$ 1. 11. 61, I. 4. 33.3 .

55 oípos See L. aud S. s.v. (в).
$\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \in \mathfrak{\rho} a v \tau \epsilon$ Not a case of hendiadys (Prof. Seymour), but $=$ 'and his stock,' the Achaean Aeakids. For the metaphor cf. O. 2.46. For the idea cf. I. 4. 43.
$56 \mu \dot{\mu} \nu \ldots \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a^{\circ}$ Of. O. and P. p. xxxvii, I. 3. 25, 34, 4. 46, 51. The hiatus in this line is of an unusual character, cf. O. and P. p. xlii.

57 Cf. Od. 24. 58-64. Foı Rather clat. commodi than possessive dative ( 0 . and P. p. xxxrii, N. 10. 29, I. 4. 43).

58 eml...exeav Tmesis.
59 каl Text D; v.l. o'; Bury $\pi$ ma.
 haps owing to $\lambda$ boov in the wext verse. , ठıסópev Cf. P. 4. 67, for sentiment cf. I. 3. 7.

61 фéptı $\lambda$ óyov 'Is reasonable.'

2 Moıбаîov äриа Nıкок $\lambda$ є́os
 $\mu \iota o \nu$ àv vátos

135
＋$\Delta \omega \rho i \omega \nu$ è $\lambda a \chi \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \lambda i ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ．є̇ $\pi \epsilon i \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota к \tau i ́ o \nu a s$
 $\nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$.

140

 145 6 á $\mu \phi \grave{\imath} \pi а \gamma к р а т i ́ o v \mathrm{~K} \lambda \epsilon а ́ \nu \delta \rho \omega ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon к є ́ \tau \omega$
$7 \mu \nu \rho \sigma i ́ v a s ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu o \nu . ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon i ́ ~ \nu \iota \nu ~ ' А \lambda \kappa a \theta o ́ o v ~ \tau ' ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \nu ̀ \nu$ тú $\chi$ a

${ }^{1} 50$



But P．8． 38 入órov фépets，＇thou earnest the praise．＇

62 Cf．I．2．2，O．6．22－27．
$63 \mu \nu a ̂ \mu \alpha$ Acc．of general agree－ ment，cf．P．1． 58 кє $\lambda \alpha \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha l \ldots \pi o u v a ̀ \nu$ $\tau \in \theta \rho i \pi \pi \omega \nu$ ，also I．3．7，v． 4 supra． ке $\lambda a \delta \bar{\eta} \sigma a, ~ F o r ~ i n f . ~ c f . ~ M a d v . ~ . ~$ § $148 a$ ，rem．$\quad \gamma$ єрal $\rho \in \tau \in ์ \mu \nu \nu$ Old ass．$\gamma \epsilon \rho a i \rho \epsilon \tau a i l \mu \nu$ ，new $\gamma \epsilon \rho a i ̂ p a l \tau \epsilon$ $\mu \nu$ ．àv vátos Hermann from old mss．ávato．New mss．ã $\nu \pi \in \delta o \nu$ ． Cf．I．3． 11 for the idea．
$64 \Delta \omega \rho, \sigma \in \lambda$ ．Cf．I．2．15．$\pi \epsilon \rho t-$ ктiovas Cf，N．11． 19.
65 kal кềvos ıss．кảkeîvos． So in O．2．99，perhaps read with Mommsen and Bergk кal кeîvos （old MSS，кdं кєî̀os，кф̣кєìvos）for $\dot{\text { éceìvos new riss．，and some Edd．}}$ тòv $\mu$ èv．．．yeveá Old mss．against scansion rò $\mu \grave{c} \nu \ldots \gamma \in \nu \in a ́ \nu$ ．For sen－ timent cf．P．8．36，I．3．14．kpıroû ＇Distinguished．＇Cf．P．4．50，N． 7．7．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda i ́ \kappa \omega v \tau \omega ิ ̂ ~ \tau เ ร ~ C f . ~ v . ~ 1 ~ s u p r a, ~$ and for $\tau \hat{\varphi} v .5$ ．

66 Kえєávסpẹ Dat．commodi， ＇in honour of．＇

67 uvpotyas Cf．I．3．87．The revellers in the kômos were to wear wreaths of myrtle．
＇A $\lambda_{k}$ а日óov The games at Megara held in cele－ bration of the death of Alkathoos， son of Pelops．Gùv тúxa＇With prosperous issue．＇Cf．N．7．11， 10． 25.

 The text is Bergk＇s and also mine．

69 тарé̃єь Cf．Eur．El． 1080
 oor［Mezger］．Cf．also Hêrod．1． 9
 $\theta \epsilon \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma a t, 3.142$. ＇It is easy，＇ ＇opportunity presents itself．＇Note that it requires $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau$ à to appreciate and duly celebrate ápetá．
70 ＇For he did not make his youth a thrall to obscurity for lack of essaying noble deeds．＇Strictly
 no experience（through keeping close）in a nook（hole）－of noble deeds．＇For the general meaning cf．I．3．48．The order is strained．

## I.

## I $\Sigma \Theta$ MIONIKAI.

$$
\text { 1. }[4 .]=B^{4} 1 . *
$$







Moıनâv à $\gamma \omega \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ ' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ áé $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$.

$$
1_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{B}^{4} 2 .
$$


 $\lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}$.

1 Given in the Medicean family of mss., apparently the exordium of I. s.

12 alơ Cf. N. 6. 49.
1 3, 4 Cf. P. 1. 61-65.
15 Cf. O. 8.20-30, P.8.2127.

16 סєגфives For their speed cf. P. 2. 50,51, N. 6. 66, Frag. 219. тацíar Cf. N. 6. 27.

17 áé $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$ 'Prizes.'
Is Schol. Lucian, Dial. Mort. 3.

Edited from Vatican us. (Pal. 73) by E. Rhode, Philologus 35. 199. The Schol. ascribes the above fragment to one of Pindar's Isthmian odes in honor of the Rhodian boxer Kasmylos (cf. Simônides, Epigr.
 татрíoos, тí $\delta^{\prime}$ є̇viкทs; | Кабцú入os, Eủaүópov, Пúधıa $\left.\pi u ́ \xi,{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P} o ́ \delta ı o s\right)$.

1 a 1 áßpà $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \in เ \nu C f$. Solon Frag. 24 [5]. 4.

1 12 Cf. Frag. 31.

$$
\text { 2. }[1 .]=B^{4} 5 \text {. }
$$

Aioníoav Sè ミíguфov ré̉ovto



$$
\text { 3. }[2 .]=B^{4} 7 \text {. }
$$



$$
\text { 4. }[3 .]=B^{4} 8 \text {. }
$$


 трía кра̂та ク̈тоt кра́aта．

$$
\text { 5. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 9 \text {. }
$$

Serv．Virg．Geory．I．31，＂Generum vero pro marito positum multi accipiunt，．．．nam et Pindarus èv roîs＇I $\sigma \theta \mu$ iots $\gamma \not a \mu \beta$ po＇s ảvтì rov̂ vvムфíov dixit．＂

## II．

## TMNOI．

## YMNOE A＇©HBAIOIE．

$$
\text { 6. 7. }[5.6 .]=B^{4} 29.30 .
$$

6．＇I $\sigma \mu \eta \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ グ $\chi \rho v \sigma a \lambda a ́ к а т о \nu ~ M \in \lambda i a v, ~$

2 The Isthmian games were originally founded as the funeral games of Melikertes．This frag－ ment is preserved as $\tau \delta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu$＇$I \sigma \theta \mu \iota-$ víaus $\Pi \iota \nu \delta a ́ \rho o u ~ b y ~ A p o l l o ̂ n i o s ~ D y s-~$ kolos，de Synt．2．21，p．156，where he explains that $\hat{\psi}$ is not $\tau \hat{\chi} \Sigma \Sigma \sigma$ ú фov，for Pindar calls Melikertes ＇AӨapavтiáoav（Bergk，ed．4，Frag． 6），but $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ aủrท̂̀，i，e．＇Ivoûs．So the

Introductory Schol．to the Isth－ mians says đopev́ovoal tolvvע тотє̀

 ${ }^{a} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \dot{a}{ }^{\prime} I \sigma \theta \mu \iota a$ ．

3 Apollôn．Dyskol．de Pron．p． 368 A ，as an instance of $\nu \iota \nu$ plural． دS．$\epsilon_{\xi} \xi \kappa \kappa \nu \lambda\{\sigma \theta \eta$ ．

6 Lucian，Demosth．Encom．c． 19 ；also（vv．1－5 $\hat{\eta} \tau \alpha ́ v)$ Plutarch，

ท̀ тàv кvауа́ $\mu \pi ь к а ~ \Theta \eta ́ \beta a \nu$,

5 ท̀ тà $\Delta \iota \omega \nu v ́ \sigma o v ~ \pi о \lambda v \gamma a \theta є ́ a ~ \tau \iota \mu a ́ \nu$,

 $\chi \rho v \sigma \epsilon ́ a \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ їттоьs＇$\Omega \kappa \epsilon a \nu 0 \hat{v} \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi a \gamma a ̂ \nu$
Моîpaı тотi кліцака $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$


 ＂$\Omega \rho a s$.

$$
\text { * 8. }[7 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 31 .
$$






de Glor．Athen．c．14，where is the story of Korinna having criticised Pindar＇s unsparing use of myths， whereupon he composed this hymn



 $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu l a \nu \quad \tau \iota \nu \grave{a} \mu \nu \dot{\theta} \omega \omega \nu$ ó $\Pi l \nu \delta a p o s ~ \epsilon l s$ т $\mu$ édos $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \chi \in \in \nu$ ．The Schol．on N．10． 1 tells us by implication that it was composed for the Thebans，and the Schol．Lucian l．c．that this was the beginning of Pindar＇s Hymus（as collected and published）．

61 Me入iav Cf．P．11．4．For Me入ial，a kind of nymphs，cf．Hês． Theog．187．For the style of．the
opening of I． 6.
7 Clem．Alexandr．Str．6． 731. Bückh saw the identity of rhythm with Frag．6，and made slight emendations accordingly．

72 хриб．亿 i $\pi \pi$ ．Cf．O．1．41， 8．51，of Poseidôn＇s horses．

73 Moîpaı Hêsiod，Theog． 901 －904，makes the Moirae daughters of Zeus and Themis．к $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$（ $\mu$ ака
 seems to answer to $\kappa \lambda$ ．，$\Delta$ toेs $\dot{\delta} \delta 6 \nu$
 way；＇cf．Ov．Met．1．168－171．
$75{ }^{\ell} \mu \mu \in \nu$ For inf．cf．I．7．63， Frag． 33.10.
76 à $\lambda a \theta$ ．＂$\Omega$ p．Cf．O．13．6， 11． $53-55$.


9. $[8]=.B^{4} 32$.
.................................. Tôv $\theta \in o$ v̂

10. $[133]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 33$.


* 11A. [9.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 34$.

* 118. [10.] $=\mathrm{B}^{\ddagger} 35$.



## EIS AMMSNA.

12. $[11]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 36$.

EI』 ПEP
13. $[12]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 37$.

Пóтขıа $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu о ф о ́ \rho є ~ \chi р и \sigma$ ívıov......

9 Altered by Böckh from Aris-

 $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тoîs à $\quad$ р $\omega$ тоıs каl т $\eta$ S $\mu \in \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta ิ s ~ \tau \delta \nu ~ K a ́ \delta \mu o \nu ~$

 Plutarch, de Pyth. Oracl. c. 6. Cf. P. 3. 90.

10 Plutarch, Quacst. Platon. 8. 4. "Avakta тóv MSS. ă $\downarrow$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu$. Text Hermann.

11 a Hephaestion 91. An example of the Pindaricus versus not especially ascribed to Pindar.

11 B $I b$. An example of the Iambelegus, given just after a verse of Pindar.

12 Schol. P. 9. 89. Cf. P. 4. 16. Pausanias 9. 16 tells us that Pindar dedicated a statue by Kalamis for a temple of this god at Thebes, and that a hymn to Ammon sent by the poet to his Libyan temple was there preserved in Pausanias' time on a three-sided stêlê. Cf. Frag. 36.

13 Vit. Pind. Cod. Vrat. A, where the hymn is said to be to Dêmêtêr. Pausanias 9. 23. 2 says

## EIS TYXHN.

* 14. $[16]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 38$.
 ov̉ $\sigma \theta$ évos.

$$
\text { 15. 16. 17. }\left[\begin{array}{lll}
14 . & 15.13 .]=B^{4} 39.40 .41 .
\end{array}\right.
$$




 ủ $\lambda \eta \theta \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ катà Пívסapov. Ibid. c. 4 , ov̉ $\mu \epsilon ̀ v \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon v \theta \grave{\eta} s$ (Tv́X $\kappa а \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \Pi i ́ v \delta a \rho o v, ~ o v ̉ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta i ́ \delta v \mu o v ~ \sigma \tau \rho є ́ \phi o v \sigma a \pi \eta \delta \alpha ́ \lambda \iota o v$. - Pausan.

 $\tau \iota$ í $\chi$ v́єєข.

$$
\text { 18. }[171 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 42
$$

 $\mu o ́ \chi \theta$ оs ä $\mu \mu \iota \nu$. тои̃тó үє́ то८ fєрє́ш.
$\kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ف̉v $\mu \circ i ̂ \rho a ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Є่s $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \pi a \nu \tau i ̀ ~$ $\lambda a \hat{\omega}$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { * 19. }[173 .]= & \mathrm{B}^{4} 43 . \\
& { }^{\S} \Omega \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \circ \nu,
\end{aligned}
$$




ä $\lambda \lambda о \tau^{\prime}$ ả $\lambda \lambda о i ̂ a ~ ф \rho o ́ \nu є \iota . ~$
that Pindar calls "Aıồs xpuoŋंvios in a hymn to Persephonê.

14 Aristid. 2. 334. Cf. I. 3. 49-53.

14-17 Cf. O. 12. 2.

18 Stobreos, Flor. 109. 1. For sentiment cf. P. 3. 83.

19 Athênaeos 12.513 c .
192 тоуті́ou 日ŋpós I.e. Hov-入útoóos. Amphiarâos is advising

$$
\text { 20. }[23 .]=B^{4} 44
$$

Lactant．ad Stat．Theb．II．85，＂Oyygii Thebani ab Ogyge rege aut amme．Sic Pindarus in Somniis＂？（Cod．Gud．Frising． Cassell．Somnis，Boeckh Hymnis）．

$$
\text { 21. 22. }[20.21 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 45.46 .
$$

Antiattic．in Bekk．An．i．80．S，$\alpha^{\alpha} \rho \chi^{\alpha \iota \epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$. Mivóapos



$$
\text { 23. }[18 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 47 \text {. }
$$




$$
\text { 24. }[17 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 48
$$








$$
25 .[19 .]=\mathrm{B}^{\star} 49 .
$$





Amphilochos，cf．Athènaeos 7，p．
 עо́ov，＇Aцрілох＇ӥршs，｜тоїшь غ́фар－


20 The quotation is quite un－
intelligrible．Perhaps，as Bückh and others suggest，it begins with ＇$\Omega$ ruyious of＇$\epsilon \overline{i p e v}$ and ends with＇s alnú．The letters in five versions run thus：

| Text opite | $\mathrm{T} \omega \mathrm{CD}$ cey P c Noto | NNHTHCTANe | CCIT $\boldsymbol{y}$ y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corl．（illd．opite | $\mathrm{I} \omega \mathrm{C}\lrcorner \mathrm{EE} / \mathrm{P}$ P $\mathrm{N} \omega$ | N工НTHEФа．－NE | CCIIII |
| Cod．Frisin！．opite | $\iota^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ EseyPE－NO． | VNH－THFゆа．－Ne | CCyN゙！ |
| Cod．Cassell．opite | I $\omega$ Ca EETPENy | NNNtHФaNE | CCIIII |
| Cod．Mon．opire | $\mathrm{I} \omega \mathrm{CD}$ eeypenoro |  | CCINH！ |

［The ranging is mine to exhibit the correspondences and differences as clearly as possible．All the rersions have a space after the 5th letter． The other ass．spaces are indicated by－．］

$$
\text { 26. }[22 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 50
$$

Quintil. viri. 6. 71, "Exquisitam vero figuram huius rei (hyperboles crescentis) deprehendisse apud principem Lyricorum Pindarum videor in libro, quem inscripsit " $Y \mu v o n s$. Is namque Herculis impetum adversus. Meropas, qui in insula Co dicuntur habitasse, non igni nee vemis nee mari, sed fulmini dicit similem fuisse, ut illa minora, hoc par esset."

$$
\text { 27. 28. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 51 \text {. }
$$








Schol. Aristoph. Plut. 9, каì тà $\mu$ èv $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau о v ̂ ~ \Pi u \theta i ́ o v ~ \tau р i ́ \pi о \delta о s ~$
 $\delta \iota \in i ́ \lambda \eta \pi \tau \alpha \iota$.

## III.

## $\Pi$ A I A N E $\Sigma$. <br> EIE A $\because O \Lambda \Lambda \Omega N A ~ \Pi Y \Theta I O N$.

29. $[24]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 52$.
 $\lambda \omega \lambda$ ย́vaı.
30. $[25]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 53$.
 ä $\epsilon \delta о \nu \mathrm{~K} \eta \lambda \eta \delta_{o ́ v} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varsigma$.

29 Schol. N. 7. 94. From a pacîn composed for Delphi. The words refer to Neoptolemos.

30 Paus. 10.5.12. The $\kappa \eta \lambda \eta$ סóves (Xthên. 7. 290 x , Paus. к 7.2 jmoves) were like the Seirens. From

## * 31. [26.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 3$.



 $\epsilon$ є's €́ß







 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \in v т$ ท̂бaц.

$$
\text { * 32. }[27 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 54
$$









$$
\text { * 33. }[28 .]=B^{4} 55
$$

Schol. Aeschyl. Eum. 3, Hívסapós фךбı тро̀s ßíav кра-
 $\hat{\eta} \Gamma \hat{\eta}$.

Galen, I. 18 A, p. 519, Bergk
 Golden figures representing these females were suspended under the roof of the third temple at Delphi [Don.].

31 Bergk now considers that
this passage refers to the Isthmian to which the Frag. 1a belonged.

32 The golden eagles and omphalos are represented on a stater of Kyzikos, Brit. Mus. Educ. Series of coins, Period 2, no. 12. Cf. P. 4. 4,74 .

$$
34 .=B^{4} 56
$$








 хореúбоขта.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { EI } \Sigma \triangle I A \quad \Delta \Omega \Delta \Omega N A I O N . \\
\quad \text { * } 35 .[29 .]=B^{4} 57 .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\Delta \omega \delta \omega \nu a i ̂ \epsilon \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \varsigma$, ảpıбтóтє $\chi \nu a \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$.
35 A.








 Symp. Qucuest. I. 2. 5 et Clem. Alex. Str: v. 710, Eusel). Prapp.
 $\kappa \alpha i \epsilon v \dot{v} о \mu i ́ a s$ should be added to Frag. 35.

$$
\text { 36. }[30 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} \text { อั8. }
$$

Schol. Soph. Truech. 17.), Eủputiòns Sè tpeis yєyovévae фŋनiv

 $\Delta \omega \delta \dot{\omega} \eta \nu$, ш́s каì Пívסароs Паıâбtv.

$$
35 \text { a Bergk's note on Frag. } 35 .
$$

$$
\text { * 37. 38. [31. 32.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 59.60 .
$$





 10.57. 57. -Straho, vif. 328, каì oi тpayıкоi tє каì Пívסapos


$$
\text { 39. }[33 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 61 \text {. }
$$

 à $\nu \grave{\rho} \rho$ íTèे à $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ i \sigma \chi u ́ \epsilon l ;$
 Bротéa ф фєví. Hvatâs $\delta^{\prime}$ àmò $\mu a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ e ́ \phi v . ~$

$$
\text { 40. }[34 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 62 .
$$





$$
\text { 41. }[35 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 63 \text {. }
$$


 каì Пívóapos èv Пaıâov.

$$
\text { 42. * 43. }[36,37 .]=B^{4} 64.65 .
$$


 Aelian. Ver. Hist. XII. 36, 'Алкдìv סéка (Niobae lileeros),
 xx. 7, "Nam Homerus pueros puellasque eius (Niolae) bis senos dicit fuisse, Euripides bis septemos, Sappho bis novenos, Bacchylides et Pindarus bis denos."

| 39 | Stob. E'cl. Phys. 2. 1. 8. | 1002. | épevváбєı Dückh, Stob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 391 | ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \pi$ teal Cf. N. 7. 20. | ย¢¢evvâacu. |  |
|  | Cf. I. 4. 16, Eur. Bacch | ¢povara. |  |

44．45．46．47．4\％．［35．40，41．42．39．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 66-70$ ．












 a $\hat{\nu}^{2} \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$.

## IV．

## $\Delta \mathrm{I} \Theta \Upsilon \mathrm{PAMBOI}$.

49．［43．］$=\mathrm{B}^{\frac{1}{2}} 71$.




$$
\text { 50. }[44 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 72 .
$$

＇A入ó $\chi \omega$ $\pi о т \epsilon ̀ ~ \theta \omega \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon i s ~ \dddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \chi$＇ả入入отрía ＇$\Omega a \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ ．

44－48 From Didymos＇com－ mentary on Pindar＇s Pacîns．

50 Litym．Ma！m．p． 460.35, Cramer，An．P＇ar．4．191，7，An．Ox． 3．89．29．＇Once when drunken，

Orion assaulted another＇s wife．＇ See L．and S．$\theta \omega \rho \eta{ }^{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ ，in．The allasion is perhaps to Orion and
 Schol．N．2． 16.

## 51. [52.] $=$ B $^{4} 73$.



 264. 44). Hygin. P'oet. Astron. II. 34, "Aristomachus autem dicit quendam Hyriea fuisse Thelis, Pindarus autem in insula Chio. Hunc autem, cum Iovem et Mercurium hospitio recepisset, petisse alb his, ut sili aliquid liberorum nasceretur: itaque, quo facilius petitum impetraret, bovem immolasse et his pro epulis apposuisse : quod cum feeisset, poposcisse Iovem et Mercurium quod corium de bove fuisset detractum, et quod fecerant urinae in corium infudisse, et id sub terra poni iussisse : ex quo postea matum puerum, quem Hyrieus e facto Uriona nomine appellaret: sed vetustate et consuetudine factum est ut Orion vocaretur. Hic dicitur Thebis Chium venisse, et Oenopionis filiam Meropen per vinum cupiditate incensus compressisse etc."

$$
\text { ร2. }[\text { ั3. }]=\text { B }^{4} 74 \text {. }
$$

......T T $\rho \in \chi$ є́т $\omega$ סè $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$


$$
\text { 53. }[45 .]=B^{4} 75 .
$$

 є่ $\pi i ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \lambda \nu \tau a ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu, ~ \theta є o i ́, ~$


52 Schol. N. 2. 16. Lucian, pro Imag. c. 18, Etym. Magn. p. 675.33. трєХє́ть Bergk, Böckh $\tau \rho \in ́ \chi \omega \nu$.

53 Dionysios Halikarn. de Comp. Verb. c. 22, given as an
 The piece was composed for the Athenians.

531 "I $\delta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ Böckh with one Ms. $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \tau$ '. Perhaps "I $\tau \varepsilon \delta^{\delta}$. For
$i \delta \epsilon \hat{\nu}=$ 'regard with favor' cf. O. 14. 14. For $l \delta \epsilon i \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu(\epsilon \in s)$ cf. Frag. 100. 9. देv Cf. P. 2. 11. For the invocation cf. Aristoph. Nub. 563.
 ràv xápıy 'A renowned (or 'loud') song.' Cf. O. 14. 19, I. 6. 19, 7. 16.

3 ó $\mu \phi \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} v$ Dissen takes this to be the altar of the Twelve gods in the forum at Athens.

## èv vaîs ípaîs＇A $\theta$ ávaıs


io ठєтầ $\lambda a ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \notin a ́ v \omega \nu$


є̇тì кıनбоס́́tav $\theta$ єóv，
 $\dot{v} \pi a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \pi \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \nu$




 N．6．30．Cf．Soph．Oed．R． 161. ajopáv The old forum below the Pnyx，Akropolis and Areopagos．

6 入áxєтє Bergk $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ．
$7 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ éap．$\lambda o \iota \beta$ ．Böckh $\tau \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau^{\prime}$
 $\delta \rho \delta \pi \omega \nu=\mid \dot{\alpha} \mu o \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \quad \Delta \iota$ ．$(\dot{\alpha} \mu 0 \iota \beta$ ，асс． in apposition with the sentence）． $\Delta$ to $\theta \in v$＇From heaven．＇aby $\lambda a \hat{a}$ Böckh，Mss．ar $\lambda \lambda$ aida．

8 סєitєpov Perhaps the first occasion was with the dithyramb of which the next fragment is the opening．
 бокбцаע．So кıббофброя О．2．27， How．Hymn．26．1，Eur．Phocn． 651．Simon．Frag． 148 ［205］$\pi 0 \lambda$－






10 róv＇Whom．＇Some place a full stop after v． 9 and a comma after $\kappa a \lambda \epsilon \in \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．$\mu \dot{\epsilon} v \ldots \tau \epsilon$ Cf． 0 ． 4．15．$\pi a \tau \epsilon \rho \omega v$ Zeus，while
 For the plural cf．I．4．43，roiotv referring only to Achilles，N．1． 58.
$\mu \epsilon \lambda \pi \in \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu$ For inf．cf．I．7．63， Frag．7． 5.

11 kodov The poet identifies himself with his ode，cf．O．7．13． Some Mss．$\Sigma_{\epsilon \mu \epsilon} \lambda_{\eta \nu}$ ．

12 MSS．$\epsilon \nu$ dj $\rho \gamma \epsilon \in \alpha, \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon(a) \mu \alpha \nu-$
 （i．e．the custodian of the sacred palm tree at Nemea，branches from which the victors bore in their hands），Bergk＇̇vap $\epsilon^{\prime} \dot{d} \nu \epsilon \in \mu \omega \nu$

 $\tau \iota \nu$. Perhaps $\dot{\epsilon} \nu a \rho \gamma \epsilon^{\prime}$ ằ $\nu \epsilon \epsilon \mu a \mu \alpha ́ \nu \tau \iota \nu$ （ $\theta \in \mathcal{M}$ for ss．ЄM€）．Heyne and his followers suppose Pindar to have been at Nemea in the spring． But if this were so，there is no reason why he should mention it， as the games were in the summer． Of course $\mu a ́ v \tau \iota \nu$ is most naturally the poet．

13 фоเvเкоfєávตv Koch from uss．фoivikos $̇ \alpha \nu \omega ิ \nu$ ．Other Fd． before Bergk фоiviкos typ os．ob－ $X^{\theta} \theta$ évtos Cf．Lur．1． 10.

14 ह̇тatwotv Cf．N．2． 14 for the meaning＇feel，＇＇feel the influence of＇；and for the number cf．P． 1. 13．Bergk érá $\gamma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ chap．фutà $\nu \in \kappa$－ та́рєа｜то́тє $\beta$ á入入єтац．

 à $\chi є i ̂ \tau a i ́ ~ т ' o ̉ \mu \phi a i ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \sigma u ̀ \nu ~ a u ̉ \lambda o i ̂ s, ~$ á $\chi є i т a \iota ~ \Sigma є \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda а \nu ~ є ̀ \lambda \iota к а ́ \mu т и к а ~ \chi о р о и ́ . ~$

$$
54 .[46 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 76 .
$$

${ }^{5} \Omega$ таi $\lambda \iota т а р а і ~ к а і ~ і о \sigma т е ́ \phi а \nu о \iota ~ к а i ~ « \iota о i ́ \delta \iota \mu о \iota, ~$
 $\lambda i \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu$.

$$
\text { * } 5 \text { ธ. }[196 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 77 .
$$

" $\mathrm{O} \theta_{\iota} \pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ ' A \theta a \nu a i \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \beta(\imath ́ \lambda o \nu \tau o ~ \phi a \epsilon \nu \nu a ̀ \nu ~$ $\kappa \rho \eta \pi i \delta^{\prime}$ è $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a s$.

$$
\text { * } 56 .[225 .]=\mathrm{B}^{1} 78 .
$$

K $\lambda \hat{v} \theta$ ', ' $А \lambda a \lambda a ̀ ~ \Pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v ~ \theta u ́ \gamma a \tau \epsilon \rho, ~$



$$
57 \text { А. } 57 \text { в. }[47.48 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 79 \text { А, в. }
$$

Прì $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \rho \pi \epsilon ~ \sigma \chi о \iota \nu о \tau \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota \iota ́ ~ \tau ’ ~ c ̉ o \iota \delta a ̀ ~ \delta \iota \theta v \rho a ́ \mu \beta \omega \nu$ $\kappa а \grave{\imath}$ тò $\sigma a ̀ \nu ~ к і ́ \beta \delta a \lambda о \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ т о ь \sigma \iota \nu ~ \grave{~ i т o ̀ ~ \sigma \tau о \mu a ́ т \omega \nu . ~}$

15 X Өóv’ Var. lect. Хє́ $\rho \sigma о \nu$.
$16 \mu$ iүvutal The fó $\delta a$ softens the schema Pindaricum (cf. P. 10. 71, 0. 10.6).

17 axeital $\tau^{\prime}$ Hermann's correction of oix $\chi \in i \tau \epsilon$, $\dot{\cup} \mu \nu \in i ̄ \tau \epsilon$, from Lesbonax, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ бхך $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, p. 184, Valcknaer. Bergk ả $\chi \in \hat{\imath} \tau^{\prime}$ after one MS.

54 Schol. Aristoph. Acharn. 673, Nub. 299, Equ. 1329, Aristid. 3. 341. Cf. Isokr. de Antidosi, 166. Cf. also O. and P. pp. xi, xii.
$541{ }^{5} \Omega$ тal Böckh ail $\tau \epsilon$ 。 loбтє́\$avor I.c. at the vernal Dionysia, cf. last Frag. v. 6. Cf. Aristoph. Acharn. 636 sqq.

55, 56 Plut. de Gl. Athen. c. 7 .

57 A Strabo 10. 469 (719), Athênaeos 11. $467 \mathrm{~B}, 10.488 \mathrm{D}$, Dionysios Hal. de Comp. Verb. c. 14. Böckh by emendation and combination gets the text. Dionysos l.c. explains, $\epsilon i \sigma l$ dè ot d dolyuous $\varphi \dot{j} \delta \dot{\alpha} s$ öخas ध́ $\pi o i o u v, ~ \delta \eta \lambda o ̂ ̂ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o u ̂ t o ~ \Pi i ́ v \delta a \rho o s, ~$ к.т. $\lambda$. Such an ode was ascribed to Lasos of Hermionê, under whom Pindar studied. The Greeks confused the Phoenician sibilants. The sign of shin $M$ is used for sigma in early Aeolo-Dorian alphabets, while the name od̀ $\nu$ may be borrowed from the Phoenician equivalent for
............oi $\mu$ ย̀̀ кати́рхєєข,
$\mu a ̂ т \epsilon \rho ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a, ~ \pi и ́ \rho a ~ ค o ́ \mu ß о \iota ~ к ข \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \omega \nu . ~$
 өаї $\sigma \iota$ тєи́каıя.

$$
57 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{s}} 80 .
$$

$\mathrm{K} \nu \beta \beta_{\epsilon} \lambda a \quad \mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon \rho$ $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$.
ร. $[49]=.B^{4} 81$.
......इè $\delta^{\prime}$ Є̀ $\gamma \grave{\omega}$ тapá $\mu l \nu$



5 каї како̀у є้ $\mu \mu є \nu а$.

$$
\text { 59. }[50 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 82 \text {. }
$$

Tà̀ $\lambda \iota \pi а \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \mu e ̀ ̀ ~ A i ̈ \gamma v \pi \tau o \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma \chi i ́ \kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu o \nu . ~$
either shin or sain (the 7 th letter, zeta). The sigma of the ordinary Greek alphabet takes the place of shin, while the Greek Xi has the place of samech, but its name sounds as if it might be borrowed from shin. Zeta again has the place of sain but the name of sade. These facts suggest that the ordinary Doric sibilant differed in pronunciation from the Attic and that $\xi<$ sy (Doric future) may have been pronounced more like our sh or German sch than like ks. In this fragment Pindar seems to claim the invention of improvements in the dithyramb. Is $\delta \iota \theta \dot{v} p a \mu \beta$ os a dialectic form for $\delta \iota \phi \theta \in \rho \rho a \mu \beta o s$, 'skin-chant,' the part - $\alpha \mu \beta$ - being akin to $\delta \mu \phi \eta^{\prime}$ ? For Pindar's punming derivation see Frag. 62.

57 B Strabo, l.c. Frag. 57 A, whence it appears that this fragmont is from the same dithyramb
as the last.
57 в 1 кarápXetv For the aclive see my note on ámáp $\quad$ €, N. 4. 46.

57 в 3 év $\delta \epsilon \in$ Cf. O. 7. 5, Soph. Oed. R. 27. кєХ入ニ̈ठєเv iss. каХ$\lambda \alpha^{\delta} \omega \nu$. Text Hermann.

57 c Philodêmos, $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \epsilon \beta$. p. 29 (Gompertz). Bergk's restoration from a very corrupt passage. Perhaps it is from the same ode as the last two fragments.

58 Aristid. 2. 70.
581 тapá $\mu$ tv So Bergk from two mss. and a Schol. Böckh $\pi a \rho{ }^{3}$ $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \mu \mu \nu \nu$, other uss. $\pi a \rho$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \mu l \nu$, 'between ourselves.'

584 Cf. O. 1. 83.
585 kail kakóv 'And so be a coward.'

59 Schol. P. 2, Instr. ảyX ${ }^{\text {C- }}$ кр $\eta \mu \nu$ vv V.l. ar $\gamma \in \iota$ кข $\eta \not \mu \omega \nu$. For text cf. Frag. 184.

60．［51．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 83$.

61．［54．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 84$ ．

 Phot．373， 11.

$$
62 .[5]=B^{4} 85 .
$$



 $\pi \lambda є о \frac{\partial \sigma \mu o ́ v .}{}$

$$
\text { * } 63 .[56 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 86 .
$$


 тар⿳亠 Пlvóá $\varphi$ ．$^{\text {．}}$
V.

ПРОミO $\triangle$ IA．

## EIさ $\triangle$ HAON．

64．65．［5̌．］$=B^{4} 87.88$.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho}
$$

X аîp＇， $\mathfrak{\omega}$ Өєод $\mu a ́ \tau а, ~ \lambda \iota т а р о т \lambda о к а ́ \mu о v ~$

 Bpotoì

60 Schol．O．6．152．Cf．O．6．was shaken by an earthquake，so 90.

64 Philo．Jndaeus，de Comrupt． Mundi，2，p． 511 （Mangey）．

643 ảkivŋุтov Iu m．c． 490 Dêlos
unless I． 1 and this＇Prosodiac Paein＇were composed before that clate，the epithet means＇unmoved from its place，＇as is most likely．
 кvavéas $\chi$ Өоуòs äбтроע．
＇ $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau$ ．
 ảע $\varepsilon$ $\mu \omega \nu$

 $\pi \rho є ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu$ ảтढ́povбav $\chi$ Өорí $\omega \nu$ ，



## AITINHTAIE EIミ AФAIAN．

$$
\text { 66. }[59 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 89 .
$$

Tí ка́̀入入ıоข ả $\rho \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ \eta ̂ ~ к а т а т а \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \iota \sigma \iota \nu, ~$
 ảєîбa८；

> Eİ $\triangle \mathrm{E} \Lambda \Phi \mathrm{OY} \mathrm{\Sigma}$.
> * $67 .[60]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 90$.
．．．．．．．Прòs＇O $\lambda v \mu \pi i$ íov $\Delta$ cós $\sigma \epsilon$ ，
$\chi \rho v \sigma$ є́a к $\lambda \nu \tau о ́ \mu а \nu \tau \iota ~ \Pi v \theta о \hat{\text { ，}}$




644 Detos was called Asteria and Anaphê．

65 Strabo 10，p． 742 в（485）． It is clear from the metre this fragment is from the same poem as the last．The first two verses of 65 answer to the third and fourth of 64 ．

652 Kotoyєขńs Lêto，cf．Hês． Theog．404－406．Ooais asss．$\theta$ viots， Bergk Oúoıa＇．

653 ＇̇ $\pi$＇́ßaıvev Porson ėmé $\beta a \nu \iota \nu$ ．

655 äv．．．．$\quad$ xéoov Tmesis．
66 Schol．Aristoph．Equites， 1263 ，cf．Paus．2．30． 3.

662 ènártıpar Artemis Aphaea， a goddess worshipped in Aegina． Cf．imтooóa，0．3． 26.

67 Aristid．2． 510 （379）．
674 хорผ̂ So Bergk，vulg； $\chi$ ढ́pp，perhaps＇the dancing－place＇ at Delphi．For the connexion of Aphrodite and the Graces with Delphi cf．P．6． 2.

## 68. $[61]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 91$.

Porphyr. de Abst. iil. 251, Mívoapos $\delta$ è èv $\pi \rho o \sigma$ wóous

 ling roîs ả入óroıs) らథ́oıs.

$$
\text { * 69. 70. [93.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 92.93 .
$$

Kєív@ $\mu$ ѝ̀ Aïтva $\delta є \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ i ́ \pi \epsilon \rho ф i ́ a \lambda o s ~$ а̉ $\mu$ фікєєтаи.

 є̀v 'Арі́ноьs тотє́.

$$
71 .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 94 .
$$



## VI.

ПА P $\Theta$ ENIA.
HANI 72-77.

$$
\text { 72. }[63 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 95 \text {. }
$$

${ }^{ } \Omega$ П'áv, 'Аркаסias $\mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$, каì $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ádút $\omega \nu$ фú $\lambda \alpha \xi$,
Matpòs $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a s ~ o ̀ m a \delta e ́, ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̂ \nu ~ X a \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \eta \mu a ~$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu o ́ v$.

69, 70 Strabo 13. 626 ( 930 A). Cf. Julian, Ep. 24. 395.

702 ékatovтakápavov So Hermann after P. 1. 16 (cf. Schol. Hes. Theog. 311), 31ss. Tvфผิעа $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \nu-$
$\tau \alpha \kappa є \phi a \lambda 0 \nu$.
71 Cramer, An. Par. 3. 292. 26.

72 Eustath. Prooem. 27. Schol. P. 3. 139.

* 73. [66.] $=\mathrm{B}^{ \pm} 96$.
 калє́оьбьข 'О $\lambda$ и́ $\mu \pi \iota \iota$.

$$
\text { * 74. [65.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 98 .
$$

Schol. Theokr. v. 14, тòv Пâva тòv üктьor. тivès $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тòv



$$
\text { * 75. [64.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 97
$$

Tò $\sigma a v \tau o \hat{v} \mu$ é $\lambda o s \gamma \lambda \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$.

$$
\text { * 76. [67.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 99
$$


 Aïүuлтоv íєpєîs катépa日ov.

$$
\text { * 77. }[68 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 100 .
$$

Serv. Virg. Georg. i. 16, "Pana Pindarus ex Apolline et Penelupa in Lyco (Lycaeo) monte editum scribit, qui a Lycaone rege Arcadiae locus (Lycaeus) mons dictus est: alii ex Mercurio et Penelope natum, comitem feras solitum e cubilibus excitare, et ideo capripedem figuratum esse etc."




73 Aristot. Rhet. 2. 24.
731 таvтобaтóy The meaning which mavтoסatos obviously bears Aristoph. Ran. 289 is 'taking all kinds of forms'; but for this passage 'universal' is still better. Pindar may however apply Em-pusa-like attributes to the god who was often the author of terror.

The name $\kappa \dot{v} \omega \nu$ is not inappropriate to the god of flocks who was also a hunter, when he is spoken of as an attendant.

75 Schol. Theok. 1. 2, inter-

 $\kappa \lambda \omega \kappa у \alpha$.

## A II O $\Lambda \Lambda \Omega \mathrm{NI}$ ．

$$
\text { * 78. 79. [70.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 101.102
$$


 v̋ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ єै $\sigma \tau a$,
$\kappa а i ̀ \mu \nu \chi o u ̀ s ~ \delta \iota v a ́ \sigma \sigma a т о ~ \beta a \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma ~ к \rho \eta \pi i ̂ \delta a s ~ a ̉ \lambda \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$,
 ＊＊＊
．．．．．．．ขaотóخоv $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota \nu ~ \delta a \pi \epsilon ́ \delta о \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ о ́ \mu о к \lambda є ́ a . ~$

$$
80 .[62 .]=B^{4} 10.3
$$






S1．$[69]=.B^{4} 104$ ．




## VII．

$\Upsilon \Pi$ O P Х H M A T A．
IEP $\Omega$ NI $\Sigma \Upsilon P A K O \Sigma I \Omega \iota 82.83$.
82．$[71.72]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 105$.
ミúves ö тo九 $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$ ，ऍaӨє́ $\omega \nu$ iєр $\omega \nu$

78， 79 Strabo 9．412， 413.
783 Sıváơato（2y．à̉入áo－ $\sigma \epsilon \tau о$ ？$\quad \beta$ à入ó $\mu \in v o s$ Cf．P．у．8：3， 7． 3.

784 Пт $\mathbf{7}$ tov Bergk，vulg． HTwov．The ode seems to have
celebrated the foundation of an oracle and shrine near Alkaephia， between II P＇tion and the Lake Kôpâis．
82 Schol．N．7．1；Schol．P． 2．127；schol．Aristoph．Aves， 925 ．
ó $\mu \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu \varepsilon \pi$ т́́тєр，кті́бтор Aïтvas．


$亏 \dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \eta \grave{\eta}_{S}$ eैßa $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \ldots .$.

$$
\text { 83. }[73 .]=\text { B }^{4} 106 \text {. }
$$





 à $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha о к а ́ \rho т о и}$


OHBAIOI』 EIさ HAION EKAEI世ANTA．

$$
\text { * 84. }[74 .]=B^{4} 107 .
$$

＇Aктis＇Aє $\lambda i o v, ~ \tau i ́, ~ т о \lambda v ́ \sigma \kappa о \pi ' ~ є ’ \mu a ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \alpha ́, ~ \epsilon ่ \mu \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon \rho ~$ o’ $\mu \mu \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ ，
 є̈Ө $\dagger к а \varsigma ~ a ̉ \mu a ́ \chi a \nu o \nu ~ i \sigma \chi \chi ̀ \nu ~[\pi \tau а \nu o ̀ \nu] ~$

Cf．Plato，Phaedr． 236 d ，Meno 76 D.

823 It is not certain that this line followed the last immediately． $\Sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Hierou＇s charioteer when he won the victory celebrated by P．2，to whom he had given the mules．Here Pindar hints that a chariot also would be acceptable． Note the absence of any formal indication of the simile．

83 Athênacos 1． 28 A，clearly from the same poem as Frag． 82.

831 Cf．Soph．Aj． 8.
835 ӧпла＇Shields，＇cf．O． 7. 83.

836 Cf．O．4．10，note．

84 Dionys．Hal．de adm．vi dic．Demosth．c．7．Cf．Boetticher， Annal．Antiqu．1853，p．184．The various proposed emendations of the text are given in Bergk，ed． 4. Ideler supposes that the eclipse in question occurred at 2 p．м．，April 30，в．c．463．With this fragment compare Archilochos Frag． 74.
841 ＇$\epsilon \mu \dot{\alpha}, \kappa . \tau . \tau . \lambda$. mss．$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta}_{s} \theta \in \hat{\omega} \mu^{\prime}$

 бкот’ Cf．11．3． 277.

842 äcrpov Vocative in appo－ sition with diktis．Cf．Philostratos Ep． 53 （72，p．949），O．1． 6.
843 тtavóv Qy．$\pi$ pa $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\delta \omega \nu$ ？

5 ảтратòv Є̇ $\sigma \sigma v \mu$ éva

ả入入á $\sigma \epsilon$ тро̀s $\Delta \star o ́ s, ~ i m \pi \pi \sigma o ́ a ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ s, ~ i к \epsilon \tau \epsilon u ́ \omega, ~$
 тáyкоьขоу тє́pas．





ข́ठать ఢако́тш סьєро́v，


ỏ đoфúpo $\mu a \iota$＊oủ $\delta$ èv ő $\tau \iota^{*}$
$\pi ส ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu є ́ т а ~ т є є і ́ \sigma о \mu а ь . ~$

$$
85 .[75 .]=B^{4} 108 .
$$

Єeoû ס̀̀ $\delta \epsilon i \xi a \nu \tau o s ~ a ̉ \rho \chi a ̀ \nu ~$
 тєлєчтаí тє ка入入íovєя．

844 бoфias Especially augury and foreknowledge．

846 é $\lambda a ̂ ้$＇To bring on some－ what more strange－and－dreadful．＇ Cf．P．4． 155.

847 iттобóa $\theta$ gós Blass．Miss． ïr $\pi$ os $\theta$ oás（ $\theta a \theta 0 \hat{s} s, \theta a \theta o a ́ s)$ ．Bergk immous $\tau \in$ Өoàs ik．

848 трáтоьs Vulg．трátoto． －Turn the universal portent to un－ troubled prosperity for Thebes．＇

8410 б日évos Cf．I．3．＇2．
84 14－16 So Hermann．The asterisks mark the lacuna which he filled up．For the sentiment of．

Eur．Phoon． $894 \epsilon \hat{l}_{s} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$
 тi $\gamma$ à $\rho$ тá $\omega$ ；Cic．ad Fam．6．2．2， Plin．Epp．6．20． 17 possem gloriari non gemitum miki，non uocem parum fortem excidisse，nisi me cum omni－ bus，omnia mecum perire misero magno tamen mortalitatis solacio credidissem．

85 Epist．Socrat．1；Aristides 2．571．C＇f．P．10． 10.

852 ẻv For $\operatorname{\epsilon }$ s cf．P．2． 11. трâyos＇Great undertaking，＇cf．N． 3．6，Aesch．Sept．c．Th． 2.

$$
86 .[228 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 109
$$

Tò ко८vóv $\tau \iota \varsigma \dot{a} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̉ע єủסía $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon i \varsigma$ є́рєvขaбáтн $\mu є \gamma a \lambda a ́ v o p o s ~ ‘ A \sigma v \chi i ́ a s ~ т o ̀ ~ ф а ı \delta р o ̀ v ~ ф и ́ o s, ~$
 тєขias סótєєраע, Є่ $\chi$ Өà̀ коиротро́фо⿱.
87. $[76]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 110$.



$$
\text { 88. }[77 .]=B^{4} 111 .
$$

 $\nu \omega \mu \omega \nu$
$\tau \rho a \chi \imath ̀ ~ \rho ீ o ́ \pi a \lambda o v, ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \delta ’ a ̉ є i ́ p a \iota s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ * ~ * ~ \sigma \tau \iota \beta a \rho a ̀ s ~$ єं $\sigma \pi a ́ p a \xi \in \pi \lambda \epsilon \cup \rho a ́ s$, $a i \omega \nu \nu \epsilon ̀ \delta \iota^{\prime}$ ò $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ '́ $\rho \rho a i \sigma \theta \eta$.

$$
89 .[78 .]=B^{4} 112
$$



$$
90 .[79 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 113 .
$$





86 Stob. Flor. 58. 9. Cf. Polybios 4. 31, where we are told that in this poem Pindar advised his countrymen not to resist the Persians.

862 'Aouxlas Cf. P. 8. 1.
863 From this line it might be inferred that Pindar was advising the patriotic party to prefer submission to the Persians to intestine strife.

87 Stob. Flor. 50. 3. Eustath. p. 841, 32 .
 $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon\llcorner\rho \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ V. 1. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \ell \rho \omega \nu$.

88 Erôtian, p. 74 (Franz). See Frag. 145.

883 alóv Erôt. loc. cit. ó $\nu \omega t \iota a i o s ~ \mu v e \lambda o ́ s$. Perhaps this fragment is on the same subject as Frag. 145.

89 Athênaeos 14.631 c .

$$
91 .[80 .]=B^{4} 114 \text {. }
$$


 wis aỉròs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$. Bückh, äp $\mu a \tau a$ dè aủrov̂ єűp $\eta \mu a$ катà rà Пıvóápov $\kappa \tau \lambda$.

$$
\text { 92. }[81 .]=B^{4} 115 .
$$




$$
\text { * 93. !4. [82.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 116.117 .
$$

'O Moıбаүє́таs $\mu \epsilon \kappa а \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ Хорєиิбаь.

*     *         * 



## VIII.

## ЕГК $\Omega$ M I A.

©HP $\Omega$ NI AKPAГANTIN $\Omega \iota .95,96$.

$$
\text { 95. }[83 .]=B^{4} 118 .
$$



$$
\cdots 96 .[84 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 119
$$


 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau a \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \delta \hat{\omega \rho} \rho^{a} \theta a \nu a ́ \tau o \iota s ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$,


93, 94 Hêphaest. p. 78 (46). Examples of Pindaric hendecasyllables.

95 Schol. O. 2. 16. yiss. read таį́evact.
96 Schol. O. 2. 16. From the same enkômion as Frag. 95.

961 द̉v V.l. ằ.
962 Cf. P. 12. 2.
963 For the theme cf. O. 3. 39, 40.
964 védos Apparently suggested by the shower of gold at Rhodes, cf. I. 6. 5 note.

A $\Lambda E \equiv A N \triangle P \Omega \iota$ AMYNTA．97． 98.

$$
\text { 97. }[85 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 120 .
$$

＇О $\lambda \beta$ ic $\omega \nu$ ó $\mu \omega ́ \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \quad \Delta a \rho \delta a \nu \iota \delta \hat{a} \nu$ ， $\pi a i ̂ ~ \theta \rho a \sigma v ́ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ ' А \mu v ́ \nu \tau a$ ．

$$
* 98 .[86 .]=B^{4} 121 .
$$





## IX．

さKOAIA．

## 三ЕNOФ $\Omega N T I$ KOPINOI $\Omega \iota$ ．

$$
\text { 99. }[87 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 122 .
$$

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} \cdot a^{\prime} .
$$


 aïтє тâs $\chi \lambda \omega \rho \hat{\varsigma}$ 入ıßávov گаขӨà ठáкрך

97 Schol．N．7．1，Dion Chry－ sost．Ort．2，p． 25 （Vol．1．28，ed． Ember）．

98 Dion．Hal．de adm．vi dice． Demosth．c．26．From the same enkômion as Frag． 97.

981 For sentiment cf．N． 3. 29.

982 тотı廿av́єє Cf．P．9．120， I．3． 29.

983 Cf，N，4．6，I．3．58．$\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ otyä́tr So Barnes．mss．$\delta$＇$̇ \pi \iota-$ $\tau \alpha \theta \in \nu$ ．Sylburg，Böckh є̇ $\pi \iota \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \in \in \nu$.

99 Athênaeos 13.573 c ．Part of a skolion performed at the temple of＇Aфpoঠit O Ópavia when

Xenophon of Corinth offered a sacrifice before competing for the Olympian games，and according to Corinthian custom engaged a numb－ bet of éraîpaı，in $\rho \dot{\delta} \delta o u \lambda o \iota ~ t o ~ a t t e n d ~$ the ceremony．Such iepojoviou are still found in connexion with temples in India．The skolia of Pindar seem to have differed from ordinary drinking songs in being choric，or at least accompanied by a choric dance，executed in this case by 100 غ̇raîpal．

991 Пodúgeval For this femi－ nine cf．N．3． 2.
 5 עón $\mu$ a тоттà̀ 'Aфроסítav,
vt $\mu i ̂ \nu$ ävєv $\theta^{\prime}$ ảtayopías ěторєv,

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime} .
$$


$\mu а \lambda \theta а к а ̂ \varsigma ~ \ddot{\omega} \rho а \varsigma ~ \grave{т т o ̀ ~ к а \rho \pi o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \rho є ́ т \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota . ~}$


 छvváopov そuvaîs $\gamma v \nu a \iota \xi i \nu$.
$\delta \iota \delta a ́ \xi a \mu \epsilon \nu$ र $\quad$ vбòv каӨapâ $\beta a \sigma a ́ \nu \omega$.
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \delta^{\prime}$.

 є่тá $\gamma a \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \cup ̉ \chi \omega \lambda a i ̂ \varsigma ~ i ̉ a \nu \theta \epsilon i ́ s$.
$\Theta E O \equiv E N \Omega \iota$ TENEAI $\Omega \iota$.

$$
\text { * 100. [88.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 123
$$

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} .
$$

 à入єкía.

995 vo $\eta \mu$ a 'Soaring in soul.' Cf. Soph. Aj.693. mo тtáv The poet seems to adopt the dialect of Corinth. Cf. O. 13. 3, note (fin.).

996 ar $\nu \in v \theta^{\prime}$ aam. So Berg or
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi$. The goddess is wont to make no excuse for allowing you \&c.; i.e. she deems it proper.

998 ש̈pas...картóv Cf. P. 9. 37, N. 8. 1. ámò...סpétr. Tmesis. Causative middle.

999 The constraint is the enthusiasm excited by the goddess. $\delta^{\prime}$ 'For.'
$9913 \delta \delta \delta \alpha^{\prime} \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ Frequentative aorist. 'We prove.'

9915 ékaróyputov Here रuîov probably means the whole body, as in N. 7. 73, 9. 24.
100 Athên. 13. 601 c . For Theoxenos cf. O. and P. p. viii.

1001 Cf. Frag. 104.
 бракєія
òs $\mu \eta$ тó $\theta \omega$ кv $\mu a i ́ v \epsilon \tau a \iota, ~ \epsilon ’ \xi ~ a ̉ \delta a ́ \mu a \nu \tau o s ~$

＇Avt．
 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \phi$ ápou
 ［ $\psi v \chi \rho a ̀ \nu] ~ ф о р є i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ o ́ \delta o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon v ́ \omega \nu . ~$

 є’s ${ }^{\prime \prime} \beta a \nu$.

каì Xápıs viò ’Aynбı入áov．
©PAミYBOYA $\Omega \iota$ AKPATANTIN $\Omega \iota$ ．

$$
\text { 101. }[89.94 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 124
$$




$1002 \mu a \rho \mu \alpha \rho v$ gुoloas Edd． мар $\mu a \rho i \zeta$ ．，but one ss．gives text， for which cf．$\mu \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \rho v \gamma \eta$ ．

1004 нé $\lambda a, v a v$ Cf．Aristoph． Ran． 470.

1005 廿uxpâ Oxymoron and causative use ；＇chilling．＇
$1006 \beta$ aios＇Unnaturally，＇ cf．Plat．Tim． 64 D，Aristot．Eth．
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma т เ \nu . \quad \gamma \quad v a \kappa \epsilon l(\omega)$ Either alter to子uvaiкeiov Opácos or take the text as a Pindaric dative with $\theta \in \rho \alpha-$ $\pi \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \nu$ ，＇in attendance on bold－faced women．＇

1007 Uuxpáv Probably cor－ rupted by the proximity of $\psi v \chi \rho \hat{a}$ ，
v． 5.
1008 тâб $\delta^{\prime}$＇єкать Mss．ס＇ёкать． Bergk $\pi \alpha \hat{s} \delta \epsilon \alpha \pi$ т．＇By the intluence of Aphroditê．＇＇èa ipâv Bergk． Mss．$̇ \lambda \epsilon \eta \rho a \dot{\nu}$（ $\grave{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \kappa \rho \alpha{ }^{2} \nu$ ）．But ipâv $\mu \in \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\alpha} \nu$（3ISS．$\mu \epsilon \cdot \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma a \nu$ ）is out of order．Qy．є̌ $\rho$ yov $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ？

1009 ＇s Cf，Frag． 53.1.
100 10， 11 Qy．Пєєөิิ．．．Xápt» viós？

101 Athènaeos 11． $480 \mathrm{c}, 14$. 641 n．Böckh thinks that this skolion was sung at a feast in celebration of a Panathênaic vic－ tory，perhaps that mentioned I． 2. 19.

1012 ส่v $\xi v v \underset{̣}{~ ' A t ~ o u c e . ' ~}$
 кє́vт $\rho \circ \nu$ ．
 5 каíтєр $\pi \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ äфӨо⿱亠乂，ßорáv．

IEPRNI EYPAKOSIRt．102． 103.

$$
\text { 102. }[91 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 125 .
$$

Tóv ja T＇ि́ $^{\rho} \pi a v \delta \rho o ́ s ~ \pi o \theta^{\prime}$ ó $\Lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \iota o s ~ \epsilon \hat{u} \rho \epsilon \nu$


＊103．［92．］＝ $\mathrm{B}^{4} 126$.
М$\eta \delta \delta^{\prime}$ à $\mu a v ́ \rho o v ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \psi \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \beta i ̣ \varphi \cdot ~ \pi o \lambda u ́ ~ \tau o \iota ~$


$$
\text { * 104. }[236 .]=B^{4} 127 .
$$

 $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$ ar $\rho \imath \theta \mu о \hat{v}$ ठíшкє，$\theta \nu \mu \epsilon ́, \pi \rho a ̂ \xi \iota \nu$ ．

1013 ＇A日avalarat The best kind of kylix was manufactured in Attica．F．Bless，Mus．Rhen．19．306， makes do $\omega \delta \alpha \hat{\nu}, \epsilon i \eta$ ，ка $\mu \pi \hat{\omega}$ the ends of the lines of a three－lined strophe， and joins on to this fragment Frag． 203.

102 Athên． 14.635 D ．
1021 tóv The $\beta$ ápßıтоs or Máraôcs．

1023 advt ${ }^{2}$ Өoyyov＇Of opposite sound．＇＇Terpander，oppressed by the shrillness of the $\pi \eta \kappa \tau i s$ ，con－ ceived the idea of the deep－toned $\beta$ áp $\beta$ loos．For this sense of $\dot{u} \psi \eta \lambda d s$ cf．$\dot{\cup} \psi \delta \phi \omega \nu 0$ ．

103 Athên．12． 512 d．From a poem in praise of Hero of Syracuse．Böckh refers it to the skolion，whence comes Frag． 102.

104 Athên．13． 601 c．Cf．Frag． 100.1.

1041 єín Cf．I．1．64．＂рать Bergk conjectured ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \omega \sigma t \nu$ ，and os at the end of the verse，referring it to Frag． 100.

1042 ＇Do not pursue amours when older than the（natural）tale （of years）．＇For construction cf． I．3．31．$\pi \rho \alpha \hat{\xi}$ av See L．and S． s．$v . \operatorname{II} .3$.
105. $[90]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 128$.

 ко́ттаßор.

## X.

## $\Theta$ P H N O I.

* 106. 107. [95.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 129,130$.




 äттаs тє́ $\theta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ö $\lambda \beta$ оя.

 $\beta \omega \mu o \hat{s}$.
*     *         * 

ěv $\theta \epsilon \nu$ тòv ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \frac{\nu}{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon$ úyovтa८ бкóтоע ß $\eta \chi$ роі̀ ठขофєра̂s עvктòs тотаноі́.........

$$
\text { 108. }[96 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 131 .
$$



105 Athên. 10.427 D .
1052 Xıца́рш мss. $\chi є \leftharpoonup a ́ \rho \varphi$, Böckh хєєца́ррџ. Text, Bergk comparing Yolyb. 29. 1.

106, 107, 108 Plutarch, Cons. ad Apoll. c. 35 , de Occulto Viv. c. 7 ( $\sigma \kappa v \theta l-$ for $\sigma \kappa$ кар-).

1061 Contrast O. 2. 61, where the vernal equinox is perpetual. Perhaps the poet could have reconciled the two statements.

1063 бкlapóv Hermann.

Böckh $\sigma \kappa l a p a ̣ ̂$.
$1067 \mu$ щเүvúvт $\omega v$ 'Since they are ever mingling.' Cf. P. 8. 43, 85.

107 Metre of $v v, 6,7$ of 106.
 2. 14,17 visendus ater flumine languido | Cocytos.

108 From another thrênos, Plut. Vit. Rom. c. 28.

1081 גvoitrovov After this word Böckh inserts $\mu \in \tau a v i \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau a t$.


 $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ є̇v mod入oîs ỏveípoıs
इ $\delta \epsilon i \kappa \nu \nu v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \pi \pi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ф＇́ $\rho \pi о \iota \sigma a \nu \quad \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \rho i \sigma \iota \nu$.

$$
\text { * 109. }[97 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 132 .
$$

 үаía $\pi \omega \tau \omega \hat{\nu \tau a \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ a ̆ \lambda \gamma є \sigma \iota ~ ф о \nu i ́ o \iota s ~}$ viтì そєú $\gamma \lambda a \iota s$ ảфúктоьs как $\omega \nu$ ．

$5 \mu о \lambda \pi a i ̂ \varsigma ~ \mu с ́ к а р а ~ \mu є ́ \gamma a \nu ~ a ̀ \epsilon i ́ \delta o \nu \tau ’ ~ є ่ \nu ~ v ̌ \mu \nu o \iota s . ~$

$$
* 110 .[98 .]=B^{4} 133 .
$$


 àvסiठoî $\psi v \chi a ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$,
 $\tau \in \mu$ е́ $\gamma \iota \sigma \tau о \iota$
$1084 \pi \rho a \sigma \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ Cf．N． 1. 26．For sentiment cf．Aesch．Eum．
 $\pi \rho \dot{v}$ єтац，Cic．Div．1．30．Pindar attached great importance to dreams （Paus．9．23）．These views as to the future state are probably due to Hêraklitos or Pythagoras．
1085 Reveal the（correct）judg－ ment which will be held in the future state as to things pleasant and painful．

109 Theodôrêtos，Gr．Alfect． Curatio，8，p． 599 c；Clemens Alex． Strom．9，p．640，22．Dissen suspects the genuineness of this fragment． Prof．Seymour however shows that the sentiments，if not found else－ where in Pindar，are classical，by quoting Plato，Phaedo $81 \mathrm{c} \dot{\eta}$ тot－


$\mu a \tau \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ т о \cup ̀ s ~ \tau a ́ \phi o v s ~ к v \lambda ı \nu \delta о v \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta ~$ $\ldots(\tau \omega ิ \nu \phi a v ̃ \lambda \omega \nu \psi \nu \chi \alpha i)$ ầ $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \grave{\alpha}$ то九аîта à̀аүка́јovтat $\pi \lambda а \nu a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~$
 какクิs oưवทs，and the epigram on those who fell at Potidaea（Corp． Inscr．Att．442）aiө̀̀̀力 $\mu \dot{e} \nu \quad \psi v \chi \grave{a} s$
 Epicharmos（Plut．Consol．ad Apoll．


1092 pala Locative，cf．N． 10． 35.

1095 на́кара Note this use of the singular $=\theta \epsilon \delta \nu$ ．
110 Plato，Meno，p． 81 в．
1101 oî́l Cf．O．13．29，P． 4. 21，37．тоเváv Cf．11．9． 633. $\pi \dot{v} \hat{\theta}$ eos Euphemistic for $\dot{\alpha} \mu$ aptias．

1102 éváru The reference has been thought to be to the exile of a homicide．

1103,4 มรs．$\psi v \chi \grave{a} \nu \ldots \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \kappa a \lambda \epsilon ข ิ \nu \tau a \iota$.

$$
\text { 111. }[99 .]=B^{4} 134
$$

. . . . . . . . Ev̉ $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ v \omega \nu ~$


$$
\text { 112. }[100 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 135 .
$$

 $\pi \in \delta i ́ \theta \eta$.

$$
\text { * 113. [101.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 136 .
$$


 $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \nu \alpha к а \lambda \epsilon \hat{\text { in. }}$

$$
\text { * 114. }[102 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 137 .
$$



oîסєข Sè $\delta \iota o ́ \sigma \delta o \tau o \nu ~ a ̉ \rho \chi a ́ \nu . ~$

$$
\text { 115. }[103 .]=B^{4} 138 .
$$




$$
116 .=B^{4} 139
$$




111 Stobaeos, Flor. 103. 6 हtó 10 , cf. I. 3. 23. (Serm. 249, p. 821, Wechel). ö入- $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ Cf. N. 6.5. ßos TYulg. ö̀ 1 ßıos. Cf. N. 8. 17.
112 Scholl. O. 1. 197, Il. 10. 25.2. $\pi \in \delta^{\alpha} \dot{\theta} \theta \eta$ Frag. 116. 8. 0. 1. 76.

114 Clemens Alex. Strom. 3. shs. On an Athenian who had been initiated at Eleusis. Bergk sugnests Hippolirates. Kєiv' $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \sigma^{\prime}$ Mss. iкềva кoowà cis. Bückh, èкeiva

$\beta$ lou Lobeck

116 Schol. Eurip. Rhes. 892. The general sense is that paeans pertain to Apollo and Artemis. some other form of song to some other or others, but thrênoi to three Muses; Crania, mother of Linos: Terpsichore, mother of Hy menaens: and Falliope, mother of Iitemos by Apollo, and of Orphetiby Oeagros.

．．．．．．．$\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ «тофө七 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.


．．．．$\sigma \grave{\nu} \pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} о \nu$ $\lambda a ́ \beta \epsilon \nu$ ，


viò Oiárpou（ $\tau \epsilon, \chi \rho v \sigma a ́ o \rho ' ~ ' O \rho \phi \in ́ a) . . . ~$

## XI．

## $\mathrm{E} \Xi \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{H} \Lambda \Omega \mathrm{N}$ EI $\Delta \Omega \mathrm{N}$ ．

$$
\text { 117. }[104 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 140 .
$$

Tí $\theta$ єós；ö $\tau \iota$ тò $\pi a ̂ \nu$ ．

$$
\text { 118. }[105 .]=B^{4} 141 .
$$

 фитєи́єє．

$$
\text { 119. }[106 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 142 .
$$


 $\kappa \in \lambda a \iota \nu \epsilon \phi \in ́ i ~ \delta 仑 ̀ ~ \sigma \kappa o ́ т \epsilon \iota ~ \kappa а \lambda u ́ \psi a \iota ~ \kappa a \theta a \rho o ̀ v ~$ á $\mu$ épas $\sigma$ é̀ $\lambda a s$ ．

1163 tò 8 é＇And again．＇ tpeîs mss．only give $\tau \rho \hat{\rho}$ ．Some equivalent of Moīal viêv follows．

1166 őv є̇v үápotбь Mss． є̀p ámol

1167 MSS．$\sigma v \bar{\mu} \quad \pi \rho \omega ิ \tau \quad \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \epsilon \nu$. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi$ ．，к．т．$\lambda$ ．Pindar alludes either to the death of Hymenaeos when singing a nuptial song（Serv．Verg． Aen．4．127）or in ipsis nuptiis in lecto geniali．
$1168 \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \beta_{0} \lambda \omega$ Hermann．Is．
 $\theta$ évtol．

1169 The words in brackets are Bergk＇s from Schol．Il．15． 256.

117， 118 （to $\beta$ ротoîs）．Euseb． Praep．Ev．13． 688 c（13）．［Clem． Alex．Strom．5． 726 тí $\theta$ єós；ö $\tau \iota \tau$ tò $\pi a ̂ \nu$.

118 Also Didymos Alex．de Trin．3．1，p．320．фutev́є Didy－ mos，l．c．，фоけтєúєl．

119 Clem．Alex．Strom．5．708， Euseb．Praep．Ev．13． 674 в， Theodôrêt．Gr．Affiect．Curatio， 6 ． 89， 27.

$$
\text { 120. }[107 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 143 .
$$

 $\pi o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \tau ’$ ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \iota, \beta a \rho \nu \beta o ́ a \nu$


$$
\text { 121. }[108 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 144 .
$$

＇Е入aбißßoутa тa乞̀＇Péas．

$$
\text { 122. }[109 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 145 .
$$

Өєòs äтє $\pi \lambda$ е́o $\tau \iota \lambda a \chi \omega ́ \nu$.

$$
\text { 123. }[112 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 146 \text {. }
$$

 ä $\gamma \chi \iota \sigma \tau a$ סє $\xi \iota a ̀ \nu$ катà $\chi \in i \rho a ~ \pi а \tau \rho o ̀ s ~$ ¡＇そєaц．

$$
\text { 124. }[114 .]=B^{4} 147 .
$$


125．$[115]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 148$.

126．$[116]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 149$.
KатєкрїӨך סє̀ $\theta \nu a \tau o i ̂ s ~ ¿ ̀ \gamma а \nu \omega ́ т а т о s ~ є ’ ~ \mu \mu є \nu . ~$

$$
\text { 127. }[118 .]=B^{4} 150
$$

Мaขtєv́єo Moîбa，трофатєúбш $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \gamma \dot{\omega}$.

$$
\text { 128. }[119 .]=B^{4} 15 \check{1}
$$

Moîन’ ảעéทкє́ $\mu \epsilon$ ．

120 Plutarch，de Superst．c．6， 4. adv．Stoicos，c． 31.

121 Schol．Aristoph．Equit． 624. Cf．O．4． 1 and 2． 12.

122 Aristid．1． 11 （8）．
123 Schol．1l．24． 100 （ă $\tau \in \rho$ for ä $\tau \epsilon$ ），Plutarch，Qu．Symp．1． 2.

124 Clem．Alex．Strom．1．383．
125 Athên．1． 22 в．
126 Plut．de EI ap．Delph．
c． 21 ．
127 Eustath．Il．9． 44.
128 Eustath．Il．9． 40.

129．$[266]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 152$.
．．．．Мєл८бботєи́ктшу кпрíwע є́ $\mu a ̀ ~ \gamma \lambda v к є \rho \omega ́ т є \rho о s ~ o ̋ \mu ф a ́ . ~$

130．$[125]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 153$.



$$
\text { 131. }[126 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 154 \text {. }
$$

＇Еえaфрàv кита́рıббov фıлéє $\iota \nu$ ，




132．$[127]=.B^{4} 155$.
Tí $\delta^{\prime}$ é $\rho \delta \omega \nu$ фí入os
 Eù $\theta \nu \mu i ́ a ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ \epsilon і ̈ \eta \nu$, тоข̂т＇aïт $\eta \mu i ́ \sigma \epsilon$ ．

$$
\text { 133. }[57 .]=B^{4} 156 .
$$


òv Ma入ecíyovos ët $\theta \in \psi \epsilon$ Naîoos ìкоítas
$\Sigma_{\epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \nu o ́ s .}$
134．$[128]=.B^{4} 157$.
 $\kappa о \mu \pi \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ．

129 Cram．An．Ox．1．285． 19.
130 Plutarch，de Is．et Osir． c．35．vopóv＇Grove，＇cf．Frag． 131.

131 Plutarch，de Exil．c． 9.
1311．фi入́tetv＇Be contented with．＇
$1312 \pi \epsilon \rho \delta$ \＆aiov＇Around IIt

Ida．＇Crete was celebrated for cy－ press－groves，cf．Plato，Legg．p． 625. Perhaps from a skolion．

132 Athề．5． 191 F．
133 Paus．3．25， 2.
134 Schol．Aristoph．Nub． 223. Seilênos is addressing the Phrygian youth Olympos．
135. [129.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 158$.

Taîs iєpaî̄ı $\mu \epsilon \lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma a \iota s ~ \tau \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \tau a \iota$.
136. $[132]=.B^{4} 159$.


$$
\text { 137. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 160 .
$$


138. $[134]=.B^{4} 161$.
. . . . . . . O $\mathrm{O} i \mu \not ̀ \nu$
катшка́ра $\delta є \sigma \mu о i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \delta є ́ \delta є \nu \tau а \iota . . .$.

$$
139 .=B^{+} 162 .
$$

Пíтvavtєs Өoà $\nu$
$\kappa \lambda i \mu a \kappa$ ' Є่s oủpavòv aimv́v.
140. $[137]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 163$.

141. $[142]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 164$.

Фı入ó $\mu a \chi$ дข 才є́vos є̇к Пєрбє́оя.
142. $[146]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 165$.

143. [147.] $=\mathrm{B}^{ \pm} 166$.
 oi้ขov,

135 Schol. P. 4. 104.
136 Dionys. Hal. de Orator. Ant. с. 2.

137 Stob. Flor. 126. 2.
138 Schol. Aristoph. Pax 153.
139 Cramer, An. Ox.1.201.14.
140 Apollôn. Dysk. de Synt. 2. 179 (Bekker).

141 Athên. 4. 154 F .
142 Plutarch, Amator. c. 15, and de Defect. Oracl. c. 11. The subject is Dryads.

143 Athên. 11. 476 B.
1431 ค́เтáv Cf. P. 1. 10, my note.

Є่ $\sigma \sigma \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \varsigma$ ámò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon v \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ́ \lambda a ~ \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i ~ \tau р а т \epsilon \zeta ̧ a ̂ \nu ~$

тíขоขтєऽ є่тлáそovто.

$$
\text { 144. }[148 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 167
$$

. . O ठє̀ $\chi \lambda \omega \rho a i ̂ s ~ є ̉ \lambda a ́ т а \iota \sigma \iota ~ \tau v \pi є i \varsigma ~$


$$
\text { 145. }[150 .]=B^{\frac{1}{2}} 168
$$

$\Delta i a ̀ ~ \beta o \omega ̂ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \rho \mu a ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho а к i a ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \psi a \nu ~ \pi v \rho i ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~$

 каıр@̂ хро́vos.

$$
\text { 146. }[151 .]=B^{4} 169
$$


$\theta \nu a \tau \omega ิ \nu$ тє каi ảӨaváт $\omega$
äуєı ठıкаı$\omega \nu$ то̀ ßıаıо́татоข
vттєрта́та $\chi є \iota \rho i \cdot \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu а і ́ р о \mu а \iota$




$$
\text { 147. }[154 .]=\mathrm{B}^{\frac{4}{2}} 170 .
$$

Пávта $\theta$ v́єıข єீкато́ข.

144 Schol. Apoll. Rhod. 1. 57.
1442 Text Böckh. wss. oí $\chi$ єтає $\chi$. or $\omega^{\prime} \chi \in \tau^{\prime} \epsilon$ is $\chi \theta$ bova. Kaeneus, one of the Lapithae, whose son Korônos entertained Hêrakles when that hero deroured a whole ox, bones and all. To this feat of gluttony the next fragment refers.

145 Athênaeos 10.411 B. See above, and Frag. 88. Cf. Philostr. Imag. 2. 24. Dr Verrall proposed

 mass in the skull." Journal of Philology, Vol. 9, p. 122.

146 Plato, Gorgias, p. 484 B, Aristides 2. 68, Schol. N. 9. 35. Cf. Hêrod. 3. 38. Some Edd. prefix катà фúбเข from Gorgias p. 488 B, Legg. 4. 714 D, ib. 3. p. 690 в.

1463 Cf. P. 2. 17.
1467 ávaıтŋ́таs Böckh for àvalpeital.

147 Strabo 3. 155.
148. $[157]=.\mathrm{B}^{\frac{1}{2}} 171$.
. . Kavà $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ ф i ́ \lambda a ~ \tau є ́ к \nu ’ ~ є ้ т т є ф \nu \epsilon \nu ~$


$$
\text { 149. }[158 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 172 .
$$







$$
\text { 150. }[160 .]=B^{4} 173 .
$$

ミúpıov єủpuaíxpà Sıєĩтov oтратóv.
151. 152. 153. [159. 161. 162.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 174-176$.

Pausan. vir. 2, 7 ; 1. 2, 1 ; Plut. vit. Thes. c. 28.
154. 15.5. 156. 157. 158. $[164-168]=.B^{4} 177$.
$\Pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v a \nu$ Өīкє $\mu о \hat{\imath} \rho a \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau a \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \imath^{\prime}$


 ' $\mathrm{E} \nu \delta а \sigma \kappa i o \iota \sigma \iota \nu \pi а т \eta \prime \rho \cdot ~ \nu \eta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ עо́ $\omega$ $\delta$ '. $\delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\delta \grave{\text { è } \nu ~ \pi \rho o \sigma a \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \xi(i \not \mu a \nu \quad$ є̈ $\pi \iota$.

148 Schol. Il. 10. 252. On the slaughter by Hêrakles of Nêleus and his sons. Cf. Frag. 112.

149 Schol. Eurip. Andr. 796. Text Böckh.

150 Strabo 12. 544.
151-153 Pindar is reported to say that the Amazons founded the temple of Artemis of Ephesos on their expedition against Athens ;
that Peirithoos and Thêseus carried ofi Antiope and that she had a son Dêmophon by Thêseus.

154-158 Priscian, de Metr. Comic. p. 248 (Lindemann), quoting Hêliodôros. Specimens of Pindar's treatment of iambic metre. $\theta$ ض̄кє Cf. Bacchỹl. 3. $7 \Delta \in \tau \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \in \in \cup s$ हैं $\theta \eta \kappa \alpha \nu$ (iँ $\pi \pi \circ \iota$ ), ö $\lambda \beta \iota \circ \nu$ [yóvov] $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu$ кขpク̂бal, N. 10. 48.

$$
\text { 159. }[169 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 178
$$

Nó $\mu \omega \nu$ ảкоv́òтєя $\theta є o ́ \delta \mu a \tau o \nu ~ к є ́ \lambda a \delta o \nu . ~$

$$
\text { 160. }[170 .]=\mathrm{B}^{+} 179
$$

 äv $\delta \eta \mu a$.

$$
\text { 161. }[172 .]=\mathrm{B}^{\frac{1}{2}} 180 .
$$


 кратьбтєи́шv 入óزos.

$$
\text { * } 162 .[174 .]=B^{4} 181 .
$$



$$
\text { 163. }[175 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 182 .
$$

 oủk єîðuîa.

$$
\text { 164. }[177 .]=\mathrm{B}^{ \pm} 183 .
$$



165. [179.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 184$.


$$
\text { 166. }[184 .]=B^{4} 185
$$



159 Ib. p. 251. Cf. perhaps P. 3. 90 , N. 5. 23.

160 Schol. N. 7. 116. Cf. N. 8. 15, I. 3.61.

161 Clem. Alex. Strom. 1. 345. Cf. N. 5. 17, 18.

1611 ảvapp. Cf. Aristuplı, Eq. 626. áxpeîov בss. ̇̀pxaîov.

162 Schol. N. 7. 89.

163 Aristid. 2. 547.
164 Strabo 9. 131.
165 Chneroboskos 1. 106 (Bekker, Anecd. 3. 1183).

166 litym. Guk. 321. 5) 4 غंनTL ố rot $\chi \in \kappa \kappa \nu$ áки́єє к. Cramer, An. Par.
 Text Böckh.
167. $[185]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 186$.

Av̉тóv $\mu \epsilon \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau a$ бvขoıкıбтท̂pa yaías є้ $\sigma \delta \epsilon \xi a \iota \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{v} \chi o \nu$.
168. $[186]=.\mathrm{B}^{+1} 187$.

169. [190.] $=\mathrm{B}^{\ddagger} 188$.
 $\phi \omega v i o u$ ả $\nu \delta \rho o ́ s$.

$$
\text { 170. }[197 .]=\mathrm{B}^{+} 189
$$



$$
\text { 171. }[198 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 190
$$



$$
\text { 172. }[199 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 4
$$



$$
\text { 173. [201.] = } \mathrm{B}^{\ddagger} 191 .
$$



$$
\text { 174. [204.] }=\mathrm{B}^{\ddagger} 192
$$


'A $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu i \delta a \iota$.

$$
175 .[205 .]=B^{4} 193 .
$$

......Пєขтаєтךріs є́ортà
 бтарүávols.

167 Apoll. de Synt. 2, p. 138 (Bekker).

168 Plut. Qu. Symp. 2. 10. 1.
169 Strabo 14. 642.
170 Schol. Aristoph. Vesp. 306. Var. lect. mavóifuavto. Hermann
 Aesch. Pers. 745.

171 Schol. P. 8. 53.
172 Schol. I. 4. Inscr.
173 Schol. P. 2. 127.
174 Schol. P. 4. 4. Heyne $\theta \epsilon \mu i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$, यss. $\theta \epsilon \mu \tau \sigma \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$.
175 Cf. O. and P. p. vii. 1l. 15, 16.

176．$[206]=.B^{4} 194$.



 $\epsilon \in \pi a \sigma \kappa \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega}$
5 каі кат’ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ ả $\gamma \nu \iota a ́ s$.

$$
\text { 177. }[207 .]=B^{4} 195
$$

Ейáp $\mu а т є, \chi \rho v \sigma о \chi i ́ \tau \omega \nu, \ldots i є \rho \omega ́ т а т о \nu . .$. a้ $\gamma а \lambda \mu a, \Theta \eta$ $\quad \beta a .$.

178．［209．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 196$.
．．．$\Lambda \iota \pi a \rho a ̂ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \Theta \eta \beta a ̂ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu ~ \sigma к о ́ т \tau \lambda о \nu . ~$

$$
\text { 179. }[210 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 197
$$

${ }^{5} \Omega$ тадаі́ттюроь Ө $\bar{\eta} \beta a \iota \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { * } 180=\mathrm{B}^{4} 198 . \\
& \text { Oӥтo兀 } \mu \epsilon \text { छ'є́vov }
\end{aligned}
$$


Ө $\hat{\eta} \beta a \iota$ ．
181．$[211]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 198$.
 Т८лфผ́ббаs ảто̀ кал入ıкра́⿱亠䒑оv．

$$
\text { 182. }[213 .]=B^{4} 199
$$

 ai $\chi \mu a i ́$,
каi $\chi$ ороі каi Moîба каi＇A $\gamma \lambda$ дía．

176 Aristid．2． 509.
1761 kp $\eta \pi i_{\mathrm{s}} \quad$ Cf．P．7．3． 1762 Cf．O．1． $16 . \quad$ TeLX §ouєv Cf，P．6． 9 note．

177 Schol．P．4．25，P．2．Inscr．
Cf．I．1． 1.
178 Schol．P．2．Inscr．
F．II．
179 Cf．O．and P．p．viii．1． 4.
180 Chrysippos，тєрl áтофать－ $\kappa \omega ิ \nu, c^{2} 2$.

181 Strabo 9．411，Athên． 2. 41 E ．

182 Plut．Vit．Lycurg．c．21， about Sparta．
－
183. $[214]=.B^{4} 200$.

184. [215.] = B 201.



185. $[216]=.B^{4} 202$.
....... $є є к і т \pi \omega \nu ~ М ข к \eta \nu а і ́ \omega и ~ \pi \rho о ф а ̣ т а є . ~$
186. [217.] $=B^{4} 203$.


 $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda a ́ s$.
187. [218.] $=B^{4} 204$.

Kai $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho \hat{\omega} \Sigma \mu v \rho \nu a i \not \omega$ ä $\sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$.
188. [221.] $=\mathrm{B}^{ \pm} 205$.
'Ap才à $\mu є \gamma a ́ \lambda a s ~ a ̀ \rho \epsilon \tau a ̂ \varsigma, ~ \omega ’ v a \sigma \sigma ' ~ ' A \lambda c i \theta \epsilon \epsilon ı a, ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \tau a i ́ \sigma!!s ~$ $\epsilon \in \mu a ̀ \nu$
бúv $\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ т $\rho a \chi \epsilon i ̂$ тотi $\psi \in u ́ \delta \epsilon \iota .$.

$$
189 .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 11
$$

Ỏ̉ 廿ॄєv̂ठos є́ $\rho \iota \xi \omega$.

$$
\text { 190. }[222 .]=\mathrm{B}^{+} 206
$$



183 Schol. 0. 11. 17.
184 Strabo 17. 802.
185 Schol. P. 4. 206.
186 Zenobios 5. 59, on the


187 Schol. P. 2. Inscr.
188 Stobaeos, Flor. 11. 3.
189 Eustath. Prooem. 21.
190 Plut. Vit. Niciae, c. 1.

## 191. $[223]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 207$.




$$
\text { 192. }[224 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 208 .
$$

Mavíaıs $\tau$ ' ả $\lambda a \lambda a i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau ’$ ópıvó $\mu \in \nu о \iota$ ค่ $\downarrow a \cup ́ \chi \in \nu \iota \sigma \nu ̀ \nu \kappa \lambda о ́ \nu \nLeftarrow$.
193. [227.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 209$.


$$
\text { 194. }[229 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 20
$$



 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu{ }^{\imath} \lambda .{ }^{\prime} \mu$.

$$
195 .[230 .]=211
$$

Kакóфрора́ т’ ả $\mu ф а ́ \nu \eta ~ \pi \rho a \pi i ́ \delta \omega \nu ~$
карто́v.

$$
\text { 196. }[231 .]=B^{4} 212 .
$$



 фךб८ Пír $\delta a \rho o s$.

$$
\text { 197. }[232 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 213 .
$$

По́тєроу ঠікка тєі̂ұоs च̈廿८ov

є̇ $\pi \iota \chi$ Өóvıov خє́vos ảv $\rho \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$,


191 Plut. Consol. ad Apoll. c. 6.

192 Plut. Qu. Symp. 7. 5, de Defect. Orac. c. 14.

193 Stobaeos, Flor. 80.4. Of oi фuбto入o $00 \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon$.

195 Plut. de Sera Num. Vind. c. 19.

197 Plato, de Republ. 2. 365 13, Maxim. Tyr. 18. Cf. Cic. ad Attic. 13. 38.
198. [233.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 214$.

 $\beta \in \rho \nu a ̨$.

$$
199 .=B^{4} 10
$$



$$
200 .[152 .]=\mathrm{B}^{ \pm} 215 .
$$

 є̋кабтоя.

$$
\text { 201. }[235 .]=B^{ \pm} 216
$$



$$
\text { 202. }[237 .]=B^{4} 217 \text {. }
$$

Г $\lambda \cup \kappa \dot{v} ~ т \iota ~ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau т о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \mu a ~ К v ́ m p i \delta o s . ~$

$$
\text { 203. }[239 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 218 .
$$







$$
204 .[240 .]=B^{4} 219
$$

Oì $\delta^{\prime} a ้ \phi \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \pi o i \theta a \sigma \iota \nu$.

198 Plato, de Republ. 1. 331 A. Compare Stob. Flor. 111, p. 12 I $1 v-$
 $\tau \omega \nu$ ย̇ขúmvla (Frag. 274).

199 Eustath. Prooem. 21.
200 Cramer, An. Par. 3. 154.

201 Plut. Consol. ad Apoll. c. 28, Schol. Eur. Hipp. 263.

202 Clem. Alex. P'dedu!!. 3. 295.

203 Athênaeos 11.78. D.
204 Etym. Mag. 178. 10. 13.

$$
205 .[241 .]=B^{+} 220 .
$$


 то́ขтоv тє $\rho \iota \pi a i ̀ ~ \phi є ́ \rho о \iota \sigma \iota \nu . ~$

$$
\text { 206. }[242 .]=\mathrm{B}^{+} 221 .
$$


 $\beta$ юотá.
 $\sigma \omega ̂ s$ ठıaбтєíß $\omega \nu$

$$
\text { 207. }[243 .]=B^{4} 222 .
$$

... $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \pi a i ̂ s ~ o ́ ~ \chi p u \sigma o ́ s . ~ . ~$



$$
\text { 208. }[244 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 223 .
$$

Kai фє́povtaí $\pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ vтò Soú $\lambda \epsilon \iota \frac{\text { тú } \chi a \nu}{}$ ai孔 $\mu a ́ \lambda \omega \tau о \iota, ~ к а і ~ \chi \rho v \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \beta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon є \omega \nu ~$ є̇vтi траขцаті́aı......
209. $[246]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 224$.
"I $\sigma o \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ $\theta \epsilon \grave{o} \nu$ ă $\nu \delta \rho a \quad \tau \epsilon$ фíخov ( $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varrho} \hat{)}$ )
ітотрє́ $\sigma \sigma \alpha \iota . . .$.
210. [247.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 225$.
 тápos $\mu$ е́ $\lambda a \iota \nu a \nu$ крабíà є̇ $\sigma \tau v ф \in ́ \lambda \iota \xi є \nu . .$.

205 Plut. Qu. Symp. 7.5.3.
206 Sextus Empir. Hypoth. Pyrrh. 1. 20 (Bekker). Cf. Hor. od. 1. 1.
207 Schol. P. 4. 408. Proklos on Hês. Opp. et D. 428. Contrast
I. 4. 2, 3.

2072 Cf. "neither moth nor rust "loth corrupt." Isth. 3. 2.

208 Theodôros Metoch. 562.
209 Schol. Il. 17. 98.
210 Schol. O. 2. 40.
211. [248.] $=\mathrm{B}^{ \pm} 226$.

Ойтєऽ є́кผ̀ข како̀ єข้рєто.

$$
\text { 212. }[250 .]=B^{+} 227 .
$$

...Né $\omega \nu$ סè $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \mu \nu a \iota ~ \sigma u ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \nu o \iota s ~ є i ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota ~$



$$
\text { 213. }[252 .]=B^{\ddagger} 228 \text {. }
$$

## 



$$
\text { 214. }[253 .]=B^{4} 229 .
$$




$$
\text { 215. }[254 .]=\mathrm{B}^{ \pm} 230 .
$$



$$
\text { 216. }[255 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 231 .
$$

 $\epsilon \in \sigma \dot{\alpha} \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

$$
\text { 217. }[256 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 232 .
$$

 $\tau \in \grave{\chi} \chi o \varsigma$.

$$
\text { 218. }[257 .]=B^{4} 233
$$



211 Aristid. 2. 547.
212 Clem. Alex. Strom. 4. 586.
213 Plut. An seni sit ger. resp. c. 1 .

2132 Cf. Bacchyl. 13. 142 ff.

 бiv. тро́фабıs Cf. P. 5.25 sqq. aimúv Cf. O. 11. 42, also Soph.




214 Schol. O. 13. 92. Cf. P. 8. 85-87.

215 Liban. Epist. 144.
216 Schol. N. 7. 87.
217 Plut. Tit. Marcell. c. 29. oủ...ov̉ Cf. N. 7. 3.

## 219. $[258]=.\mathrm{B}^{\$} 234$.

' $\Upsilon \phi$ ' ä $\rho \mu а \sigma \iota \nu$ ї "ттоя,

 єं $\xi \in v \rho \in i v . .$.

$$
\text { 220. [259.] = B } 235 .
$$





$$
\text { 221. }[260 .]=B^{\star} 236 \text {. }
$$

Фı入ávopa ס’ oủk ë̀ıтov ßıoтáv.

$$
\text { 222. }[261 .]=B^{4} 237 \text {. }
$$

"О $\mathrm{O} \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ס̀̀ кєîuaı $\theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon \iota a ̂ \nu$
ả $\lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \in \kappa \omega \nu$ گav $\begin{aligned} & \text { òs } \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu . ~\end{aligned}$

$$
\text { 223. }[262 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 238 \text {. }
$$

 $\lambda \epsilon о ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тє......

$$
\text { 224. }[265 .]=B^{ \pm} 239 \text {. }
$$



$$
\text { 225. }[269 .]=B^{4} 240 \text {. }
$$



$$
\text { 226. }[280 .]=B^{4} 241 \text {. }
$$



218 Clem. Alex. Paedag. 3. 307.

219 Plut. de Virt. Mor. c. 12, de Tranqu. Anim. c. 14.

220 Plut. Qu. Symp. 7. 5. 2, de Sollert. Anim. c. 36.

2201 ข́то́крьтเข Adv. 'like.' V.l. а̇то́кр.

221 Schol. Od. 10. 240.
222 Aristid. 2. 509 (378).
223 Schol. P. 2. 31.
224 Hêrodian. $\pi \epsilon p i \sigma \chi \eta \mu$. 60 . 13. Schema Pindaricum.

225 Schol. O. 11.58. Cf. I. 4. 51 .

226 Athênaeos 6. 248 c .

$$
227 .=B^{4} 242 .
$$

'A $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma ~ А і а \kappa \iota \delta a ̂ \nu . ~$

$$
228 .=B^{4} 243 .
$$

......Фàv $\delta^{\prime}$ є’ $\mu \mu є \nu a \iota$


$$
229 .=B^{4} 245 .
$$

Про́фабьs $\beta \lambda \eta \chi \rho \circ \hat{v}$ yiveтаı vєíкєоя.

$$
\text { * 230. }[286 .]=B^{4} 246 .
$$

$\mathrm{M} \epsilon \lambda \iota \rho \rho о ́ \theta \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ётєєта८ $\pi \lambda о ́ к а \mu о \iota$.

$$
\text { 231. }[123 .]=\mathrm{B}^{ \pm} 247 \text {. }
$$


 каì ảvєтра́фๆ.

$$
\text { 232. }[124 .]=\mathrm{B}^{ \pm} 248 .
$$

Plut. de Adul. et Amic. c. 27, єv̉oía yàp èmá $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ vє́фos ó




$$
\text { 258. [288.] }=\mathrm{B}^{+} 274
$$

Quintil. x. 1, 100, Non enim plurias, ut ait Pindarus, aquas colligit, sed vivo gurgite exundat (Cicero).

$$
\text { 264. [249.] }=\mathrm{B}^{1} 279 .
$$






227 Schol. Aristoph. Pax 251.
228 Hêrodian. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \sigma \chi \eta \mu .59$. 29.

229 Cramer, An. Ox. 1. 9\%. ड.
230 Lesbonax, de Fily. 1st (Valcknaer).

$$
265 \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{B}^{4} 280 .
$$






$$
265 \text { B. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 281 .
$$

Philo, de Providentin, Ir. p. 120 (Auger.), Prohonore itaque, ut dixit olim Pindarus, silentium luetabundus suscipium.

$$
266 \text { see } B^{4} \text { p. } 477
$$


 $\tau \iota \mu \omega ́ \mu \in \nu$ оs $\eta^{\prime \prime} \delta \in \tau \alpha \iota$.

$$
\text { 273. }[121 .]=B^{\ddagger} 288 .
$$

Liban. Elist. xxxiv., ó $\mu \grave{\epsilon v}$ Mív $\delta \alpha \rho o ́ s ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota ~ \mu \eta ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~$
 «̈入入oıs $\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$.

$$
274 .[234 .]=B^{4} 289
$$




## EPIGRAMMA.




Proklos, Hês. p. 7 (Gaisford).

## LIST OF WORDS AND PHRASES ATTRIBUTED <br> TO PINDAR［N FRAGMENTS NOT GIVEN．

аُкабк人̂－ウृ $\sigma \dot{\prime} \chi \omega s$.
＇A入épas ösun－Tition．
＇A入єváôєs－$Ө$＇́ $\sigma \sigma a \lambda o r$.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \mathfrak{v}^{\prime} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota-\hat{\delta} \iota \in \lambda \in \hat{\imath}, \pi \in \rho a \iota \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．
$\dot{a} \mu \in v \sigma \iota \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta}$－epithet of $\phi \rho \circ \nu \tau i s$ ．
á $\rho \dot{\alpha} \chi \nu \eta s, \dot{o}$－${ }^{6}$ spider．＂
áprúpeac－epithet of Muses．
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha \sigma$ iठоитои．
Гaঠєьpiסaь（－iтaı）$\pi v \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota-P i l l a r s$ of Hêrakles．
éкатоито́рүvos．
є่入aเó $\omega$ ．
$\epsilon \lambda a \sigma i \chi \theta \omega \nu$－epithet of $\Pi 0 \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
є̈ $\nu \tau \in a-a ̈ \rho \mu a \tau a$.
є̇ $\epsilon є \sigma \tau \alpha \kappa \omega ́ s$.
є́pıбфа́payos．
єủpúsuros－epithet of Zeús．
$\dot{\epsilon} \chi \in ́ \tau \eta s-0.0$ тioúvios．
$\mathbf{K} \lambda \in \partial s-K \lambda \in \iota o u$ s．

крат $\eta \sigma$ ßiav．
 $\mu a ́ \rho \eta-\chi є i \rho$.
$\mu \in \rho \iota \mu$ áuaта．
$\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \in \dot{\phi} a \nu o s-e p i t h e t$ of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$.
$\xi є เ \nu \circ \delta \delta \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu-\epsilon ́ \mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ．
ỏ $\lambda \beta \circ \theta \rho \epsilon \in \mu \mu \nu \in s$－epithet of Kippes．
ópeєктitov бvòs－ỏ $\rho є \sigma \kappa \underset{\sim}{\circ}$ оv $\sigma$ vós．
$\pi \alpha \iota \delta o ф a ́ \gamma o \nu ~ i \chi \partial \dot{\iota} \nu-\kappa \hat{\eta} \tau о s$.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \nu \tau a \epsilon ́(\eta) \rho \in \tau \mu о$－epithet of the
ships of the Achaeans．
$\pi \rho о ́ \beta a \tau \alpha-і ̈ \pi о$ ．
$\dot{\rho} \in \rho i 申 \theta a l$ ё $\pi$ оs．
玉котáôєs－Өє́ $\sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda$ ои．
тоита́кє．
т $\rho \iota \gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\chi} \iota \nu$－epithet of Sicily．
ن̌ $\psi \iota \kappa \in ́ \rho a s$－epithet of $\pi \in ́ \tau \rho a$ ．
х८入七е́тaı（－тєis）－epithet of the Hy － perboreans．

## GREEK INDEX．

áßoatl，meaning，N．8． 9
$\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$, F． 1 A． 1
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ ，fem．I．7． 20
 games，N．1．13，3．69，9．31， 11. 20；1．2． 18
á $\boldsymbol{\lambda \lambda a i ̂ a , ~ ' f a m e ' ~ o r ~ ' s o n g , ' ~ N . ~ 1 . ~} 13$
ả $\gamma \lambda$ ао́картоя，－крадоs，N．3． 56
$\dot{\alpha} \delta v \epsilon \pi \eta \dot{\eta}$, N． 1.4
$\dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ，peculiar use of aor．part．N． 8． 38 （？gnômic）
$\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \lambda \lambda о \pi o ́ \delta \omega \nu \geqslant i \pi \pi \omega \nu$, N．1． 6
aiav̀̀s，P．1． 83 ；I．1．49，3． 2
aiooios，meaning，I．2． 37
aid̀̀s，N．9． 33 （aiઠف for alєi，O． 13. 115）
aimus，＇sheer＇（metaph．），F．213． 2. （Ct．а̇то́тоноs，Soph．Oed．1R． 877 ； Eur．Alc．118）
aioa，＇assignment，＇＇direction，＇ ＇standard，＇＇career，＇N．3．16， 6. 13,49 ；1．1．34；F．1， 108
ai¡ $\chi \dot{\alpha}$ for $\alpha<\mu \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ in mss．N．5． $54,10$. 60 ；I．3． 69
ai $\omega \nu$ ，＇fate，＇N．2．8；I．3．18，7． 14 －fem．N．9． 44
аَкцд̀，N．3． 39 ；I．3． 69
ג́кои́ $\omega$ ，＇feel，＇N．2． 14
äкроу，＇first prize，＇N．1．11，6． 24
á $\lambda a \theta$ cías ó òos，I．2． 10
$\alpha^{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ ，resumptive， $0.4 .6,6.22,8$. 9 ；N．9．8，11． 9
à入入 $\dot{\alpha}-\gamma \dot{a} \rho$, I．3．34，6． 16

ä $\mu \pi \nu \epsilon \cup \mu a$ ，N．1． 1
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi l$ ，with acc．P．2．15，8． $69 ;$ N． 1． 54 ；I． 6.9
－with dat．N．1．29，2． 17 （cf． Eur．El．945，â ò＇t＇s juvaikas）， 6. $14,7.80$ ；I． 4.55
ã $\nu$ ，absence of，I． 7.35
－om．with ós and subj．I．1． 50
${ }^{a} \nu \nu$ ，repeated，N．9． 35
－with future，N．7．68；I． 5. 59
ả $\boldsymbol{\alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda о \mu a \iota , ~ ' b e g i n , ' ~ N . ~ 7 . ~ 7 7 , ~} 10$. 33
－＇put off，＇N．9． 28
ảעย́ $\chi \omega$, N． 7.89
ávtéXoual，meaning of，N．1． 33
à้тเтvХ $\dot{\omega} \nu, ~ N . ~ 7 . ~ 42 ~$
ả $^{2} \boldsymbol{\tau} i \phi \theta \circ \gamma \gamma \circ \mathrm{~s}$, F．102． 3
ả ááp $\omega$ ，N．4． 46
ä $\pi \alpha$ ，＇in every case，＇N．5．16；I． 7． 14
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \mu \nu \cup ́ \omega$, deiero，N．7． 70
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \nu \epsilon \in$, ，make to breathe forth，＇ N．1． 47
$\mathfrak{a} \rho a=a ̆ \rho a$, I．7． 59
aั $\rho a \rho \in \nu$, N．3．61，ธ． 44
á $\rho \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$ ，＇distinction＇（？），N．3．42， 10． 2
ápi $\theta \mu$ òs，＇counting，＇N．2． 23
－＇right number＇（of years），F． 104． 2
äррпктоу，＇stalwart，＇I．5． 47
$\alpha \rho \chi a l ~ \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ，with gen．катд̀ бúveбเข（？），N． 1.8
－as－avtos，fem．I．5． 73
גंтє $\lambda$ ท̀s，＇ineffectual，＇N．3． 42
aủ $\alpha \dot{S} 50 \mu \alpha$ ，meaning，N．10． 61

aútoेs，＇exact，＇of space and time， N．i． 1
a $\omega$ os，metaphor to the superlative， N．．2．9．3．29，8．9；1．1．51，．）． 4，6． 18
$\beta a \sigma_{\iota} \lambda_{\epsilon} \alpha=\beta a \sigma l_{\lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha, ~ N . ~ 1 . ~}^{3} 99$
$\beta$ ßé入os，＇pang，＇N．1． 48
Btaiws，＇unnaturally，＇F．100． 6
Bios，Biotos，confused in ass．I． 3. 23，7． 15
Botwtial ũv，F． 60
$\gamma$ à $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, I．2． 12
$\gamma \epsilon$, N．4． 4
$\gamma \in \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu$, N．8． 50 ；I．3． 18
$\gamma \in \mu \dot{\iota} \nu$, N．3．83，10． 33
$\gamma \in \pi \rho i \nu$, N．4． $28-\pi \rho i \nu \gamma \in$, O．13． 65
fiypoual，in aor．＇prove oneself to be，＇N．3．71；I．7． 25
$\boldsymbol{\lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s , ~ F . ~} 75$
үликєр $\dot{\tau} \epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{s}$ ，fem．F． 129
$\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \omega$ ，＇enter name，＇N．6． 7
रuiov，＇body，＇N．7．73，9．24；F． 99． 15
$\delta^{\prime} \quad \not \quad p a$, N． $9.21 ;$ 0．11． 43 ；P．3．27， 57
סaццóvıos，N．1． 9
$\delta \epsilon$ ，＇accordingly，＇I．3．90，6． 23
$-=\alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, N．11． 48
－＇also，＇I．1． 58
－＇for，＇N．1．11，2．10，10．35；I．
1． $49,3.13,29$ ；F． 99.9
סéкомal，with dat．I．5． 4
$\delta_{\epsilon} \lambda \phi i s$, P．2． 51 ；N．6．66；F．1．6， 219
ôยupo，O．8． 51
$\hat{\delta} \dot{\eta}=\eta_{i} \delta \eta$, N．8． 51
$\delta t a ̀$ ，in composition，N． 5.3
ঠıaтpúбıos，etymol．N．4． 51
ঠıбактаі $\mathfrak{a} \rho є \tau a i$, P．＇s depreciation of， N．3． 41
бıӨ́ра $\mu \beta$ os，etymol．F． 57 a
\＄lкa，＇quarrel，＇N．9． 15
$\Delta i o ̀ s ~ K o ́ p ı \nu \theta o s, ~ N . ~ 7 . ~ 105 ~ 5 ~$
$\Delta$ còs óòos，＇milky way，＇F． 7.3
סó $\mu o \nu$, óouous，understood with prep． and gen．I．2． 34
סракєіs，N． 7.3
$\epsilon$ for $\alpha \iota$ in mss．N．10．72，$v . \alpha \iota$
єं $\gamma$ коу $\eta \tau i, \mathrm{~N}, 3.36$
$\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ，in transition，N．1．33；I． 1. 14，5． 16
$\dot{\epsilon} \theta \theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \theta \theta \in \lambda \omega$, N． 10.84
－＇am wont，＇N．11． 40
－$\mu_{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega(?)$, N． 7.90
$\epsilon i$ ，with subjunctive，N．7．11，16， 9． 46 ；I．3．59，4． 13
ei $\eta$ ，with acc．N．7． 25 （ $\bar{\eta} \nu$ ）；I． 1. $64,5.7$
－without pron．I．5．7；F． $10 \pm$. 1
－with dat．N．4．9

єішєкєข，＇that，＇I．7． 33
є́кขо́ нооя，N．1． 56
$\epsilon \uparrow \lambda a ̂, ~ N . ~ 3 . ~ 74 ~$
є̇лафро̀s，fem．N．5．20；v．$\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa є \rho \omega ́-$ $\tau \in \rho o s$
＇E入eiOvia，etymol．N． 7.1
$\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i$ ，＇expectation＇merely，N． 1. $32,11.22$
＇$\lambda$ тонаи，＇believe，＇N．7．20；F． 39.1
$\xi \mu \pi \alpha$, N． 4.36
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，＇according to，＇N．10． 28 ；I． 2. 38
$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，adverbial（ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}), \mathrm{F}, 57 \mathrm{~B}$
$\epsilon^{\prime} \nu=\epsilon^{2} s$, F．53．1，85．2， 96
－＇in dependence on，＇N．7．90， 10． 30
－＇near，＇N．10．8；I．2． 18
－＇with，＇N．11．9，17，and so with musical instruments，N． 3. 79
－with titles of contests，I．1． 25
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，＇à propos of，＇＇in the sphere of，＇ N．1． $34,3.32$ ；I．1．18，34， 57 ， 4． 53
$\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon i \beta o \nu \tau \iota$, N．11． 42
 22
є＇乡аркєîv，＇suffice，＇N．1． 32
€̇ $\pi a i \sigma \sigma \omega$ ，meaning，I． 3.24
єтлаї，F．53． 14 （cf．а́кои́ш）
є่та̂̀то，N．6． 52
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \beta a \nu$, N．1． 18
＇тло $\mu \alpha$ ，with acc．N．10， 37
$\epsilon \in \pi$ о́чато，＇lived to see，＇or＇was re－
warded by the sight of，＇F．65． 6
ё $\rho \gamma \mu \alpha$, N． 1.7
＇̨prov，＇contest，＇I．3． 86
ёр $р \mu$ ог，O．1． 6
$\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \iota$, N．1．27，with accus．I．3． 72
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, I． 5.17
そ̇ $\sigma \tau \iota$ ，emphatic，N．1．10，2．10， 10. 20
Є̈бхатоs，in good sense，N．10． 32 ； I．3． 29
＇̇тє $\quad$ оs，euphemistic，N．8． 3
$\epsilon \cup ̂ \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \in \iota \nu$ ，with gen．N．1． 32
$\epsilon \dot{v a ̀}$ ，＇union，＇N．5．31；I．7． 30
－etymol．I．7． 30
$\epsilon \dot{\cup} \rho v \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \grave{s}$, N． 5.4
єบ่тvxé $\omega$－$\{\alpha$ ，meaning in P．，N． 1. 10

єن̉фporíva，＇good cheer，＇N．4．1； I． 3.10
द́фátroual，use of，with gen．and dat．N． 8.36
そ̈фєбिроs，N．4．96，6． 65
${ }^{*} \chi(\alpha$ ，with aor．part．N．1． 31
${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma o \nu$, N． 4.36
广auevク̀s，N．3．63，4． 13
Zeùs ü४ıбтos，N．1． 60
ท̆．．．ク̈тоя，N．6． 5
$\ddot{\eta}$ ，after verb of will，choice，N． 10. 58
$-\eta \mu \nu$ ，forms in，N．5．5， 11
$\eta \nu$ ，with acc．pron．N．7． 25 （see єiँ）
ท̋тє $\rho 0$ ，etymol．N． 4.51
$\theta a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\omega}$, N． 4.88
$\theta$ ádos，N．1． 2
өauà $\delta \dot{\eta}$ каl，N．1． 17
$\theta \in i a$, etymol．I．4． 1
$\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega, v$ ，$\dot{\theta} \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$
$\theta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，＇establish，＇N． 1.5
$\theta$ eds（with proper name），fem．N． 5. 41
$\theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, etymol．N．5． 10

 18；F．53． 1
lôeiv＇s（evv），F．53．1，100． 9
iє ¢ódou入os，F． 99
－ 0 －for－0－，I．1． 26
limetos vópos，I．1． 16
－is，acc．plur．P．3． 112
$i \sigma \theta l$ ，$\downarrow \tau \tau \omega$ ，with part．N．9．45， 11. 15；I．1．68，6． 27
t＇rov，＇an equal share，＇N． 10.86
ioos，quantity of，N．6． 68
i $\sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\psi}$, ，N．1．69，note
โuy૬，Iynx，N． 4.35
кal，＇actually，＇I．1． 63
－＇and accordingly，＇N．4．32， 10. 65
－＇and so，＇F． 58.5
－＇even so，＇N． 7.7
—＇so，＇N．＇2． 3
－between prep．and case，N． 7. 31

кal үàp，I．4． 4
－$\mu \dot{a} \nu$, N．10． 54 ；P．4． 289
－long before vowel，N． 2.1
каl－$\tau \epsilon$, N．3．61，4．75；I．1．20， 55
кalmєр，with indic．（？），N． 4.36
кalpòs，＇moderation，＇N． 1.18
ка入え̀，N．1． 10
Kaбтbpeiov，I．1．16，note
кatà，＇according to measure of，＇ O ．
9．28；N．3． 16 （Eur．Herc．F． 655）
кат＇aโซav，N．3． 16
катаßаір, ，N．3．35，42，4． 38
ката́кєєขтац，N．4． 52
ката $\alpha^{\rho} \rho \pi \tau \omega$ ，＇seize and hold，＇N． 3.
35；I．3． 53
ката́р $\varnothing \epsilon \nu \nu$, F． 57 в
$\kappa а т \epsilon ́ \chi \omega$, N．8．24；I．3． 2
$\kappa \epsilon, \kappa \epsilon \nu, v . a ̈ \nu$
кєî̀os，＇such，＇I．3．61；v．oûtos
K $\eta \lambda \eta \delta \delta \nu \epsilon$ s，F． 30
$\kappa \lambda \hat{\epsilon} o s$, in bad（or colourless）sense， N．8． 36 （ef．$\delta 6$ ¢̧ $\alpha \nu$ Thuk．3．11， § 10，Postgate）
$\kappa \lambda \iota \tau \grave{\jmath} s$, N．7．16；I．5．17，6．19； F．53． 2
$\kappa \nu \dot{\sigma} \alpha \lambda \wedge \nu$, N．1． 50
$\kappa$ ќpos，N．1． 65 ；I． 3.2
корифà，N．1．15，34，10．32；I． 5. 11 （cf．O．1．113）
$\kappa \rho a \tau \in \omega$ ，different senses，N．10． $2 \overline{5}$
$\kappa \rho \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ，＇more intelligent，＇N． 9. 15
кขрía óò̀s，N． 7.51
$\kappa v \dot{\prime} \omega \nu=\Pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$, F．73． 1
$\lambda a ́ ß \rho o \nu$, N．8． 46

入ımapal＇＇A $\theta$ ŋ̂val，N．4．18；I．2．20； F． 54
入bytot，N．6． 31
入6 yot，＇discussion，＇N． 8.21
入úa，N．9． 14
дд́кар，＇deity，＇F．109． 5
Mє入ia，F．6． 1
$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu, v, \gamma \in \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
－without $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, N． 9.11

－$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\circ} \dot{\omega} \hat{\omega}$, I． 4,46
—aûtcs，I．5． 3
$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ - $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, with repeated word, N. 1. $62,6,10,9.8,10.27,11.3,6$; I. 3. $8,4,30,5.71$
$\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu-\tau \epsilon$, N. 2. 9, 8. 30; 1. 1. 14, 2. 38; F. 53. 10
$\mu$ е́т $\rho \circ \mathrm{\nu}, \mathrm{~N} .11 .47$
$\mu \grave{\eta}, \mu \dot{\eta} o ̄ \in$, omitted, I. 1. 60

- omitted after $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \omega \omega$ N. 11. 23 ; I. 3. 72

$\mu i \gamma \nu v \mu i, \mu i \sigma \gamma \omega$, Pindaric use, N. 1.
$18,2.22,4.21,9.31$; I. 2. 29 ,

3. 3, 6. 25
$\mu i \tau \rho a$, N. 8.15 ; I. 4. 62

- of a warrior, N. 10. 90
$\mu \nu a \sigma t \grave{\eta} \rho$, N. 1. 16
Moipaı, attend at births, N. 7.1
Mopial, N. 10. 34, note
$\nu \in ́ \mu о \mu \alpha \iota, ~ ' h a v e ~ a ~ r a n g e, ' ~ N . ~ 3 . ~ 82 ~$
$\nu \epsilon \in \mu \omega$, meaning, I. 2. 22
ขєótas, meaning, N. 9.44
vómos, 'practice (surgical),' N. 3. 55
$\xi$, of Doric future of verbs in $\zeta \omega, F$. 57 A
ó $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, suppressed, N. 8.37 (cf. I. 4. 19), 9.65
öapos, N. 3. 11
ódòs кчрia, v. кขр. ò $\delta$.
oโos, exclam. I. 5. 62
jोка́s, N. 5. 2
ö $\mu \phi а \lambda o s$, between golden eagles at Delphi, F. 32
-ov, lengthened before vowel, N. 1. 51, 69, 6. 60
-ov, long before F, I. 5. 42
¿̀votòs (for òvootòs), I. 3. 68
ómaঠ̀̀s, subs. N. 3. 8; F. 72
ómúpa, N. 5. 6
óp $\theta \delta \dot{\omega}, \mathrm{N} .1 .15$; I. 1. $46,3.56,4$. 48, 5. 65
 O. 13. 72
-os, acc. plur. N. 3. 24, 29
- fem. N. 5. 20; F. 129
öros, exclamatory, N. 10. 41
จย๋кย̇ть...ย้тน, N. 9. 47
oûtos, 'such,' N. 9. 42
$\dot{\delta} \phi \epsilon\lceil\lambda \epsilon$, impersonal, N. 2. 6
ó $\phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \partial ̀ s$, 'glory,' O. 2. 10; P. 5. 17
$\pi \dot{a} \theta a$, N. 7. 21
$\pi \alpha \nu \tau 0 \delta a \pi$ òs, I. 1. 46 ; F. 73.1
$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$, of extension beside with acc.
N. 5. 10; I. 7.57
- in compounds, 'indirectly,' 'by
the way,' N. 10. 30
тара८тє́оцає, N. 10. 30
$\pi \alpha р \alpha ́ \phi \eta \mu$, 'beguile,' N. 5. 32
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \tau$, impersonal, I, 7. 69
$\pi а ́ \rho \phi а \sigma \iota s, ~ ' d e t r a c t i o n, ' ~ N . ~ 8 . ~ 32 ~$
$\pi \hat{\alpha} s$, 'in every case,' see ätas
Пaб亢Өє́ $\eta$, meaning, N. 5. 10
$\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\alpha}=\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$, P. 5. 44, 8. $74 ; \mathrm{F}$. 101.5
$\pi \epsilon \delta \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$, 'penitus,' I. 4. 38
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \epsilon ่ \theta \lambda \iota o \nu$, I. 1. 26
$\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, र. 3. 90
$\pi \epsilon ́ p o \delta o s, ~ N . ~ 11 . ~ 40 ~$
Пépoєus, etymol. N. 10. 4
moккiגos, \&c. applied to music, N. 4. 14
$\pi o \iota \nu \dot{\alpha}, \pi o i v t \mu o s$, in good sense, N. 1. 70
$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, N. 5. 31, 8.8
$\pi o \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu=\pi \rho \dot{\partial} s \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$, F. 99.5
$\pi$ oûs $\nu$ aòs, N. 6. 57
$\pi \rho a ̂$ yos, N. 3. 6
$\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi$ เs, 'amours', F. 104. 2
$\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$, act. for mid. I. 4. 8, 5. 11
- 'deal,' 'wreak,' N. 3. 46
- 'exercise one's functions,' N.

1. $26 ;$ F. 108
$\pi \rho i \nu \gamma \epsilon$, N. 4. 28

троки́ццор, N. 4.11
$\pi \rho о \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \mu a \iota$, N. 2.18
$\pi р о \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon เ \nu$, I. 7.17
Про́фабts, F. 213. 2
$\pi \rho u ́ t a v i s$, N. 11.1
$\pi \tau \cup \chi a l$, 'hollows,' N. 2. 21
$\pi \omega$ s, use of, N. 10. 60
jáß ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{os}$, I. 3. 56
$\dot{\rho} a \pi \tau$ òs, N. 2. 2
р́цфа, I. 2. 3
$\sigma \grave{\alpha} \nu$, F. 57 A
$\sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$, adv. of motion from, N. 1. 4
$\sigma \theta \in \nu 0 s$, not $=$ copia, I. 3. 2; F. 84. 10

бка́ $\mu \mu а т \alpha$, N．5．20，note
бкотд̀s，＇warder，＇N．5． 27
бoфía，＇augury，＇F．84． 4
бофia，$\sigma \circ \phi \iota \tau \grave{\eta} s, \sigma o \phi \partial s$, ＇poetry，＇
＇poetic，＇N．4．2，7．23；I．1．45，
4． $28,7.47$ ；F． 1.6
боф८бтクेs，poet，I．4． 28
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho \epsilon$ ，for siss．${ }^{\text {é }} \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon$, N．1， 13
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi о \mu a \iota$, N．1． 40
$\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\theta} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mu}$, N．6． 7 ；F． 1.5
$\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \omega$ ，used of voyage，N．5． 3
ธùv，N．1．9，2．24；I．3．1，4．35， 5.
12
бúvбıкоз，О．9． 78 ；P． 1.2
$\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{s}=\dot{\epsilon} o ̀ s$, I． 5.33
$\sigma \chi \in \hat{\imath}$, ＇get，＇N．10． 24
$\sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ ，see $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\varrho}$
इштท̀p，I．5． 2
$\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ，neut．plur．rel．to masc．or fem． antecedent（cf．O．1．16），N． 7. 55
 3． 11
$\tau \dot{\alpha}(\tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon) \kappa \alpha i ้ \dot{a}$, I．3．51，4． 52
$\tau \epsilon$ ，explanatory，N． 8.46
－＇or，＇I．3． 28
$\tau \epsilon$ los，not periphrastic，I．3． 85 ， note
－ӓкроу，I．3． 50
－＇prize，＇I．1． 27
$\tau \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha$ ，＇the line from which a throw was made，＇N．7． 71
－not periphrastic，I．3． 85
$\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu a \nu \theta \grave{\eta} s$, N．7． 53
тєтраорі́а，N．4． 28
TlOn $\mu$ ，factitive，N． 10.7
т $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ ，＇power，＇＇prerogative，＇I．4． 6
$\tau t s$ ，for plur．I．7．1，note
$\tau i s$, absence of，N．7．16，9． 46 ；I． 1． 41
－with active（instead of passive construction），I． 7.1

тò，＇wherefore，＇N．4． 9
$\tau \delta \delta \xi$ ，＇and again，＇F．116． 3
трє́̇тоцає，I．4． 22
тúxa，＇help，＇N．5． 48
－＇help，＇＇guidance，＇N．4．7， 6. 25
тט́ $\alpha=\epsilon \dot{\tau v \chi i a, ~ N . ~ 7 . ~ 11, ~ 10 . ~ 25 ; ~ I . ~}$ 7． 67
Túxa，O．12． 2
$\tau \hat{\psi}$, ＇wherefore，＇I．7．5， 65
$v$ for a or o，N．4． 51
v́rpòv，＇elastic，＇P．1．9；N． 8.41
$\ddot{v} \omega \rho$, O．1．1，3． 42
ì Boıwtian，F． 60
ن́ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ ，＇above，＇N． 7.65
－after case，N．7． 42
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \lambda \lambda \frac{5}{}$, N．3， 33
v́mò，＇from under，＇N．1． 35
－＇by means of，＇I．5． 44
－with dat．＇under the inflnence of，＇N．7． 17
ن́то́крьбьข，＇like，＇adv．F．220． 1
ن́ $\psi \eta \lambda$ òs，＇shrill，＇F．102． 3
$\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$ ，comp．of，used intransitively， N． 6.4
фuá，＇physique，＇N．1． 25 ；I．5．47， 6． 22
фúбıs，＇physique，＇N．6．5；I．3． 67
$\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa о \alpha ́ p \eta s$ ，etymol．I．3． 81
ха́．pьข，＇by grace of，＇N．1． 6 －＇song，＇I．3．8，90，7．16；F． 53． 2
$\chi$ áp $\mu a$ ，＇delight，blessing，＇N．7． 88
－－＇victory，＇N．3． 66
$\chi \lambda \omega \rho o ̀ s$, N． 8.40 ；F． 99

$\dot{\omega} s=\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon\left({ }^{( }\right)$, N． 6.3
む́s öтє，N．9．16；I． 5.1
山̈бTE，with inf．N． 5.35

## ENGLISH INDEX.

A or o changed to $v, N .4 .51$
absolute, $v$. genitive


- and infinitive expressing entreaty, command, N. 9.6
- double (2nd of gen. agreement), N. 4. 55
- of 'extent,' N. 3. 72
- of general agreement with or in apposition to idea of predicate, N. 3. 72, 11. 16; I. 3. 7, 7. 4, 63
- of gen. agreement, qualifying predication, N. 11. 24
- of reference with $\nu \iota \kappa a ́ \omega-\eta \mu \iota, \mathrm{N}$.

5. 5, 53

- of reference after pronominal adjective, F. 1. 5
- of time, peculiar, I. 3. 85, 5. 46
- plur. in oos, Doric, N. 3. 24, 29
- with mapà, of extension beside, N. 5. 10; I. 7.57
action, synonymous with place of action, N. 1. 1, note
active participle used as gerundive, N. 11.42
active for middle, I. 4. 8, 7. 45
adjectival use of participle, $v$. participle
adjective, accusative, used adverbially, N. 10. 6; I. 3. 31; F. 104
- causative use of, N. 4. 13, 7. 16, 61, 8. 40 ; I. 4. 12, F. 100.5
- compound, for genitive (with adj.), N. 10. 38
- predicative, I. 1. 17, 2. 12
adverbial force of adjective, $v$, adjective
Aeginetan statuary, N. 5. 1
Aethiopis of Arktinos, N. 3. 61, 7. $21,8.30$; I. 3.55

Aias and Hektor, N. 2. 14; I. 3. 55

Alcmanicum, schema, v. schema Alc. aorist, gnômic or frequentative, N .
3. $42,5.10,6.7,26,7.12,72$, 11. 14; I. 4. 2; F. 99. 13

- gnômic in hypothetical construction, N. 7.12
- gnômic, participle of, N. 1.62,

7. 3 (ঠракєขтєs), 9.54

- reduplicated form of, I. 5. 53
- ref. to immediate past, 'idiomatic,' N. 1. 18, 19, 7. 76; I. 1. 39, 4. 21, 5. 53; F. 53. 11
Aphaea, v. Artemis
Aphrodité Urania, F. 99
Arethusa, N. 1. 2
Artemis Aphaea, F. 66. 2
- Potamia, N. 1. 1-3
article, def. with indef. pron. N. 1. 64
- omitted, N. 10. 26
assimilation, N. 9.15
asyndeton, N. 4. 82, 6.1, 7. 19
Boeotian shield, I. 1. 1
bronze prizes, N. 10. 45
causal, causative middle, N. 6. 26, 9. 43
causative use of adjective, $v$. adjective
change of case of participle to accusative, $v$. accusative
change of subject, $\mathrm{N} .10 .13,90$
chiasmus, I. 2. 41
colour, words of, in general sense of brightness, N. 1. 17, 5. 7, 11. 28; I. 2. 26, 7. 5; F. 99.3
compendious construction, N. 9. 41; I. 5. 47
confusion of thing and personification, N. 8. 18
copulative for disjunctive, I. 3. 28, 5. 15
crowns at Isthmos, I. 2. 15
dactyl, with resolved thesis (two short syllables instead of the long), I. 3. 63, 5. 25
Daedalos i.q. Hephaestos (?), N. 4. 59
dative after subst. N. 9. 12; I. 2. $13,3.16$
- for locative, N. 10. 35 ; F . 109. 2
- instrumental, oủ $\psi \epsilon \cup ́ \delta \epsilon \iota, ~ N . ~ 1 . ~$ 18
- of accompanying action, N . 10. 75
- of cause, I. 5. 70, 6. 15
- of closer specification, I. 1. 62
- of purpose, I. 7. 27
- of reference, I. 1. 42
- possessive, N. 7. 22, 10. 29
- of manner, I. 3. 29
dativus commodi, N. 1. 24, 46, 58, 2. $24,3.62,7.40,11.7$; I. 1. 32, 3. 75, 4. 21, 5. 12, 6. 21, 7. 10, 57, 66
dativus termini, N. 1. ร0, 4. 15, 35, 7. 7; I. 5. 41
deliberative future (supposed), N . 9.1

Dêlos, names of, F. 64. 4
Delphic knife, N. 7. 42
dialectic form, occasional strong Doric, F. 99. 5, v. accusative
Dioskuroi, N. 10. 53
Doric accusative plur. v. accusative
Doric pres. inf. P. 4. 115
double genitive, $v$. genitive
dreams, F. 108. 4
cllipse of $\epsilon$ Tvaı, N. 5. 9, 10
enthroned statues, N. 10. 1
epithet, double, N. 4. 18
epitrite, with two short for one long syllable in first foot, I. 4 passim, 5. 32, 41, 51, 56; P. 9.25

- in second foot, I. 2. 16, 32, 48
exclamation, N. 10. 41 ; I. 1. 24 (?), 5. 62
explanatory infinitive clause, N. 2. 9, 10
extension of predicate, N. 1. 14, 3. 18, 7. 32, 57, 11. 5; I. 1. 34 ; F. 100. 2, 110. 1
feminine in -as -apros, N. 9. 16; I. 5. 73
- in -os, N. 5. 20 ; F. 129
- of adj. in os -ov, N. 3. 2, 5. !1. 7. 83
future act. with middle form, N. 9 . 43
- apodosis to imperative, N. 4. 37
- middle of vb. of sound, I. 1. 34
- referring to time of recitation, N. 9. 1
gender, peculiar, N. 5. 20, 41, 9. 44; I. 5. 73, 7. 20 ; F. 129
general apodosis to particular protasis, N. 4. 79, 84; I. 1. 41, 45
genitive absolute of participle without noun, I. 3. 5, 49; F. 106. 7
- after adj. $\pi \dot{o} \mu \pi \iota \mu о \nu \quad \nu \delta \sigma \tau о \nu, \mathrm{~N}$. 3. 25
- after pass. of $\nu \iota \alpha a ́ \omega$, N. 9. 2
- definitive, N. 1. 8
- descriptive, N. 10. 46
- of origin 'in the contest of,'
I. 3. $89,4.58,6.10$
- of material, I. 1. 20
- (of noun) for adjective, N. 8. 3;
I. 4. 19, (9. 2.2. 7. . $)^{2}$
- of theme of speech, N. 7. 50
- partitive, I. 3. 51
- 'sacred to-,' N. 10. 67
- with áp $\rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau a \iota$ (? кат $\dot{\alpha}$ बúveбLD), N. 1.8
- with रoגboual, N. 7. 25
gnômic aorist, $v$. aorist
goldsmith's work, N. 7. 78
Graces connected with victory, N. 5. 53, 9. 54, 10. 38
hendecasyllables, Pindaric, F. 93, 94
hendiadys, N. 1. 7, 3. 8, 4.9
hiatus, N. 3.34 ; I. 1. $9,16,32,7$. 56
historic present, N. 3, 18
hypallage, N. 1. 15, 34, 3. 38; 1. 7. 38
iambelegus, F. 11 в
imperfect for aorist, of $\nu \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \omega, \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \in \omega$, N. 5.5 ; I. 3. 13
- of intended action, N. 1. 50
infinitive after $\delta \ell \delta \omega \mu$, N. 10. 26
- after verb of motion, N. 6. 47;
I. 2. 16, 7. 63 ; F. 7. 5, 53. 10
-- for imperative, N. 3. 29, 6. 7,
9.6 ; I. 3.56
- of possible result, I. 4. 45, 48
- of reference after adj. N. 3.30,

7. $27,76,10.19,20,72,11.18$, 33 ; I. 2. 37, 6. 44

- of result, N. 3. 31, 32, 6. 7,

10. 79 ; I. З. 10,61

- redundant, expletory, N. 6.8
iota, suppressed, N. 11. 40; I. 1. 63
Kynaethos, N. 2. 1
late position of subject, $v$. subject
locative, N. 10. 28; I. 3. 2, v. dative
meiosis, N. 3. 15, 4. 21, 10. 6; I. 2. 20, 3. 13
metaphor, mixed, N. 3. 79, 6. 29
milky way, $\Delta \iota o ̀ s ~ o ́ \delta o ̀ v, ~ F . ~ 73 ~$
moral qualities ascribed to beasts, N. 1. 63

Muses' song, N. 5. 22
myrtle, sacred to the dead, I. 3. 87, 7. 67
negative, applied emphatically to one word, N. 1. 18, 8. 37
neuter acc. adj. after verb of 'looking,' ' seeing,' N. 4. 39, 7. 66 - - (predicative) with fem. subs. I. 6. 22

- plur. noun with plur. verb, I. 4. 12, 7. 47 ; F. 53.14
nominative for vocative, N. 3, 76
object suppressed, N. 4. 11, 36, 7. 23
olive crowns at the Panathênaea, N. 10. 34
optative with $\kappa \in$ in relative clause with pres. indic. in apodosis, N . 4.8
- without ${ }^{2} \nu, v . d \nu$ omitted
order, connexion of consecutive
beginnings or ends of two verses, N. 3. 68, 4. 1, 6. 37 ; I. 3. 70, 4. $9,19,43,5.28,39,6.46,7.28,49$ order, connexion of beginning or ends of two lines though a line intervene (v. I. 3. 36), I. 6. 12
- enclitic between preposition and case, N. 7. 42, 8. 18
- irregular (v. I. 3. 36), N. 1. 24, 10. 72 ; I. 7.70 ; F. $100.8,9$
- preposition between two coupled cases, N. 7. 31, 9. 14, 22, 10. 38,53 ; I. 1.29
- proper name, peculiar position of, I. 4. 49, 5. 18
Ortygia, N. 1. 2
Panathêmaic vases, N. 10. 36
participle, active, used as gerundive, N. 11. 42
- adjectival use of, N. 4. 29,

7. $65,10.18$; I. 1. 31, 3. 5, 37

- as in Thuk. 1. 20, § 2 (Shilleto), N. 8. 38; I. 5. 14, 6. 40
- coupled with finite verb, N. 11. 45 ; I. 1. 14
- case changed to accusative, $v$. accusative
- genitive absolute without noun, $v$. genitive abs.
- of gnômic aorist, v. aorist
- sign, cause, N. 3. 16
- with oú фрáふ்єтa, I. 1. 68 (cf. l $\sigma \theta$ ८)
pentathlon, Introductory essay, N. 7, Introd. ('spear-throwing' should be placed before discushurling)
perfect denoting permanent effect or continuance of past action, N . 3. 84,8 . $25,9.41$; I. 3.3
personal constr. with part. for impers. with acc. and inf. N. 6. 2, 9. 6; I. 7. 12
personal pron. omitted, v. eim
Phlegra, N. 4. 27; I. 5.33
Pindar's (apparent) Medism, F. 86
Pindaric heudecasyllables, F. 93, 94
Pindaricus versus, F. 11 A
place of action synonymous with action, N. 1. 1, note
pleonasm, N. 3. 34
plural adj. 'it is,' N. 4. 71, 8. 4
- in allusion to one person, N .

1. 58 ; I. 4.43 ; F. 53.10

- verb with neuter plural, $v$. neuter
possessive dative, $v$. dative
predicate, extension of, $v$. extension, prolepsis
predicative adjective, emphatic, N . 10. :32: I. 1. 17, 2. 12
present, historic, $v$. historic
- subjunctive after órav of past time in orat. obliqua, N. 1. 68
prolepsis, N. 1. 43; I. 6. 29
relative, neuter plural, with definite antecedent, N. 9. 9; F. 176
schema Alcmanicum, N. 10. 48
- Pindaricum, F. $53.15-18,224$
seasons, N. 5.6
showers of gold, F. 96. 4
sibilants, consecutive avoided, I. 3. 17, note
- in Greek, F. 57 A
simile, $v$. metaphor
sing. for plur. ä入入os, N. 4. 39, v. тts subject, late position of, I. อ.. 30, $35,40,7.16$
suppression of $\epsilon[\nu a b$, N. 5. 9, 10
-     - $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, with verb of wish ing, choice, N. 10. 58
-     - $\dot{o}$ (oi) $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu, v . \delta \dot{\delta} \nu$
-     - тiv $\tau \epsilon$ in explaining a $\mu \phi$ oiv, I. 4. 19
-     - pron. with ein, v. cïn
three libations, I. 5. 2
tmesis, N. 3. 24, 67, 7. 68, 9. 8, 33; 10. 71, 11. 30 ; I. 2. 29, 6. 30, 7. 14,58 ; F. 65. 5, 99.8
transition indicated by єं $\dot{u}^{\prime}, v$. єं $\gamma u^{\prime}$
transposition in mss. N. 3. 17 (Triclin. $\sigma \tau о к \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega \delta$ :); I. 3. 82
virtues, division of, N. 3. 72
wrestling terms, N. 4. 93
zeta, F. 57 A, note
zeugma, N. 8. 3, 10. 25 ; I. 5. 47



## PA

4274
. 15
1899


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pointed out by Mr Ridgeway.

[^1]:    * Glyconics.

[^2]:    * Caesura before the last (short) syllable. In $r$. 10 the thesis of the fourth foot is resolved.
    + Caesura before last syllable.
    $\ddagger$ Incisio answering to the syncope of the fourth foot of $c, 1$.
    || Incisio.
    § Incisio in the middle. The thesis of the second foot of $r \mathrm{r} .6,12$ is resolved.

[^3]:    24 Cf．P．1． 1.
    $25 \Delta$ tòs dipx．Cf．N．2． 3.

[^4]:    * Incisio, or else end of verse.
    + Caesura.

[^5]:    * Caesura.

[^6]:    * Tautometric (as also фi入ımтol v. 32).

[^7]:    * Tautometric.

[^8]:    * Either Incisio or caesura in the next foot.
    $\dagger$ First syllable resolved.
    $\ddagger$ Caesura after first syllable.

[^9]:    * Cresura in second foot.
    $\ddagger$ Caesura after first syllable.

[^10]:    * Incisio. $\quad \dagger$ Cresura after the first syllable except $v .39$.

[^11]:    * Caesura after seventh syllable.

[^12]:    * Incisio after first foot.
    + Incisio four times, caesura after the next syllable in the other verses.
    $\ddagger$ Incisio.

[^13]:    * Incisio except $r$. 65. More strictly this $18=3$. 5. 1. 6. 3, v. 4 ending with a rest and $v$. 5 having anacrusis. But note the correspondence of the 6 feet marked off in $v .5$ to $v .6$.
    $\dagger$ Verse pause and rest in the mildle of a word $v .65 b$. Perhaps there is not a rest but syncope with three resolutions of the syncopated foot
     the foot $-a \sigma \gamma-1247$, and in epitrites - oceasionally $_{=}=$L, c.g. I. 3. 72, I. 5. 41, 66.
    $\ddagger$ Incisio except v. 70 . F. II.

